

Blood in the Streets is Not an Alternative

If we let elected officials get by with “don’t worry about the election in 2022, we got it handled,” we need to be ready to say hello to the great re-set and we need to prepare for violence. It is extremely important to inform our elected officials of what the public knows.

Is America a Christian nation? Or, is it a nation of churches?

There is a big difference, you know. A Christian may go to church or may not but his or her primary spiritual purpose is to become a disciple of Jesus Christ. A lot of people go to church to be parishioners, not disciples. They want to feel good about themselves and to look good to others. We have a lot of politicians and journalists who fall into that last category.

Has Language Been Weaponized?

Too many of us talk but are not understood. We say a word with total assurance that listeners understand because we know

what we're saying. But the NEW NOW and the abbreviations so popular in text messages have, with no one's permission or acceptance, changed the interpretation of many words.

Prepare And Be Calm

There is a reality the middle class needs to deal with: You are the target of all of the attacks that have occurred since the Kennedy assassination. The reason you are the target is that an oligarchy like communism, socialism or a monarchy requires a social order made up of two classes: The elite and the workers. These forms of government cannot function with a middle class that has upward mobility.

Christmas (Or Martial Law) In August?

Can you imagine the confusion and chaos that will surround an election where so many ballots have been sent to non-legitimate voters (as the Democrats plan to do... have begun doing)? The nutcase Governor of California has already announced he is sending ballots to all residents of the state... a large number of which are illegal aliens.

Donald's Economy: Where It Is Now And Where It Is Heading, Part 2

But it goes deeper than the U.S. Presidential election in November. War has been declared by Marxists against those whose greatest life need is the freedom to control our own destinies. That includes the three founders of Black Lives Matter who openly admit their Marxist backgrounds. It is the Chinese communists who finance BLM.

Donald's Economy: Where It Is Now And Where It Is Heading

It didn't take long for the President to figure out what was going on and the day Trump said "hydroxychloroquine" and Dr. Fauci said "Oh, no... untrustworthy drug" and the rest of the medical community disagreed with Fauci, that story began its downhill slide. Then we found out about the patents Fauci owned involving HIV (which is part of coronavirus) and the old rule about "follow the money" was proved true once again.

What Frightens You More:

Covid-19 Or Tyranny?

Elected officials and the bureaucrats who work in all of the states need to remember the words of the Declaration of Independence: "To secure these rights, governments are instituted among men. " In other words, government works for us. We don't work for them. The people hold the power, not the bureaucrats and elected officials. And the people are waking up.

A Sheriff Speaks To Us All

In truth, the real crisis that we have is with our willingness to allow governors and bureaucrats to close our churches and businesses and sporting events and to show them that because our fear is greater than our commitment to our constitutional rights, they can remove our freedoms one by one (more about that in later articles) and manipulate us.

Churches Are Empty... So Is The Tomb

A lot of people believe that the release of 5G is the electromagnetic field (EMF) that is attacking our bodies. Because I've studied 5G extensively for the past year, I can say with certainty that the symptoms of cell poisoning and COVID-19 are very, very similar. Some experts say it means

that the coronavirus is not killing people. The radio frequencies being produced by 5G EMFs is killing people.

God, Guns And Good Food

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, the Squad and the rest of these left-wing lunatics are taking a wrecking ball to our country while our current representative stays utterly silent," Lauren said. "Hard-working, patriotic Americans like you and me don't want the Green New Deal and socialized medicine.

Republicans: Get Some Spine And Support Your President

Hillary Clinton won 232 electoral votes and President Trump won 306. That's called a political victory for Donald Trump. You remember the Electoral College, right? It's that bothersome part of the Constitution that prevents New York, Pennsylvania, Florida, New Jersey and California from being able to ignore citizen opinions of the remaining states by preventing them from determining election results based only on popular vote.

What is truth? What is freedom? What is choice?

The problem is, today's media has become a weapon often used to misinform us. No group of people is more in love with their power over the minds of people than newspapers, radio, and television. Some realize they are trying to replace God

5G: Bigger Hot Button Than I Thought

**Please note: If you are not aware of the dangers of 5G and what the term "5G" means, please read my last [NewsWithViews.com](https://www.newswithviews.com) article before reading this one, or you may not understand the terminology in this article.*

I was surprised by the amount of response to my article on June 2, 2019 about the life-threatening dangers of 5G. Since then, a lot has happened.

At the time the article was published, the Brussels, Belgium, announcement rejecting 5G had just been made. Thus, it didn't get much coverage.

Celine Fremault, the Minister of the Government of the Brussels-Capital Region with responsibility for Housing, Quality of Life, Environment and Energy said:

"I cannot welcome such technology if the radiation standards, which must protect the citizen, are not respected, 5G or not. The people of Brussels are not guinea pigs whose health I can sell at a profit. We cannot leave anything to doubt."

How nice it would be if our politicians didn't view us as guinea pigs when it comes to 5G.

Belgium's Ms. Fremault is not the only government official in the world who has stated concerns about the potential negative impact of 5G on community health. Within two weeks of my article, the (Great) State of Louisiana passed House Resolution 145 with its legislature voting 103 in favor of passage and zero opposing the measure.

Louisiana's House Resolution 145 tells you why all citizens of this country should be concerned about federal and state governments rushing to implement a technology on which no tests for human safety have been done. It says this:

"RESOLUTION

To urge and request the Department of Environmental Quality in conjunction with the Louisiana Department of Health to study the environmental and health effects of evolving fifth generation cellular network technology (5G) and report its findings to the House Committee on Natural Resources and Environment and the House Committee on Health and Welfare no later than sixty days prior to the convening of the 2020 Regular Session of the Legislature.

WHEREAS, 5G technology is intended to greatly increase device capability and connectivity but also may pose risks to the environment due to increased radio-frequency radiation exposure; and

WHEREAS, a study is necessary to examine the advantages and risks associated with 5G technology, with a focus on the environmental impact and potential related effects; and

WHEREAS, peer-reviewed studies on this topic show the potential for wide-range effects; and

WHEREAS, 5G technology requires small cellular towers to be

placed a short distance apart, closer than existing towers, at telephone pole height, and will operate in conjunction with the 3G and 4G technology infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the insurance industry may have placed exclusions in policies to exempt damage caused by this technology; and

WHEREAS, certain manufacturers provide warnings to consumers regarding the reception of devices using this technology; and

WHEREAS, input is needed from the technological and other communities as well as persons involved with developing 5G technology.”

The language of the House Resolution 145 then closes with appropriate legal language and signatures. If your legislature isn't concerned about your health and is not discussing this important topic, perhaps you should send them a [copy of the Louisiana legislation](#).

If the line about insurance companies excluding damage caused by technology does not convince you of the danger, you have a lot to learn about what the statement “Follow the money” means.



In my last [News With Views](#) 5G article, I pointed out the lesser health problems scientists have linked to 4G electromagnetic fields (EMFs):

According to the [EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2016](#) for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses, there are less serious but bothersome illnesses caused by human exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs). *These ailments are the result of 4G, not 5G technology* (which is not broadly in use yet). Since 5G

technology creates much stronger EMFs than does 4G, it is apparent why governments that are concerned about the health of their citizens are not readily accepting 5G. Here is what scientists say 4G has caused:

- Fatigue/weakness
- Sleep disturbance/insomnia,
- Headaches/migraines
- Brain fog/difficulty concentrating
- Depression or anxiety
- Memory loss
- Visual disruptions/light sensitivity
- Skin problems
- Heart palpitations
- Dizziness

I added more serious 4G problems like cancer, leukemia, reproductive problems, dangers to pregnant women, and many others. [My article](#) can also be found at a blog I created to make extensive 5G information available to the public. If you prefer video to reading, this [is a great but short video](#) I posted to the blog.

If you do not get in touch with your legislators and tell them you will not tolerate being used as a guinea pig, expect them to take the big bucks from lobbyists who represent high tech and cell phone companies and go merrily on their political way – unfortunately they may find out about the dangers when a family member gets critically ill five years from now.

The emails I received from my last 5G article were interesting. Almost all were positive and thanked me for the information. The only negatives fell in two categories: 1) a correction; and, 2) high tech geniuses who view 5G as the Holy Grail and who emphatically state that it is designed to work safely

Here are the statistics provided to me by a fact-checked

article about the amount of microwatts allowed to maintain public safety by governments around the world.

USA/Canada = 1000 microwatts /cm² (same as ICNIRP 1998)

Auckland (New Zealand) = 50 microwatts /cm²

Italy, Russia, Poland, Luxembourg, Paris (France), Hungary, Bulgaria, China, Switzerland – 2.4 to 10 microwatts /cm²

Salzburg (Austria) = 0.0001 microwatts /cm²

One reader whose husband is an electrical engineer suggested the listing for Salzburg looked ridiculously low to him. It is very low but came from a credible research source. She stated an Austrian official told her:

“Austria has imposed the WHO/ICNIRP limits for the protection of the general public from radio frequency EMF without any deviation from the recommendations by the WHO, ICNIRP and EU Council.

Any claims that there are stricter limits than ICNIRP in Austria are false.

This says that the Austrian limits are the same as the US, a factor of 10 million higher than the author claims.

When I mentioned that the values were for Salzburg, not Austria, he responded:

Not so; Austria’s levels are determined solely by the federal govt. Salzburg did request a lower level, but the request was denied. Furthermore the report is incorrect by a factor of 1000 regarding the Salzburg request. Very poor scholarship.”

I have been unable to confirm this... have been unable to contact anyone in Austria. It is relatively meaningless – other than statistics should be correct and I appreciate her efforts in trying to find the exact Salzburg microwatt limits. To me, he sounds like a typically arrogant European bureaucrat. If Austria wants to be as irresponsible to its

people as Canada and the U.S.A., they're entitled.

The comments from high tech geniuses were more difficult but in their own way made clear to me why there is so much confusion and disagreement between the "high tech experts" and the medical communities about how EMFs are supposed to behave versus how they actually interact with the electromagnetic fields our Creator gave human bodies. Quite simply, high tech experts have done no safety tests to find out how the two interact. The scientific community seems pretty sure EMFs created by technology are incompatible with the human EMFs in our bodies and are making changes to our DNA. That it is having negative results and causing disease. Techies come at the problem from a totally different perspective than scientists involving human health do.

What I know for absolute certain is this:

1. We are being told lies about 4G and 5G and the dangers they bring to human life... to all animal life, to vegetation, to climate, and to weather.



One man, Ron from Grants Pass, Oregon, contacted me. He repairs the huge electric advertising billboards along our highways. He informed me that radiation is being used in those signs and that before he is allowed to do repair work on them, the signs must be turned off for the workers safety. This makes perfect sense because they are putting 5G into street lights in our neighborhoods without telling us.

2. The Federal Communications Commission is about as independent of high tech companies as the Federal Drug Administration is from pharmaceutical companies.

This is important because the FCC is a perfect example of bureaucrats making laws via regulation – agencies of the government are not elected by the people, do not represent the people, but are making the regulations that make it impossible for your elected city officials to determine on your behalf whether or not a tower located close to an elementary school is safe. FCC regulations tell cities and towns that they may not refuse to build a tower just because it poses dangerous health problems... ten times greater for children than adults.

One reader, Kathie, sent two really good links which I will be posting to the blog. The first link states: [**"This is the consensus of 29 authors from ten countries, ten holding medical degrees \(MDs\), 21 PhDs, and three MsC, MA or MPHs. Among the authors are three former presidents of the**](#)

Bioelectromagnetics Society, and five full members of BEMS. One distinguished author is the Chair of the Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation. Another is a Senior Advisor to the European Environmental Agency.”

The second link is about [“Kill Zones USA.”](#)



3. I know that very few of you will send a copy of the Louisiana Resolution to your state legislators. Better to stay uninvolved, right? Diseases caused by EMFs are the new tobacco. Because disease doesn't show up immediately in most cases, you'll feel safer as each year passes... until it finally gets you and then it's too late.

You might want to read the [Urgency legislation](#) passed by an affluent community north of San Francisco, Mill Valley. It explains the difficulties caused by the FCC regarding tower installation and how the city can maintain co-location control of what else gets placed on the tower. One of the biggest problems with new towers being installed is the FCC's regulations which require tower owners to say "yes" to those who request to use the tower to place their technology on it, too. You end up with military, police, radio stations, and,

yes, 5G, being added to your tower when you didn't intend it – and don't want it.

You now have in your hands copies of state (Louisiana) and city (Mill Valley) legislation that can help you convince your local legislators to stop sitting on their hands, and do their jobs: Serve the public interest.

The rest is up to you.

© 2019 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

5G: A Plan To Depopulate Earth?

Do you use a cordless telephone? A cell phone? A Smart phone? A Smart television? Wi-Fi? A Wi-Fi router? A Smart meter? If so, for the sake of your own life and health, you need to read this article. Information about health dangers is being withheld from you.

Deep State Traitors: Their Fight Against The American

People

In today's America, our greatest enemies are not foreign governments or terrorist organizations. My enemy, your enemy, are those within our government, elected and unelected. They are people who, in my opinion, are guilty of treason (which can be very subtle... e.g., refusing to support and defend our Constitution and the Bill of Rights).

We all ask: "Where is the penalty for violating your Oath of Office?"

They have declared war against we, the people and I have often written about how so many of today's elected officials, both state and federal, violate their Oath of Office.

Please accept my apology for being very ill (prayers gratefully accepted). Obviously I'm not sick on purpose, but I feel I let my readers down because at the very moment when they need to hear the truth on very important issues I am unable to provide input for them. It is a blessing to enjoy the trust of people who read what I write.

Below is an article written by a woman I consider to be a dear friend. It is one of the best articles I have read on the subject of America's enemies and the wars they are forcing upon we Americans and our President, Donald J. Trump. You can trust what she says. As you read her article, please click on the two links she provides to hybrid wars and to lawfare. These are the weapons of war being used against us so read about them. The definition of each is critical to your thought process in finding truth.

When I read Linda's article, I knew I had to make it available to my readers.

Thomas Paine said in 1777, "Those who expect to reap the blessing of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of

supporting it.”

[Wars We Were Never Meant to Win](#)

by **Linda Goudsmit**

February 17, 2019

It has been over 17 years since George Bush announced the war on terrorism and 47 years since Richard Nixon announced the war on drugs.

Does anyone wonder why the most powerful nation on earth has not won either? I do.

The current border war and heated debate over the building of a security wall on our southern border sounds disturbingly familiar.

Terrorism, illegal drugs, and the flow of illegal immigrants are all weapons in the [hybrid war](#) being waged against America by those with common cause to destabilize and collapse America from within. Unlike a traditional military war using bombs and bullets, the hybrid war uses political warfare, [lawfare](#), and fake news to destroy the enemy – but exactly WHO are the players in this unconventional war? Who is the enemy? What is at stake?

