

“The Squad” – Pelosi’s Frankenstein Monster

For years, Pelosi has pushed an agenda that is very far to the left of most of America. The San Francisco district she represents is a microcosm of anti-Christian, anti-conservative and anti-America-as-founded radicals. Since the halcyon counter culture days of the 60s, San Francisco has been home to nearly every whack-o notion to emerge from the radical left.

Total Nonsense From Ocasio-Cortez – “Refugee Babies In Mangers”

So the left-wing socialist darling has been busy tweeting messages that apparently are intended to equate illegal aliens attempting to break into the country with the Baby Jesus...

From Breitbart:

Democratic Socialist and Rep.-elect Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY) wished a Merry Christmas to “refugee babies in mangers,” drawing a parallel between the plight of refugees to the Nativity story.

“Joy to the World!” tweeted Ocasio-Cortez on Christmas morning. “Merry Christmas everyone – here’s to a holiday filled with happiness, family, and love for all people. (Including refugee babies in mangers + their

parents.)” [\(Full Article\)](#)

This is a total non-starter.

Perhaps if Ocrazio would try actually reading the Bible concerning the birth of Christ, she would refrain from making such baseless comparisons.

From Luke 2 (NKJV):

And it came to pass in those days *that* a decree went out from Caesar Augustus that all the world should be registered. This census first took place while Quirinius was governing Syria. So all went to be registered, everyone to his own city.

Joseph also went up from Galilee, out of the city of Nazareth, into Judea, to the city of David, which is called Bethlehem, because he was of the house and lineage of David, to be registered with Mary, his betrothed wife, who was with child. So it was, that while they were there, the days were completed for her to be delivered. And she brought forth her firstborn Son, and wrapped Him in swaddling cloths, and laid Him in a manger, because there was no room for them in the inn.

It should be quite evident that Joseph and Mary were not “refugees”. They certainly weren’t trying to break into another country, and in fact were following the law that existed at that time, in that they were required by Augustus Caesar to register for a census for the Roman Empire, by going into their own city.

Even the fact that Jesus was born in a manger in no way makes him a “refugee”. There was simply no room (no vacancy, in other words) at the inn, and there was no Motel 6 back then as an alternative source of lodging.

To try to compare the birth of Christ with hordes of illegal

immigrants trying to storm our border is not simply factually incorrect, it is insulting to all who call Jesus Christ their savior.

My advice to Ocraio is the next time she feels tempted to score political points using biblical comparisons – don't – at least not without actually cracking open a Bible and reading it.

© 2018 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmclean@capitolhillcoffeehouse.com

Democrats Are Destroying The Nation, Win At Any Cost

Legendary NFL coach Vince Lombardi has been famously quoted as saying, "Winning isn't everything, it's the only thing". Some might contend that Lombardi meant winning at all costs, but that would be misunderstanding how Lombardi approached the game. For Lombardi, it meant playing fairly and by the rules to the best of one's ability in order to achieve victory.

To the Democrats of today, winning is certainly the only thing, but playing fairly or by the rules is not their strategy. One only need witness the nomination hearings of Brett Kavanaugh to understand the depths of deceit, slander, and out and out lies the Democrats in the senate are willing to engage in, to know that they have declared total war on all things which contradict their agenda.

The laws of our republic plainly specify that anyone accused of a crime is presumed innocent until proven guilty. The

Democrats, and their lackeys in the press (or perhaps it's really the other way around), have plainly demonstrated their utter contempt for this bedrock principle, by presuming that Judge Kavanaugh is guilty and must therefore prove himself innocent.

While basically impossible to prove a negative, it really wouldn't matter if Kavanaugh could actually "prove" his innocence.

The fact is that the great majority of the senate Dems had already decided to vote against Kavanaugh before his name was even selected. His "crimes"? Number one, he was nominated by President Trump, whom of course the Dems have opposed since before he was even sworn into office. Number two, any "conservative" justice would threaten the holy sacrament of the left – abortion.

That, boiled down to its simplest terms is the entire reason we have seen this utter charade of last minute, uncorroborated allegations against Kavanaugh. It is why Dianne Feinstein despicably held on to the letter from the accuser for two months. She played this card just before the vote – in order to *delay* the vote. It is all so disgustingly obvious – this delaying, stonewalling, and doing everything they can to keep from voting on *any* Trump nominee until after the midterms. The Dems are banking on taking back the senate, which while not a given, represents their best method of blocking any actual conservative from being seated on the nation's highest court.

The Dems' far left base is fanatical about a number of things – none of them good for the country – but there is no other issue more important to them than abortion. Indeed, the woman's "right" to murder an unborn baby is their holy of holies. The notion that a more constitution based court could revisit 1973's *Roe V. Wade* decision sends the left into spasmodic convulsions. Their heads spin 360 degrees à la Linda Blair from *The Exorcist*.

The Roe decision was rendered by a Supreme Court that was packed with liberals, and the majority opinion – written by Harry Blackmun, was criticized far and wide – even among many who championed abortion. This magical “right to privacy” couldn’t remotely pass constitutional muster, and it occasioned famed Harvard law professor (and liberal) Lawrence Tribe to remark on its constitutionality (or lack of), *“One of the most curious things about Roe is that, behind its own verbal smokescreen, the substantive judgment on which it rests is nowhere to be found.”*

Such a poorly written law not only *could* be revisited, it **should** be revisited. And that is what frightens the left wing Democrats so much, and why they are perfectly willing to smear an eminently qualified and highly rated judge. The possibility that abortion could be restricted, even in some small way such as outlawing the gruesome practice known as partial birth abortion, would interfere with a woman’s “choice”, at least as they see it.

Partial birth abortion, for those who are not aware, is a procedure where a third trimester baby is pulled out of the birth canal feet first – all but the head. With the baby alive and moving, the abortionist stabs scissors into the base of the baby’s skull. A catheter is then inserted and used to suck out the baby’s brain, allowing the head to now (for obvious reasons) come out easier. Yes this is gruesome – not to mention excruciating for the baby. Pro-abortion Dems don’t even want this outlawed. That would include such notable standard bearers as Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama.

Much time has passed since the 1973 decision, and much has changed with medical technology and knowledge. Many people, who at the time bought in to the “choice” position didn’t know what is known today. Today we know that what can be seen using 3D and 4D imaging will reveal not a “lump of tissue”, a “tumor”, a “growth” or some other dehumanizing term, but in fact a living baby. A baby that is capable of facial

expressions at eight weeks...and of feeling pain. How many people who went along with abortion then, if they knew current facts would still support abortion on demand? The only ones I know of are left wing Democrats.

I recall that my grandfather was a lifelong democrat who finally voted Republican in 1964. Much like Ronald Reagan, he felt that he didn't leave the party – it was the party who left him.

My grandfather wouldn't even recognize the Democrat Party of today. I don't believe our 35th president would either. Both my grandfather and JFK were virulently anti-communist. They would see today's Democrat Party as a bunch of communists and lunatics.

Today's Dems shun everyday working Americans. They wish to destroy the middle class by allowing a takeover of the labor markets by immigrants (legal and illegal). They wish to regulate business to the point of being driven out of business. They want to stamp out the second amendment. They play political correctness and teach only revisionist history in our schools – the one-sided kind that demonizes our republic and its founders. They play identity politics by pushing the same old welfare dependency sham on minorities. They want to push out Christians while promoting “diversity” with Muslims. They even booed God in 2012 at their own convention – so they certainly don't have problems kowtowing to the abortion lobby that has so far taken the lives of over 60 million babies since *Roe V. Wade*.

The Dems want to stop Kavanaugh (or any other Trump appointee), because a constitutionally based Supreme Court would deprive them of one of their not-so-secret weapons – an activist court. When you can't slam an unconstitutional bill through congress, you can then use a radical, activist court to “fundamentally transform” America.

[Donald Trump Talking Pen. It's hilarious. [Order today.](#)]

I implore union workers and other traditional Democrats to take a look at what the national party is doing to this country. This is not your grandfather's, nor even your father's party. The Democrats of today do not represent the best interests of America...the fact is they want to destroy it and turn it into a socialist "utopia". It's time to do what my grandfather and Ronald Reagan did – recognize that they no longer recognize the Democrat Party. The only way to save the republic and to put a stop to the ugly politics of personal destruction is to prevent them from retaking congress. On November 6th, there is only one choice – make it count and vote Republican.

