

A Day of Reckoning

By Cherie Zaslawsky



September 16, 2025

On September 11th, we remember our dead and the day that changed the nation. And now we must add September 10th—the day when 31-year-old conservative star Charlie Kirk, who spearheaded a successful movement to lead college students from Marxism to MAGA, was assassinated—as another day that sadly changed the nation.

As I write today, September 12th, a mere two days after the murder, the suspect has been caught. We know he's a 22-year-old named Tyler Robinson, and soon we'll see his social media messages proving he planned to shoot Charlie Kirk and why.



But like the scenario in Butler, Pennsylvania—where, but for divine intervention, Trump would have been assassinated—the man who pulled the trigger acted as the agent, knowingly or not, for the powerful and sinister forces sweeping across our

nation.

Charlie Kirk was taken out by the Left because he was a wholesome role model who spearheaded a very successful movement of the young toward a sane, moral, traditional values-centered life.

After all, the Progressive Left—that's you, Dems—has worked so long and hard to mislead, confuse, corrupt and pervert our young, they couldn't abide a likeable, approachable, inspirational young man who'd escaped their clutches, to continue to rescue students they'd long ago seduced into hating themselves, their own country and those who love it—especially as he'd helped deliver the election to Donald Trump.



Virtually single-handedly, he changed the debate on many campuses, by demonstrating the flaws in leftist thinking and the salutary effects of traditional values. As

[Gary Bauer](#), president

of *American Values*, puts it: “Charlie Kirk was killed because he went into the cesspools of academia to combat the Left’s indoctrination. He was killed because he encouraged young men and women to get married and have children.”

[Julia Pierce](#), longtime member of TPUSA, had this to say about Charlie: “It used to be that for young people it was cool to be a Democrat. But he made it cool to be a Trump supporter and to wear the Maga hat and live your life with traditional family values.”

It's no secret that the Left owns public education in America.

But even parents who homeschool their children run the risk of losing them to Marxist indoctrination in college. The gift that Charlie Kirk gave to these parents, and to all those who yearn for a return to Judeo-Christian morality, was his ability to draw them back to our traditional values.

OUR HOMEGROWN LEFTISTS MAKE THEIR ALLEGIANCE KNOWN



Shortly after Charlie Kirk was shot, House Speaker Mike Johnson said a few words to the [press](#): "We ask everyone to pray for him and his family. Political violence has become all too common in American society, and this is not who we are." We'll return to consider the Speaker's logic, but first let's revisit the session of Congress just after the shooting and before we knew Charlie Kirk had died.

While everyone respectfully observed the minute of silent prayer for Charlie Kirk, no sooner had Congresswoman Lauren Boebert requested a spoken prayer than Democrats erupting into shouting. The videos I watched on September 11th have been scrubbed. In the unedited version, after striking the gavel multiple times, habitually soft-spoken Mr. Johnson raised his voice to an angry, forceful reprimand to be heard above the din, reminiscent of a parent who's lost patience with an unruly child: "The House will come to order!!" In the sanitized videos, you can hear the yelling escalate just before the sound is abruptly cut.

I wonder if Mr. Johnson's idealistic assumption that "we" are all fundamentally on the same page held up though the chaos and commotion from the Dems in the House once they began carrying on like a coven of vampires who'd just been confronted with a silver Crucifix. After all, the assumption was that Charlie Kirk was still alive, so the prayer would have been for the life of the 31-year-old husband and father to be spared.

What does this say about the Dems? Keeping in mind that the principal symptom of psychopathy is the lack of human empathy, their public booing, hissing and shouting over the simple request for a prayer for Charlie Kirk in extremis raises serious questions about today's Democrat Party as a credible, sane contributor to our body politic.

But let's return to Mike Johnson's prior comment: ["This is not who we are."](#)

What about the assassinations of JFK, MLK, and RFK, and the attempted assassination of Presidents Reagan and Trump, and many other assaults on those on the right? Were those also instances of "that's not who we are"? Funny, if the same thing keeps occurring, doesn't it seem more like it *is* who we are rather than proof of the opposite?

