Another Missile Crisis In The Making?

On Wednesday, February 21, Russian President Vladimir Putin issued strong words of warning to the people who are planning to deploy nuclear missiles near Russia's borders.[1] After stating that the current tensions between Russia and the U.S. are no reason to ratchet up confrontation to the levels of the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962, and that in any case that is not what the Russians want, he added: "If someone wants that, well, OK, they are welcome."

Putin's words were his own version of Dirty Harry's "Go ahead. Make my day."

Putin also said that Russia is militarily ready for a Cuban Missile-style crisis if the United States is foolish enough to want one and that his country currently has the edge when it comes to a first nuclear strike. He added that Moscow will match any U.S. move to deploy new missiles closer to Russia by stationing its own missiles closer to the United States or by deploying faster missiles or both. Putin fleshed out his warning in detail for the first time, saying Russia could deploy hypersonic missiles on ships and submarines that could lurk undetected just outside U.S. territorial waters if Washington moves to deploy intermediate-range nuclear weapons in Europe.

Putin's words are surprising, because until now he had been extremely cautious about what he said even while facing overtly aggressive moves from the U.S.[2] We must keep in mind that, despite promises on the contrary, NATO, a military alliance fully controlled and financed by the U.S., has aggressively expanded to most countries bordering Russia. Now, given the fact that NATO actually means North Atlantic Treaty Organization, just looking at a map will show you that Bulgaria, Albania, Hungary and Poland, just to mention a few of the new NATO members, are not even close to the North Atlantic.

The most elementary logic indicates that most countries prefer to have friendly rather than hostile neighbors. Until now, the Russians accepted as an unwanted outcome of the fall of the Soviet Union the transition, after U.S. pressures and encouragement, of many of its neighboring countries into hostile ones. But placing American nuclear missiles targeted to Moscow just a few miles from the Russian border evidently crossed a line that the Russians are not going to accept quietly.

But, contrary to what Putin said, this crisis in the making, if U.S. leaders are so foolish to create one, will not be another Cuban Missile Crisis. The reason for this is because, despite all you have read in books written by "serious" scholars, most of them CFR members, and published by "prestigious" publishing houses, about how close we were to the brink in 1962, most of it is simply poppycock. Proof of this is that there are some strange things about the Cuban Missile Crisis that defy any logical explanation.

According to the official U.S. version of the crisis, the high-definition photographs taken by a U-2 plane on October 14, 1962, provided incontrovertible evidence of the presence of medium-range ballistic missiles [MRBM] with nuclear capability deployed on Cuban soil. But the fact remains that nobody actually saw the missiles, measured them, touched them, and much less measured the gamma radiation from their nuclear warheads.

Moreover, the U-2 pictures have since been published in high resolution and are available on the Web for anybody to see. Surprisingly, such photos only show long objects covered with tarps and a few concrete bunkers which, according to the CIA, allegedly contained the nuclear warheads. But the nuclear missiles do not appear anywhere.

The CIA subsequently admitted that it had no agents in the field that might have physically verified the existence of the strategic nuclear missiles on Cuban soil. When the Soviets were shipping back to the Soviet Union what they claimed were the strategic nuclear missiles, Kennedy had an excellent opportunity to order the Navy to board the ships and physically verify the withdrawal of the missiles, but he did not. Why did Kennedy decide not to verify the existence of the missiles and their actual withdrawal from Cuba?

Even more difficult to explain is why, in the most critical point of the crisis President Kennedy ordered the removal of the fuses and warheads from the U.S. Jupiter missiles in Turkey.

Also, as of today, nobody has provided a credible explanation of why Khrushchev allegedly placed the missiles in Cuba and why he ordered their removal in such a rush. Most analysts agree in that it was a mystery.[3]

Putin's words have made some people think that we are close to facing another Missile Crisis similar to the Cuban Missile Crisis of October 1962. Unfortunately, however, this will not be *another* missile crisis. This crisis, if President Trump does not keep on a short leash the eugenicist madmen we still have at the highest levels of the U.S. Government and the Pentagon[4], will be a true nuclear crisis, not a hoax like the one we experienced in 1962. This crisis, if we get to that point, will be a real, true and dangerous nuclear crisis.

Now, why do the globalist conspirators' hold this animosity against Russia? Are they willing to nuke Moscow because the Russians have refused to change it into another San Francisco? Are they going to destroy Russia because Russian doctors have not assassinated 6 million unborn and born babies in the past ten years? Does the fact that the Russians don't have a Marxist graduated tax, but a flat one, anger them so much that they want to send the Russian people to a mass nuclear grave? Will they use the threat of nuclear war to force the Russians to change St. Petersburg into a crime-ridden city such as Chicago or Oakland? Or the fact that Russians are not under the exploitation of Big Pharma and Big Med make them nervous because of the bad example? Or the real problem is that, adding insult to injury, Russia has not allowed an invasion of illegal aliens to trespass its borders?

Well, those are questions that may explain why the CFR globalist conspirators and their agents hate Russia so much. My guess, however, is that the main reason for their hatred and fear is because the Russians have decided not to join the conspirator's New World Order. Moreover, I think that the true purpose of the never-ending Mueller investigation has nothing to do with the past election, but to drive a wedge between Trump and Putin to avoid an alliance that scares the globalist conspirators. That is the collusion they fear the most. Unfortunately, the real traitors have been successful in putting President Trump is a position in which any Russianfriendly action is seen as close to treason.

It is known that some of our eugenicist madmen have been frantically buying land and building nuclear shelters in New Zealand and in Patagonia. For obvious reasons, they don't want themselves and their families to be among the dead after a nuclear Armageddon. Nevertheless, I don't think that even they will survive a post-Apocalyptic Earth.

John F. Kennedy is quoted as saying: "A war today or tomorrow, if it led to nuclear war, would not be like any war in history." Chairman Khrushchev warned that, after a nuclear war, "The survivors would envy the dead."

My only hope is that our globalist madmen with their nervous hands near the red buttons keep in mind what is perhaps the highest philosophical statement of the nuclear era: In the nuclear age, superpowers make war like porcupines make love-carefully.[5]

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Servando Gonzales: servandoglez05@yahoo.com

FootNotes:

- 1. <u>Vladimir Putin says ready new Cuban Missile crisis</u> <u>Donald Trump wants one</u>
- 2. The fact was acknowledged by some CFR members. In an extremely biased article he wrote for Foreign Affairs, Ivo H. Daalder wrote: "Russia has so far not threatened the territorial integrity of any NATO member state." Ivo H. Daalder, "Responding to Russia's Resurgence," Foreign Affairs, November/December 2017, p. 30.
- 3. For a quite divergent analysis of the 1962 crisis see my book *The Nuclear Hoax: Nikita Khrushchev and the Cuban Missile Crisis*, available at Amazon.com
- 4. The CFR eugenicists are convinced that the world is overpopulated and think that, to solve the problem, the current levels of population need to be drastically reduced by at least 85 percent. According to the Report On Iron Mountain: On the Possibility and Desirability of Peace, a 1967 study commissioned by the Rockefellers, peace is not desirable and war is the best eugenic tool money can buy. With nuclear war you can get even more bang for your buck.
- 5. Attributed to Robert F. Kennedy.