

# Abortion Drugs Kill Women and Children



By Cliff Kincaid

April 12, 2023

The U.S. Supreme Court may be the only hope for America. And that's because President Trump made three conservative appointments to the Court. But he also named other judges. One is Matthew Kacsmark, a U.S. District Court Judge who has cited substantial evidence that the FDA's approval of chemical abortion drugs was illegal and that the drugs themselves are dangerous to women and children.

Evidence cited in the case demonstrates that the FDA approved the abortion drugs on an illegal and expedited basis even though they are associated with the deaths of not only the unborn babies but the pregnant women, as well as hospitalizations, blood transfusions, and cases of infection that jeopardize the lives of women and their children.

This Trump-appointed judge's ruling is noteworthy for how he addresses the main issues, such as how the FDA ignored a petition challenging approval of the drugs for over 16 years, even though the law requires an agency response within "180 days of receipt of the petition." He explains, "Had FDA responded to Plaintiffs' petitions within the 360 total days allotted, this case would have been in federal court decades earlier. Instead, FDA postponed and procrastinated for nearly 6,000 days."

Pro-abortion publications such as Axios are hoping that the

judge's "explosive ruling" will put Republicans on the defensive and enable Democrats to seize on the ruling "to paint Republicans as extremists on abortion."

On cue, the far-left Women's March Network counters that the Trump-appointed judge in Amarillo, Texas "went rogue," adding, "We're f\*cking pissed off..."

"Mifepristone now," they say, referring to the abortion drug. "Mifepristone forever."

This kind of rhetoric scares some meek and mild Republicans.

One pro-life exception is Senator Cindy Hyde-Smith (R-Miss.), who [called](#) the ruling "a victory for pregnant mothers & their unborn children." She said she was grateful the Court reined in the FDA "for recklessly violating the law & jeopardizing patient safety."

In providing the facts, the judge writes in clear and direct language that the drugs result in the killing of a human baby and the extinction of a human life. There is simply no dispute over this.

He writes, "Mifepristone – also known as RU-486 or Mifeprex – is a synthetic steroid that blocks the hormone progesterone, halts nutrition, and ultimately starves the unborn human until death. Because mifepristone alone will not always complete the abortion, FDA mandates a two-step drug regimen: mifepristone to kill the unborn human, followed by misoprostol to induce cramping and contractions to expel the unborn human from the mother's womb."

The legal reasoning in the opinion is important but I think his description of the unborn baby as an "unborn human" is also significant. He recognizes the scientific facts about the nature of unborn human life. This is why this judge is so hated by the feminists and the media.

Judge Kacsmaryk also referred to “abortion providers,” the politically-correct language of the media, as “abortionists.”

The judge’s [ruling](#) is notable not only for his clear language about the stakes but the history of the abortion movement, detailed in very significant footnotes. He traces demand for the abortion drugs to the Population Council, founded by John D. Rockefeller in 1952 after he convened a conference with “population activists” such as Planned Parenthood’s director and “several well-known eugenicists.”

Eugenics is associated with the Nazis and other totalitarian movements and is an effort to “improve” the human condition through “science” and genetic manipulation.

In practice, this has meant separating those deemed “superior” in quality versus “the inferior.”

In fact, the judge adds that the conference attendees discussed “the problem of ‘quality’” and that they concluded that “[m]odern civilization had reduced the operation of natural selection by saving more ‘weak’ lives and enabling them to reproduce,” thereby resulting in “a downward trend in . . . genetic quality.”

The Nazis called these people “life unworthy of life.”

In other words, abortion is a central element of a new population control strategy, once employed by Hitler, to eliminate people considered weak and inferior. Mimicking Nazi Germany’s “race purification” program, Margaret Sanger of Planned Parenthood had openly endorsed the euthanasia, sterilization, abortion, and infanticide programs of the early Reich. Such an approach ultimately resulted in the Holocaust.

Today, however, the abortion holocaust is packaged in terms of “women’s rights,” giving women the “right” to destroy their own offspring and call it “progress.”

For some feminists, it has become a sacrament. Some pro-lifers call it child sacrifice.

Ever since the 1994 U.N. Conference on Population and Development, the effort has been global, conducted through the U.N to make abortion somehow a government guaranteed right. But it is not a right guaranteed to the individual. In chapter four of my U.N. book, *Global Taxes for World Government*, I examine how government "birth control" or "family planning" efforts are not designed to give individuals the right to make decisions, but rather to empower government to regulate and control the human species for its own purposes.

The evil genius of the Democratic Party is selling the culture of death as a positive good for women. Part of that plan involves the lie that abortion drugs are "safe and effective."

The judge doesn't speculate about where all of this is heading, but I explored this topic in my first book, *Global Bondage*, which examines U.N. support for forced abortion and forced sterilization. China's policy, funded by the U.N., is that "reproductive rights" belong to the state and they are not something for an individual or even a family to possess. Of course, Communist China's program of forced abortion, sterilization, and infanticide, is what makes this regime attractive to the elites.

This is the Biden Administration view. For the time being, they are content to sell this insidious program as one of a "woman's right" to control her own body. Their propaganda, without an effective rebuttal, seems to be effective and many women are being duped into thinking legalization of this dangerous drug somehow guarantees their "freedom" and bodily autonomy.

Once the facts are understood, the FDA process used to legalize these drugs will be properly viewed as far more dangerous than that used to legalize experimental COVID-19

vaccines. After all, whatever you think of their effectiveness, the vaccines were authorized by President Trump to counter the deadly China virus while the abortion drugs are explicitly designed to kill people and have been doing so for more than 20 years.

As the judge's ruling demonstrates, abortion drugs are anti-human and were imposed on America by a rogue federal agency. More than that, these drugs are anti-unborn human and anti-woman. It is the Nazi program dressed up in feminist garb, with women and their children as the guinea pigs.

© 2023 Cliff Kincaid – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Cliff Kincaid: [kincaid@comcast.net](mailto:kincaid@comcast.net)

- Cliff Kincaid is the president of America's Survival, Inc. [usasurvival.org](http://usasurvival.org)