9/11: The Bobby McIlvaine World Trade Center Investigation Act

"Sixteen years after September 11, 2001, more and more Americans are beginning to question the official account of that day's tragic events. As awareness and skepticism continue to grow, so too will pressure on Congress to open a new investigation.

"The Bobby McIlvaine Act — named after a 26-year-old who was killed by an explosion while entering the North Tower and whose father has been an outspoken advocate for a new investigation — would establish a select committee in Congress to reinvestigate the catastrophic destruction of all three World Trade Center towers."

How dare any family member question the official story of what really happened on September 11, 2001! Why, anyone who does is ignoring not only the truth but hurting the families as well! This is from an email forwarded to me:

"These bldgs. did exactly what the original architect designed and that is to collapse and not threaten the other structures. There was no explosions and massive thermite stuff that would be hell to co-ordniate with the jet impact...The south tower went first as it was hit lower and this collapsed form the weight of there being more floors involved in fire and thus weakened more quickly. The North tower was also weakened by the collapse to the south tower, surely. This is Jones and Fetzer and David Ray Griffin stirring shit. One even said to me on the phone that I had a POINT! Really? I, a lowly fireman, have a point? NO they are LIARS!"

Such as yours truly by even writing this column — which I had intended to do before I even received that email thread where

one lady accused me of being a bigot for not believing the official story (bold emphasis mine):

"It is people such as yourself and other authors on sites like NWVs who are responsible for this outrage of misinformation prolonging the suffering of people in creating suspicion and confusion. My forward to you last night was a couple of posts in response to this from my brother SENIOR FDNY HAZMAT first responder at site on 9/11 and one of those whose expert EYEWITNESS first hand analysis was used to draw conclusions about the event.

"I am going to assume you read his remarks without prejudice and are able to comprehend his analysis and rebuttals of preposterous claims made by these people seeking money and notoriety. Never mind his personal conversations with architect of record, the testimony of the architectural engineer (in a New Yorker) for project both explaining why and how the buildings collapsed as they were DESIGNED TO DO or the disgrace of implications by people such as yourself that all these men would participate in a deliberate obfuscation and cover up to the public as after all what objective merit do they carry in the face of a group of 'armchair" experts weighing in ?

"You were and are entirely mistaken about this issue and it is well past time to change what is a prejudiced and incorrect view or at very least open your mind to possibility of your error Even the worst liars are found from time to time telling the truth and in this instance the official narrative from our government is the accurate one or, at least, there is no verifiable evidence to contradict it."

I am not giving the lady's name who accused me of being a bigot. She suffered her own personal loss from what happened that day for which I am deeply sorry. But, I do take offense at her accusations.

Especially this one: "...the disgrace of implications by people such as yourself that all these men would participate in a deliberate obfuscation and cover up to the public..." I have never once accused any fireman, police officer, first responder or any other helper of deliberately trying to distort the truth or involved in any cover up. They were there, they saw what they saw but apparently anyone else who was there — like other fire fighters who saw and heard something different from where they were at the time — are the liars.

I am not responsible for spreading misinformation about 9/11. I have never profited one penny. I don't sell a book on 9/11 or any videos. It's cost me serious money on research alone.

Dr. Judy Wood has quite a few very dedicated followers and if you disagree with her opinion, expect filthy profanity laced emails. I should know.

I outright reject her theory the World Trade Center Towers were brought down by directed energy weapons. Architects & Engineers, specifically Jonathan Cole, P.E., Richard Gage, AIA, and Gregg Roberts, take it on here: What's Your Assessment of the Directed Energy Weapon (DEW) Hypothesis? I also encourage you to watch this short video: Richard Gage Answers Questions About DEW Theory. "Judy Woods opinions on 911, contrary to popular belief, closely resemble that of the US Government. She denies witnesses to explosions, planes, refuses to test the dust, and promotes the idea that 911 was an outside job."

I have received my share of profanity laden emails for NOT supporting 'no planes crashed into the Twin Towers'. It was direct energy attack. It was holograms. It was a nuclear device. George Bush was behind it. I have never subscribed to any of that.