And what about the beautiful Ukrainian immigrant girl Iryna Zarutska who was brutally murdered while sitting quietly in a light-rail car when a deranged black man sitting behind her pulled out a knife and stabbed her in the neck, exclaiming "I got that white girl!" as she silently bled to death. Is that another instance of "that's not who we are"?

The problem with Speaker Johnson's Pollyanna-like assumption is that "we" are no longer a nation of patriotic American citizens united under the Constitution who discuss disagreements civilly and harbor "charity toward all and malice towards none." Half of this country harbors malice

galore towards the other half—though the proportions are more likely one-third vs. two-thirds. Apparently, Johnson hasn't noticed there is no longer a "we" in America that describes us all. There's the MAGA patriots and there's the Left, and there's an unbridgeable chasm between them.

So the "we" that Mr. Johnson says is "not who we are," is the Conservative right. And he's correct that "We the Conservatives" espouse civility and the rule of law and eschew violence. It is, however, exactly who "They the Progressive/Marxist/Dems/Leftist/globalists" are.

THE TIPPING POINT

Let's be honest: even before anyone was apprehended, we knew who was responsible for the sniper hit on Charlie Kirk and it won't be the patsy they'll eventually offer up as a scapegoat, though we're predictably already seeing more clues as to "motive" in social media and another innocent-looking kid has just been arrested. Even if Tyler Robinson pulled the trigger, you can bet it was Leftists who somehow arranged and/or facilitated and at the very least strongly encouraged Kirk's assassination enough to entice a gullible gamer to perform a heinous act he was likely convinced was heroic.

And once again, reminiscent of the scene at Butler, PA, anomalies abound, including the timing of the transgender question that directly preceded the shot, the apparent signaling of the two men standing behind Charlie, and the quick discovery that the bullets were engraved with transgender and Antifa messages. As Poirot might say, there are too many clues.

Yet regrettably, Mike Johnson, like so many well-intentioned people, misses the essential point. But [Wayne Allyn Root gets it:](#)

There is no longer any debate. The left inside our country is evil. Did you see the Democrats on the House floor booing and

screaming “NO” to the idea of a public prayer for Charlie Kirk? Did you watch the hosts and guests on MSNBC blame Charlie for his own assassination? Did you see leftists by the thousands celebrating his assassination on social media? All over America the left is happy a conservative firebrand was murdered.

These people are evil. Satan is in charge. They are working for the devil.

[Larry Klayman gets it:](#)

The right does not act against the left in a violent way but the left is vicious. They’re Bolsheviks. They’re terrorists in many ways and we to take that into account...The fact is we are at war right now. The left wants us dead. They want to take this country down to ground zero... They want to kill our body politic. They want to kill our Judeo-Christian ethics. They want us to go into a state that totally separates us from the Father and the Son. And we cannot allow that to happen.

The Left just brazenly took out a Conservative hero in broad daylight at a would-be wholesome student gathering. Their message to the rest of us: *You’re not safe anywhere now. Our gloves are off.* As both Klayman and Root suggest: this is the real “Turning Point.”

And [Roger Stone](#) gets it:

Now, we are locked into a war for our very civilization, one that we cannot turn and hide away from. Charlie Kirk was not an extremist. He was always polite. He was never overly abrasive or offensive. He did not wish to impose his beliefs onto others through coercion. Kirk believed earnestly and perhaps naively that conservatives would win the debate, but the Left has set the terms of the battlefield and the free exchange of ideas is no part of it. The modern Left dwarfs any Islamic terrorist, Latin American drug cartel or Chinese interloper as an existential threat to the tattered remains of

the American nation.

These astute political analysts agree on at least two things: Charlie Kirk's assassination represents a sea-change in America; and the Left has outed itself beyond return as the murderous, malevolent entity it has always been. Writer and researcher par excellence, Kelleigh Nelson, documented the Left's history in America in a recent NewsWithViews article entitled: [Contaminating Our Country Since Its Founding](#) which is well worth reading.

