

A politically incorrect view of political correctness

Of late, some people are reacting against the mental tyranny of political correctness. It seems, however, that not even its strongest critics have a real idea of what political correctness really is. Apparently, even they have been brainwashed to the point that they have a politically correct definition of political correctness.

Nevertheless, as Sun Tzu said, only the man who knows his enemy like himself will win all the battles. So, let's take a close, critical look at the term.

According to most dictionaries, the term political correctness means:

“Conforming to a belief that language and practices which could offend political sensibilities (as in matters of sex or race) should be eliminated,” “agreeing with the idea that people should be careful to not use language or behave in a way that could offend a particular group of people.” – Merriam Webster Dictionary.

“Demonstrating progressive ideals, especially by avoiding vocabulary that is considered offensive, discriminatory, or judgmental, especially concerning race and gender. Abbreviation: PC.” – Collins Dictionary.

“Conforming to a particular sociopolitical ideology or point of view, especially to a liberal point of view concerned with promoting tolerance and avoiding offense in matters of race, class, gender, and sexual orientation.” – American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Fifth Edition.

“Politically correct language or behavior not offensive, especially to people who have often been affected by

discrimination.” – Macmillan Dictionary.

“Political correctness (or PC for short) means using words or behavior which will not offend any group of people. Most people think it is important for everyone to be treated equally, fairly and with dignity.” – Wikipedia.

Unfortunately, all of the above definitions of political correctness are politically correct. For a change, though, let’s take a look at my politically incorrect definition of political correctness – which differs considerably from the definitions currently appearing in most dictionaries.

To me, political correctness simply means not telling the truth about things damaging to a political cause some people support. Proof of this is that the person who disagrees with your view never tells you “Don’t say that, because it is not true,” but “Don’t say that because it is not politically correct.” Therefore, political correctness is not a linguistic, sociological or cultural term, much less a scientific statement, but a political one.

Let me show you some examples that prove my point:

Some people believe that saving the planet from the destruction caused by humans is a good, noble cause. Therefore, if I tell them that global warming is nothing but unscientific hogwash created to scare us to the point of voluntarily stopping driving cars and eating red meat, their immediate reaction will be to tell me that I am not politically correct.

Some people are convinced that implementing a New Gay World Order is a good cause because it will bring democracy, peace, prosperity and equality upon the whole planet. Therefore, to them, anybody opposing the NGWO is not politically correct.

Others think that armed citizens who don’t share their beliefs are a threat to the implementation of the NGWO. Therefore, if

you say that cars, medical doctors and prescription drugs kill more people than guns, to them this is not politically correct.

It is interesting to see, though, that in these times when university students complain about “microaggressions,” nobody seems to have noticed that the term “political correctness” is the overt manifestation of a macroaggression. When somebody tells you not to use a particular term, because it is “politically incorrect,” what he/she is actually doing is warning you that if you keep using it you will run into trouble – most likely you will be accused of being a racist, homophobe, white supremacist, etc. after which you will be ostracized and even lose your job.[1]

Now, what makes me a specialist in political correctness to the point that I dare to question the definitions provided by such prestigious dictionaries? Well, the fact that I lived for twenty years in Castro’s Cuba, the country where the term was initially tested.[2] The only difference was that in Cuba, when you said some truths damaging to the “revolution”, [3] they didn’t warn you by saying “this is not politically correct,” but “Don’t say that because this is not political.”

So, it is safe to conclude that political correctness is an invention concocted by the evil progressive, liberal, Democratic Left and the commies. Right?

Wrong!

Political correctness is not an exclusive aberrant phenomenon of the Left. There are non-written rules of political correctness among “conservative” Republicans.

For example, if you are a “conservative” Republican you should never mention World Trade Center Building 7 – the 47-story skyscraper that collapsed on its own footprint despite the fact that no plane crashed against it. Why? Because George W. Bush is a true conservative American patriot and a religious

man— or so they believe — and anything that questions his narrative of the 9/11 events is not politically correct.

