

# Trump's Paris Climate Announcement: You've Been Played Again

On Friday, June 1st, 2017, Trump announced that he would pull the United States out of the Paris Climate Agreement. Well, sort of. As it turns out, the announcement is anything but a victory for freedom-loving patriots. As media coverage went wild about Trump's decision to revoke U.S. participation in the accord, the result of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) conference held in France in December 2015, the left predictably excoriated Trump, while the right were about ready to anoint him king. Both sides got it wrong.

Trump's announcement is anything but a defeat for leftist / environmentalist extremist scam artists who stand to make a fortune off of carbon emission caps by taxing people for their use of the air. Nor is it a win for the patriotic Americans, who care about their individual liberty.

First, it is necessary to point out that Trump did not and could not pull us out of the Paris Climate Accord, because as a nation, we were never actually in it. Treaties have to be ratified by the U.S. Senate. Since this one wasn't, "pulling us out" amounts to nothing more than a symbolic political move.

Secondly, for those celebrating the President's courageous, moral fortitude to do the right thing for our country and its people, perhaps you should listen more carefully to what the president actually said. Quoting from the speech:

*"In order to fulfill my solemn duty to protect America and its citizens, the United States will withdraw from the Paris Climate Accord but begin negotiations to reenter either the*

*Paris accord or an entirely new transaction on terms that are fair to the United States, its businesses, its workers, its people, its taxpayers. So we're getting out, but we'll start to negotiate, and we'll see if we can make a deal that's fair."*

We're getting out, but we're going to negotiate our way back in? That doesn't sound very encouraging. The reality is that Trump is bowing to the global warming propagandists, Establishment fake news media, and the bogus "consensus" of anthropogenic greenhouse warming. The notion that mankind is damaging the environment by emitting too much CO<sub>2</sub> is [based upon nothing more than fraud](#) and a massive media propaganda campaign. The only "deal" that would be "fair", is no deal at all! Giving any ground in this arena is legitimizing a fraud upon the American people and is a tyrannical and grevous injustice to the People – especially those who trusted Trump to do the right thing. But you wouldn't know that by listening most talk show hosts who are turning more flips than a Barnum & Bailey circus acrobat. I wonder how many of them actually read the agreement.

Article 6 of the [Paris climate agreement](#) says,

*"Parties recognize that some Parties choose to pursue voluntary cooperation in the implementation of their nationally determined contributions to allow for higher ambition in their mitigation and adaptation actions and to promote sustainable development and environmental integrity."*

This is a clause that Obama cleverly pushed to get added to the agreement, so local governments could participate on a "voluntary" basis. The problem, of course, is that if your city, town, or county government volunteers, then your participation then becomes compulsory. This language is a way of bypassing Congress, and bypassing the office of the president. So it doesn't really matter what Trump does. He can

say he's pulling the U.S. out of the agreement, but when cities, towns, and counties all across the country volunteer into the agreement, it will bind most of the U.S. population, despite what Trump says or does. The only thing that Trump could do about this is to attempt to starve such cities of federal funds who participate in the implementation of the agreement on a voluntary basis. This approach would require a genuine commitment at killing the whole carbon trading regime. Listening to what he actually said in his speech, this writer sees little evidence of that commitment.

Real science isn't formed by consensus. Consensus is the exact opposite of real science. Real science is constantly questioning, challenging existing paradigms, and attempting to disprove them. The global warming goons have done nothing but bully scientists to go along with their fraud, regardless of the facts. Scientists who don't go along, don't get funding in the form of government grants, and they are the targets of bullying, threats, and intimidation.

The ClimateGate e-mail scandal proves the conspiracy to cook the data to make it appear to prove human activity is warming the planet. They will stop at nothing to put their carbon taxation framework in place. There is a whole industry waiting in the wings to profit from this scheme – all at the expense of the working poor, what we used to call the middle class.

Therefore, any recognition, on the part of the Trump administration, of a need to tax or mitigate CO2 as a means to deal with climate change, is an insult to the truth, a slap in the face for those who supported him, and another knife in the gut of liberty. We don't need renegotiated climate agreements any more than we need to renegotiate NAFTA. These international instruments were evil from beginning to end, and the only solution is to forever kill them.