© 2018 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmclean@capitolhillcoffeehouse.com

The NYT Op-Ed Is This Anonymous "Insider" For Real Or Made-Up?

The left wing media became totally unhinged yesterday after the publication by the New York Times of an [op-ed](#) supposedly penned by a high ranking Trump Administration official...

President Trump is facing a test to his presidency unlike any faced by a modern American leader.

It's not just that the special counsel looms large. Or that the country is bitterly divided over Mr. Trump's leadership.

Or even that his party might well lose the House to an opposition hellbent on his downfall.

The dilemma – which he does not fully grasp – is that many of the senior officials in his own administration are working diligently from within to frustrate parts of his agenda and his worst inclinations.

I would know. I am one of them...

There has of course been much speculation over the identity of the author. Bookies are even setting odds over which Trump official this could be, with one outfit giving the favorite odds to Vice-president Pence, another giving the “honor” to Attorney General Jeff Sessions.

Regardless of whether this could be either of those two, or John Kelly, Betsy Devos, Jim Mattis or others speculated by the rest of the press, the identity is less important than what this bit of “journalism” means.

If the author is an administration official, it most assuredly proves the existence of the “Deep State”.

And guess what? Suppose the op-ed is a total construction by the New York Times – it *also proves* the existence of the Deep State. For the fact is, the Deep State is not an individual, it is a cabal of people and entities who are determined to overthrow the results of a legitimate election, and to remove a president who was voted into office by millions who wanted Donald Trump to drain the swamp.

The swamp or Deep State, is actually comprised of the so-called mainstream media (MSM) such as the New York Times, the Washington Post, MSNBC, CNN and so on down the line.

The MSM is of course not alone. You also have the Democrat Party which has become openly socialist, with nutjobs such as Maxine Waters who were calling for Trump’s impeachment before

he was even sworn into office.

Then finally, you have the Washington establishment, made up of long time bureaucrats of both parties. This includes way too many Obama holdovers as well at the FBI, CIA and all throughout the Justice Department.

I don't know who the op-ed author is, nor do I know whether or not it is an actual member of the Trump Administration. If it is someone inside the White House, then of course he or she is a traitor to both the President – and to the United States and its people.

The far more important issue is that there is a concerted effort by the Deep State to take out a duly elected U.S. president. That is something that should never happen in our republic.

I certainly was no fan of Barack Obama, but an effort to oust him using the tactics being currently used against President Trump would have been subversive and highly detrimental to our republic.

The left wing cheerleaders may be referring to the alleged Trump official as “heroic”, but he/she is anything but – these efforts to undermine a duly elected president are treasonous and resemble more the actions of a third world banana republic coup. This is not “heroic”, it is a disgrace.

© 2018 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmclean@capitolhillcoffeehouse.com

Once A RINO, Always A RINO – McCain Sinks Obamacare Repeal

They say a leopard never changes his spots, and with that being a given, you could also say that a RINO never loses his horn...

John McCain has made a career out of cultivating an image of being a “maverick”, or at least that’s what the mainstream media likes to call him. To anyone on the right, he’s nothing more than an egotistical blowhard who loves being a thorn in the side of his own party.

Like his toady Lindsey Graham, McCain isn’t a conservative, a nationalist, a constitutionalist, an America Firster or any other related ideology. The fact is that John McCain is nothing more than a big spending, open borders liberal who has far more in common with the Democrats than he does the Republicans.

Like Lindsey Graham (or Grahamnesty, as I like to call him), McCain has never met an illegal alien he didn’t like, nor a trade agreement that would put American workers out of work that he wouldn’t support. He’s also never seen a war that he didn’t want to start with nations that pose no threat to our national security and whose internal politics were not germane to our vital interests.

John McCain is a neocon globalist. His open borders, globalism philosophy is the product of one whose interests are aligned with the US Chamber of Commerce, not middle class Americans. He is Wall Street, not Main Street. McCain only cares about getting more non-Americans into the country, and getting accolades from the MSM for supporting a “pathway to citizenship”, i.e. amnesty.

The thing that people like McCain never seem to learn is that

the favor they wish to curry from the MSM only happens when they are savaging those on the right, and when they are sabotaging their own party. Once McCain got the nomination in 2008 to run against Barack Obama for president, suddenly the press turned on him like wolves. Anyone who follows all of this knew that would happen. Yet, even after losing to Obama, McCain went back to his old ways and continued to skewer conservatives and conservative values with regularity.

So now here comes McCain once again to bask in the limelight. After being released from his recent stay in the hospital, McCain returns to Senate with much fanfare, as he gives the GOP the 50th vote (VP Pence then cast the tie-breaking 51st vote) to bring Obamacare back to the floor for debate. Then, just as quickly, McCain votes against his own party – again, and stops repeal of Obamacare. This was a bill that passed with zero Republican votes and repealing it was a major plank of the platform that helped elect Donald Trump as President, and helped maintain GOP majorities in both houses. But true to form, McCain sabotaged the effort.

As I said, RINOs never lose their horns, and John McCain is the biggest RINO of all.

What I find incomprehensible is that a state that elected conservative icon Barry Goldwater as their US Senator, continues to re-elect this reprehensible egomaniac.

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmac@capitolhilloutsider.com

Nancy-boy CNN Now Blackmailing Private Citizens Over Insults

So a private citizen “doctors up” a ten year old video of a scripted WWF event where Donald Trump takes down Vince McMahon. Instead of seeing McMahon’s face, we see a CNN logo superimposed over McMahon’s face, giving us an illusion of Trump taking down CNN.



Judging from the absolute hysteria emanating from the “mainstream media” – especially CNN – you would have thought that Trump actually did “take down” one of CNN’s reporters.


“Encouraging violence against the media” has been the mantra since the video’s release.

Really. Such hyperbolic caterwauling. Where was the uproar from the MSM after all of the multiple mock “assassinations” of President Trump that have been occurring on a nearly daily basis? My gosh, even Skakespeare in the Park has been turned into acts of violence against Trump.

Apparently it’s okay to incite violence against the president of the United States, but a *fake wrestling takedown of a network logo* somehow amounts to a huge threat against the first amendment. The MSM has of course exhibited huge double standards for years, but this is simply ludicrous.

So now here is CNN, so intimidated by a relatively benign video acting as if government agents have shut down their studios.

CNN has even gone so far as to track down the individual responsible for the video and [effectively blackmailed him](#) by threatening to expose and identify him personally if he didn't delete all of his postings and shut down all of his social media.



So who is actually the bully here? Who are the brownshirts effectively trying to shut down freedom of speech?

CNN is acting like a bunch of first-grade tattletales.

But this is exactly the sort of behavior we have come to expect from the Communist News Network.

They have been publishing any damn thing they wanted to – including a lot of demonstrably fake news. When people who see them for the leftwing propaganda machine that they are start calling them out – instead of threatening private citizens, CNN needs to put on their “big boy pants” and suck it up.

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmclean@capitolhillcoffeehouse.com

CNN Thinks You're “Stupid As

“Sh*t”

Oh, it just keeps getting better and better.

In the ongoing series of [James O’Keefe/Project Veritas videos](#) that have been exposing the extreme bias of CNN and their deliberate efforts to push fake news stories in their not-so-subtle efforts to take out President Trump, we now know that they believe that millions of everyday, working Americans are stupid...

In fact, they think that American voters are “stupid as sh*t”, at least according to one Jimmy Carr, who apparently works on CNN’s morning show, *New Day*.

Carr is a CNN producer who was caught on the video saying (about Donald Trump) that “on the inside, we all recognize he is a clown, that he is hilariously unqualified for this, he’s really bad at this, and that he does not have America’s best interests ... We recognize he’s just f**king crazy”.

He confirmed that this is the prevailing attitude of those who work for the network by saying, “90% of us are on board with just the fact that he’s crazy.”

CNN has been stepping on their own appendages so often in the last month that they have become the laughing stock of media. Between their forced retractions of fake stories and firings of editors, the Kathy Griffin “beheading”, their spinning of the Johnny Depp “time for an actor to kill a president again” remark into this being somehow the fault of Mr. Trump...now comes O’Keefe’s undercover videos.

