

A Case for Adultery

So the Democrat controlled House passes their so called Equality Act last week. As usual the name of the piece of legislation rarely if ever has anything to do with what it's actually forcing on the citizenry or taking away from us. They always have to hide what they're trying to accomplish. You could also call it the Anti-Religious Freedom Act couldn't you? But that would be too obvious.

Nancy Pelosi, says, "It (the bill) will bring the nation closer to equal liberty and justice for all." Sexual orientation and gender identity "deserve full civil rights protections – in the workplace and in every place, education, housing, credit, jury service, public accommodations,". Notice these terms, Sexual orientation and gender identity. The left despises the use of homosexuality. They're always trying to disguise it. But don't these people already have the same rights as anyone else? Where don't they have equal liberty? Can they not buy homes and cars; get credit (you can do that on line)! You can see them in the work place. In fact just last week my wife and I stayed at a nice hotel where we were greeted at the reservation desk by what we assumed was a transvestite, a transgender or some combination thereof. He was heavy set, about 6'2" wearing woman's clothing with very white make up on his face such as a Japanese geisha. He also wore lipstick and a cheap orange wig, slightly askew. It was stunning to say the least! Now I agree that this poor, confused young man is at liberty to present himself that way and the hotel has every right to hire him for that position. Whether or not it is a sound business decision I'll leave up to you. By the way we won't be going back. But there you have it "equal liberty and justice for all".

Now it is only the House that passed this crazy bill, mostly along party lines. It would still have to pass the Senate, controlled by the Republicrats and President Trump would have

to sign it. Word on the street is that ain't going to happen. You see there's this other Bill or rather Amendment in our Bill of Rights and the very first one says that we as citizens have, Freedom of Religion, Speech and the Press. It states specifically: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government for a redress of grievances. This covers a lot of ground and in this case I have underlined the area that protects me and you from the Government forcing us to accept something that goes against our religious convictions. You see I grew up in the 50's and 60's and you can call me old fashioned but I was about 6 or 7 years old when I learned that homosexuality was wrong and considered a grave sin. My parents taught me that and yours probably did too. They taught me and my 9 siblings' basic moral behaviors and homosexuality was not on the list. They also taught us the ability to make or perceive distinctions; perceptions or discernment based on what we know to be true. We have to make discriminatory decisions everyday of our lives. Do I do this or do I do that? Do I buy this from her or do I buy that from him? Do I buy a Ford or a Chrysler? If I'm making these decisions based on prejudices, bigotry or hatred then we can call it discrimination.

Look, we are not stupid out here in real world land. We know the difference between discernment and discrimination and we will not allow them to unconstitutionally ram something down our throats that goes against the protected religious beliefs we were raised with and know to be true. I can open my Holy Bible which I believe is the word of God and right there in Leviticus Chapter 18....hold on a minute. What is Leviticus?

Well Leviticus is the 3rd book in the Pentateuch which is the first 5 books of the Old Testament handed down by Moses. The Jews call it the "Torah" which means "teaching". I say again "teaching".

So let's get back to Leviticus, book number 3 of the Torah. In Chapter 18 it refers to the "Laws of Sexual Morality" and gets very specific. It spells out the many different forms of incest. You know, stuff like how it's wrong to have sex with our mom, our sisters and brothers and other relatives.....this is considered wickedness in the eyes of God. Let me look that up. *Wicked: 1. morally bad or wrong; acting or done with evil intent; depraved*; I think we get the picture.

I will now take you to verses 20 through 23 of Leviticus 18. Here they are.

²⁰ *Moreover you shall not lie carnally with your neighbor's wife, to defile yourself with her.* ²¹ *And you shall not let any of your descendants pass through the fire to Molech, nor shall you profane the name of your God: I am the Lord.* ²² *You shall not lie with a male as with a woman. It is an abomination.* ²³ *Nor shall you mate with any animal, to defile yourself with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to mate with it. It is perversion.*

So let me break that down. We start off with vs. 20 sleeping with your neighbor's wife which we sometimes call Adultery. Then in vs. 21 we go to burning your children to death for Molech (and I thought we were screwed up). This brings us to vs. 22 lying with a male as with a woman more commonly known as Homosexuality and lastly, vs. 23 mating with animals known as Bestiality.

What was it that God said to Moses regarding Homosexuality? He said it is an abomination. That sounds bad. Let me look that up. *Abomination or Abominate: 1. to feel hatred and disgust for; loath.* It appears God really doesn't like this behavior.

So there you have it my friends. This is what our Democrat Congress considers worthy of protection and promotion. This

is what they consider to be courageous and heroic behavior. This is what Nancy Pelosi considers her top priority. Do you think this will help them in the 2020 election?

Since adultery is in the same category as homosexuality, according to Leviticus, why is it not given the same civil protections that Congress wants to give homosexuals and trans-whatevers? Why is it not part of the Equality Act? Let's examine this for a moment and I'll use a man as an example. Let's say you're interested in hiring someone to run your marketing department. After reviewing several resumes you bring in a qualified candidate for an interview (we'll call him Larry). Larry handles himself very well. He has a wife and kids. He meets all the criteria you're looking for. Things look promising for Larry and you. After Larry leaves, your secretary (Admin. Assistant) takes you aside and informs you Larry had an extra marital affair with her next door neighbor and caused the neighbors marriage to break up and it is not the first time this has happened. Do you think Larry's adultery and running around on his wife should be taken into consideration? Is Larry the right guy to manage your company? I mean you have many employees that you are responsible for. What about their well being and futures? Would this candidate's adulterous behavior play a role in your decision? Are his rights being violated if you don't choose him for the position? Do you consider Adultery a sin? Are you practicing discrimination? Do you see where this is going? Can you see any other possible scenarios where a transgender or trans-sexual person would not be a right fit in such a position? Just thought I'd ask.

Speaking of Adultery, there's another Bible passage I'd like to examine with you. It is found in the Gospel of John Chapter 8 verses 1 through 11. I'm sure many of you are familiar with it.

8 But Jesus went to the Mount of Olives.

² Now early in the morning He came again into the temple, and all the people came to Him; and He sat down and taught them.

³ Then the scribes and Pharisees brought to Him a woman caught in adultery. And when they had set her in the midst, ⁴ they said to Him, "Teacher, this woman was caught in adultery, in the very act. ⁵ Now Moses, in the law, commanded us that such should be stoned. But what do You say?" ⁶ This they said, testing Him, that they might have something of which to accuse Him. But Jesus stooped down and wrote on the ground with His finger, as though He did not hear.

⁷ So when they continued asking Him, He raised Himself up and said to them, "He who is without sin among you, let him throw a stone at her first." ⁸ And again He stooped down and wrote on the ground. ⁹ Then those who heard it, being convicted by their conscience, went out one by one, beginning with the oldest even to the last. And Jesus was left alone, and the woman standing in the midst. ¹⁰ When Jesus had raised Himself up and saw no one but the woman, He said to her, "Woman, where are those accusers of yours? Has no one condemned you?"

¹¹ She said, "No one, Lord."

And Jesus said to her, "Neither do I condemn you; go and sin no more."

Do you think Jesus would have said anything different had the Pharisees brought a Homosexual before Him? No. He would have said the same "go and sin no more."

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Dennis Kelly: denniskelly@charter.net