# **Evidence of foreknowledge and planning in belgian bombing attacks**

As with every terrorist event that happens any place in the world, the police state is brought out in full display to demonstrate how afraid we all should be to live our daily lives, how vulnerable we would be without our armed, kevlar-wearing, jack-booted saviors to protect us from our shadows. With the victims' bodies not even cold yet, the security state is already using the incident to clamor for more funding.

However, Tuesday's bombing events in Brussels only serve to knock down the argument that more security will prevent these terrorist attacks. The bombings took place, after all, in a subway and in a Brussels airport – a place known for some of the strongest security in any developed country. As one news commentator rightfully inquired, “how could this have happened right under the noses of their security personnel?” Still other talking heads commented about what a huge intelligence “failure” the attacks represented.

It all reminds this writer of the September 11, 2001 fiasco and the many events we've seen play out since then where we're being led to believe that the intelligence agencies are just a bunch of mumbling, bumbling idiots, asleep at the switch, even though we must funnel more and more funding to them. We must also give them more police state powers to use against us, allowing them to pry further into our private lives, yet they are blind to the real threats when they actually happen. Then

again, what amounts to a real threat when most of the terrorism that happens in the world today is engineered by the same people who are crying out for more money and more police state powers?

Just days prior to the Belgian bombings, critters from the U.S. Congress and the Obama administration were having meetings with the Belgian government and other European officials, discussing the very topic of terrorism. Perhaps, the meeting didn't go too well? Or, perhaps, it went exactly according to plan.

Also, just days prior to the attacks, Belgian officials announced that they were aware that there were attacks being planned! Josh Rogin at Bloomberg wrote,

"Only days ago in Brussels, as Western leaders celebrated the arrest of a key terrorist suspect, Belgian officials warned that there were dozens more jihadists at large in the city and that more attacks were being planned. They couldn't have known how right they were."

Oh, really? Are we sure about that? Obviously, they did know that they were right, or they never would have issued the statement. Furthermore, as happened with the shooting attacks in France, there were warnings that were ignored from other countries. According to the Associated Press, Turkey's Erdogan warned that attacks could hit Europe, and he even named Belgium as a possible target just four days prior to the attack. We now know from the Israeli news service Haaretz that Belgian intel had "precise" warnings that the attackers were going to hit the airport.

"The Belgian security services, as well as other Western intelligence agencies, had advance and precise intelligence warnings regarding the terrorist attacks in Belgium on Tuesday, Haaretz has learned.

"The security services knew, with a high degree of certainty,

that attacks were planned in the very near future for the airport and, apparently, for the subway as well.

“Despite the advance warning, the intelligence and security preparedness in Brussels, where most of the European Union agencies are located, was limited in its scope and insufficient for the severity and immediacy of the alert.”

As we have talked about numerous times, the U.S. and its allies in the Middle East have built up the Islamic State through funding and arming rebel groups against Syria, as revealed by partially-declassified documents, obtained by a Judicial Watch FOIA request. Furthermore, there is a close cooperation between various known terrorist radicals, and U.S. allies in the Middle East, such as Turkey. As Brandon Turbeville of the Activist Post points out,

“Turkey, the United States, and Belgium all consider the PKK a terrorist organization but the West has been working closely with the Syrian Kurds (PYD,YPG) on the ground in Syria for their own geopolitical agenda.

“Nevertheless, Erdogan’s statement is interesting considering the fact that the Brussels attacks came shortly after his warning which predicted not only the attack but the location.

“After all, Turkey has been a major supporter of terrorists and even ISIS itself in Syria since the beginning of the Syrian crisis. With such close cooperation between the two, one must wonder whether or not Erdogan or MIT had foreknowledge of the Brussels attacks.”

Rogan goes on to talk about the forum that was being held on terrorism that were taking place by members of the United States Congress, the Obama administration, Belgian, and other European officials, just days prior to the bombings. The delegation walked through the very airport in Brussels where the attacks would take place a few days later. What are the odds?