The videos reveal that CNN’s president Jeff Zucker directed his reporters to keep reporting on “Russia”. For nearly a year, the MSM – especially CNN – have kept the Russia/Trump conspiracy theory front and center at the expense of far more significant news. This despite the fact that *no less than nine*

separate investigations have revealed – to this date – **nothing, nada, zip, zero** evidence of any actual “collusion” between Trump, his campaign workers or his transition team and Russia. The second video released by O’Keefe has CNN’s Van Jones evidently calling the Russia story a “big nothing burger”.

In short, they are pushing a narrative front and center that has no substance, for only two reasons – ratings – and to continue to attack a president they neither like – nor can comprehend how it is that he won, over their favored candidate.

Here’s the problem for CNN – and it was revealed inadvertently by the hapless Jimmy Carr when he said that “90%” (of CNN employees) “were on board”. These people are living in a bubble. Very much like clueless celebrities from Hollyweird, they have no connection to normal, everyday people who largely supported Trump. Because they exist in this bubble, they have no contact with people who live in the real world. Those folks who live in “flyover” country are incomprehensible and irrelevant to them. It’s like middle class Americans don’t exist to these “geniuses”.

And that’s another problem – these elites in the media and the entertainment industry have vastly overrated their mental capacities. They presume to lecture everyday Americans who work to pay their bills, because if they see them at all, it’s only in the context that they present an obstacle to their socialist-utopian vision of a globalist, borderless society. As long as they are wealthy and can live in gated communities, they simply cannot relate to the problems that exist for most folks that are having to deal directly everyday with the problems that the elites’ “utopia” creates for everyone else.

Donald Trump won large swaths of these people because he both saw – and articulated what everyday Americans know and see.

CNN smugly calls millions of Americans “stupid as sh*t”, and then can’t believe or understand it when millions of American react by detesting them.

The fact is that when they continually attack Donald Trump with deliberate distortions and out and out lies, Trump supporters will not see this merely as an attack on Trump – they see it as an attack on themselves, their values...indeed the very country in which they grew up.

As long as CNN continues to remain in their bubble, and continues to push their propoganda at all costs, they will indeed – never get it.

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Chip McLean: chipmclean@capitolhillcoffeehouse.com

White Man Posts Picture Holding Bloodied, Decapitated Obama Head

No, the event described in the title did not actually happen.

What if it actually had happened?



Unless you live in a cave with no internet access, you've seen the gruesome picture posted by a lame entertainer named Kathy Griffin. The picture, if somehow you missed it, depicted Griffin holding an image of President Trump's head that appeared bloodied and decapitated

ISIS style.

Griffin, who seemed to have alluded to the fact that there would be some heavy backlash to her "creation" by joking she would have to move to Mexico at the time she posted her "artwork", has since issued an apology. Given her history, I would be more than a little suspect regarding her sincerity.

Griffin has co-hosted New Year's Eve events for CNN, but even CNN has been forced to walk back their support for her, although they have not gone so far as to say she is through.

Some leftists even went so far as to support her.

I would ask them what they would say to eleven year old Barron Trump, the President's son, who reportedly saw the image on television:

[From TMZ.com:](#)

Trump family sources tell us Barron was in front of the TV watching a show when the news came on and he saw the bloody, beheaded image. We're told he panicked and screamed, "Mommy, Mommy!"

As it was put to us, "He's 11. He doesn't know who Kathy Griffin is and the head she was holding resembled his dad."

President Trump blasted Griffin for posing with the head, saying, Barron was having a hard time dealing with it.

One also has to wonder what sort of sick mind would put together such a repugnant image – one that at the very least implies that it's okay to advocate violence against the president. These are the types of sick things that inspire the appalling violence from the “tolerant” left, as witnessed post-election, and routinely on college campuses.

It would seem the entire nasty left-wing and the MSM (sorry for being redundant) who are in the violent throes of “Trump Derangement Syndrome” simply cannot accept election results and would rather continually attack this president – and by extension, all those who voted for him – rather than accept the fact that Donald Trump is our president.

Between loony tunes like Maxine Waters calling for “impeachment”, before Trump had even taken office, to the Obama holdover leakers, to the MSM reporters who no longer report and strictly give opinions and spread innuendos based on “anonymous sources” – we are seeing the most monumental effort to take out a president in the history of this country.

Now back to the original title...

Suppose that a couple of years ago, a white man – especially a “conservative” one had posted a photoshopped image of himself holding a decapitated, bloodied likeness of then President Obama's head...what do you suppose would be the reaction?

With Griffin, there were a few obligatory “tut-tuts” and “this went too far” from some liberal media personalities. In the hypothetical case of an Obama head, said white man would not be slammed simply as “racist” and his actions “deplorable” – there would be literal calls for his head. The left would be absolutely apoplectic. Calls would come from “responsible” journalists for this man to be arrested for threatening the president. Celebrities such as Cher and Rosie O'Donnell would

be actively encouraging mob rule.

Will Kathy Griffin receive that sort of treatment?

What do you think?

♠ Late edit: TMZ [now reports](#) a Secret Service investigation will be opened into the incident.

♠ [CNN has announced](#) they are terminating Griffin's New Year's Eve deal with them.

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

“Americans Last” Congress Critters

One of the key issues that caused Donald Trump's astonishing rise to the top and his ultimate victory in the presidential election was putting the American worker first again.

For far too long, everyday, working Americans have gotten the shaft from a government beholden to large corporate money and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

The establishment politician/corporate axis has for years promulgated the total lie that allowing illegal aliens to pour into our nation was imperative because they would “do the jobs that Americans won't do”.

They have been repeating this same utter BS for years, Orwell style, believing that if you repeat it loudly enough, and often enough, that people will accept it as truth.

This axis encourages third-worlders to come here because they will work for substandard wages, in the process depressing wages so that Americans can't afford to work those jobs.

I'm all for the free market, but having a free market also means that the rules are followed to ensure that it is a fair market as well. To employ what basically amounts to slave labor so that you can pad your bottom line is not traditional American capitalism – it is crony capitalism willing to bend or break any rule at the expense of American citizens.

President Trump was elected because of an expressed America First policy. Now that we are past the 100 day mark of his presidency, we have little to show for American worker advancement.

The swamp is clearly still in charge as Paul Ryan's budget includes a call to import at least 20,000 blue collar workers from other countries under the H-2B visa program. Simply put, that means 20,000 more Americans who will be cut out again from needed jobs because people like Paul Ryan don't care a whit about them – pleasing the U.S. Chamber with more cut-rate foreign workers is a much greater priority for Mr. Ryan.

Now comes word that Wisconsin Senator Ron Johnson, along with Colorado congressman Ken Buck are working to replace as many as 500,000 Americans per year with [more cheap foreign labor](#).

Their reasoning? Too many Americans have dropped out of the job market, particularly from “drug addiction”.

It is true that drug use among working class Americans has increased sharply in recent years, but can people like Johnson not connect the dots that the primary cause is economy driven, *i.e.* Americans are being replaced by the millions with the cheap foreign labor that that he wishes to increase even further?

The sense of helplessness and hopelessness that has driven

many into opioid dependence also drove millions in previously blue states to the polls to vote for Donald Trump, because they did want a reason to hope...*and to work again.*

The rust belt states have been hit particularly hard with the open borders/bad trade policies of our federal government for the last number of years. These voters saw the Trump campaign as an oasis in the middle of a sea of scorn from the inside the beltway elites.

This lousy bill, called the "[State Sponsored Visa Pilot Program of 2017](#)", deserves the scorn of all Americans fed up with this continued tone deafness from our elected "leaders".

We already see a proposed budget that provides no funds for the promised border wall (among many other deficiencies), and now with this bill, the coup de grace – it's a total middle finger being extended from these establishment hacks.

This bill needs to go down in flames...

Senator Johnson needs to hear from millions of Americans, that we didn't elect Donald Trump as president and give control of both houses of congress to the Republican Party, so that they could sell out the American people yet again.

Senator Johnson's DC office phone number is:

202-224-5323

Remind Mr. Johnson's office that the primary reason so many Americans have left the work force isn't due to drug addiction, it is because his policies have made it more attractive to draw "gubmit" checks, EBT cards and other benefits than it is to work for the slave labor wages that those same policies helped create.

Get in touch with your own congress critters as well and let them know just exactly what a steaming pile of horse manure

this bill represents.

The establishment needs to know that this continued Americans Last approach is totally unacceptable.

The time to make them hear is now, whether it's this bill, the wall or any of the other America First policies we voted for this past November.

The swamp is deep and the President himself needs to be reminded by the people who put him in office that he needs to put on his hip boots daily and fight this battle – until it is won.