Moreover, you should never ask why, despite the fact 9/11 was (allegedly) the worst failure of the US intelligence and the military in their job to protect us, nobody was fired for their gross incompetence.[4] This explains why when Trump violated the rules of political correctness and mentioned that 9/11 happened during George W. Bush's watch Jeb Bush almost had a fit.

Also, "conservative" Republicans should never mention that George W. Bush's Patriot Act is the worst attack on the US Constitution in recent times and that the War on Terror he declared is a hoax. Telling the truth is very unpolitically correct.

Even more important, is you are a true "conservative" Republican you should never mention that it was your beloved George H.W. Bush who stated in every speech about the need to create a New World Order. In addition, you should never mention that this NWO Bush loved so much meant the abolishment of the US Constitution and the end of US sovereignty under a global government controlled by the CFR conspirators. In addition, you are not supposed to remember the Bush family's past ties to the Nazis nor their present ties to the bin Laden family and the Saudis.

So much for the anti-political correctness of the hypocritical "conservative" Republicans.

But there is also a particular type of insidious political correctness that affects both the "liberal" Democratic Left and the "conservative" Republican Right.

For example, you should never mention that the two-party system is a hoax, much less that there is an Invisible Government of the United States. Even more important, you should never mention that the Council on Foreign Relations,

the visible head of this Invisible Government you should never tell about, is the most anti-American organization in the U.S.

Even more important, you should never mention that our current military's main job is not to defend and protect the Constitution, our borders and our culture, but to protect the interests of a few transnational corporations abroad.

Currently, it has become evident that, without exception, not only most politicians, but also all mainstream media, either of the Left or Right persuasion, are politically correct. There are subjects they would never mention and, if they mention any of them, it is only to vilify the people who have dared to mention them.[5] Politicians and mainstream media stars will never dare to bite the hand that feeds them.[6]

So, what can you do to fight the scourge of political correctness? Admit that you are powerless and stick your head in the sand?

Absolutely not!

You can do something very simple: Don't play by their rules. Fight political correctness by not being politically correct. This is exactly what Donald Trump has been doing, and nobody can deny that he has been very successful. Apparently he sensed that the American people are sick and tired of political correctness.

Of course, I understand that not being politically correct entails some grave risks –the PC crowd can destroy you socially, economically, and even can kill you. Fear is the mechanism the CFR globalist conspirators are using to implement their New Gay World Order, and political correctness is one of their most efficient tools. But there is a point when you have to draw a line in the sand and yell:

I'm mad as hell and I'm not going to take this anymore!

Footnotes:

1. See, Bill White, "Time to Push Back at Political Correctness," Survivopedia, January 5, 2016.

2. For a close look at my theory that Castro's Cuba is a successful test of the New Gay World Order, see my book Psychological Warfare and the New World Order: The Secret War Against the American People.

3. There was never a revolution in Cuba. What we had was a rebellion to depose a corrupt dictator and change the country back to normality. Unfortunately, Castro managed to get full control of it and changed the rebellion into his sui generis "revolution." See my book The Secret Fidel Castro: Deconstructing the Symbol.

4. Actually, there was an exception: Orlando airport Immigration agent Jose Melendez, who on August 4, 2001 stopped the 20th terrorist, a Saudi man named Mohamed al-Kahtani. As a show of appreciation for a job well done, the U.S. government harassed Melendez and finally he was fired.

5. I have the feeling that Hillary Clinton will not get prosecuted for her role in the Benghazi events. Why? Because investigating the Benghazi events may open the door to investigate Bush and Cheney for their role in the 9/11 events, something "conservative" Republicans don't want.

6. . The politically correct crowd survives thanks to generous funding from the most reactionary organizations – most of them under the direct control of the CFR. See, i.e., Evan Gahr, "Paymasters of the PC Brigades," The Wall Street Journal, January 27, 1995.

Also, Lachlan Markay, "The 'Billionaire's Club' Behind the Green Movement," The Free Beacon, July 30, 2014, Also, Daniel Brandt, "Philanthropists at War," NameBase NewsLine, No. 15, October-December 1996.