[See the best DVD on 9/11 cover up: "The Anatomy of a Great

Deception - GLOBAL MASTER EDITION"]

Even when I disprove one of the conspiracy theories out there about 9/11, I get nasty emails. This is one persisted because no one bothered to get any substantiating documentation. I filed a FOIA.

9-11 Net rumor finally put to rest

FEMA's Prior Knowledge of 9/11 Put to Rest

I filed a lawsuit against the FAA over Flight 93. <u>I won and proved Flight 93 did not land in Cleveland with all passengers departing.</u> For that expense of a lawsuit in federal court, I also got nasty emails. This from my lawsuit update:

"As soon as I got off the phone, I called Cleveland Hopkins International Airport and was fortunate enough to get the Operations Manager on the phone. I was informed there were no records kept by his department regarding flights, incoming or out-going and wanted to know what I was looking for? I explained there was some confusion about Flight 93 landing on 9/11 and he immediately jumped in with it was Delta Flight 1989. He also said conspiracy people had bugged them for years about it and accused him and other colleagues of lying, which he resented. He recommended I get the airport's billing records because every plane that lands whether it's private or commercial is billed for services.

The following day, October 4, 2006, I received another phone call from Preamble and Melanie. A very carefully worded phone conversation in which Preamble explained that "they got ahead of themselves and misspoke." Apparently, there were a number of "responsive documents" after all and they would see what they could do about "setting it up someplace where I could view the documents."

"This was going to be unacceptable to me, but I decided to wait and see what they came back with. On November 13, 2006, I

received a phone call from Eric Soskin, an attorney for the U.S. Department of Justice. Soskin was very no nonsense and told me right off the bat that there was no excuse why my FOIA had not been processed in a timely fashion. He had already informed the defendants in my lawsuit their position was a "loser," that I was entitled to the documents and he would get them to me immediately. I informed Soskin that I appreciated it, but not to hurry as I was going out of the state on the 15th to do an investigation. Soskin told me he would have the documents to me the week of November 20th and true to his word, they arrived FED EX on November 20th, both in hard copies and a disc."

As I have written and said on radio many times: It took 18 months of denial before I finally decided I had to bring myself to look at everything out there about what happened that day. I didn't want to, believe me.

The same as I did not want to believe the OKC bombing was a cover-up. A sting gone bad by the ATF and covered up by them and the FBI. There are more than two dozen videos from around the Murrah Building the day it was blown from INSIDE, yet the FBI refuses to release them to this day. One we all were 'allowed' to see shows the truck in front of the building but it's very misleading. If there's nothing to hide, why keep hiding those videos?

To this day the FBI refuses to release all the videos from 9/11 — particularly the ones from the Sheraton Hotel and any from the Doubletree. The bit of footage from a camera at the Pentagon gas station (I know exactly where it was at since I've been there several times) is so grainy as to be virtually worthless. Except I do not see a commercial jet as the government claims. If there's nothing to hide, release all known videos confiscated. Why keep hiding what's on those videos?

For 9/11, I started with looking at videos and reading books.

Dozens and dozens and dozens as well as written pieces taking a thousand hours to read. The only other subject matter I've spent more time on over the past 29 years is the Federal Reserve.

It was all so overwhelming and terrifying at the same time. Terrifying because I did not want to believe anything other than what I had watched and listened to for 15 straight hours on the boob tube that day. I simply didn't want to know. Am I different from millions of others — especially those who suffered personal loss on 9/11 or after?

Why Do Good People Become Silent-or Worse-About 9/11? by Frances Shure, M.A., L.P.C., is a series which takes some serious reading time but will really help people understand why so many must cling to the web of lies spun by our government with the help of the media.