© 2017 Chip MaLean – All Rights Reserved

Chuck Schumer Has No One to Blame But Himself

As expected, Mitch McConnell and the the GOP controlled senate have pulled the trigger and gone “nuclear” concerning the nomination of Neil Gorsuch to the US Supreme Court.

For days, Schumer and other Democrats, aided by their parrots in the MSM have been labeling Gorsuch as “extreme”, and with only four Dem exceptions would have filibustered the confirmation.

“Extreme”. “Out of the mainstream.” Those words were repeated by the Dems and their media cohorts ever since Gorsuch was revealed to be Trump’s choice to replace Antonin Scalia.

Gorsuch may be many things, but “extreme” appears to be far from accurate.

Many of the same Dems who are naysayers now, voted in favor of Gorsuch in 2006's appointment to the 10th circuit court. That vote was a unanimous voice vote (including Chuck Schumer).

So why have the Dems been playing the obstructionist game now?

The main reason is because the GOP wouldn't allow a vote on Merrick Garland who was nominated by Obama to replace Scalia after his untimely death during Obama's last year in office.

The Dems have been screeching "unfair" ever since.

They even went so far as to claim that not allowing a vote on Garland was "unprecedented". That too, is not accurate.

The same Chuck Schumer who is so self-righteously shaking his fist now because the GOP would not allow Obama to replace Scalia – a strict constitutional constructionist – with someone who wasn't, seems to have forgotten his remarks regarding George W. Bush in 2007 and potential Supreme Court picks. Back then, [Schumer said](#):

"We should not confirm any Bush nominee to the Supreme Court, except in extraordinary circumstances," Schumer said in a speech to the Liberal American Constitution Society. "They must prove by actions, not words, that they are in the mainstream rather than we have to prove that they are not."

It is not the only time that Democrats went out of their way to say a Republican shouldn't be able to pick a nominee in their final year (it happened with George H. W. Bush as well).

It seems to me that this selective amnesia by Schumer and other Dems is going to be their undoing.

Neil Gorsuch has all the necessary qualifications to be on the court. He even has a unanimous "well-qualified" rating from the American Bar Association – not exactly a bastion of conservatives or Republicans.

No, this was strictly all about political grandstanding, and to oppose Donald Trump at all costs.

The sad fact is, we're not likely getting another Scalia with the appointment of Gorsuch. Columnist Kelleigh Nelson has written a couple of excellent pieces ([here](#) and [here](#)) that raise serious questions about how pro-life he is, or for that matter how committed he is to constitutional original intent. He seems to place a very high value on legal precedent, which could mean that he is very unlikely to overrule Roe V. Wade.

Gorsuch will probably at best, be another Justice Roberts – we can only pray he is not another David Souter.

The plain simple fact is that if Gorsuch was so unacceptable to Dems that they would go so far as to filibuster his confirmation, there is absolutely no potential Trump or Republican nominee out there that they would not attempt to block.

The GOP has done the only thing they can by changing the rules that allow a vote to be taken, and that is to change the rules back to what they were before 2003.

Yes, that's right. Until 2003, it only took 51 votes to move a nominee's confirmation to a final up or down simple majority vote.

You know who changed those rules? Yep, the Dems.

In addition to their caterwauling about how the GOP blocked Garland's nomination "without cause" (even though, they started that), they have even resorted to calling the Senate rules change "unconstitutional". First of all, most Dems wouldn't know the constitution if it jumped up and bit them on their collective derriers; secondly, there is nothing in the constitution that pertains to Senate procedural votes. That is left up to the Senate which can change them as they see fit.

The upshot of all of this is that Schumer played a loser hand – one that is the equivalent of political *hari kari*.

Schumer and the Dems, for blocking an eminently qualified individual will from this day forward, be seen as true obstructionists.

Worse for the Dems, this was something of a tit for tat, as this was a Republican nominee replacing a previous Republican nominee. (Forget the fact that Gorsuch is no Scalia). For future vacancies, the rule change could well mean another two to three Trump nominees who will be replacing liberal, Democrat appointed justices.

The Dems filibuster was a classic case of cutting off one's nose to spite one's face.

What were you thinking of Chuck?

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

The Syria Strike: What Happened To America First?

What “vital national interests” are we protecting in Syria?

Donald Trump won the presidency based on a number of issues, but it could all be summed up in two words – America First.

One of the tenets of an America First policy would be to stay out of foreign entanglements that have no bearing on our national security. During the campaign, Mr. Trump emphasized his support of such policy, in fact specifically stating that out adventurism in Iraq was a huge blunder and that we should

not make the same mistake with respect to Syria.

Now, as the president, Trump gave the go ahead to strike a Syrian air base with 59 Tomahawk missiles.

The attack was prompted by a reported chemical weapons attack by Syria's president Assad on his own people, including women and children. It was a cowardly, horrific attack on innocents. It's also not the first time Assad has done this.

As horrific as those attacks were, was the United States attacked? In fact, what "vital interests" do we have in Syria?

We've been down this road before...have we learned nothing from recent history regarding Middle Eastern dictators?

Saddam Hussein used chemical weapons on the Kurds within his own country. Like Assad, he was a terrible person – a brutal thug dictator, but what did it gain us to take him out of power? Our orchestrated "regime change" gave us a very divided Iraq that is now ruled by an anti-west Islamic government. In addition, the vacuum created by our invasion and subsequent withdrawal from the region led to the creation of ISIS. In the Middle East, a brutal thug dictator seems to be what is needed to keep the Muslim crazies in check.

Do we really want to go over that slippery slope again in an effort to affect regime change? Especially considering that Bashar al-Assad is an ally of Russia?

Make no mistake about it – this is very dangerous and has the potential to ignite a war that no sane person wants.

The usual neo-con suspects are very onboard with this. John McCain and Lindsey Graham aren't even trying to conceal their glee at the prospect of war with Syria.

Why is President Trump doing a total 180 on this? Is it because of misguided emotions or bad advice from the wrong people?

Is it because by bombing Syria, it will also make him look tough on Russia, and thereby “prove” there was no collusion as the left wing loons keep insisting?

Whatever Trump’s rationale, it is certainly not the mark of an America First policy.

The President should take a step back in this case before proceeding into even more dangerous waters.

I suspect that I will take some flak from some readers for siding against the President on this. Please remember though that I was an early supporter of Donald Trump and still believe that as long as he does everything he can to implement the policies on which he campaigned, he will still be a great president.

I also ask you to consider this...if Barack Obama was the one bombing Syria, would you oppose the action; and if so, why would you then support the same action by a different president?

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

It Starts With Rice: What Did Obama Know And When Did He Know It?

Now that Susan Rice has been exposed as the Obama official who authorized the unmasking of Trump transition team members, it is time to find out what other Obama officials – including Obama himself – knew about this highly unethical, and possibly illegal action by the former national security adviser.



This is the same Susan Rice who told bald faced lies for days about the Benghazi attack that killed ambassador Chris Stevens and three others. Rice kept repeating the demonstrable lie that

the attack was prompted by a video.

This is the same Susan Rice who also claimed in 2014 that Army Sgt. Bowe Bergdahl “served the United States with honor and distinction” and that he “wasn’t simply a hostage; he was an American prisoner of war captured on the battlefield.” Bergdahl is currently awaiting court martial for desertion and other charges.

Rice claimed as recently as last month when questioned about the unmasking reports that she knew “nothing about this”. She might as well have been *Hogan’s Heroes*’ Sgt. Schultz saying “I see nothing, I know nothing”.

This is serious business. Americans who are caught up in incidental surveillance in foreign intelligence gatherings are not supposed to have their names revealed, unless this would be relevant to the actual foreign intelligence. That Susan Rice authorized this before and after the election leads to question of motive, and that motive could easily be to harm the Trump campaign and then later, undermine the Trump presidency.



It has now been revealed by former U.S. Attorney Joseph diGenova that [Rice ordered “detailed spreadsheets”](#) of Donald

Trump and his aides – *while he was running for president.*

It is difficult to imagine that Susan Rice authorized all of this this on her own.

The investigation into the Trump/Russian election tampering conspiracy appears to be just that – an unfounded conspiracy. If there was any “there” there, we would already know about it.

However, with Susan Rice, we do have a “there”, and it is very alarming that efforts were made to “unmask” the Trump team members without cause. Without a valid cause, one can only conclude the worst. Without valid cause, this would have been done for purely political reasons.