America is threatened by domestic enemies within who are collaborating with foreign enemies to destabilize America and bring her into the fold of internationalized one world government. Americanism is being attacked by globalism.

Globalism is not to be confused with global trade. Globalism is a system of internationalized government – a new world order of global citizenship with global citizens being ruled by the globalist elites under the auspices of the corrupt United Nations. So, what is the problem? What is wrong with the globalist vision of one world government? EVERYTHING if you value your freedom! This is the way it works.

America-first President Donald J. Trump is the existential enemy of globalism. He is an American patriot who insists upon national sovereignty, secure borders, and adherence to the Constitution.

So, who are the domestic enemies of American sovereignty, secure borders, and adherence to the Constitution?

- Obama and his entire resistance movement
- Nancy Pelosi and Chuck Schumer who are leading the seditious Democrat party in Congress
- RINOS – Republicans in name only like Mitch McConnell and Richard Shelby who subvert POTUS' America-first initiatives
- Mueller and his team of lawfare specialists leading the smear campaign against President Trump designed to destroy his re-election chances in 2020
- FBI deep state operatives Rosenstein, Comey, McCabe, Strzok, Page et al
- CIA operatives Brennan, Clapper et al
- Muslim Brotherhood terrorist organization and its offshoots like CAIR, ISNA, MSA et al
- 9th circuit court and the radical leftist judges across America who legislate from the bench and use lawfare to stop POTUS from enacting his America-first policies

What is most unconventional about the hybrid war against America is the staggering list of participating American politicians who are RINOS or members of the radical leftist Democrat party leading the attempted coup against duly elected President Trump.

We have come to expect that Pelosi and Schumer will obstruct President Trump's initiatives. The [Washington Post](#) reported that Democrat leaders directed their deputies on the 2019 security panel not to gloat – concerned that if they celebrated their victory they might anger Trump enough to veto the deal.

In a stunning display of political disloyalty, malfeasance, and collusion, RINOS on the [security panel](#) led by Mitch McConnell (KY) including Richard Shelby (AL), Shelley Capito (WV), John Hoeven (ND), and Roy Blunt (MO) hid the Democrat border security wins from President Trump!

War tactics are being used by Americans against Americans in a seditious attempt to destroy President Trump. Why? Why would RINOS and leftist Democrats collude to destroy President Trump?

The answer is in President Trump's promise to drain the Washington swamp because exposing the deep state and its colluding politicians explains why the most powerful nation on earth has not won its war against drugs, its war against terrorism, or its current border wars.

War is big business. Drugs finance wars and President Trump is the first American president in decades who is serious about stopping the flow of illegal drugs into America.

Illegal immigration is big business. Illegal immigrants vote illegally for Democrats and provide cheap labor for companies that don't care if American workers are unemployed. President Trump is the first American president in decades who is serious about election integrity and about protecting the jobs of American workers.

The hybrid war being waged inside America has targeted President Donald Trump specifically because he is committed to making America great again by winning the war on drugs, winning the war on terrorism, winning the border wars, and ultimately winning the globalist war against Americanism.

As President Trump's America-first policies continue to strengthen our country, the domestic and foreign enemies arrayed against him will continue their unconventional warfare. We must ask ourselves if this is the future we want for America? I certainly don't.

I am an American. I am horrified by the deep state – I prefer the United States. I am outraged by deep state actors in the Washington swamp and their coordinated efforts to destabilize the country and overthrow a sitting president. I demand a return to a Constitutional America where election outcomes are determined by honest elections and respected by the entire country.

We have presidential elections every four years so that we can maintain the peaceful transfer of power that distinguishes America from the military coups in third world countries. We cannot allow the deep state and the radical leftist Democrat party to dissolve our Constitution and transform America into a tyrannical socialist/globalist new world order of one world government.

We must resist the resistance. We can win the war on drugs. We can win the war on terrorism. We can win the border wars. We can make America great again and win the wars we were never meant to win.

America first is very presidential!

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Immigration Problem: Solved

Open the Government

There are solutions to illegal immigration which, when one steps outside of the box and stops doing things the way they've always been done, can provide the time required to remove the problem and then solve it.

Frankly, it is not that difficult to solve if we had legislators who were elected to do a job that includes protecting the American people. Protecting them? From what? How about disease? How about bankruptcy? How about a planned intelligence-based coup of the American government?

I fear for our President. I pray for him, too, and believe prayer is stronger than fear and thus offer some ideas which will hopefully help him.

My first suggestion is that President Trump stop playing the cards he was dealt, almost all of which were carefully prepared by those placed in their positions of authority (many of which are unelected) over many, many years. Pull out a new deck and re-deal. See how well the long-term planners play with short-term change and rapid results.

While maintaining your demand for a border wall, deal with the problem of illegal alien invasion of our southern border by letting them all into the country. You can quickly refurbish a few of our former but now closed military bases... it will disappoint some land developers, but into every life a little rain must fall.

Place all who enter the nation unlawfully into non-citizen refurbished military bases. The bases should be under military guard... no one gets in without approval and no one gets out. It stops the human trafficking and drug flow both in and out of the base. It protects children. And no, it is not comparable to the prisons into which Democrat President Roosevelt placed Japanese people who were American citizens during World War II. They were Americans. These people are not. They are, instead, trying to enter our country unlawfully.

Those who enter the nation by illegal means, whether seeking sanctuary for qualified or unqualified reasons, can thus be kept safe and secure while not endangering the citizens who

pay the salaries of all of those legislators who refuse to do their jobs. Those who enter for nefarious reasons can be held away from the public and identified and either sent to Gitmo or back to their country of origin with written warning that should they return they will be sent to Gitmo.

Families are kept together. There should be classrooms taught in Spanish, medical care can be provided via military physicians while protecting Americans from the numerous diseases being brought into the country with them. Healthy food can be provided in former mess halls. Charities can be activated to provide clothing and blankets and other personal necessities. And people's future lives can be determined.

This buys the time required for a newly-established court system that is comprised of experts in both constitutional and immigration law to consider each person's request. Courts now have time to organize asylum appeals... though I believe the legal need to do so ended the moment a Central American's feet touched Mexican soil. That cannot be said of Mexicans who seek asylum. The wait may be long, but no one invited them here (other than corporations which employ them to gain a low wage advantage... and for which the IRS could quickly punish them if it chose to do so).

Those people with legitimate requests can have proper investigations into their backgrounds done because time has been made available to do so while concurrently protecting the American people. Those who are found to have criminal backgrounds can be separated from other illegals into specifically guarded barracks.

The above eliminates most of the liberal progressive/socialist talking points and complaints we are all so sick of hearing. It removes the cages around children built by the Obama administration along with the separation of families. It provides medical care for short-term illness... we should not be expected to become the new cancer ward for Central America or

Mexico. It provides food and clothing and education at a cost to America far less than if they are allowed to enter the nation and then just disappear and collect welfare, perpetrate crimes, or be forced into perpetual poverty by low wage jobs.

Adults can be educated about the Constitution of the United States and our laws. They can be trained in trades, providing (and earning) needed funds if they stay or if they go home. They may stay in the nation or they may not, but at least they will be aware of what is expected of them relative to their own behavior and that of their children.

Cost effective? It's about 1,000 times more cost effective than any cards that are currently on the table. By the way, if there was any kind of banker expertise advising the President, they have sadly failed. As a retired bank consultant, I would have told President Trump on Day One of the government shutdown to have the banks make credit available to government employees to pay their bills and collateralized such loans with the checks they will collect when they return to work.

The above does not, however, remove the "racist" card, does it? The racism label is a part of Hegelian philosophy with which I won't bore you. [Hegel was a philosopher](#) who was greatly admired by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, especially as it relates to the doctrine of communism and socialism. Part of Hegelian strategy deals with opposing points of view... good/evil, hot/cold, right/wrong, etc. The methodology Hegel developed was to merge two opposing ideas into a third solution. It is largely responsible for American problems in 2019.

An Angel Mother addressed the problem of racism by saying on Shannon Bream's Fox News last Wednesday morning that she is sick of these charges of non-existent racism made by people trying to make us into who we were rather than who we have become. She is absolutely correct.

I wrote an entire and well-researched [article about slavery](#) over a year ago. It documents that almost 1/3 of the slaves sold by Europeans to Americans living on the East coast (not to plantation owners in the South) were Irish. If you are unfamiliar with slavery as a total topic rather than the narrowly-defined views of those who use a no longer existing problem to create one, I suggest you read the article.

Slavery became illegal in the United States in the 1800s and racism was dealt with in the 1900s. It has taken time, but America is one of the least racist countries in the world today.

Well, if it isn't racism, what is behind all of these charges that white people are racists? Why do non-racist whites feel guilty when they are called a racist? It is a technique used by every minority group that resents being a minority and wants to become a majority. Democrats say it to Republicans – they even promise they won't vote for Nancy Pelosi as Speaker of the House if you will just vote for them... and then, of course, they vote for Nancy Pelosi.

Women call men sexist because they resent the natural result of thousands of years of being “forced” to stay in the home and out of the work force. “You are a misogynist” women shout to men who have never hated women or thought of them as inferiors but have been conditioned by thousands of years of history to think of them as opposites of men.

The problem is, to be part of a group of people who willingly bought or sold another human being into slavery is a shameful thing... whether it was wealthy easterners buying the Irish or wealthy plantation owners buying Africans. A politician willing to sell white Americans into slavery because he or she wants to appear non-racist to gain votes is equally as disgusting. It is a charge made by a racist against a person who is not a racist and thinks it a horrible thing and, with proper conditioning and media support, can be made to feel

guilty about it. The best way to destroy people is to blame them for something they have not done but innocence is impossible to prove. Another Hegelian technique.

What do you do about it? You accept the fact that people who are your equal – as all minority members demand they are – have no need to be given anything to be your equal. Equals are equals. Period. You do what all responsible citizens do and try to elect to office people who respect all people regardless of race, gender, age, or religion. The word is gender, by the way, not sex.

You accept the fact that all minorities resent anyone who is part of the majority. As long as white people are the majority of the American population, people who have a racist axe to grind will accuse them of racism or sexism or whatever suits their long-term objective. It worked in South Africa and they are doing the same thing here.

You pay no attention to them other than to scratch them off of your list of believable sources. You relegate them to the file titled "Liars, Forgers, Despicable People." *And most important, you never vote for them.* If their only qualification to hold public office is to call their opponent names, vote for someone else.

You are not the despicable one. They are. You are merely a white person who happens to be part of the majority population which puts you in control of who gets elected to political office (unless you happen to be a Democrat who works hard to convince minorities that you support them over white people to gain the highest possible percentage of their votes... and then ignore them for generations).

As long as you are in the majority, you will be resented by those who feel strangled by their minority status which prevents them from electing people who will turn our nation upside-down. It has nothing to do with race. It has to do

with power.

I write the above as we approach the first anniversary of the death of one of my dearest friends of over 40 years who happened to be black. I say it with great honor to my granddaughters' African heritage.

There will always be people who are racists... some people seem to need to hate someone else. To feel superior, they need to make someone else feel inferior. That is true of all races, not just white people. Mental illness shows no racial preferences.

If you are not one of those people, stop paying attention to those who say you are. They may need your sympathy and prayers but do not give them the gift of your guilt for something of which you are innocent.

Stop voting for anyone who tries to use racism as an excuse to get elected to any political office. Their only reason for wanting political office is personal gain. I don't care what race you are, it is an insult to all people when someone accuses an innocent person of being or doing something of which they are innocent.

Stop voting such people into office, no matter what race you are. As Martin Luther King pointed out, it is the content of character that is important, not skin color and people with character do not accuse others of racism to win an election. When elected to office, people with character show no racial preference regardless of their own color.

In short: America and its people are, by and large, one of the least racist countries in the world. If you have traveled anywhere else in the world, you know that to be true. Our government may be a house of excrement that uses race to bait people, but our people are not.

Liberals want you to think it is President Donald Trump who is

being laughed at internationally over charges of racism... all of those dark-skinned people trying to enter America... and he hates them because he is a racist. They are not laughing at him. They are laughing at Americans who buy into their radical stories of just what racism represents... which minimizes the deeper problems of real racism.

Take a look at the yellow vests in Paris if you don't think race is a problem in France. The same can be said of Sweden because of the 33% increase in rapes by immigrant Muslims. The battle is worldwide because it is a power-based battle, not a racial one.

These are only two possible solutions. We have many problems. They, too, have out of the box solutions.

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Mitt McFlake And Fencing

TRUTH IS AS TRUTH DOES

The past year of 2018 has been one filled with realizations and recognition of some very painful things.

The need for faith in God became even more apparent in 2018 than at just about any time in the history of our nation. We have had a hard time adjusting to how much corruption there is in the Deep State's American government. We knew there was corruption. We didn't know it involved so many at every level.

As President Trump began his swamp cleaning effort, the

corruption of the Deep State has become more and more apparent. We are killing ourselves from the inside and have become our own “domestic” enemy. The FBI and CIA headquarters need to be moved to Alaska... get them out of the Washington, D.C. swamp where they have the power to control the Department of Justice.

Progressive liberals do not like truth and light. They have faced huge conflicts between reality (which they manage to avoid) and their mostly uninformed opinions of the way things ought to be (which is where they live their lives).

All one needs to do to prove that statement is look at the Bernie Sanders and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY) and listen to them talk about the wonders of socialism – free education, free medical care, free whatever you want, without bothering to look at what has happened to the people of Venezuela, the most recent example trying to function under socialism.

There are many other examples where it’s been tried and failed – none have succeeded. The productive are leaving Venezuela by the millions, only a short time ago an economically strong nation. That is BS – Before Socialism. Today the government is unable to provide even the most basic comforts – like, heat, electricity, water, food, etc.

The truth doesn’t stop Bernie and Alexandria, though. Neither knows what they’re talking about, but that doesn’t matter. It never matters to liberals. They do not live in the real world.

Conservatives figured out long ago that political correctness is nothing more than a way to avoid truth. Liberals love it because a) they don’t care about truth; and b) they have determined that between liberals and conservatives, they, liberals, are most qualified to determine what is correct and incorrect and that, in turn, gives them the power to rule on what may and may not be thought or said. I forgot to mention

that liberals lack any sense of humility.

Conservatives of course disagree with liberals, but Republicans elected by the public to be leaders have decided their job is to follow the liberal Democrat line rather than stand for anything requiring leadership within their own political party. They think they are going to sit out "this Trump mess" and get on with business once he is removed or the Democrats win the 2020 election or... what? They do not understand that they are destroying their own party because without conservative votes Republicans can't be elected dog catcher.

The way Utah Senator Mitt Romney (nicknamed "McFlake") spoke on his first day in office exemplifies why, if the people of Utah have a grain of common sense, will not be re-elected. If he is living in a dream world that he will progress from where he is to higher office, he will awaken to realize he is having a nightmare. Utah and Arizona may vote religion before the welfare of the nation, but conservatives in the other 48 states do not.