[See the best DVD on 9/11 cover up: "The Anatomy of a Great Deception — GLOBAL MASTER EDITION"]

"To reiterate what I said when interviewed for the film 9/11: Experts Speak Out: Fear is the emotion that underlies most of the negative reactions toward the facts that 9/11 skeptics bring to light: Fear of receiving information that will turn our world upside down. Fear of being overwhelmed by our own emotions. Fear of psychological deterioration. Fear that our life will have to change. Fear that we'll discover that the world is not a safe place. Fear that our professional reputation will be tarnished, which may cause us to lose our job or a promotion. Fear of being shunned, even banished, by friends and family. Fear that we can no longer trust our "leaders." And fear of looking like a fool for having bought the official account so thoroughly."

Because of the persistent statements that Flight 93 did not crash in Shanksville, PA — Da Plane, Da Plane There is no plane!!! is one popular mockery of what happened — I spent

\$4,400 of my own money to go to Pennsylvania and do my own onsite investigation with the help of a friend who passed away a couple of years ago. He was an appellate attorney and pilot. I wanted Dane there as a witness. There's no question Flight 93 crashed in that field despite all the speculation. How the plane was brought down is the real issue.

9/11 Pilots for Truth and Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth have been at this for a long time. They are not a bunch of crack pot conspiracy theorists with nothing better to do than get called names and have their reputations smeared.

It's not just those organizations but highly qualified individuals in other countries who know more about falling buildings, skyscrapers and fire than I could possibly know in a hundred life times, all continue to challenge the official story. I don't believe it is their intention to hurt survivors, family members of those killed, first responders or anyone else who has suffered because of what happened that day.

My late husband was an engineer for 40 years before he retired. 27 of them spent in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. While in Viet Nam it was his job to blow up buildings and bridges. When John first saw videos of Building 7 in slow motion with the puffs of smoke so perfectly lined up floor by floor, the first thing he said was, "That's a controlled demolition".

He was right. Building 7 didn't just 'fall down' from any fire. It was pre-wired in a controlled demolition — something that can take days, sometimes weeks of planning. One has to take the time to watch the slow-motion film of that building collapsing.

<u>"WTC 7 Did Not Collapse from Fire" - Dr. Leroy Hulsey, UAF, Sept. 6, 2017</u>

"On September 6, 2017, from the University of Alaska

Fairbanks' Schaibel Auditorium, Dr. Leroy Hulsey presented the findings and conclusions detailed in his team's September 2017 progress report. The draft report of the study will be published later in the fall.

"A six-week public comment period will follow the release of the draft report, allowing for input from the public and the engineering community. The final report, which will incorporate constructive comments and will be vetted by peer reviewers, will then be published in early 2018. More information about this two-year computer modeling study of the collapse o f WTC can bе found at 7 http://ine.uaf.edu/projects/wtc7/ and http://WTC7Evaluation.org

16 Facts, 16 Years Later About 9/11 That Are No Longer A "Conspiracy Theory" - READ

"But the truth will prevail — it always does — and even those within the field of academia are coming forward on this issue, which seems to become more obvious every single year.

"One of them is Professor Lynn Margulis, from the Department of Geosciences at the University of Massachusetts, whose research has led her to believe that "all three buildings were destroyed by carefully planned, orchestrated and executed controlled demolition."

As for my contributing to hurting any family member from that day or any first responders, such an accusation hurts me deeply. So many first responders have died from being exposed to the debris. So many have cancer. Every time I write about one of these events — like the FACT that FDR wanted the Japanese to strike first and willingly sacrificed more than 2400 Americans at Pearl Harbor — my heart just breaks. It took 16 years, but through the courts, the truth finally came out about Pearl Harbor.

The lady who sent me the aforementioned email seems to be relying on a few individuals for her beliefs about what happened that day. There are many witnesses to that day but it's science that proves evidence that can be sustained in a court of law.

"Pilots for 9/11 Truth is an organization of aviation professionals and pilots throughout the globe who have gathered together for one purpose. We are committed to seeking the truth surrounding the events of the 11th of September 2001. Our main focus concentrates on the four flights, maneuvers performed and the reported pilots....We do not accept the 9/11 Commission Report — a Commission admittedly "set up to fail" according to the Chairman himself, nor "hypothesis" as a satisfactory explanation for the continued gross violation(s) of the United States Constitution being committed by Government agencies, and the sacrifice every American has made and continue to make — some more than others.