The tentacles of this could lead straight to Obama...

Stay tuned.

© 2017 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

George Soros funding efforts to lower voting age to help Democrats

By Chip McLean

Evidently engaging in sedition by paying for-hire rioters doesn't occupy enough of George Soros' time, so he stays engaged in a whole host of globalist “let's erase American sovereignty” activity. Now the [Washington Times is reporting](#) that Soros is funding groups who are pushing for

lowering the voting age to 17.

For a very long time, voting was restricted – wisely – to those who had attained the age of 21. We also restricted voting to those who were American citizens and who weren't taking dirt naps but Democrats and people like Soros have been finding all sorts of ways to get around those requirements as evidenced by the ever increasing voter fraud in Democrat controlled precincts...but I digress.

The voting age was lowered to 18 some years back as a response to the Viet Nam war era draft. While there may be some logic to “if you're old enough to die for your country, you're old enough to vote”, it also is a fact that we no longer have a draft – therefore the original impetus for lowering the voting age no longer exists.

The fact is that people don't generally mature until well into their 20s. The founders recognized this fact, hence the voting age minimum of 21. Eighteen is indeed a stretch, but here we have Soros and Democrats trying to lower the bar to 17.



Does anyone think that the average 17 year old has the maturity, wisdom or a command of basic civics and issues to be a responsible voter?

There are even some groups pushing for 16!

Obviously Soros and the Democrats are pushing for this to increase their share of the electorate, as the Dems have been losing on just about every front you can think of in terms of governorships, state and county legislatures, not to mention the U.S. presidency and both houses of congress.

The Democrats – who I like to refer to as the “Gimmedat” party

– appeal mainly to those who engage in identity politics, the ignorant and those who take much, but contribute nothing to society.

We've seen all of these "protests" designed not to exercise freedom of speech, but to trample the rights of others. There is no maturity or actual political discourse in what these miscreants are doing – heck, most of them don't even know what they are protesting, beyond what anti-American talking points they have been given in "big gubmit" indoctrination centers, otherwise known as "public education".

Burning and smashing things that don't belong to them and impeding the flow of traffic of those who actually work for a living is their modus operandi. They don't exactly make a compelling case for lowering the voting age.

In fact they more closely resemble a bunch of pre-schoolers throwing a tantrum after being told they can't have an expensive toy while in a public place.

Soros might as well push for lowering the voting age to three. He could then find plenty of "voters" willing to vote for the "Gimmedats". The only problem would be arranging transportation as they won't have driver licenses.

Of course, many Democrat voters don't have those now...they'll just need to double up on the number of buses and "community organizers".

© Chip McLean, 2017. All rights reserved.

The establishment's fake news Russian conspiracy

I would say that the establishment is having a full-blown panic attack concerning Donald Trump's impending presidency, but that would be insulting the rationality of those who suffer panic attacks.

The MSM, the Dems and the establishment Republicans all seem to be coalescing around the latest spectacular fake news narrative – that somehow the election was stolen from Hillary because Russian “hackers” won the election for Donald Trump.

The increasingly irrelevant New York Times ran a story where they reported that the CIA was claiming there was a “swell of evidence” that proved this was the case.

Buried in the article are the facts that there is no new information that supports this.

Also buried near the end of the article it is revealed that the FBI does not share in this opinion.

In addition, Reuters is reporting today that the Top U.S. spy agency has not embraced the CIA assessment on Russian hacking. According to their sources:

The CIA conclusion was a “judgment based on the fact that Russian entities hacked both Democrats and Republicans and only the Democratic information was leaked,” one of the three officials said on Monday.

“(It was) a thin reed upon which to base an analytical judgment,” the official added.

In addition, the exclusive Reuters report said:

In an angry letter sent to ODNI chief Clapper on Monday, House

Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes said he was “dismayed” that the top U.S. intelligence official had not informed the panel of the CIA’s analysis and the difference between its judgment and the FBI’s assessment.

So, not only is there no new evidence regarding Russian hacking since before the election plus the FBI does not concur with the CIA, the CIA also failed to report this rather glaring discrepancy to the House Intelligence Committee.

Despite this, the New York Times article, with its damning headline is heavily implying that this conspiracy is proven fact – their rather inconspicuous caveats notwithstanding.

Essentially the NYT is recycling the same conspiracy theories they were postulating during the campaign going back to at least July of this year.

Then there is the matter of CIA director John Brennan. Brennan has a long history of being an activist left-winger. WND’s Joseph Farah summarizes Brennan’s career in a point by point example of how this Obama political hack has been at odds with this country’s national security.

I would suggest you read Farah’s article for his list of Brennan’s “accomplishments”, but just briefly, Brennan voted for a communist for president in 1976. He also, when sworn in was done so, not on a Bible but on the US constitution – minus the Bill of Rights. Hmm...

But why this frenzy from the MSM, the Dems and RINO Republicans such as John McCain, Paul Ryan and Lindsey Graham?

The quick answer is that they are all terrified at the prospect of a Trump presidency – a presidency that will be challenging the status quo and shaking up the business as usual establishment-globalist-neocon cabal that wants nothing whatsoever to change.

All of their plots during the campaign to take out Trump

failed and Trump was elected fair and square.

After the election, there were George Soros funded riots in several cities in order to try to delegitimize Trump's election. It didn't work.

When that backfired and Trump's popularity increased, Soros funded Jill Stein with millions of dollars in failed recount efforts. Stein who barely got one percent of the vote had no chance, therefore no legal standing to challenge the results. She was simply being a proxy for Hillary Clinton in still another effort to overturn a legitimate election. The one state where they finished a recount was in Wisconsin, which actually netted Trump an additional 162 votes. So that also didn't work.

There are also the efforts to sway electors not to vote the will of their state's voters, which is why they have brought back the "Russia interfered in the election" narrative – again.

These folks are not only desperate, they're persistent. This has become a case of throwing everything against the wall, and hoping something sticks.

For this to work, they would have to peel off 37 electors committed to vote for Trump. They have one, but can they get anymore, much less 37?

It isn't very likely, but they will certainly keep trying – which means they will keep pushing this fake news narrative that there was "interference" in our election.

Well, there's some interference for sure, but we need not look to Moscow to find it.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

Gutless, cowardly mainstream media

The mainstream media – that same MSM that was so in the tank for Hillary – has proven again how blatantly one-sided their “coverage” of events has been.

The same MSM that skewed the polls by oversampling Democrats are also the same ones that consistently tried to tar middle class Americans by calling them “racists”, “bigots”, “xenophobes”, “misogynists” and so forth.

Even when they weren’t saying so or implying it themselves, they were constantly interviewing all the usual race-baiting suspects to call Donald Trump and his followers “Nazis”, “KKKers” and that Trump was “Hitler”.

They even let their “guests” say or imply that Trump supporters would be in favor of lynchings – without challenging these outrageous statements whatsoever.

Then there was Hillary Clinton herself, who referred to Trump supporters as a “basket of deplorables”. Let’s not forget that when violence broke out at some Trump rallies earlier this year, the MSM blamed Trump supporters when we all knew these were professional left-wing agitators.

This was proven to be absolutely the truth through the videos from Project Veritas. Due to the excellent, and courageous work of James O’Keefe’s organization, Democrat operatives with deep ties to Hillary Clinton and George Soros were exposed plotting to violently disrupt Trump rallies.

The MSM’s “journalists” never questioned this narrative that

Trump and his supporters were “haters” and “violent”.

It is obvious to all with a functioning brain that the truth is quite the opposite.

Now that Trump has been elected, we are witnessing thousands of “loving”, “peaceful” left-wingers wreaking havoc in cities across the nation. There’s been looting, burning, destruction of property and violence.

And now comes word of a violent incident in Chicago where a black mob was videoed assaulting an older white man – hitting and kicking him repeatedly, and dragging him through the streets. Their motive was that he was a “Trump” voter – whether he was or wasn’t isn’t known but it was their excuse to engage in senseless violence.

The video – which was posted on YouTube, has evidently been removed but other sources have it. (Warning, it is shocking.)

Now, let me posit this...

Suppose this had been a group of white people beating an older black man senseless, because he was a “Hillary voter” – what do you think the media would do?

Rhetorical, but I will answer it.

The alphabet networks would have shown this to the exclusion of all other news 24/7 for a month to “prove” their narrative that Trump voters are “racist” and “violent”.