Liberal progressives have always had problems with the conservative rejection of political correctness because liberals avoid truth if it suits their purpose. They believe the means justifies the end and lying is okay if it helps them achieve their objectives. It's the same guideline used by Muslims who think it's not only okay but right to lie to Infidels. It seems to get worse with time. Maybe it's because the second lie is always easier than the first and the 50th is easier than the 49th.

We can almost say with assuredness that if liberals' lips are moving, they are lying. Often, they are unaware that they are lying. They do not realize that they are uninformed about the reality of what is going on around them and their daily talking points are their perspective of the truth. I have yet to see a liberal progressive talking point be truthful or deal

with reality. It is impossible to have an adult conversation with them about almost anything because of their ignorance of the truth and the real world. When you cannot talk reasonably with another person and they keep forcing issues down your throat to make you do things their way, it eventually ends in a fight.

Liberals in power often know the truth and hypocritically set out to distort it, no matter how destructive. The Kavanaugh hearings are a good example of that. It doesn't matter to them that had they succeeded they would have destroyed a human life as surely as if they had stoned him to death. Achieving their objectives at any cost is what matters to liberal Democrats.

I am not and have never been a Kavanaugh fan. But giving credence to Christine Blasey Ford, a Professor at Palo Alto University who teaches clinical psychology to graduate students – a professor who is in charge of the CIA's Stanford University recruiting program – and scuttling the concept of innocent until PROVEN guilty (which Senator Diane Feinstein immediately did) is tyrannical. It is unconstitutional. Thus, we can safely say that evidence exists that liberal Democrats favor tyranny and hold the Constitution in low regard.

For example, liberals raised the roof when ICE used tear gas against the invasion force of Central Americans at our southern border. However, they were totally content when Barrack Obama used tear gas at our border with Mexico 80 times.

For those who do not know, that is called hypocrisy.

It was hypocrisy and fake reporting to publish pictures of children separated from their parents and put in "cages" under the Obama administration and presenting them as evidence that President Trump was separating children from their families

and was holding them in a cage. Obama, of course, was never mentioned.

Chuck Schumer and Nancy Pelosi point to how inhumane President Trump's policies are towards illegals. They ignore (typical of liberals) the fact that the children are often kidnapped and put into human trafficking prisons or that they are killed and their [organs are harvested](#). They ignore the heaps [of trash, feces and bottles of urine](#) left behind on the path to Tijuana. They ignore the fact that according to reports from Tijuana officials, these people are bringing HIV, a virulent form of tuberculosis and other infectious [diseases into America](#). They ignore the fact that it would have saved a thousand miles of travel for these illegal aliens had they hiked to El Paso rather than Tijuana.

I call Schumer's and Pelosi's attitudes toward the Trump Wall not only inhumane, but inhuman and treasonous.

I would point out that Central Americans who seek asylum have no reason to seek it in America once their feet touch Mexican soil. Mexico has offered asylum and the wandering masses have rejected it. They want the money Chuck and Nancy have promised them if they get to America so they can unlawfully vote for Democrats.

We are under no obligation to give asylum to anyone once their feet touch ground in another nation that is in no way threatening to them. Once they are in Mexico, they are safe. Mexico is not threatening them and so there is no need to give them asylum. Thus, I cannot see any legal channel whereby asylum demands can be made in our courts. I do not believe they can justify a court hearing demanding amnesty!

The Congress voted to spend up to \$50 billion when it passed the Secure Fence Act of 2006, authorizing a fence to be built along 700 mile border between the United States and Mexico. H.R. 6061 passed the House 283 to 138 on September 13, 2006.

It passed the Senate on September 29, 2006 and was signed into law by George Bush on October 26, 2006. Now, Democrats cannot provide 10 percent of what they already approved.

Schumer and Pelosi say the reason they cannot approve the \$5 billion for Trump's wall is the cost. However, they had no problem approving \$10 billion of foreign aid to Mexico.

For those who do not know, that is called abuse of power and arrogance. It is also called evidence of hypocrisy. Did either of these two people take an Oath of Office? If so, their behavior offers evidence they have violated it.

Because illegal immigration costs America from \$110 to \$155 billion annually, the \$5 billion for the fence will be quickly recouped. Thus, cost is not the issue and does not influence Schumer or Pelosi. They are too wrapped up in their power blankets to pay attention to the American citizens who elected a man to the Presidency because he promised them a wall. It is clear that Schumer and Pelosi are thinking of the 2020 presidential elections and an opportunity to embarrass President Trump so he will lose – at the cost of their country, for heaven's sake! And Never Trumpers like Senator Cory Gardner sit on their hands.

Senator Bob Dole once said one of the most dangerous places you can be is between Chuck Schumer and a television camera. Chuck Schumer loves media attention. He's always been egotistical. He would rather shut down the government than build a wall to prevent an invasion by people wanting to enter America illegally. There can be no other name for closing our government than the "Schumer Shutdown."

Who did you vote for last November? Did you vote for an incumbent... did you re-elect a member of the establishment Republican Party to office? If so, you are part of the problem, not the solution. Mitch McConnell, Cory Gardner, Paul Ryan (thank God he's gone), and the others must be tossed

out on their rears. We know who the Trump supporters are – the Jim Jordans in the House and Lindsay Grahams in the Senate – and who they are not.

Here's a good New Year resolution: Sit down and write a letter to your Congressman and your Senators. Tell them that if you do not see outward and apparent support of President Donald Trump you will have no choice other than to support another candidate when they next run for office. It's easy. Let me help. Here's a copy of my letter to my Congressman.

Go [here](#) to find out who represents you in Congress and their address. All you need to know is your zip code.

Congressman Scott Tipton
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0603

Dear Congressman Tipton:

It has been two years since Donald J. Trump, a Republican, was elected President of the United States. During that time, I have seen from you little or no outward support of President Trump.

If I am mistaken, please provide me with public statements you have made in support of the President or the programs he has recommended and enacted. Please send any press releases you have submitted to the media which have been ignored (and please tell me to which publications they were sent) so I can evaluate for myself whether you support the Republican Party and the lawful American voters who elected President Trump.

Please be advised that I am monitoring the support or lack of it for our president and will only vote for those elected officials who have strongly supported the will of the American people who elected President Trump. If that is not you, I need to know and will appreciate any help you can give me in

determining who I will vote for to represent me in future elections.

Sincerely,

Illegal aliens who are trying to rob Americans of their nation need to be taught: If freedom is of value to you, do what our ancestors did and go fight for it. Stop depending on Americans to solve your damned problems. You don't want amnesty. Mexico offered you amnesty.

Buckle your seat belts. 2019 is going to be a rough ride... but stand by your man because he's the best hope we have of regaining our Constitutional Republic!

© 2018 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Economic Overview For 2019

As James Carville once said, "It's the economy, stupid."

As we enter 2019, the Federal Reserve is once again in the headlines for increasing interest rates. The Fed's increase is what is responsible for the upsy-downsy stock market, not President Trump's tariffs (though the fake media will tell you otherwise).

There are three important things to remember about the Federal Reserve System: 1) It is a private corporation and is not part of the government; 2) It is merely a wholesaler of financial services and does nothing that cannot be done by the United States Treasury Department; 3) American taxpayers are responsible for the unlawful debts accumulated by the Federal

Reserve.

“Well,” you may say to yourself, “it’s only fair that we pay for Social Security and our highway system and all of the things that cause the national debt, isn’t it?”

You might want to take a look at the loans that have nothing to do with America and its well-being before being so generous with your forgiveness:

A 2006 audit showed that during the period from December 1, 2007 through July 21, 2010 the Fed made \$16 trillion dollars in loans (our total national debt is \$21 trillion) to US banks and corporations and to foreign banks.

Foreign banks? That’s right... foreign banks. The bank bailout of September 2008 was nothing compared to secret loans made by the Federal Reserve System about which the Congress was never informed.

Thanks to then-Congressman Ron Paul who kept pressure on the House of Representatives to pass legislation ordering the General Accounting Office audit of the Fed.

The loans were to cover up secret bank and corporate bailouts. They were made to prevent the banks and corporations who borrowed the money from bankruptcy. The money was loaned at nearly zero percent (.01%) interest – as in 1/10th of 1%.

Citigroup, Inc (Citibank):	\$2.5
trillion	
Morgan Stanley:	
\$2.04 trillion	
Merrill Lynch & Co.:	
\$1.949 trillion	
Bank of America Corporation:	\$1.344
trillion	
Barclays PLC (United Kingdom):	\$868 billion
Bear Sterns Companies, Inc.:	\$853

billion	
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.:	\$814
billion	
Royal Bank of Scotland PLC (UK):	\$541 billion
JPMorgan Chase:	
\$391 billion	
Deutsche Bank AG (Germany):	\$354 billion
United Bank of Switzerland AG:	\$287
billion	
Credit Suisse Group AG (Switzerland):	\$262 billion
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. – NYC:	\$183 billion
Bank of Scotland PLC (UK):	\$181
billion	
BNP Paribas SA (France):	\$175
billion	
Dexia SA (Belgium):	
\$105 billion	
Wachovia Corporation:	\$142
billion	
Dresdner Bank AG (Germany)	\$123
billion	
Societe Generale SA (France):	\$124
billion	

So, now that we know the Federal Reserve System is not only unlawful under the Constitution; we also know it takes part in activities involving banks and corporations around the world over which it has no jurisdiction. Or does it?

I have said for many years that the Fed needs to be absorbed into Treasury. It is an illegitimate organization approved by a Democrat Congress in 1913. The Democrats of 1913 were no different than they are now. They voted without their opposition present and approved the Federal Reserve giving them a 100-year contract (unless my math is bad, it expired in 2013).

Article 1 Section 8 of the Constitution says the following:

The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;

To borrow money on the credit of the United States;

It says more, but for our purposes this is sufficient. As I said, the Fed is an unconstitutional entity.

Aside from the liberal progressive tendency to lie if it allows them to tell you what and what not to do or think, no one seems to understand real trends and why the Fed is raising interest rates, how it impacts the stock market, why having the stock market drop so radically is actually a signal of a Trump success, and how both impact our international trade relationships.

The people who call themselves “experts” and appear on business shows spouting their favorite anti-Trump trash are playing checkers. Trump is playing chess. He’s playing like a champion. The Bible has something to say about what is happening.

“But God has chosen the *foolish* things of the world – to confound the wise; and God has chosen the *weak* things of the world – to confound things that are mighty; and the *base* things of the world and the things which are *despised* God has chosen . . . that no flesh should glory in his presence” 1 Corinthians 1:27-28

By making Donald Trump our President, God has succeeded beyond comprehension at confounding “the wise.”

The best way to destroy a nation is to destroy the value of its currency. The best way to destroy the value of a currency is to print too much of it. How else can the globalists pave the way for China to become the international currency? The

easiest way is to devalue the dollar. President Trump is taking the right action to prevent that.

President Trump did not create the problem. It has existed for a long time. Barrack Obama, George W. Bush and Bill Clinton did nothing about it.

Like North Korea, Trump inherited the problems. Unlike his predecessors, he's trying to do something about it.

It began with Alan Greenspan when he kept the cost of funds for banks so low that it became cheaper for banks to borrow from the Fed than pay reasonable rates of deposit interest to customers. That's a primary reason you cannot get any kind of reasonable rate of return on your savings account or certificate of deposit.

Former Fed Chairmen Ben Bernanke and Janet Yellen kept the Fed funds rates low and our currency was being totally debased. What the current Fed Head Jerome Powell is now doing is what Paul Volcker did back in the early 1980s when the cost of funds got too high. Because of Volcker's actions, the economy we had in the mid-to-late 80s and throughout the 90s was very strong.

Powell's problem is the opposite of what Volcker faced, but the solution is the same. Volcker had to bring interest rates that made it impossible to buy cars and homes down; Powell must get low interest rates that are debasing the currency up. Fractional-reserve banking, implemented by Greenspan in the 1990s and continued by Bernanke and Yellen, is the cause of too-low interest rates.

The media wants you to believe it is President Trump's tariffs on unfair practices involving international trade that is causing radical stock market shifts, but that is not true.

The cost of Fed funds must go up. Yes, it will have a negative impact on the stock market... but the market has been

over-valued for many years. Companies are not worth what people are paying for a share of stock in them. That, too, needs to be corrected and the Federal Reserve's actions will achieve it.

I believe the Fed will be absorbed into Treasury... its illegitimate contract was over in 2013. The Constitution gives responsibility for spending and creating money to the Congress, not a private corporation. We will, thus, take a constitutional step back towards the legal parameters established by our founders and away from a non-government owned central bank controlling economic policy for the nation.

We will probably have a fairly serious recession in 2019 as the markets stabilize. The Democrats love that possibility thinking it is a presidential race advantage but have underestimated just how much the American public hates the Federal Reserve and how much they support their President. The market will right itself in plenty of time for the 2020 election.

Once the Fed is gone, it will be possible to issue a new currency and also include a possible revaluation of the dollar. Look at the bills you currently have in your wallet. They say "Federal Reserve Note." A "Note" is a loan... like your mortgage note with the bank. As I said earlier, American taxpayers are responsible for the debts of the Federal Reserve which is why the currency in your billfold says "Note" on it. Because we have a \$21 trillion debt (some of which is justified and constitutional, some of which is not), every dollar that is printed represents a debt – represents the Federal Reserve lending you money.

Contrary to what the media wants you to think, Trump's economic policies are positive and needed. There is nowhere it is more apparent that an effort to destroy this nation was well underway than in the way the Federal Reserve System has mismanaged our currency. Because of the Fed, not Donald Trump

or his tariffs, the stock market will likely have a dose of the flu for awhile this year.

The stock market can get sick and the nation feels its pain... but the market recoups and moves forward. When your commercial banks get sick, the nation can die.

That is what Donald Trump's actions are in place to prevent.

© 2018 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Deep State Husbands

Most of us are familiar with the Stepford Wives, but how many of us realize that the men involved in the so-called Deep State have wives that are anything but Stepford-type women?

Those of us who seek news outside of the mainstream know who Nellie Ohr is. Fox News spent the past week inundating us with information about her. The question is: Why did they wait so long to broadcast data [published seven months ago](#)? Fox News treated it like a news alert – called it that, in fact.

It's almost as if everything is being carefully timed to achieve a very secret objective.

Do the words "statute of limitations" resonate as the reason behind Robert Mueller's, the FBI's, the DOJ's and Congressional Committees' unreasonable delays? If truth can be delayed long enough, no one goes to jail because the statute of limitations comes and goes so no one can be prosecuted.

When a crime (with a few exceptions – like murder) is perpetrated, the authorities have a limited amount of time to catch and prosecute the offender. If the statute of limitations says the authorities have three years to solve and prosecute the crime, the perp can confess the day after the three year limit passes and cannot be prosecuted for it. The crime is “dead.”