Charlie Kirk's horrific murder is our tipping point, our Lexington and Concord. After many decades of cultural, political, and economic unrestricted warfare against our nation as it marched through our institutions, with a single bullet the Left has openly declared violent war on the rest of America. We might say they did this in Butler, Pennsylvania as well, but Divine Intervention stopped the unthinkable from happening and preserved Trump's life. Charlie Kirk was not as fortunate.



But nobody called out the Left like [Stephen Miller](#):

When the Left calls people enemies of the Republic, says they're fascists, says they're Nazis, says they're evil, says they have to be removed, and then prints their addresses, what do you think they're trying to do? They are trying to inspire

someone to murder them. That is their objective. That is their intent. And when you see online... as we've seen for the last few days, tape after tape after tape of Federal workers, bureaucrats, staffers in the Pentagon, educators, professors, healthcare workers, nurses, celebrating the assassination of Charlie Kirk? These are radicalized people! There's a domestic terrorism movement in this country.

Then he shared Charlie Kirk's last message to him: "We have to dismantle and take on the radical left organizations in this country that are fomenting violence."

Miller continued: *And we are going to do that under President Trump's leadership. ...I don't care how. It's going to be a RICO charge, a conspiracy charge, conspiracy against the United States, insurrection—but we are going to do what it takes to dismantle the organizations and the entities that are fomenting riots, that are doxing, that are trying to inspire terrorism, that are committing acts of wanton violence. It has to stop! And my message is, to all of the domestic terrorists in this country spreading this evil hate, you want us to live in fear? We will not live in fear. But you will live in exile.*

True to form, the Left has been falsely accusing the Right of domestic terrorism when it's been the Left all along inciting it. These radicalized people, many of whom were indoctrinated in Leftist ideology in schools and colleges, are not just spewing hatred at well-known political conservatives, they're spitting on America and on Western Civilization itself, which means they're rebelling against the Judeo-Christian tradition. When asked what went wrong in Russia, Solzhenitsyn replied, "We forgot God." Hate-filled American Progressives have taken that a step further: they're in full scale rebellion against Him.

THE SINISTER LEFT

It's interesting to consider the etymology of the words

“right” and “left.” While these words are used directionally and to distinguish our right side from our left, etc., they seem to have been born with another layer of meaning. Nothing against southpaws, but the norm is certainly righthandedness.

In Italian, “right” is translated as “destra” akin to our word “dexterous”; in French as “droite” which also has the meaning of rights under the law and “in the right” meaning “correct” as in English. Our own version comes from the Old English “riht” meaning straight—not bent, upright, morally correct, and just, from Latin “rectus” meaning “straight, right.”

“Left” is the opposite.

In English it comes from “lyft” meaning weak, foolish or worthless. In French it translates to “gauche” which also means awkward, awry. In Italian it’s “sinistra” from the Latin “sinister” meaning on the left side. It’s almost as if morality has been encoded in our biology as well as in our language.

Interesting that we also use the word “right” to mean our rights as in birthright, the Bill of Rights, the right to vote, etc. Not to forget “right” vs. “wrong,” or someone’s “righthand man,” or that the Bible proclaims that Jesus sits at the right hand of God.

All this apparently stems from the fact that most people are right-handed and can do things poorly with their left hands if at all, though it’s something of a mystery how the word “right” also came to mean morally correct and, as expressed so eloquently by Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence, the inherent rights bestowed on us by our Creator. Thus it is ultimately against the Almighty Himself that the Left builds its barricades, contrives its ambushes, and hurls its explosives. And it is the Left that is at war with We the Patriots who love our country and will never stop fighting to reclaim the America we hold dear.

Tragically, we just lost a rare and gallant warrior for God and country who fought the hardest war to win: the culture war.

Rest in peace, Charlie. We will not soon see your equal.

© 2025 Cherie Zaslawsky – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Cherie Zaslawsky: cherzz@sbcglobal.net