So far, all we have heard from these gutless wonders at the networks are crickets chirping.

Ladies and gentlemen of the MSM – and I’m being very generous with those appellations – your selected candidate was rejected for two primary reasons... first was the fact she was the most corrupt politician in modern times. The second motivating factor was that millions of hard working, decent middle

Americans who simply don't like socialist, globalist policies – have become sick of your incessant, lying labels about who they are.

Americans not only do not trust you, they are coming to despise you for your pointless smears and your ridiculous double standards.

I've seen some of your "analysis" post-election of what went wrong for Hillary, and the more honest of you have (grudgingly) even admitted that it was partly a referendum on the press.

Millions of Americans finally said "enough" – and they went to the polls in droves.

What you in the MSM need to realize is that we will no longer sit silently while you attempt to label us. Your pet names for us no longer work – and even the independents have seen through your lies.

Your lack of coverage of this appalling incident on video of pure hatred speaks volumes – and it proves that regardless of whatever lip service you have given to become more balanced, you haven't learned a damn thing.

Rest assured, there are many in the alternative media like myself who will continue to point out your double standards and your hypocrisy.

Ronald Reagan was fond of saying (correctly), that government doesn't solve problems – it is the problem.

Now it appears that the same can be applied to MSM "journalists".

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

American pravda and the Department of Injustice

Eighteen and a half minutes of erased tape...the infamous action of Richard Nixon's secretary Rosemary Woods came to personify what was known as the Watergate scandal. Ultimately it was the cover up of the burglary at DNC headquarters that became the undoing of Nixon's presidency.

Fast forward to 2016 and we have a scandal that – among other things – involves over 33,000 emails – deleted deliberately after they were subpoenaed by Congress – that threatens to derail the candidacy of Hillary Clinton.

Like the Watergate scandal, the current investigations into Hillary Clinton are multi-faceted, with evidence that points to widespread corruption during her tenure as Secretary of State, and beyond. The most disturbing of these allegations involve the tentacles spreading from the State Department to the Clinton Foundation. They indicate influence peddling involving very large sums of money where wealthy, and very often foreign donors, were given special access to the State Department and rewarded with uranium, weapons and more.

During the days of the Watergate scandal, after Woodward and Bernstein broke the first of their reports on cover-ups, the press became zealous watchdogs for the public in getting to the bottom of the evident widespread corruption of the Nixon Administration. Ehrlichman, Haldeman, Mitchell, Dean, Colson and others became household names, even to those who normally didn't follow politics.

Today the "mainstream press" no longer functions as the independent watchdogs we used to believe they were. While

anyone who has taken the time to dig below the headlines and soundbites in later years would know that the MSM leans left, the level of their partisanship has gone from being merely a source of consternation to now being beyond appalling.

The MSM – in particular CNN – is now functioning as a propaganda arm for the Democrat Party and the Clinton campaign. Is it any wonder that CNN has earned the derisive nickname, “Clinton News Network”?

The multiple investigations into Hillary Clinton and her many associated scandals should be front and center in the media. Journalists used to investigate stories of this scope, and keep digging – regardless of where the facts took them. Today’s “journalists” instead simply yawn over the myriad allegations concerning Clinton pay for play, Benghazi and more; and instead sensationalize the trivial regarding Donald Trump. A perfect example is their round the clock tabloidish coverage of Trump saying crude off the cuff remarks on a videotape from eleven years ago.

While they have demonized Trump over his remarks, they say nothing regarding the foul language used by Hillary Clinton that has been well documented by many from the Secret Service, the military, previous staffers and others who have been unfortunate enough to be the target of her wrath. The MSM has also provided virtually zero coverage over the vulgar “performance” by rapper Jay Z at a recent Hillary rally. Of course there has been no condemnation from the MSM or Hillary over what one can only say was a horrific torrent of obscenities from the rapper that would have made a sailor blush.

Were it not for Wikileaks and alternative media, the public by and large would still be ignorant as to the scope of the corruption involving the Clintons.

The MSM has – at best – grudgingly carried some information

about the ongoing investigations. Even at that, their “reporters” are slanting the stories to make it appear that there is nothing to see here and that the FBI agents who forced director James Comey’s hands are doing this for purely political reasons.

The spin regarding Wikileaks from these same reporters is to parrot Hillary and Obama – and simply blame Russia for the hacks. It’s a painfully transparent effort to deflect the focus off of the content of the emails, and to turn this into a national security issue. Actually it is a national security issue but it is due to the carelessness of Hillary Clinton’s use of a private server that caused this, as the FBI now believes that it was hacked by at least five foreign entities.

As this is written, we don’t know who the “hackers” were that supplied the emails to Wikileaks, but Julian Assange has emphatically stated that the source is not the Russian government – despite Hillary’s repeated claims to the contrary. But that is typical of Hillary (and Obama) – inject a straw man into the argument. The true significance here is not the source – but what is contained in those emails. And what is in those emails has Hillary Clinton very worried.

The FBI’s investigations also have Obama worried as well. Loretta Lynch, Obama’s attorney general has tried to make the FBI stand down. This is the same Loretta Lynch that met Bill Clinton shortly before the Justice Department’s decision to not pursue charges against Hillary Clinton. Somehow, the two planes that Loretta Lynch and Bill Clinton were aboard just happened to be in proximity on the tarmac, and Mr. Clinton left the plane he was on in order to get on Lynch’s plane for a 39-minute meeting.

The notion the two put forth that they talked about “grandchildren” is beyond ludicrous. No one is going to go the trouble of getting off one plane to get on another plane to speak to the Attorney General about anything so innocuous as

“grandchildren”. Bill Clinton knows full well that it was beyond unethical to go have a meeting with Lynch under the circumstances, and as the AG, Lynch absolutely knows of the conflict of interest regarding this action during an ongoing investigation.

After this “innocent” little meeting, no indictments were handed down by the DOJ. Now with at least five FBI current investigations looking primarily into activities of the Clinton Foundation and members of the Clinton’s inner circle, Loretta Lynch is doing everything in her power to stop the FBI. Obama has also, with very little subtlety, signaled that he wants the investigations stopped.

The Department of Justice is supposed to following the law, not impeding the law. What we are witnessing is – not to put too fine a point on it – obstruction of justice.

The Clinton campaign and their minions are trying to claim that the FBI is attempting to influence the election. The reality is that Obama and Lynch are actually the ones trying to influence an election by suppressing a very important, relevant investigation. They don’t want the facts to come out as they will be damaging to Hillary Clinton’s campaign – otherwise known as “Obama’s third term”.

It is past time to appoint a special prosecutor – one who isn’t trying to protect a candidate.

The press – if they had any journalistic integrity whatsoever, would be calling for a special prosecutor as well. They are not, and will not.

Whether it’s the “Clinton News Network”, ABC, CBS, NBC, the New York Times or the Washington Post, they all (as evidenced by some of the Wikileaks released emails) are only going to carry out their marching orders from Hillary, Obama and fatcat globalist donors such as George Soros, who want no interruption of the march toward a borderless nation and

eventual one world government.

Anyone who will take time to look, knows that the MSM has even coordinated their reporting with the Hillary campaign, with Hillary being given articles about her in advance of publication for her approval, in effect making her the de facto editor for outlets such as Politico and more.

For those of you who still remember the old Soviet Union, you'll recall Pravda – which was the official newspaper of the Communist Party. Basically it served as the propaganda arm for the Kremlin, and presented only the official Soviet-communist version of events.

In today's USA, we are witnessing a globalist cabal of the Obama Administration, the Democrat Party – and a large number of establishment Republicans who are bought and paid for by wealthy donors who would like nothing more than to destroy what is left of a republic that was founded upon individual liberty and freedom, for their own interests.

The founders encoded freedom of the press in the first amendment to the US constitution in order to help ensure that our government would be held accountable to us. What passes for the press today, is nothing more than another branch of government – protecting those in power at the expense of its citizens.

We are seeing what amounts to American Pravda – and the so-called watchdogs of the press are slavishly doing the establishment's bidding. Their bias is now so evident that a very large majority of the public sees them as untrustworthy. The recent news that interim DNC chair Donna Brazile – and former CNN contributor – gave debate questions in advance to the Hillary Campaign, serves to reinforce the very true perception that the MSM in general, and CNN in particular are dishonest. CNN was forced to officially “fire” Brazile after the revelations, but the question still remains, who from CNN

provided the information in the first place.