The Obama administration, the FBI and Department of Justice have handled many of their responsibilities to department policies and to our Constitution with reckless disregard. If they delay things beyond the statute of limitations they cannot be prosecuted. Thus they get to maintain their fictitious record of upholding the Constitution against enemies, foreign and domestic, and all of the mafia-like characters who have caused this challenge to our Constitutional Republic – and they get to retire at taxpayer expense.

WHO IS NELLIE OHR?

1. We know her husband is Bruce Ohr, fourth in command at the FBI at the time the phony Trump Dossier was created by Nellie Ohr and Christopher Steele for Fusion GPS.
2. We know that both Bruce and Nellie Ohr knew Steele before the Russia/Trump Dossier was plotted by the DNC and the Clinton campaign. We know Bruce Ohr was e-mailing Christopher Steele about it as early as January 2016.
3. Nellie worked at Fusion GPS. She (Dr. Ohr) is a recognized Russia expert and studied in the Soviet Union in 1989 before getting her PhD in 1990. She is said to be fluent in the Russian language and is an expert on cyber security (an unusual combination to say the least). Bruce Ohr is a Deep State husband. We know Nellie Ohr wrote the dossier for Fusion GPS and we know Bruce Ohr gave all of his wife's writings about the Trump Russia delusion to the FBI.

4. According to a sworn statement by Fusion GPS co-founder Glenn Simpson, Dr. Ohr was hired to conduct opposition research on behalf of the Clinton campaign against presidential candidate Donald Trump.

5. Nellie's Degrees include an A.B. from Harvard and Radcliffe Colleges, major: Russian History and Literature; and an M.A. and a PhD in History at Stanford University.

6. Professional experience: She taught history at Vassar College and has written numerous book reviews (almost exclusively about Russian history... she shows a great deal of sympathy for the dilemmas Stalin faced as he killed 40 million Russians to implement and expand communism in the USSR).

7. And here's something Fox News (and the House Intelligence Committee memo and the Inspector General's report) didn't tell you: On May 23, 2016, Nellie Ohr received a technician-level amateur radio license.

As a cyber security expert, Dr. Ohr would know how difficult it is to hide any computer-or telephone-based communications from Big Brother. A mystery writer could turn this into a very good plot about how to effectively hide from cyber security experts employed by the U.S. government. Your message can be delivered without being discovered by using a short-wave radio which puts you in touch with people in foreign countries – like Christopher Steele – while preventing you from having to register as someone who is doing business with foreign agents.

WHO IS MELISSA HODGMAN?

1. Melissa Hodgman's career at the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) began in 2008 as an Enforcement Division staff attorney. As of 2016, her salary was reported as \$229,968 (according to the Internet).

2. Hodgman was promoted to her current position as Associate

Director in 2012. Wow! Talk about a rising star! Ms. Hodgman – or perhaps I should say Mrs. Peter Strzok – appears to have had a rocket send her career to Wonderland... from beginning staff attorney to Assistant Director in four years!

Peter Strzok is a Deep State FBI husband.

Hodgman must be admired for being very quiet as her husband's romantic episode with Department of Justice (DOJ) lawyer Lisa Page has been media-blasted on front pages world-wide. Or perhaps the Page episode was part of a plot? The email-based romance was first discovered by the Inspector General at the DOJ who was conducting an investigation into the FBI and DOJ's handling of the Clinton investigation.

3. Ms. Hodgman-Strzok's career boost happened at precisely the time the FBI needed someone at the SEC to, if need be, control access to any illicit contributions or other transactions from publicly-traded entities (like governments and corporations) involving the Clinton Foundation.

In July 2016, James Comey publicly stated Mrs. Clinton had been "extremely careless" when she used a personal email server. He told the world she would not be prosecuted. Keep in mind, it was Peter Strzok who changed the words "grossly negligent" to "extremely careless" when Comey made his first statement about Mrs. Clinton's actions as Secretary of State.

Why is that important? Had Mrs. Clinton been found "grossly negligent" she would have been guilty of a felony. Indeed, she was guilty of a felony. Also keep in mind it was the job of Attorney General Loretta Lynch, not FBI Director James Comey, to determine who would or would not be prosecuted.

4. In October 2016, more than 600,000 Clinton emails were found on former Congressman Anthony Weiner's computer (which he shared with his wife, long-term Clinton aide Uma Abedin) while Weiner was being investigated for "sexting" with a

minor.

5. James Comey had to announce that he was re-opening the Clinton investigation. He had no choice because the information had gotten into the hands of the New York Police Department and so was no longer under the exclusive control of the FBI or DOJ.

Perhaps Comey was looking for an insurance policy at the SEC. The timing is interesting. Hodgman-Strzok was appointed to her position as Associate Director of the Enforcement Division of the SEC just three weeks before the presidential election and just hours after the Weiner emails were discovered. It appears Hodgman-Strzok has oversight over which securities and financial crime cases get forwarded to the FBI and DOJ for prosecution. Mrs. Hodgman-Strzok heads the SEC's International Division.

6. Have you wondered why action against Hillary Clinton moves at 0 mph? Bear in mind, a great many of the transactions involving the Clinton Foundation involve international financial transactions and investment vehicles. Is the SEC Inspector General investigating any irregularities involving the SEC's interactions with the Clinton Foundation? It does not appear so.

WHO IS LISA H. BARSOOMIAN

1. She has been called "...the Guardian of the Deep State's Secrets Gate." If it's true, it is a well-earned nickname. Why? Try researching her on the Internet! She doesn't have a shadow of a presence. It is obvious that many things about her have been removed.

2. She is referred to as "the cleaner" in the one available Internet document ("cleaner" meaning a person who knows how to destroy evidence of a crime). The document which makes the statement does not provide specific reference material and supplies a lot of potential gossip about Ms. Barsoomian so I

mention it here only as a aside.

3. Her Deep State Husband is Assistant Attorney General Rod Rosenstein.

As for the one document on the Internet that I find a bit suspicious: There is too little specific information and too many general comments. I don't like "anonymous sources." I did go to some federal court cases in which Mrs. Barsoomian-Rosenstein was mentioned as having taken part but the public documents have been removed from public access.

4. One document is reliable. It is the online bio about Assistant Attorney General Rosenstein. It states that Rosenstein is married to Lisa Barsoomian, an Armenian-American lawyer. It also says that as a government attorney she defended cases for Bill Clinton, Colin Powell, various Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) cases and the "Carnivore" surveillance system (which monitors and captures e-mail).

I believe when she defended the FOIA cases she defended the FBI for rejecting them.

5. The Rosenstein bio says Mrs. Barsoomian-Rosenstein has been practicing law for 24 years and she works for the National Institute of Health (NIH).

I doubt that's where she works. Internet information indicates Mrs. Barsoomian-Rosenstein is/was affiliated with another lawyer named R. Craig Lawrence who, according to cases listed on Mr. Lawrence's Web site has represented Robert Muller three times, James Comey five times, Barack Obama 45 times, Kathleen Sebelius 56 times, Bill Clinton 40 times and Hillary Clinton 17 times. Another source says that between 1998 and 2017 Barsoomian herself represented the FBI at least five times.

6. Of all the Deep State husbands I researched, this one is the most chilling. She is probably a bit like her smug

husband with that smirk on his face as he was interviewed by a legitimate Congressional Committee (not that Congress is much to write home about).

WHO IS SABINA MENSCHEL?

1. Sabina Menschel is the current head of Nardello & Company, a Washington, D.C. detective agency. Internet sources say she is a 1999 Harvard graduate and her resume indicates intelligence experience.
2. Her Deep State husband is someone about whom you have not yet heard a lot: Edward William Priestap, assistant director of the FBI Counterintelligence Division since 2015.
3. Bill Priestap was Peter Strzok's supervisor and oversaw both the Russia and Clinton investigations.
4. It is said that Mrs. Menschel-Priestap is one of Washington D.C.'s most feared private spies.

I would point out that husbands and wives cannot be forced to testify against one another. Unless I'm mistaken, that is why Uma Abedin and Anthony Weiner are still married. Buried in all of this mess is a strategy.

I enjoy living too much to say more. I've given you enough information on all of these people for you to do some research on your own.

It's Sunday and I want you to enjoy your weekend – otherwise, I'd tell you about President Trump's current but as yet not approved Supreme Court appointee, Judge Kavanaugh, and his wife, Ashley Estes, secretary to President George W. Bush on September 10, 2001... evacuated from the White House during the 9/11 attacks. The Kavanaughs (who met in the White House) are very close to the Bush family (George W. and Laura attended their wedding).

After writing and researching the above, I thought a great

deal about Martin Luther King's statement that it is far more important to know what we are willing to die for rather than just for what we will live our lives.

The research I gathered about this story (and some of which I did not include in the article) has been shared as a matter of protection. I am not dying of a disease, I rarely drive anywhere (even more rarely on a highway), I am not depressed or suicidal – and I love my country.

Have a blessed Sunday!

© 2018 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Fake News: A Week On The Media's Yellow Brick Road

[8:53 AM – Jun 23, 2018](#) From Sarah Huckabee Sanders to All of Us:

“Last night I was told by the owner of Red Hen in Lexington, VA to leave because I work for [@POTUS](#) and I politely left. Her actions say far more about her than about me. I always do my best to treat people, including those I disagree with, respectfully and will continue to do so.”

The telephone number for the Red Hen Restaurant (and it is



definitelyred)is540-464-4401.Theiremail address was comments@redhenlex.com but they have closed it down for now. It is located at 11 E. Washington St | Lexington, VA 24450. What the owner of the Red Hen, Stephanie Wilkinson, did to Sarah was

so exemplary of typical liberal respect for divergent views and compassion... I may be going to DC. Maybe I'll call from a pay phone and make a reservation.

They are doing their best to tear us apart. In many ways, they are succeeding. Mostly they appear to be losing more ground than they are gaining.

From the long ago day of the original plan to establish a socialist world government, the same tactic has worked and is used over and over again: Divide the population into racial, religious, economic, political, social, and income groups. Then divide those groups into more opposing groups by identifying division within the already divided.



They know that united we stand and divided we fall and they win. Many of the tactics they use to divide us are found in the news they create and sell to the very people who are their targets: Us. For example:

This Week's "News"

"Wah, Wah," the two-year old infant cried – according to Time Magazine, Joe Scarborough at MSNBC and 90 percent of the other

pretend journalists who, in the great liberal tradition of accusing the other guy of what they are doing, called President Trump a Nazi, a child abuser, a child trafficker, and a variety of other utterly noxious insults and lies.

The child was portrayed to the public by the media as having been separated from its mother... a story designed to tear at the heart strings of women. It was totally fabricated; a lie.

Another story said an infant was ripped from the arms of its nursing mother (also a lie). It's amazing Time didn't put the picture of a large breast with a baby's mouth open and seeking to suckle on its cover. Of course, Donald Trump would be laughing somewhere in the background.



Time Magazine can be reached at Rockefeller Center, New York, NY, 10020-1393 or Time Customer Service, P.O. Box 62120, Tampa, FL 33662-2120. For MSNBC contacts: Mr. John Nicol, Chief Executive Officer, MSNBC, One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA, 98052.

Most thinking people are sick of the lies and that it is day-by-day lowering the size of the Democrats' expected big, blue wave in November elections. I think even the non-thinking are sick of it, too. The mentally unstable? Not yet.

But the two-year old crying infant, Yanella, cried for reasons other than those portrayed by the media... the same media that doesn't understand why the public doesn't trust it. We don't trust it because it is untrustworthy. It makes up stories that suit its political ideologies rather than writing actual news.

The Time Magazine cover shows the two-year old female infant standing – looking helpless but curious – in front of the tall President Trump. Time chose a red-backed cover (suggesting confrontation).

Time admits it got the story wrong but believes its lying cover is justified because it brought attention to illegal alien children being separated from their illegal alien parents (all of whom have violated American laws and are deserving of far more sympathy than American children living in poverty). What Time is saying is that it is okay to lie to you if it gets your attention. Remember that.

The two-year old – Yanella – is a migrant from Honduras and her father says his daughter was never separated from her mother after being caught by the U.S. Border Patrol. Though she has become the face of the “separating children from family” anti-Trump news coverage, the two-year old was, according to her father, never separated from her mother.

Instead, he says, his daughter and her mother are together in U.S. custody at “a family residential center in Texas.” The mother was deported for illegal entry into the U.S. in 2013 – a repeat offender – and the father, Denis Javier Varela Hernandez, told the [UK Daily Mail](#) that his wife, Sandra, took their daughter on the dangerous journey after paying a coyote \$6,000 to escort them. Sandra says she has always wanted to experience “the American dream” and hoped to find a good job in the States... not a valid reason to be granted asylum (and if she can afford to pay a coyote \$6,000, she can afford to go home).

All of the people who read a newspaper, listen to television news or read magazines had to tolerate media lies about this noxious nonsense for an entire week so liberals could satisfy their huge egos by trying to make Donald Trump look bad. I don't know how long it's going to take them to figure out it's not Donald Trump who looks bad.

Obama's Record:

Trying to find equally noxious stories about former President Barrack Hussein Obama yields little media input – even though they wouldn't have to lie about abuses.

A recently-settled lawsuit against the government was filed by an illegal Mexican alien "John Doe." Obama, the media's hero, actually presided over an administration that did far worse than anything they imagined and presented as news about President Donald Trump.

[According to Breitbart](#), the [lawsuit](#) charges that Obama's administration abused migrant youths as young as 14 who were tied up, left naked in solitary confinement, and were denied health treatment while being held at a juvenile detention center in Virginia in 2015 and 2016.

The interesting thing about lies is they always come back and bit you in the butt. It used to be that by the time truth got out of bed, lies had already traveled the world. Today's technology has changed that. The Democrats and the Democrat-owned media haven't figured that out yet.

Click on the lawsuit link above and read it. The lawsuit was settled in January.

Another "News" Story From Last Week:

Pee-tah as in Fonda. Talk about a nothing burger with no fries. If we ever needed more [evidence](#) of the Satanic grip on Hollywood, he provided it. Anyone who can imagine the things he said is sick... actually, evil. The evidence link includes the response of conservative actor James Woods who provides Fonda's actual Tweets.

Pee-tah (has he made a movie since Easy Rider?) said that President Donald Trump's 12-year old son, Barron, should be kidnapped and locked in a cage with pedophiles.

He thinks Secretary of Homeland Security Kirstjen Nielsen should be beaten and raped in public. He called for Sarah Huckabee Sanders' children to be taken from her.

Pee-tah believes Customs agents (ICE), Border Patrol members and their children should be stalked and harassed and suggests hacking government computers to get ICE agent home addresses so "they" can surround them in protest. He wants to find out what schools their children go to and surround the schools in protest. Obviously, this is not about children... it's about Pee-tah's dreams of pedophilia, rape, kidnapping, hacking – all suggestive of power need dreams.