"We stand with the numerous other growing organizations of Firefighters, Medical Professionals, Lawyers, Scholars, Military Officers, Veterans, Religious and Political Leaders, along side Survivors, family members of the victims — family members of soldiers who have made the ultimate sacrifice — including the many Ground Zero workers who are now ill or have passed away, when we ask for a true, new independent investigation into the events of 9/11."


There are family members who want the truth, who don't feel like they have gotten the truth. Are they to be victimized and pilloried all over again by those who believe the government's story?

The hard questions have never been answered. The American people were fed one lie after another and believed them. To even begin to believe that certain individuals and rogue elements within the U.S. government could be responsible for slaughtering 3,000 people is just too much for most Americans.

It just can't be true. Let us grieve and move on **because** that's where safety lies.

Are they all conspiracy nuts? All Bush haters? Or normal everyday people in their profession whose professional eyes see what you and I may not: 60 Structural Engineers Cite Evidence for Controlled Demolition

"The rapid breakup of these robust structures appears to defy



the laws of physics, AE911Truth engineers say. Fifty years of structural design experience inform the view of Santa Rosa, California, licensed structural engineer Bob Briscoe, P.E., who maintains that the government's collapse theories "defy the laws of mechanics, conservation of energy, and known structural failure behavior."

"In the official collapse story, the kinetic energy (of motion) of the falling debris would have been largely absorbed by the existing structure, bending and twisting steel components, and breaking up 220 acres of concrete floors. To accomplish all this while achieving a nearly free-fall collapse is "simply not physically possible," says Mason. "There is not sufficient energy available For this massively strong structure to just crumble away at near-free-fall acceleration would have required immense amounts of explosive energy."

If you feel we the people and all the survivors, family members, first responders — all who have suffered deserve the truth, I urge you to give up personal time, look at the links

below and sign the petition. It will take only ONE member of the House of Representatives to get the bill into the system. What if it was your loved one that day and no one cared anymore?

<u>Lawyers and Victims' Families File Petition for Federal Grand</u>
<u>Jury Investigation</u>, April 11, 2018. Read.

<u>9/11 Father Seeks Justice</u> — 7-minute video viewed more than 1.7 million times on Facebook — **Watch**

<u>Former NIST employee</u>, <u>Peter Ketcham along with Mr. McIlvaine</u> on video. Watch.

Friday, May 11th is Nationwide Call-In Day! Act.

<u>9/11 Families Support the Bobby McIlvaine Act</u> — You can sign the petition. Take the time to read the Evidence Section. Here's the link to the bill.

"We, whose loved ones were killed in the events of September 11, 2001, join in support of opening a new and truly independent investigation into the destruction of the World Trade Center Twin Towers and Building 7.

[See the best DVD on 9/11 cover up: "The Anatomy of a Great Deception — GLOBAL MASTER EDITION"]

"For sixteen long years we have waited for truth and justice. During this time, an abundance of evidence has been gathered that casts serious doubt on the official explanation for the destruction of these three skyscrapers."

"We therefore call upon the U.S. Congress to enact the Bobby McIlvaine World Trade Center Investigation Act immediately. Only by conducting an open and honest investigation will the millions of people affected by this tragedy finally have the truth they deserve."

Those responsible and those responsible for protecting the

lies and cover up are counting on YOU do to NOTHING. Just like OKC, TWA Flight 800 and Pearl Harbor, the guilty believe they can wait it out until family members either give up or die of old age. Are we going to let that happen?

Important Links everyone should read:

World Trade Center Physics

<u>Do the seismic data from the events at the World Trade Center</u> <u>corroborate the eyewitness, video, and forensic evidence of</u> controlled demolition?

Who exactly destroyed the WTC steel? And can they be held accountable? Rudolph Giuliani

9/11 Archives

<u>Architects & Engineers Archives</u>

© 2018 Devvy Kidd — All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Devvy: devvyk@npn.net