There is only one candidate who has shown the courage to call the press out, and despite how much vile, one-sided coverage the MSM has presented during this campaign – Donald Trump has an excellent chance of winning this election.

Despite all the odds stacked against the movement that Trump's voice represents, despite all the smearing of millions of Americans as "racists" and "deplorables" by Hillary and Obama, despite the stonewalling by Loretta Lynch and the Department of "Injustice", the truth may finally yet win out.

This election is the most important ever in the history of this nation, and will determine if we will – as Benjamin Franklin presciently posited in 1787 – keep our republic.

I believe strongly that this is our last opportunity to preserve what was bought for us with the precious blood and sacrifice of our founders. The last chance to preserve our nation-state, our freedom of religion and speech, the right to bear arms, and to return that which is not the purview of the federal government back to the states – where it rightfully belongs.

If you're waiting for some "saint" without sin and say you won't vote for Trump because he has flaws, hear me clearly – this is not the time for a preacher, this is the time for an archangel who knows how to fight battles. Join with me and help restore our nation by voting for Donald Trump for President on Tuesday.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

Republicans eating their own

Well, there they go again

The GOP has an uncanny ability to snatch defeat from the jaws of victory.

In a race where a Republican presidential candidate has generated more excitement than any since Ronald Reagan, the establishment GOP has from the get-go tried to sabotage that candidate.

From the usual cabal of neocon pundits (Bill Kristol, etc), to Paul Ryan and Ted Cruz delaying their endorsements of Donald Trump it would seem that the entire establishment has been doing everything they can to prevent the choice of the grass roots, middle class and independents from becoming the next president. And that's not counting the opposition from the Democrat establishment and their minions in the mainstream press.

The only candidate that represents America's last chance to reclaim its former greatness as a constitutional republic is being opposed by everyone except the millions who are part of the greatest grass roots movement in over 35 years.

Hillary Clinton is probably the single most corrupt politician to ever be nominated by a major party for president. The Clinton "rap sheet" is legion and my purpose is not to go through the myriad of scandals that should automatically disqualify her from being city dog catcher, much less the leader of the free world.

No, my point is that the GOPe is doing what they always do when the MSM trashes a Republican – they join in the chorus like a pack of deranged howler monkeys.

People like Senator Mike Lee withdrew their support of Donald Trump while all the usual RINO suspects (Mitt Romney, Jeb Bush and others) immediately on cue started piling on after the

released 2005 video of Trump making some rather lewd remarks.

The Republicans have a way – unlike the Democrats – of eating their own.

When Democrats get into some sort of tiff, they immediately circle the wagons and counter-attack. Can anyone forget how they did so, quite effectively, during the impeachment of Bill Clinton?

And what is this Trump dustup about, anyway? It's about a man engaging in locker room style talk with the guys about an attractive woman.

This is news?

Here is the real news. This was an orchestrated hit piece by the Washington Post. Jeff Bezos has made no secret of the fact that he wanted to take Trump out of this election in order to install his favorite socialist Hillary Clinton.

The establishment of both parties is in panic mode because no matter what they have thrown at Donald Trump, he keeps staying even in the polls. With the Wikileaks dump of Hillary campaign manager John Podesta's emails on a debate weekend, Bezos pulled out his "magic" video, in order to distract voters from issues that actually matter to the voters, including the utter criminality of their selected candidate.

The truly amazing aspect to all of this is how utterly hypocritical Bezos, the Washington Post and the rest of the MSM are when it comes to the "war on women".

Bill Clinton has done far, far worse through his actual acts, than Trump (or millions of other men, for that matter) have said.

Mrs. Clinton's husband was impeached for lying about sex, has been accused of rape, groping, harassing and more by a multitude of women – who in return for their attempts at

justice, were utterly besmirched and smeared by Hillary Clinton – the same Hillary Clinton who said that all rape victims deserved to be heard.

The audacity of Hillary and her sycophantic press is astounding. It's a double standard that no one in their right mind would countenance.

While all of this hypocrisy from the left is shocking, it actually isn't surprising. They play to win, no matter how much propagandistic swill it takes to accomplish the goal.

The only thing more disgusting are the spineless RINOs who always seek favor with the press by joining in the carnivorous assault on the one candidate who actually speaks for the millions of forgotten Americans that the GOPe purports to represent.

So when you keep hearing about how “awful” Trump is because he “said bad things about women”, remember that Bill Clinton did bad things to women – and the woman who wants to be our president helped him get away with it.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

Hillary believes the presidency is owed to her

“Why aren't I up 50 points,” exclaimed an angry Hillary Clinton. The bizarre outburst came this week after nearly all polls showed the race to be basically even, with some swinging slightly in favor of Donald Trump.

The Hildebeast has reverted to total shrill mode – a shrewish

side of her that former Clinton staffers have alluded to on numerous occasions but is almost universally ignored by the sycophantic MSM.

Hillary is angry because she believes it's "her turn" to be president but Donald Trump and his supporters keep getting in her way. Of course, she also believed that it was "her turn" in 2008, when (in her view), she was robbed after Democrats decided that the "black card" – pardon the expression – "trumped" the "woman card".

So Hillary instead became the most horrific Secretary of State in the nation's history...

During her tenure, she compromised our national security with her illegal private email server. That would of course be the same server on which the emails were subsequently deleted with BleachBit – right after those emails were subpoenaed. FBI Director James Comey, in choosing not to charge her with a crime, was nonetheless forced to admit that almost anyone else would have been prosecuted for the sort of gross mishandling of classified material that occurred under Hillary Clinton.

Below is a great video that demonstrates the vast difference between what Hillary Clinton claimed, and what the FBI investigation actually found.

But as we all know, the Clintons have always viewed rules as being for "other people".

Then of course there is the Benghazi scandal itself. Hillary's meddling in Libya not only left diplomat Chris Stevens and three others dead, but it also paved the way for radical Islamists (a term she steadfastly – like Obama – refuses to use) to take over the region.

As Yogi Berra would have said, "it's déjà vu all over again." Didn't we learn from our experience in Iraq that taking out dictators always leaves a vacuum – something that nature

abhors? In the Middle East, that vacuum always seems to be filled by charming, peaceful, humanitarian organizations such as ISIS.

Hillary also favors open borders along with a 550% increase in Syrian refugees on top of what Obama has already imported into our country. Everyone knows that these “refugees” are virtually impossible to vet. In fact State Department spokesman John Kirby admitted just this week that Islamic State terrorists are trying to mingle with refugee populations overseas in the hopes of making it to the U.S. posing as refugees. Hillary and Obama’s policies have made the entire world – including America – far less safe from terrorism.

We also know that Hillary used her office as a conduit for the money laundering, supposedly “charitable” Clinton Foundation to enrich Bill, Chelsea and herself. Perhaps Bill Clinton would try to parse the definition of “charity”, but what sort of “charity” only sees 6% of the contributions going to bona-fide recipients? Even notoriously inefficient government agencies have a far better track record of benefitting those in need.

Of course, I suppose rich foreign interests have “needs” as well – important things like obtaining the rights to nearly a quarter of our uranium is certainly a great Russian “need”. The Clinton Foundation has certainly assisted many such “donors” in “need”, thanks to much help from Hillary gal pal Huma Abedin. Huma’s “job” evidently consisted of arranging meetings between said “donors” and the then Secretary of State.

In fact, over half of Mrs. Clinton’s “meetings” with non-government personnel were with Clinton Family donors. Perhaps she was discussing renting out the Lincoln Bedroom if she’s elected, just like in the good ‘ol days when hubby Slick Willie was in charge of the White House.

Hillary's obvious health issues represent just the latest in a forty year series of lies and cover-ups on the part of the Clintons. The Hillary campaign has been less than forthcoming on this, despite the fact that a number of respected medical practitioners have raised concerns. Hillary has tried to simply make this go away by snidely dismissing all questions about her health. But then, obfuscation has always been a part of the Clinton modus operandi, whether it's about Whitewater, Filegate, Travelgate, Chinagate, cattle futures, Vince Foster, missing Rose Law Firm records, not to mention what the meaning of "is", is.

In addition, there's the "war on women" where Hillary sends out the attack dogs to besmirch and threaten all of the women that Bill has harassed (or worse). This fine, family tradition has carried straight on through the twenty first century.