I thank God for Melania Trump's cool head and her call to the Secret Service. In most jurisdictions it is against the law to threaten a minor and criminal charges should be filed. Fonda will say he only *suggested* Barron be kidnapped and locked in a cage with pedophiles but the fact that he had thoughts of what should be done to the boy after he was kidnapped implies a plan rather than a suggestion.

The FBI will probably have trouble with that concept... they cannot determine the difference between bias and a plan.

The media calls it "Trump Derangement Syndrome." I call it "Fear of Trump Derangement Syndrome." Those who have been getting away with their totally amoral, malignant lifestyles and who are public figures imposing their sick thoughts on the rest of us are terrified of Donald Trump. They should be. I wish I could give you an address for this maniac but it's unavailable. Maybe one of those old Hollywood maps sold to tourists?

Secretary Kirstjen Nielsen, Another "News" Story:

Poor Homeland Security Secretary Kirstjen Nielson couldn't even eat a taco in privacy. Allison Hrabar, a [Department of Justice](#) employee (the DOJ, you heard me right) verbally attacked the Homeland Security Secretary as she tried to grab

a bite to eat at a Washington, D.C. Mexican food restaurant. Sheer irony... Mexican food.

Allison Hrabar is employed by the Department of Justice as a paralegal. If Attorney General Jeff Sessions allows this maniac to continue working for the DOJ it will squelch any doubts anyone has as to his qualification to serve as Attorney General because the mob that attacked Secretary Nielsen and her husband at that restaurant were filled with rage and appeared unable to control themselves. The U.S. Department of Justice address is 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001. The DOJ telephone number is (202) 514-2000. It's always a good idea to be polite – unless you were raised by the Hrabar family.

Hrabar's Twitter address is @allisongeroi. I tell you that not to encourage you to contact her (in fact I think you should ignore her). I merely want to point out that the word "geroi" (at the end of her address) means "hero" in Russian. It suggests Hrabar may go beyond socialist to communist in her beliefs.

I wonder if being a socialist is required to be employed by the DOJ?

Ms. Hrabar is evidently part of a group called Democratic Socialists of America and several members of the group shouted obscenities at Nielsen and her husband while the couple sat quietly at a table. The couple left without dinner to avoid further incident.

Feeling good about her inane behavior, Hrabar went on Twitter to share her excitement about the confrontation. When contacted by *The Washington Examiner* on Wednesday, Hrabar defended her attack against Nielsen saying she was exercising her First Amendment rights.

Maybe that's the way they do things in her home town of Tucson... or at Swarthmore College which charges \$50,822 a year

to graduate mental midgets like this one. This is a good example of a child who was allowed to grow but not mature. There is a difference in being raised and just being allowed to “grow.”

Finally We Have Christopher Wray “News”:

The much heralded Inspector General’s 548 page report finally made its way to Congress and close behind was an appearance by FBI Director (since August 2, 2017) [Christopher Wray](#). The link provides his opening statement to Congress.

It was a disappointing Q&A session with James Comey’s replacement. Wray can be reached at FBI Headquarters, 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20535-0001. The Bureau’s telephone number is (202) 324-3000.

Wray was born in New York City and graduated from Yale University in 1989 and got his law degree from Yale Law School in 1992. Wray worked alongside James Comey and former FBI director [Robert Mueller](#) at the Justice Department during his time with the FBI.

Wray was nominated by President George W. Bush in 2003 as Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Criminal Division. Thus, it is only logical to wonder if he is also a member of Yale’s Skull and Bones. According to the Bible Believers [list of members](#), he is not... but it is known that Skull and Boners support one another.

Here are two links to Congressman Trey Gowdy ([first link](#), [second link](#)) questioning the Inspector General about his investigation which basically said a lot of policy violations occurred but there was no solid evidence of bias. (Talk about the blind leading the blind.)

Christopher Wray grabbed onto the Inspector General’s opinion that there was no solid evidence of FBI bias like it was a lifeline. Here is [Congressman Jim Jordan](#) interviewing FBI

Director Wray... who perceives he has a small problem at the FBI which can be solved with sensitivity training. Wray's performance exemplifies why the FBI is incompetent to investigate its self.

The FBI's problems are far from small and they are far from over. Indeed, they are just beginning.

The chaos is largely created for the specific reason of dividing Americans from one another – it's easier for them to exert power over numerous small groups than one large group. Rather than let them divide us, laugh at it and let it unite us. That people can behave so stupidly is either a reflection of their lack of intelligence, their insanity, or their treasonous treachery.

We need to beware, but we also need to unify against the evil of the monsters that create chaos for the purpose of dividing us.

© 2018 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

An Open Letter To Chairman Kim

Dear Chairman Kim:

Your recent meeting with President of the United States Donald J. Trump brought tears of joy to my eyes. I'm 81 years old and my brother served in the U.S. Navy during the Korean Conflict. He came home safely but so many did not. Your offer to return their remains along with information about our

Missing in Action soldiers is greatly appreciated by all Americans.

So much of the reporting about the meeting between you and my President focuses on your differences. I find hope for the future in the likenesses between your country and mine.

You have recently come to power and have inherited past problems not of your own making. Donald Trump faces this same burden. It requires strength of character to take the actions necessary to implement meaningful change. I know Donald Trump has the character required to implement the changes America needs. I don't know you and cannot say the same thing, but your Singapore appearance was impressive. I will pray that you continue to seek a new and prosperous future for North Korea.

I observed last week that you terminated the services of formerly trusted advisors who could not support the steps you are taking... steps required to make needed change for your nation's growth and opportunity.

President Trump has faced and continues to face these same challenges. Both of you find opposition and will continue to find it because change is viewed as a threat to people's existing power bases. Insecure people that surround both of you fear a loss of power. It requires courage to move forward. Fearful but formerly-trusted advisors who oppose plans for progress have played their part in the past. You appear to be replacing them with those who seek a future of positive change and growth. It takes time in our Republic form of government... but we will use the ballot box to do the same. The media and our politicians refer to America as a democracy. We are a republic.

You are a young man and President Trump is twice your age... and that is good. It brings together the expectations of youth and the wisdom of age.

Prior to your leadership in North Korea, your nation promised the world it would take certain actions to formally end the Korean Conflict and make other changes regarding nuclear weapons and abuses of human rights.

North Korea did not fulfill those commitments. As a result, many people around the world do not trust what you now say about these same issues. That is a burden you carry because the people of the world doubt you. I choose to believe you. However, like a former President of the United States, Ronald Reagan, once said: "Trust but verify." It is not your fault that lies were told in the past, but please understand that lies have consequences. Unfortunately, you are the one being forced to bear the consequences of those old lies. If you are truthful, you will prevail.

If your meeting in Singapore and the things to which you and President Trump committed are going to succeed, you must both show by example the advantages of living up to promises made. If the two of you are to lead the world into a new and better way so that many nations with totally different types of government can thrive, it will require a strong commitment to positive progress.

You will hear many mixed messages from those who claim to be our allies. You will hear negatives about your efforts from our media in America and from establishment politicians who fear a loss of power. Please don't believe them.

President Trump is an honest man. He says what he means and means what he says. He is not a game player. All of us who support what Singapore represents know that both of you must be honest and truthful with one another. Because of our political and governmental differences and because of pressures other nations will bring to bear on both of you, it will be tempting to waiver. Success, however, depends on both of you dealing with one another on the basis of truth and understanding.

Most Americans support President Trump. That is an obvious truth because if we did not he would not be our elected President today. We were free to choose many others but we chose him. We chose him to correct past problems. Our media seems to have a hard time understanding that, but it is true.

Because of my advanced age, I have lived through World War Two, Korea, the Cold War with the former Soviet Union, Vietnam, Iraq, and Afghanistan. For the past 30 years, I have watched elected officials ignore our Constitution and make changes to the America I have always known – an America built on honor, commitment to truth, and the civil rights of American citizens – and my country has changed. It took time for American voters to realize the damage being done to us and when we realized what was happening, we elected Donald Trump to be our President.

President Trump commented after meeting you in Singapore that you love your country. That is hard to believe for those of us who read newspapers and watch television news. I have said many times, the American media serves its own purpose and not that of accurately informing the people. We have heard terrible stories of what happens to people in North Korea and saw evidence of it in one young man who died a few days after you returned him to his American home. He had been imprisoned in North Korea. That makes it difficult to understand what is meant when we are told that you love your country. But we trust President Trump. I understand very well love of country and believe it took courage for you to go to Singapore to seek resolution of past problems and to make plans for a bright future. We will try to understand.

Please know that the information you will receive about the wonderful success of the meeting between you and President Trump from America's media does not reflect the opinion of the majority of the American people. Our news outlets reflect the attitudes of people who live in big cities who think their personal opinions rather than facts are newsworthy. Most

Americans pay little or no attention to them. The result of our Presidential election in November 2016 is evidence of who Americans believe and trust.

In 1991, I spent two weeks in Singapore training bankers on how to make special loans to independent business owners. Singapore bankers (and South Korean and Malaysian bankers) have used that information to prosper their business communities. United Overseas Bank in Singapore (UOB) was a client of mine and I enjoyed the time I spent in Beijing before going to Singapore. I loved the time I spent in your part of the world. It is beautiful!

The purpose of my letter is to ask you to believe and trust President Trump and to ignore the words of our media, the media of the European Union nations, and elected officials worldwide who make stupid statements reflective of stupid, fearful minds. They want things to stay the same. They are relics of the past. What Singapore represents is an opportunity for a positive future.

Another purpose of my letter is to ask you to believe and trust the majority of the people of America who elected President Trump. We believe in his honesty and truthfulness and in his ability to rid our nation of those whose abusive power wanes daily. They are frightened – and they should be. They are losing their power because their effort to diminish our sovereignty as a nation is failing.

You impressed many Americans by your actions in Singapore. We want to believe and support what you and President Trump have begun.

Please continue walking the road of righting old wrongs. Most Americans are very hopeful for your successful steps thus far and wish you well in bravely taking future steps. I hope you want the same thing for those of us who approve of this great beginning and will support our President in achieving the

objectives established during this historic first meeting.

Should you choose to respond to my letter, my email address is listed below.

Sincerely,

Marilyn MacGruder Barnewall

© 2018 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Trump, Congress And The Deep State: Who's Driving Your Bus?

One of the best books I've read this past year is "[Dear America: Who's Driving the Bus?](#)" by Linda Goudsmit (Contrapoint Publishing). I would recommend it to anyone who wants to understand school shootings, millions of abortions, fatherless homes, the Deep State, today's social chaos, and just about any other new millennium societal problem. I wish I had read the book before I married... certainly before I had children. It would be great input for a first-time voter, too.

As Goudsmit explains it, the "Bus" is you. It's me. It's the narcissistic amoral teenager who killed 10 and injured 10 people in Santa Fe, Texas last week; it is the narcissistic amoral teenager who has been charged with 17 counts of murder and 17 counts of attempted murder in the February 14 shootings in Parkland, FL. Donald Trump, Bill and Hillary Clinton,

Barack Obama, Nancy Pelosi and all familiar political names have a "Bus." Each of the treasonous Deep State participants who think they know better than the people what's good for America has a "Bus." It is who is carried on each of our busses that make the Never Trumpers think they have the right to undo the results of a democratically-elected President and try to force him by crook and hook to leave office – a coup of which any third world dictator would approve. The "Bus" is each individual liberal who thinks decisions are best made with emotions rather than logical facts and truth – and the "Bus" is each conservative who disagrees.

Goudsmit explains that each of us carries within us the personal hurts and emotional traumas suffered at various times of our lives – mostly from childhood. These personalities, buried so deeply within each of us that they are often totally unknown to us, are passengers on our individual busses. We are unaware that these personalities live within us. When life circumstances create a mirror-like threat that shouts "danger" to one of these trauma-induced personalities from childhood, they often try to take control of the way in which we respond to the perceived threat. If our adult identity chooses to let the emotionally traumatized childhood personality dictate our response to the perceived threat, a child is driving our bus.

Soldiers who suffer PTSD are good examples of how trauma suffered as adults can impact behavior when circumstances sound an alarm. Goudsmit provides the example of a soldier traumatized in battle who returns home and, upon hearing a traffic helicopter overhead perceives he is still on the battlefield and is under attack. Or, he sees a truck on the road and perceives it as an enemy convoy. He may hear a loud noise and react as a soldier on the battlefield rather than an adult civilian relaxing at home whose wife just dropped a large pot on the kitchen floor. He is under attack only in his mind but if he allows the soldier personality which

perceives it is under attack to dominate his adult civilian reality he will behave as a soldier in battle who, in reality, is a civilian in a peaceful setting. People may be hurt if he is unable to acquire a reality-based sense of time. He needs the civilian that he is, not the soldier that he was, to drive his "Bus."

We all drive our own busses and the passengers we carry are the various personalities we've picked up on our journey through life.

Did you know that from the moment of birth, infants are not only helpless and dependent, they are totally self-absorbed? As Goudsmit puts it:

"We exist in a state of fusion, unable to distinguish self from other. The task of childhood is to emerge from this state of total narcissism; the method is learning. The child slowly learns to become an adult by gradually relinquishing the total self-absorption of infancy. The child learns to identify *self* by discovering the reality of *other*. The objective is to grow up and become a responsible adult able to care for another human being so that the cycle of life can continue."

Once we understand the task of childhood is to emerge from the state of total narcissism (which says "I am everything and everything is me") through a process of learning, it should be easy to understand that the job of a parent is to teach the child that others exist in the world who have equal rights to live their lives. If how they want to express their growth experience brings no harm to the self or to the other, the child must accept the other's right to do so. The parent's job is to be done as lovingly as possible but it must be done or the child will never mature into a healthy, happy adult.

And therein lay the core of the problem we have in America.

Goudsmit's book deals with dozens of issues and explains

common sense reasons why so many Americans who are chronologically adult are letting their psychological child drive their "Bus." It would take a book to explain the value of this work. The author makes clear that the primary problem of American society and politics is narcissism... adults who have never gotten past the "I want it, I want it now, give it to me" stage of childhood. As she says: "Society must realize that it is time to say no to the adult narcissists among us if we are to preserve a civilized way of life in America."

Those who think that cleaning the swamp will solve our nation's problems need to think again. Who is there to replace James Comey and Robert Mueller and Rod Rosenstein, Christopher Wray, Andrew McCabe, Bruce Ohr, Sally Yates, John Brennan, Peter Strzok, Loretta Lynch, and all the others? Another group of narcissists who were raised by the same social scientists who believe emotions are more important than the intellect... those who approve of parents giving their authority to raise their children to government, that's who. It is a problem we, as a total society, must recognize and work to correct.