"I traveled to Brussels on March 16, to attend the German Marshall Fund's Brussels Forum, a meeting of U.S. and European officials, foreign policy experts and journalists, where the fight against terrorism was at the top of the agenda. Two U.S. senators and several Obama administration officials who attended had just passed through the main terminal of the Brussels airport. On Tuesday morning, it was hit by what Belgian authorities described as a suicide attack. At least 26 were killed and many more wounded at the airport, and in a parallel attack on the city's subway system."

Hence, we have a long-standing collusion between western powers, terrorist groups, and those governments that work with them. We have warnings being issued in advance that attacks were imminent, and we have western officials, known for backing state-sponsored terrorists, at the epicenter of the attack, merely days before the attack is executed. Again, what are the odds?

If we are to believe these attacks are organic – that is to day, they were not the work of high-level planning in the form of a false flag operation – then they make the argument, perfectly why the nations of the world should not allow their countries to be flooded with unvetted migrants from the Middle East and other places.

Leading up to today's attacks, Belgium has accepted thousands of "asylum seekers" into their country, as have other European nations. These refugees have been the cause of numerous problems in Germany and other parts of Europe, including Belgium which has seen robberies, rapes and other inappropriate sexual behavior toward women and, in some cases, even children. These actions are not unique to Europe as women in the United States are being increasingly victimized as more unvetted foreigners are allowed to flood the country, inaccordance with globalist multi-cultural integration policies. According to Refugee Resettlement Watch, 154,160 Muslim refugees have been admitted into the United States

since the fall of 2008, about the time Obama took office.

The publication goes on to note that in a total, just short of a half million refugees for all religious persuasions have been admitted into the country, since the same time. Of course, not all of these people will turn out to be terrorists, but how many would it take to cause devastation? This puts the lie to the notion that invasive security measures which violate the privacy of the American people are really intended to protect us from the “bad guys”.

The United Nations plan for global development is called Agenda 21, which is well underway toward implementation. Chapter 7 of Agenda 21 states that,

“All countries, where appropriate, should develop and implement resettlement programmes that address the specific problems of displaced populations in their respective countries...”

The UN/globalist plan is to break down borders by flooding every nation with refugees from other countries until the culture, economy, governments, and other standards are all the same. It is a Criss Cross Double Cross war on national sovereignty, being waged upon all fronts. The problem is globalization. The more of it we do, the more diseases we get, the more poverty we get, the more terrorism we get. The asylum is being run by the deranged.

2016 Darren Weeks – All Rights Reserved

---



# Darren Weeks Archive 2007 – 2014

- [Ebola, the SS, and the Great Golf Club Swinger](#), 10-7-14
- [Dogs of War, Architects of Terror](#), 7-25-14
- [FedEx Shooting Just Days After Georgia Pro-Gun Bill Becomes Law](#), 5-3-14
- [Washington's Tantrum](#), 10-5-13
- [Free at last? Who are you kidding?](#), 9-6-13
- [Commander Data and the Extropians](#), 8-26-13
- [Tucson, Arizona Quietly Placed Under Martial Law?](#), 3-8-13
- [Hurricane Sandy, Martial Law, and Fema Camps: Rehearsal for Future America?](#) 11-15-12
- [State-Sponsored Terrorism: Made in the U.S.A.](#) 10-8-12
- [American People: Foreigners in our own land](#), 6-6-12
- [Operation Gulf Grease](#) 6-20-10
- [Unemployment: Now, That's Sustainable!](#) 10-18-09
- [For "English," Press One](#) 7-12-09
- [Waking Up from the American Dream](#) 4-12-09
- [A Cry for the Children](#) 2-20-09
- [Controlling the Land by Soaking the People](#) 8-19-08
- ["Sustainable Development" is Mental Illness](#) 4-11-08
- [Dying for World Government](#) 10-23-07
- [Crushing The Iraq War Protests](#) 8-4-07
- [Save the Planet – Kill Yourself?](#) 5-19-07
- [Crisis Equals "Opportunity"](#) 5-11-07
- [Hanoi George and High Crimes](#) 4-19-07