It's a Clinton thing, lying. The Clintons would rather tell a lie than the truth even if the truth would benefit them. They just can't seem to help it – it's pathological. The Clintons have spent many years perfecting the art of lying. The problem for Hillary is that although she lies just as much as Bill, she is nowhere near as convincing. The chief reason is that she possesses all the charisma of a rotted tree stump.

And therein lays her problem – Hillary Rodham Clinton is not only one of the most corrupt politicians in American history, she is perhaps also the most singularly unlikable one as well. When she's not busy referring to half the country as being a "basket of deplorables", she's using terrorism to once again push for more gun control. Disarming law-abiding American citizens in the face of the increased danger from Islamic terrorists is not only antithetical to the second amendment, it also flies in the face of logic. The fact is she seems to go out of her way to insult the intelligence of everyday, working Americans. She seems to have a special antipathy for coal miners.

So Hillary, believing once again that it is “her turn”, indignantly poses the question, “Why aren’t I up 50 points?”. What is sad that she actually believes she is entitled to a 50 point lead in the polls – that somehow the voters owe her. What she is truly owed is a 50 year stretch in prison.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

The end of the wicked witch of the left?

Could the death of the Hillary Clinton campaign be looming?

Even the left-wing MSM seems to be sensing that events could be moving to a cataclysmic end for Hillary’s presidential aspirations.

Every day (sometimes hourly), there are new revelations about missing, deleted emails that are being recovered; more and more evidence that points to Hillary’s deteriorating health; previous scandals that have gained new traction; more to come from WikiLeaks...but the thing that is truly proving to be the albatross hanging around Hillary’s neck is the Clinton Foundation scandal.

By now it should be patently obvious that this so-called charity is nothing more than a money laundering scheme where political influence and favors are sold to the highest bidder. The millions that have poured into the Clinton Foundation from corporations – and even worse – foreign interests, have been influencing government policy.

It is especially egregious that these activities occurred

while Hillary was Secretary of State. While supposedly her ethics agreement precluded Hillary from conducting such activities, other State Department employees – including Gal Huma Abedin were busy arranging access to Hillary by foreign mega-donors to the Clinton Foundation. The left-leaning website Politico broke this story about Abedin brokering a meeting with Crown Prince Salman of Bahrain.

The New York Times ran a story over the weekend detailing the problems facing Hillary regarding conflicts of interest due to multi-million dollar donors to the “charity” being from Saudi Arabia – as well as other foreign nations.

Donald Trump and Mike Pence have naturally, both called for the Clinton Foundation to be shut down. What is surprising is that they are being joined by others from the left. Even the notoriously left-wing “Huffington-Puffington” Post has called for an end to the Clinton Foundation.

You know it’s getting bad when even Barack Obama has begged off an appearance at the final meeting of the Clinton Global Initiative (an entity that is shutting down, but was operated under the auspices of the Clinton Foundation). Obama claims this is due to a “a function of schedule”, and not because of the current controversy involving the Clinton Foundation. Sure...

The reality is that Hillary Clinton couldn’t be trusted to keep her “charity” separate from her duties to the State Department – so why would anyone trust her to do so as President?

The Clintons have more skeletons than a New Orleans cemetery, but it is the Clinton Foundation money laundering, influence peddling scheme that has made her so toxic that a number of her fellow travelers on the left are cutting her a wide berth.

This could ultimately be the house that squashed the wicked witch of the left.

Rigged game – making a mockery of Independence Day

The announcement came July 5th. The FBI is recommending no charges to be filed against Hillary Clinton in the email scandal.

This is shocking. It's also not surprising.

Donald Trump has been echoing what many of us have been saying for some time now about our government and justice department – it's rigged.

What makes this so especially egregious in the Clinton case is that the FBI made the announcement the very day after Independence Day...A day when we celebrate the founding of our nation and the constitutional principles it was predicated upon.

Surely Jefferson, Madison, Franklin, Adams, Washington et al are spinning in their graves.

Our founders deserved better than this. They risked their lives along with many others in order to found a nation upon which liberty and justice would reign supreme. Blood was shed and thousands of lives were lost in the revolution – and later wars – in order to preserve our unique constitutional republic.

Now after eight years of a closet communist community organizer's "fundamental transformation" of what was once a great nation, we have been reduced to the level of cronyism

one would find in a third world banana republic – except that would be to insult the integrity of banana republics.

The transformation into a politically correct, multicultural cesspool is nearly complete – along with a multi-tentacled “big gubmit” behemoth that is ready, willing and able to squash all dissent under the force of what it calls “law”.

The law of the founders would have easily landed Hillary Clinton behind bars many years ago for any one of the numerous scandals and shady dealings of the Clinton Crime Family.

The “law” today seemingly exists to stifle free speech, religion and the middle class. This “law” applies only to the opposition and “the little people”. The Clintons have always considered themselves to be above the law, and somehow they always seem to avoid any consequences for deeds that would involve incarceration for an average American.

Hillary’s illegal email server was designed to keep prying eyes off of the disreputable financial goings on of the Clinton Foundation. Millions of dollars from foreign interests have passed through the foundation that were apparently used to gain favor and access to our government. In addition to concealing what many say amounts to a money laundering racket, our nation’s security has been severely compromised.

And after all of that – from FBI James Comey: “No recommended charges”.

This coming the day after Independence Day...and “coincidentally” a few scant days after Bill Clinton delayed a plane for 25 minutes in order to speak to Loretta Lynch.

Lynch and Slick Willie say they didn’t talk about Hillary’s email case – they talked about “grandchildren”. Right...Bill stopped a flight to talk to Lynch about the grandkids.

That’s their version...here’s my version:

Bill tells Lynch that if she drops the whole matter, when Hillary is elected Lynch will remain as AG, or maybe even become Secretary of State. Lynch passes the word to FBI director Comey along with assurances he'll be able to remain as well. All the while Obama – who needs Hillary to win in order to preserve his tattered “legacy” (Trump would rip it asunder) – nods with approval over all the quid pro quos.

At this point, anyone with at least a room temperature IQ knows the fix is in.

There is only one way to reclaim this republic. Donald Trump must continue to press on about how crooked that “Crooked Hillary” really is. He must do this to the point that enough of the normally apathetic electorate wakes up and goes to the polls in record numbers like they did in the primaries.

Understand that the opinion polls are rigged as well. There are far more Trump supporters than are counted by the MSM polling methodologies. What we the people must do is show up for the one poll that counts.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved

Chip McLean Archive 2006 – 2015

- [Obama’s Recipe for Disaster](#), 11-27-15
- [Another Tragedy for Obama to Exploit](#), 10-5-15
- [America’s Toxic Brew: “Diversity”](#), 9-8-15
- [The Third World Has Arrived](#), 7-22-14
- [A Road Paved With “Good Intentions”](#), 7-10-13
- [Time to Secede From the GOP](#), 3-23-12

- [When Only Tyrants Have Guns](#), 1-12-13
- [You™re a Good Man Charlie Brown](#), 12-11-12
- [We Have Met the Enemy, and He is Us!](#), 11-14-12
- [Cleansing Time in D.C.](#), 11-2-12
- [The Phoenix Rises](#) 11-10-10
- [And Out of the Ashes, the Phoenix Shall Rise](#) 11-10-08
- [Change? Looks Like More of the Same](#) 7-20-08
- [What Do Republicans Really Want?](#) 1-6-08
- [In Defense of Rush Limbaugh](#) 10-7-07
- [Iraq and the Conservative Divide](#) 9-2-07
- [Meet Carlos X. Carrillo, the Perfect NAU Border Patrol Chief](#) 8-27-07
- [A Republic, If We Can Keep It](#) 7-4-07
- [President "Do Wrong"](#) 6-1-07
- [Ignoring the Invasion](#) 5-6-07
- [An "Alien" Idea](#) 3-2-07
- [Andrew McCarthy Misses the Mark](#) 2-14-07
- ["Snow-jobs" at the White House](#) 1-31-07
- [Bordering on Insanity](#) 1-20-06
- [Mr. Bush Falls Through the Looking Glass](#) 1-10-07
- [The Third World Cometh...and Soon](#) 12-15-06
- [Rush Limbaugh: A Day Late & a Dollar Short](#) 11-11-06
- [The Not-so-funny Senator Kerry](#) 11-3-06
- [Border Fences for Dummies](#) 10-28-06
- [USDA Approved: Illegal Immigrants](#) 10-21-06