In my [February 25th](#) News With Views article that asked if public school shootings were being used as a tool so guns can be taken from the hands of American citizens I pointed out the importance of character. I perceive lack of character to be the villain in school shootings. It is also the villain in school boards, law enforcement and legislators who are unable to solve the problem without removing all guns from all American hands. Mrs. Goudsmit points out in her book why problems exist in getting those with character within the ranks of executive management at our intelligence agencies and the Department of Justice and within our Congress: The people who fill these positions never shed their narcissistic, childish traits... never became adults. Children will always give in to the lure of power. One of the most difficult parts

of growing to adulthood is the development of character that provides sufficient personal strength to avoid the pitfalls of power abuse that is destructive to others.

When you think of what is required to be a politician it makes a great deal of sense. Narcissists have never psychologically grown to be adults and are children who may be highly-developed intellectually and may hold enormously influential positions. Emotionally, however, they are very underdeveloped. Think for a moment about the kind of personality required to stand in front of large groups of people and tell them why they are the best person to cast their vote for in a coming election. It often takes a narcissist. It takes one to pick up the telephone and call strangers to ask for money to fund their political campaign, too. No wonder we have so many narcissists in Washington, D.C.

It takes a narcissist to think he or she has a right to ignore their vow to uphold the Constitution of the United States and replace the laws that flows from it with his or her own ideas. They totally overlook the blood of America's founders required to write the Constitution and made it sacrosanct for those who would in the future be elected to office to represent the people of this nation. When you see a politician who will not support Donald Trump's announced objectives but has a political party responsibility to do so, you see before you a narcissist. Why? Because no matter your personal beliefs, no matter your political party, he is the person a majority of the people chose to be the President of this nation! When they insult President Trump, they insult us and they violate their Oath of Office.

"What about Donald Trump?" All liberals and progressives will ask that question. "Isn't he a narcissist? He's an egomaniac!"

One of the things I learned during the twenty years I

researched the attitudes of affluent people is this: People who achieve at high levels in life attain a form of self confidence that is often misperceived as egotism. It is certainly misunderstood by those who have not achieved high levels of success because they have not had the opportunity to learn the lessons that come with experience. High achievers have earned their right to a personality that suggests great success because they have achieved at high levels. Those who have not achieved at high levels perceive the attitude as egomania when, instead, it is merely a reflection of their life experiences. That is not to say high achievers do not exhibit their egos periodically. It simply explains that egotism displayed by a very successful person has been earned and is often misunderstood.

By the way, to “achieve at high levels” means becoming highly successful while risking your own personal assets. It does not mean becoming successful because of money left to you by a successful family or by risking the assets of investors, partners, taxpayers, or anyone else’s assets. There are many successful people who attain great success, but “attaining” and “achieving” are two different things. Politicians “attain.” Most corporate executives do, too. Entrepreneurs usually “achieve.”

Linda Goudsmit’s book should be read by every parent, politician, military officer, and corporate executive. It especially should be read by all millennials (who probably won’t learn much from it because so many of them are infected with childhood narcissism).

The last words of wisdom by Goudsmit I will share are these: “How do we achieve a civilized way of life? A civilized society requires its chronologically adult citizens to be developed emotionally. It demands a public and private commitment to the growth process of its children.”

If our children were being exposed to the growth process that

eliminates the narcissism with which they were born, there would be no Santa Fe, Texas; there would be no Parkland, Florida. There would be no Deep State (which I have decided to call a non-constitutional country surreptitiously built within a constitutional country against the will of the people).

© 2018 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

CHAOS: Joshua vs. Jericho And Trump vs. Deep State

Did you know that 53 percent of Americans are either very or somewhat worried about the federal government monitoring or invading their privacy? 24 percent are not too worried, 22 percent are not at all worried and 1 percent don't know if they are worried. They probably live in one of the legalized pot states.

Did you know that according to Joseph Bannister, former IRS investigator, there were 1.3 million cases of identity theft by illegal aliens from 2011-16? In 2017, an additional 1.2 million cases of tax refund fraud occurred as illegal aliens used someone else's Social Security number and filed income tax returns – and got rebates. These represent only the ones who were caught... but Bannister says zero confirmed cases were referred to the Department of Justice or the investigative branch of the IRS for prosecution.

Evidently, you have to be an American citizen to be prosecuted for violating IRS laws. If one of us stole someone else's

identity to get a job or a credit card, or if we filed a phony tax return to get a refund and got caught, we'd be in jail.

That idea tends to support the liberal progressive Democrat belief that illegal aliens are entitled to more consideration by government than Americans born in this country. Dreamers (DACA) are idolized by Democrats.

The IRS is run by liberals. Most government bureaucrats are liberal. When Lois Lerner, director of the Exempt Organizations Unit (501 (c) (3) at IRS, took the Fifth and refused to testify in a Congressional Committee Hearing, Congress has no control over that agency... or any other, if the FBI and Department of Justice are any indication.

Is it any wonder that Americans have no confidence in government? All government seems capable of doing is creating chaos!

Interestingly, a lot of brainiacs who spend their days looking out of think tank windows believe that chaos provides the quickest road to defeat American nationalism and is a quick road to a collectivist new world order. It is well known that people, especially voters, cannot think straight in the midst of chaos. Since Democrats have no viable political platform for the 2018 elections, it appears they have opted for chaos... thus James Comey, Robert Mueller, RussiaBait, etc.

A lot happened in this week's news that pushes the "create chaos" meter forward.

This week's news announced that senior management levels of our taxpayer-funded intelligence agencies have established their own set of rules (and possibly coordinate with rogue intelligence agents around the world) to remove from office a duly elected constitutional President. The Deep State makes a joke of the Constitution they took an Oath to defend from enemies, both foreign and domestic.

Isn't there a penalty for a violation of Oath of Office?

We will likely never know because of a (rare) factual answer provided by Senator Chuck Schumer (D-NY) on MSNBC about whether President Trump's investigation into the Deep State was taking on America's intelligence agencies.

"You take on the intelligence community, they have six ways from Sunday of getting back at you," Senator Schumer said, smiling.

Looking at the evidence (not just opinions, but hard evidence) of the firing of Assistant FBI Director Andrew McCabe a week ago, the FBI seems to think it gets to make its own rules and each agent is free to interpret them and also decide if they will follow them. Constitutionally, however, they are required to follow the rules of the Department of Justice (if anyone is there to enforce them). One would hope the DOJ follows and enforces the laws as defined by our Constitution, but there is little evidence it's being applied to elitists and intelligence operatives.

Also in this week's news, after President Trump signed a budget that included a giant deficit and then placed a huge tariff penalty on Chinese imports, the stock market dropped like a rock and ended the week down more than 7 percent. The market is still far ahead of where it was when Trump took office and the GDP maintains a 3 percent growth level for the first time in many years, but this kind of market reaction is unsettling, to say the least.

Are you aware that Transportation Secretary Elaine Chao's family (wife of Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell) owns a major Hong Kong-based shipping company, Foremost Maritime Corporation, that delivers Chinese goods to America? Was Trump sending the McConnells a hidden message? Whatever his purpose, he enraged his conservative base – and rightfully so. Be patient. There is a chess game going on here.

Millennials and other children learned that Mark Zuckerberg, creator of their personal ego mirror, Facebook, has been sharing their “personal” data (which they post on the worldwide web by choice) with every kind of hoodlum, worldwide. Like Lois Lerner, Zuckerberg thinks he should be the one to determine which political views are made available to the public. He’s in trouble.

In Austin, TX, a 24-year old and very attractive young man with a gentle smile placed bombs on people’s doorsteps – or took them to Federal Express – to kill and maim complete strangers. Neighbors say he was raised by good parents who were very involved in his life and that the family seemed happy and normal in every way.

Did the chaos get to him? So much of our chaotic news and entertainment and computer and cell phone games involve violence! Or was he a victim of the feminist drive to remove male dominant traits from boys and replace them with feminine traits (“either change or take Ritalin”)? Girls are easier to handle. We will never get the answer to that question.

Thousands of mostly young people attended a March for our Lives protest in Washington, D.C., yesterday. They demand stricter gun controls in reaction to the high school shootings in Florida three weeks ago where 17 lives were lost. There were voter registration booths at this function and at 800 other protests scheduled around the country yesterday, according to Stephen Gutowski, a reporter for the *Washington Free Beacon*. Now why do you suppose they wanted to register voters during these protests?

If you want to know for which political perspective these protests were organized, you need only know that those who are financially supporting them include Michael Bloomberg, George Soros, George Clooney, and other well-known liberal progressive Democrats. The dollars provided also helped organize the huge effort to remove guns from the hands of

Americans. I refer you to my [News With Views](#) article on the Florida shooting and gun control.

Since becoming Gun Free Zones, students in our schools have become helpless potential victims for the mentally depraved and people angered beyond reason with life in general. These people are free to walk into any school and start shooting. No one is there to stop them because schools are "Gun Free" zones.

You cannot fault kids or their parents for wanting something done to make schools safe again! America's children are entitled to safe schools!

Who started the concept of Gun Free Zones in our schools?

Former Vice President Joe Biden, a liberal progressive Democrat, introduced the Gun-Free School Zones Act which was signed into law in November of 1990. The Bill prevents any person from knowingly possessing a firearm in a school zone. Joe probably has a Facebook page which he believes is being held in strict confidence by Mark Zuckerberg, too. The Democrats think he would be a good candidate for President in 2020?

I'm waiting for people to tell me why these students aren't protesting about kids who kill far more people while driving and texting than school shootings do. In 2014, 42 percent of teens said they texted while driving. More than half of all road traffic deaths – averaging 3,287 per day – occur among young adults.

I'd like to know when they are going to raise the driving age to 21 or force stricter laws on families with children when they buy a car. Perhaps they could make an engine that automatically turns off when a cell phone is activated in a car?

Moreover, the school shooting deaths are a tiny percentage of

the killing of unborn babies by Planned Parenthood. The 2015-16 report shows 328,348 abortions performed by Planned Parenthood; in 2016, nine children were killed in school shootings. Further, Taxpayers who oppose abortion are forced to give Planned Parenthood \$500 million a year... but opposing death by abortion doesn't get the guns out of American hands.

Perhaps we should call for demonstrations against anyone under age 21 having sex so unwanted pregnancies and resultant dead babies can be eliminated. If such a law were passed, it would be just as effective as a law that takes guns from the hands of law-abiding citizens. Criminals will always get their hands on illegal guns just as healthy teenagers will find a way to have sex if they have not been taught the discipline of how – and why – to avoid it.

The list of problems goes on and on. How about some solutions?

For example, how do we get rid of sanctuary cities?

If the Congress really wanted to get rid of sanctuary cities they could pass a law stating that no city (incorporated or unincorporated) or county or state can declare any population center a sanctuary without a vote of the people. If this were the law of the land, there would be very few sanctuary cities.

Congress doesn't want to eliminate sanctuary cities or it would do so. Your County Commissioners could do the same for your own county.

How about problems at Veterans Administration Hospitals?

Recruit physicians in the private sector to serve one day a week (weekends included) at VA hospitals. Won't that be expensive? No. Rather than paying them a wage, have them multiply the number of patients they treat by the amount of their usual per patient charge in the civilian world. Let them deduct the total annual amount from their income taxes. Physicians always seek good tax shelters. This provides a

good one and is easily verifiable via existing VA patient computer records already in place.

As liberal progressive Democrats keep heaping more and more reasons for chaos upon your head, just keep focusing on finding solutions.

Avoid chaos. They want things to be so chaotic you can't think. When you can't think,, you can easily be defeated. Remember Joshua and what a small group of men did to a large defensive force at Jericho?

The Lord gave us a good example here on how to use chaos to bring down an enemy that is much larger than you. And remember, the name "Trump" comes from those trumpets the Lord told Joshua to blow as his small contingent marched around the walls of Jericho... and the walls fell.

Those who want to end American nationalism in favor of world government are using chaos against us. They want you to throw your arms in the air and give up – let your walls come tumbling down. Ignore them and avoid chaos.

You also might want to spend some time thinking about how Donald Trump's behavior in response to liberal chaos creates its own force. When he speaks, liberal Democrats become chaotic.

There is a purpose for all things.

© 2018 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: marilynmacg@juno.com

Trump Is Right On Trade

Dr. Walter Williams was the first guest on Mark Levin's new show on Fox News last week on Sunday night. It was a fabulous show. We should pay attention to many comments Dr. Williams made, but one statement stands out as a basic philosophy we all need to heed.

He said those who think security is more important than freedom will get little of either; and, those who think freedom is more important than security will find both.

Keep that in mind as you read this article. It is important... and it will likely make you aware of your own personal priority structure. Which do you place first? Do you prefer security or freedom? We would all like to have both, but if you had to choose, which would it be?

Other questions you need to ask yourself as you read this article and listen to supposed experts on the subject of President Trump's imposed tariffs on steel and aluminum include the following:

1. What nation is the biggest threat to America's world leadership?
2. Which nation in the world controls North Korea and its threats of nuclear war against America?

The answer to both questions is: China. Russia is significant and is not to be ignored, but China has a population of 1.4 billion and the Russian population is about 1/3 the size of America's.

This final question is one that will indicate whether or not you have any common sense.

Is your nation (in this case America) dependent for defense materiel like aluminum and steel on the nation that is the

greatest threat to our security internationally? China produces 1.7 billion tonnes of steel annually. The answer to the question is “yes.” Is America’s ability to respond to threats of – or indeed an actual attack of – war impacted by this? The answer to that question is also yes.

That should give you some idea of the importance of the actions regarding tariffs on steel and aluminum taken by President Trump last week. It was interesting to watch Utah politicians react negatively to the tariffs (which will stimulate steel industry jobs in their state and others). Follow the money. Look up the history of Geneva Steel and Anderson Development and the intended use of Geneva’s 1,750 acres by Anderson. Interesting stuff.

The U.S. imports most of its steel from Canada but much of Canada’s steel is owned by investors in India. We need to remember how 20 percent of our uranium ended up in the hands of the Russians when Hillary Clinton arranged to sell it to a “Canadian” company which was owned by Russia (which bought the uranium from the “Canadian” company). Eighty-eight percent (88%) of Canada’s steel is shipped to the United States so it is unlikely that Justin Trudeau and the Canadian government will be happy with the tariffs.

The U.S. is the largest importer of steel and aluminum in the world. What are two of the most needed things required to produce weapons of war? Steel and aluminum.

There is little doubt that the cost of goods will increase because of the tariffs, but how much? According to Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross, the increased cost for a can in which to deliver the beer you drink will be one cent. How much the beer companies will increase the price, telling you it is because of the increased cost of aluminum, is a different issue. One cent per can is the actual cost.

According to Andrew Kenningham, chief global economist at

Capital Economics, “The direct impact on the global economy of the tariffs President Trump announced yesterday would be minimal. But the fact that they are being justified under a flimsy pretext of national security increases the risks of retaliation,” Kenningham says.

We can tell from Kenningham’s title – chief global economist – whether he is a globalist or a supporter of American sovereignty (and German, French, British, and other European nations’ sovereignty, too).

“Steel is needed for the military” is a flimsy pretext for President Trump’s decision to impose tariffs on steel and aluminum? Let’s take a look at reality.

An aircraft carrier contains 47,000 tons of structural steel and serves as home to 6,000 Navy personnel.

We have all heard the stories – well, those of us who pay attention to things like this have heard – how military jets have crashed because they do not have access to new parts. According to [a recent article by James Hasik](#), senior fellow at the Scowcroft Center on International Security, however, all segments of America’s domestic steel industry contribute directly or indirectly to the defense of our nation.

“Whether it is missiles, jet aircraft, submarines, helicopters, Humvees or munitions, American-made steels and specialty metals are crucial components of U.S. military strength. Steel plate is used in the bodies and propulsion systems of the naval fleet. The control cables on virtually all military aircraft, including fighter jets and military transport planes, are produced from steel wire rope. In addition, land-based vehicles such as the Bradley Fighting Vehicle, Abrams Tank and MRAP vehicles use significant amounts of steel.”

President Trump has sworn to strengthen our military. It starts with tariffs on steel and aluminum so that our own

production of these key metals can become instantly available to us should we need them for our national defense.

I understand that under an anti-military administration like Barack Obama's that steel is considered "a flimsy pretext," but under a President who recognizes that America is not just an ordinary country and who vows to put "America First," it is not a flimsy anything. The military uses it for everything from nuclear submarines to Patriot and Stinger missiles and armor plate for tanks and field artillery. Military aircraft and our fleet of ships is also dependent upon it.

Under President Obama, our military was reduced in size and necessary goods to support it... in ships available to defend us, in aircraft available to defend us, and in all ground troop equipment. President Trump is making sure we are able to defend ourselves but, more important, is making sure we are sufficiently strong militarily so other nations will think more than twice before attacking us.

Remember under whose American administrations North Korea became a nuclear threat to us: Bill Clinton, George W. Bush, and Barack Obama. President Trump is working hard and is playing catch up before Kim Jong-un achieves his nuclear missile delivery capabilities.

In an April 2017 memo from President Trump to Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross, Trump asked Ross to consider whether "steel is being imported into the United States in such quantities or under such circumstances as to threaten to impair the national security." Thus, while the media is portraying the Trump tariff decision as an instant, reactionary action, it's untrue. The President has been looking into this issue for a year.

What's the old saying about the penalty we pay if we forget history? We are bound to repeat it.

I was alive during World War II... a child, but I remember it

well. I recall that for Japan to sustain its war effort in China, it needed territories that produced oil, iron for steel-making and other natural minerals (which Japan lacked). Japan seized oil fields in Indonesia, Malaya (as it was then known), and Burma. The military-industrial results tell the story. During the war, Japan produced 70,000 aircraft; the U.S. produced 300,000 aircraft and ten times more munitions.

Trade wars are not pleasant events. We can plan on an increase in the cost of some goods while President Trump keeps his promise to keep American as his primary consideration for any action he takes. For too long, emphasis has been on industries profiting at the expense of our national security. It will take a short period of time for the pendulum to swing away from industrial profits back to the center of the scale where it belongs. It is not as if the President has a choice. This is the only way to make his economic policies work for the people instead of against them and in favor of industry.

The stock market has been over-valued for a long time. In other words, the price per share is often greater than companies deserve based on actual productivity. Don't worry about the initial reaction – downfall – in the stock market. I believe it will right itself quickly. We may pay more for goods shipped from Europe. China may increase its prices for consumer goods where it knows will be the most painful for lower and middle class Americans. That, too, will have a relatively short life because China's entire economy is based on its exports. That fact controls how high they can increase costs. If it gets sufficiently high so the American people stop buying their goods, it will be too costly for them to continue. The Chinese economy is big, but it is not in good health at the moment. President Trump could not have found a better time to take these actions. Are you going to feel some economic pain? Probably... but it's far better than slavery to government and it won't last long.

For those who hate capitalism, you might want to learn an economic lesson here. The greatest weakness of socialist and communist nations is their lack of being able to develop a middle class. Socialism and communism are two class systems... the elite and labor. The lack of a middle class creates an economic problem: Their system of economics (socialism, communism) prevents them from creating a class of people who can afford to buy products made in their own nation. That, in turn, requires the socialist/communist economy to be totally dependent upon exporting its goods to other nations who can afford to buy them. That is China's current problem.

All of the college students out there who think socialism is cool will, undoubtedly, enjoy their status as laborers after graduating from college. The elite class in socialist and communist nations is very limited to the extremely wealthy and since the only other class available is labor, welcome to the realities of socialism!

“Any man who thinks he can be happy and prosperous by letting the Government take care of him; better take a closer look at the American Indian.” Henry Ford

Trump is right on this issue – though by the end of the week you will hardly be able to believe it based on all of the fake news about his tariffs you're about to hear.

© 2018 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Are The School Shootings Being Used As A Tool To Disarm The Population?

VIOLENCE AND PUBLICITY

“I’m going to be a professional school shooter.”

That was what Nikolas Cruz said on You Tube. A man in Mississippi saw the Cruz statement and did what the FBI, President Trump, and the Justice Department encourages all of us to do. He saw something and he said something about it – to the FBI. He reported the Cruz You Tube statement that he intended to be a professional school shooter.

As it turns out, there were several notifications from the public to law enforcement about Nikolas Cruz. Because no one listened almost 20 young people with all of the potential in the world were killed. The FBI has apologized for its errors. That statement made me ill.

The FBI contacted and interviewed the man in Mississippi and decided the information he provided “was not prosecutable” and investigated the matter no further. Perhaps they were too busy trying to find Russian connections to Donald Trump. They just didn’t have time to identify someone who felt powerless and chose to prove he wasn’t by killing students at a school where he was once a student.

Alicia Blonde is a teacher at Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School and teaches many of its 3,000 students. She was interviewed on Fox News last week. She too made me ill as I listened to her words that made clear she is one of the blind progressive liberals who just cannot see the core of the problem causing violence in America.

“It’s guns. It’s mental illness.” No one seems to see the culture that has grown up around the world of technology. Children no longer have real relationships with friends. They have Facebook. Writing a message to someone on a computer or a cell phone isn’t the same as playing games or eating dinner with them or telling them your real secrets.

We can identify the timing of the change in our culture from non-violence to violence. It happened about the same time we removed God from our schools. As long as there were firm moral guidelines in place that told everyone do unto others as we want done to us we didn’t have violence. We had childhood exuberance... which if you are a male has been toned down by drugs. The feminists who run our school boards want boys to become girls (easier to handle). They have largely succeeded.

Like most progressives, Blonde seeks simple solutions to complex problems. You would think she might have learned from her own background. Her parents brought her to America when they fled Cuba where no one is allowed to own a gun. She should know that guns are not the problem. The people who wield guns are the problem.

She reminds me of the liberal Californians who move to other states because liberal policies ruined that state. They immediately support political candidates who implement the same kind of policies that caused California to become a nightmare from which people seek escape.

Are people just stupid?

We have had guns in this country from the first day a Pilgrim set foot on American soil. For almost 400 years we had no school shootings. We had very little violence until the last 50 years.

Facts like that do not penetrate thick skulls like Blonde’s and her progressive friends. That piece of factual history does not cause the “let’s take the guns from people” crowd to

ask "Why did we go from 1607 when Jamestown was founded until 1990s when the Columbine high school shooting in Littleton occurred started all this violence? What has caused school shootings to occur with periodic regularity ever since?"

"What has changed and when did that change occur?"

There are several answers, but it is much easier for the limited logic skills of progressives to say the words "gun control is needed." It is a stupid, short-sighted, emotional and non-factual answer to a problem progressives seem incapable of grasping: It's called "human nature."

Throughout history, people have reacted violently when very specific things happen.

1. When government becomes abusive, a sense of tyranny permeates social attitudes. When citizens observe government abusing their power it becomes acceptable in their minds to abuse others; especially for children. They learn by example. Government tyranny creates a social order dependent on a survival-of-the-fittest mentality.
2. When firm guidelines of moral behavior are removed from the social order, people push the envelope as far as possible. We see that in the Middle East today. Because Sharia Law makes violent behavior acceptable – it's okay for men to abuse women; it's okay to lie to non-Muslims, it's okay to kill non-Muslims. Violence is part of their culture (if that can be called culture).

Has it ever dawned on anyone that in those specific environments tyrant leaders like Iraq's Saddam Hussein and Libya's Muammar Gaddafi and Syria's Bashar Hafez al-Assad are the only form of power that prevents violence in Islamic societies? They are not sufficiently advanced socially to handle freedom. It is a world that encourages violence!

The schools where teachers, most of whom are liberal, teach

the “beauties of this peaceful religion” would get fired if they taught the peaceful, loving and individualist philosophy of Jesus Christ.

3. In a country where its founders wrote laws to govern the nation on the basis of their Christian faith and when the people of the nation are not served by strong churches they seek leadership elsewhere. Because no one in their church tells them anything that might be considered politically incorrect and those who define what is incorrect are liberals, people ignore what is best and seek what is easiest. Easiest and better are not the same. Better requires a willingness to do the right thing rather than the easiest thing. But to do the right thing, someone has to set standards of right and wrong. The people can then begin to see things in black and white rather than amoral grey. Without leadership, people seek gratification rather than fulfillment. The latter requires commitment and character. The former does not.
4. People get used to “easy” rather than “better.” But not all things are easy. The loss of a national election that everyone thought would be easy to win hit hard people who have little character and who have been spoiled by getting what they want. If they want something, they feel entitled to it. They vote for people who promise the biggest something for nothing deals. Thus, there is no character in the political forum, there is little meaningful character in the nation’s churches, and people will follow almost anyone who declares himself or herself to be a leader. As Robert Mueller’s report evidences, they willingly (enthusiastically) follow those whose primary objective is to cause chaos among voters.

As was made clear last week, all of the people who showed up for pro and anti Trump demonstrations held in New York on the

same day were following Russian disinformation specialists whose objectives included injecting violence into American elections. So much for New Yorkers being more sophisticated than the rest of the country.

Character – and lack of it – matters. In their frustration, people have become violent. President Donald Trump is telling people with no character that they must develop it to survive – and it scares them to death. It motivates violence among the mentally and emotionally unstable which clearly defines the personalities of liberal progressives. They are so unreasonable in their thinking as to believe guns are the problem rather than the general lack of character that permeates our society.

What does a lack of character in politicians, corporate CEOs, and church leaders mean? It means a willingness to sell one's vote or products or religion on the basis of what people like rather than what people need.

I know it's a hard word to deal with: Truth. They need truth!

What does a lack of leadership based on a lack of character cause?

It causes young people who feel powerless to seek a way to matter. They aren't in control because government has become God. There is no greater drive in the physical world than the need to have your life matter. You know you'll get your picture on the front page of the newspaper if you pick up a gun to kill other people.

The truth is, if guns are not available those who feel they don't matter will start fires to kill people. Or they will use poison. Or they will use explosives. Why? Because they gain fame which they think makes them matter.

The problem is not guns. It is a society that is permeated by

“me, me, me” and a total lack of character. We have two generations raising children who haven’t got a clue as to what good parenting requires. The result is a generation of spoiled brats unable to handle problems because their mommy solved problems for them rather than letting them learn life’s lessons. Women who felt liberation meant getting what they wanted in life. They decided they wanted a baby to love (me, me, me) and were never told that having a baby is about the baby. not them.

Since 2012, the number of people who have been killed in school shootings is 239.

On the other side of the coin, in 2010 alone, 230 people were shot while committing a felony. From 2007 through 2011, the total number of firearms used for self-protection were 338,700. That means at least a half-million lives were saved because people owned a gun. That statistic comes from the New York Times. According to the National Rifle Association, self-protective gun use is much higher. The NRA states that just owning a gun can dissuade someone from attacking your person or your home.

So liberal logic goes like this: To save 239 people from school shootings, we must sacrifice the 338,700 that saved themselves by having access to a gun.

They find that acceptable and enthusiastically support it. They are idiots!

Every year guns have killed innocent people in the hands of those who have NOT been taught by their parents, schools, churches, politicians and business leaders how to develop character. They have certainly been given no example of character outside of the home (and often inside the home as well). They are not taught to stand up and fight for themselves in school yards. Rather, they are told to adopt a fetal position and to wait for help to arrive. They are

taught that when they lose a contest they really are not losers but are winners for participating. They are given a ribbon (which denigrates the value of the ribbons of the winners). They are told they matter when, until they do something worthy of mattering, they matter little. Kids believe what they are told.

And liberal progressives think guns are responsible for shootings rather than the frustrated people who cannot deal with their problems because no one has taught them what the rules are or about morality?

It is as if school shootings are being used as a tool to get the guns out of the hands of the people. It is as if planned chaos is being used for the same reason... like tying up FBI agents' time looking for proof that Donald Trump is guilty of something – anything – that involves the Russians. That, of course, would only happen if our Justice Department were trying to destroy the nation.

Get Trump and get our guns. That's all they care about. And they will continue to let innocents be murdered because of their closed minds. It's the way they fight their battles: Dirty and with lots of publicity sure to follow.

God bless the parents of the children and the adults and their families killed in Florida. He is already blessing the dead. We are all losers of the potential they brought to this life.

© 2018 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Black Friends: Please Wake Up

"It (self-esteem) cannot be replaced by one's power to deceive. The self-confidence of a scientist and the self-confidence of a con man are not interchangeable states, and do not come from the same psychological universe. The success of a man who deals with reality augments his self-confidence. The success of a con man augments his panic." John Galt, Ayn Rand's hero in Atlas Shrugged.

The news the past few days has been about Donald Trump's supposed verbiage used to describe nations known by all civilized societies to be hell holes. The President theoretically used a different word... but I wouldn't believe anything Democrat Senator Durbin from Illinois said if my life depended on it.

There were six members of Congress in the room. Dick Durbin is the only one who heard President Trump say "s**t holes." I find it interesting that Durbin had nothing to say about Barrack Obama when he called Libya a "s**t show." There is evidently a difference in Durbin's mind between a "s**t hole" and a "s**t show."

In this instance, Donald Trump is the realist to whom John Galt refers; Dick Durbin is the con man... and panic motivates this kind of behavior, as Rand's John Galt said it would.

The fact is, Trump is right in his assessment. And it has nothing to do with race. It has everything to do with poverty, dependency, violence, poor health, and the drain the natives of hell holes place on our economy when millions of them enter our country illegally every year.

If one seeks truth, one cannot ignore a significant fact about which no one speaks. It is this: All of the nations of the world being invaded are white-dominated societies; all of the invaders are non-whites. If that simple fact makes me a

racist, so be it. It makes the fact racist, too.

A second fact is this: The only race of people in history that has consistently avoided or quickly thrown off the bonds of slavery is the white race. This may lead you to recognize a third fact.

That third fact is this: It is a distinct possibility that the reason all white nations on this planet are being invaded by non-white populations is not because the invaders are in any way inferior. Nor is the invasion of white worlds occurring because corrupt politicians worldwide want to hold out a compassionate hand to those in need. It's quite possible the invasion is because as long as whites dominate by number any nation, it is impossible to enslave that nation. And the world government theme is based on precisely that: enslaving the labor class to serve an elitist class... the planned oligarchy. History tells us that non-whites do not defend against slavery as determinedly as whites do. It's as simple as that. Pick up a history book and check it out.

Over the years, I have learned that when progressive liberals flood the front pages of the liberal media with flashy, sexy, divide and conquer headlines, it is because they are hiding what is really occurring.

What you are hearing about Donald Trump calling some nations "s**t holes" really has no significance relative to what is really going on. The Democrats have no issue on which they can win an election and their issue has become telling any lie they can about President Donald Trump and finding every way they can to play the race card. It's all they have... and it is a deplorable card to play.

Think about some inconvenient facts with which liberal progressives are now wrestling... a very difficult conflict. Answer these questions:

1. On whom do Democrats rely to win elections at both the

state and federal level? Answer: Black people.

2. To whom is the Democrat Party now giving all of its attention? Answer: Illegal aliens, most of whom are Hispanic.

If there is any way to support illegal aliens, progressive liberals are for it... from no wall, to an international lottery which lets nations with societies that are the hell holes send the worst of their problem makers to America, to making the "Dreamers" (DACA) sound like the most honorable and hard-working people in the world. To hear Democrats talk about those who come to America illegally is to hear them talk about how they are serving in our military to protect America. There are some who do and they are to be commended above and beyond the normal "thank you for your service" statements that are sincerely given civilians to members of our military.

The fact is: Only 7/10ths of one percent of non-Americans serve in our military. So when you hear Democrats make it sound like half of our military personnel are illegal aliens, it's a huge lie... excrement from male cattle. The fact is, 21 percent of those behind bars in federal prisons are illegals. Many are anything but contributors to American society.

3. The above facts create a problem for Democrat liberals and progressives. They are giving full attention and support to those who are Hispanic, illegals who cannot vote, and are ignoring their Black constituents on whom they depend to win elections. Oops.

What startles me is that the Black population is allowing itself to be drawn into this political conflict of interest. Those they elect to support Black communities support illegal aliens who cannot vote (legally, at any rate) and are ignoring those who can and on whom they rely to win elections. It's not the smartest strategy in the world and Republicans have an

opportunity to play the race card against the Democrats (if they're smart enough to know how without offending people).

Within the first year of Barack Obama's presidency, the Black unemployment rate hit 16.8% and it stayed high during his entire administration.

In the first 8 months of the Trump Presidency, Black unemployment is down to 6.8 percent, its lowest level in many years.

Black family income fell by more than \$900 a year under Obama. Within just 8 months of Trump's presidency, Black family income is up more than \$1,000 – that is a \$1,900 per family net turn-around.

Will our Black population wake up, open its eyes and realize that Democrats are abusing them by favoring illegal aliens? Democrat abuse usually comes in the form of making promises that are lies and then ignoring them – but this is more apparent and it is worse.

Who is taking jobs away from Blacks? Why, it's none other than the same people white workers have complained are taking jobs from them: Illegal Hispanic aliens.

It was made pretty clear on Laura Ingraham's radio show last week when Black men from Chicago, Atlanta and a few other large cities called to complain that jobs normally filled by Black men are now being filled by lower-paid illegal aliens.

Democrats evidently believe the most effective way to keep Black eyes on Donald Trump as the racist problem rather than Democrat policies is to invent ways to call the President a racist and to tell their Black constituents that the President is insulting nations with Black populations... like Haiti, Sudan, Somalia, and El Salvador. Here are some stats from those nations. published by Laura Ingraham on her Fox News broadcast last Friday:

El Salvador

Its capitol has the highest murder rate in the world;
Cities and towns are among the most dangerous on the planet;
40% of migrants deported from the US were fleeing violence;

Somalia

5.5 million people are at risk of getting cholera;
Is a safe haven for terrorists;
ISIS has established a faction there;
Is one of the largest sponsors of terrorism.

Sudan

Is on the list of State Sponsors of Terrorism (1 of 4 countries);
Poverty rate 50.6%;
Over one-third of the population lacks access to food.

Whenever a Democrat's lips are moving and the comments being made involve race, look for a racial crisis Democrats are responsible for but are hiding from public view.

The only thing Democrat liberals and progressives understand is divide and conquer. The problem is, they have painted themselves into a corner from which it will be very difficult to extricate themselves. Their policies that support the illegal immigration of Hispanics into America are destroying employment opportunities for their most loyal voters: Black people. Not very smart... but who would expect anything else from the Dick Durbins of the world? The panic of the con man, as John Galt said it would, is becoming apparent.

President Trump is right about immigration, legal and illegal. America needs to make sure that those who come to our shores are capable of supporting themselves and have the potential to add, not detract, from our society. I don't care what color they are, I don't care what religion they are (or

are not). They need to migrate legally, want to become American, be productive, and be loyal to their new country.

The days when the American economy can afford to support the world are long gone... and the lies Democrats tell about the positive impact illegal aliens have on the American economy are, too. Many do make a positive contribution... but the percentage of social welfare given to illegal families is very, very high (some say as high as 80 percent).

Wake up, my Black friends. It is you the Democrat policies are hurting most!

© 2018 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Tis The Day Before Christmas

Love. Family. Friends. Children. Santa Claus. Giving and Receiving. Food. Lights and other decorations.

To many people, these words define Christmas. They are correct but miss the reason for the season.

To many, Christmas is about an infant born on a cold winter night and placed in a manger. It is about Joseph and Mary and Jesus. It's about shepherds in a field who are frightened when they are visited by angels. It is about three kings who traveled so far to give gifts to the newborn King (though Jesus was almost two years old when they arrived).

700 years before the birth of Christ, Isaiah (9:6) tells us "For to us a child is born, to us a son is given, and the government will be on his shoulders. And he will be called

Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace.”

As our society has become more secular, it is apparent that Christmas has become more of a people day than a God day. Love, family, friends, children, Santa Claus, giving, food... all of these things should be part of our daily lives as Christians rather than something we celebrate for a day annually. Instead, our government removes Christmas scenes from public property, totally reversing the meaning of our founders who limited government from involving itself in religion rather than governing how it should be celebrated.

Hallmark Christmas movies are a good example of the move towards secularism. First, let me make clear that I love their holiday movies and, time permitting, have been known to watch them. It is so nice to get away from the sex, violence and filthy language so much a part of Millennial entertainment! Hallmark movies, however, portray Christmas as a season of miracles and romance (and it's true that wherever there is hope, miracles happen) but there is little spiritual inference.

There is no doubt that Christmas is a time of miracles, but the great miracle we celebrate on December 25th has little to do with saving a romance. It has to do with saving people from themselves.

A sermon I listened to yesterday asks a crowd of people a simple question: “Do you believe human beings have dignity?” Everyone raised their hands. A second question followed: “Are people born with dignity?” Half of the hands were raised and the other half were not. The Pastor was pointing out that though people tend to agree with one another on big issues, the devil is truly in the details.

He pointed out that atheists and Darwinists (most people do not realize that Charles Darwin was a Christian at the time of

his death) believe they came from a big pool of mud in which a couple of cells got together by chance and over millions of years eventually produced a human being. Atheists, he added, do not believe they are going anywhere after death... so they come from nothing and go nowhere. He is right that there is not much "dignity" in that scenario. So much for the idea of being born with it. It is something we earn as we develop our character.

To put it into language anyone can understand, Christmas is God in a manger.

That massive power that created the world and a universe became a human baby. He loved the human beings He had created in the beginning and saw that they needed help to understand what He expected of them if they were to share eternal life (heaven) with Him.

What is a baby born of a virgin? It is Total Innocence.

Atheists (and often agnostics) point out how totally impossible it is for a virgin to become pregnant... yet have no problem accepting the idea that two cells in a huge puddle of mud once joined together and begin producing life. There have been a lot of big, long-lived mud puddles since the beginning and it hasn't happened again, but it is impossible to explain how some people think.

It has always puzzled me why people doubt the virgin birth. Many of those people believe in God... believe He created the world and the universe, but think He lacks the ability to impregnate a woman via the Holy Spirit. It strikes me as... almost funny it is so illogical.

So we have innocence and God, the only absolute eternal Truth, in a manger on Christmas Day. That is God's greatest gift to this world and those who populate it. That is what we celebrate four days after the date of the winter solstice.

Since no one recorded the date of the birth of Jesus Christ, no one really knows the precise day we should celebrate. Does it really matter? What we celebrate is the birth of innocent Truth that will sacrifice His life so we can have eternal life – and that should be celebrated every day of our lives. Our atheist and agnostic friends scoff at the December 25th date, pointing out to Christians that in the olden days it was the celebration of the winter solstice and they laughingly tell us we are so dumb we don't know we are celebrating a heathen holiday.

The truth is, we celebrate the birth of Jesus Christ on December 25th which happens to occur within less than a week of those who did (and may still) celebrate the winter solstice. Further, Jonathan Cahn, author of the blockbuster book The Harbinger, has put out a video on [You Tube](#) that makes an excellent case for the birth date of our Lord and Savior as being April 1st.

If you are a /Christian, it matters not what day you celebrate His birth. If you get a bee in your bonnet and want to celebrate His birthday again in July, go for it!

It all gets back to John 3:16: “For God so loved the world that He gave His one and only Son that whoever believes in Him shall not perish but will have eternal life.” That is what the greatest gift ever given was and it is the reason the celebration of Christ's birth involves giving. It is the reason it involves love, family and celebration. All are symbolic of God's greatest gift to His creations: We, the people.

Our Christmas carols would have us believe that the night Jesus was born, life was quiet and peaceful. It was not a “Silent Night” kind of world. Very much like Washington, D.C. today, it was a tremendous time of turbulence in Jerusalem. The Romans were not the kindest of rulers and their political

system was corrupt – a bit like America today – and the angel's message to shepherds in the field, "good will toward men" does not suggest that people should show good will to one another. It tells us that God, through the birth of His Son, is showing good will towards men. It says there will be peace to men of good will. It does not say we should offer good will to those who would destroy us or our Christian way of life or to those who violate our laws.

There is a feeling of love in the air during the Christmas holidays. People appear to forget that they are beings who not only need to be loved, but need to love, as well. We seek love as a means of finding happiness. The spirit of the season gives us hope... and perhaps that is the thing that gets less significance in defining Christmas than it deserves.

Christ signifies hope... for love, for a giving spirit, for kindness and happiness – and, most important, for the possibility of eternal life. Christ is the only vehicle on which humankind can rely for the hope of eternal life. (I realize the Jews will argue with me on this point.) Without hope, it is all but impossible for love to exist, let alone thrive. To receive love, we must also give it.

The same is true of kindness. To even be able to find love, kindness, happiness, et al, you must first have hope of being able to find them and then you must be able to give them. Every worthwhile thing in life is a two-way street. You give and you get. Without both, the result is merely an imitation of the real thing (or a selfish grabbing of these things for yourself without the ability to return them).

And that's why I believe the key to understanding the meaning of Christmas is to understand hope – which means learning to understand the message of Jesus Christ – and to behave in a way that invites it not only into your life, but into the lives of all the people in your world. With hope, anything is possible. To have it, we must believe in it.

When you walk through the mall to return the necktie you don't like or the scarf that is the wrong color for you, a worthwhile objective is to make three people – total strangers to you – smile. Smiles and hope go together like white on rice.

It's a great way, as Scrooge might say, to "keep Christmas in my heart every day of the year."

God bless and Merry Christmas! (And now please smile so I can meet my objective of three smiles from strangers every day!)

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

FBI, Feminist, And Political Legacies

Legacy.

What is it?

It's an inheritance... a heritage or a birthright. We all leave one behind us when we depart this world. Actually, we leave more than one legacy behind us. There are personal legacies... what kind of friend have you been? There are professional legacies... what kind of employee or employer have you been? There are creative legacies... and parental legacies, marital legacies, etc.

This year as we approach Christmas and the Christian New Year, it is more important than usual to think of the legacies we leave behind.

Why is it more important than usual this year? We are going through a generational change – and it is not pretty.

As power gets passed from my son's and daughter's generation (my generation passed the power baton to our children years ago), we are watching our failures as parents establish themselves as generational standards of behavior – or, in many cases, a lack of standard. Equally, today's generation (my kids and yours) can see what they did right as they watch their children assume political, social, familial, and professional responsibility for the world. Unfortunately, it gives me the heebie-jeebies to think of Millennials running anything, let alone the world.

Legacies apply only to individuals, not groups. If a group's legacy is negative, it is because of the negativity of the individuals who rose to power within that group. The group's individual members enabled their success. Legacies are the exclusive property of individuals.

For example, the legacy of those who have chosen to be Democrats for many years says, based on the achievements and objectives of that group, you support abortion through the 9th month. I am glad that is your legacy and not mine. You support socialism rather than capitalism. You support the elimination of borders for our great nation... though any halfway intelligent person recognizes that borders define the nation and without them it cannot exist... and perhaps that is your objective. You support sanctuary cities where those who enter our nation unlawfully are entitled to more consideration in our courts, our welfare offices, our schools and colleges, our health care system, than citizens who have worked their entire lives to support the building of those things.

That is your legacy if you are a Democrat... that and the open hatred you spew about the man who was elected by your fellow citizens to be President of the United States. You see, the hatred doesn't just hit Donald Trump. It extends to those of

us who voted for and still strongly support him. You would rather destroy your nation than accept his victory over your crooked as a dog's hind leg candidate, Hillary Clinton.

You accept and applaud the violence of mobs in the street when the violence is directed against President Trump and are so stupid that you do not realize when you destroy respect for the Office of the President you are destroying citizen respect for the nation... a nation on which you are dependent if you are to have a good, happy and stable future. Such naïve behavior can only exist when people reject the idea that their legacy is the result of their own choices.

Will the Millennials who are inheriting your mess remember what you have done with gratitude and affection and for the happy future you are providing in this nation? Is it one in which they can take pride? Or will they curse you for the debt, the immorality, the lost history and indoctrination rather than education? It will take them years to learn the truth – but they will learn (eventually and whether or not they want to learn).

If you are a Republican, you have two choices: You are an establishment Republican who, much like Democrats, willingly support any moral compromise that strengthens your own position of power at the expense of the average person you are supposed to be serving. If names like Mitch McConnell (R-KY), Corey Gardiner (R-CO), Paul Ryan (R-WI), John Thune (R-SD), Bill Kristol (Publisher, Weekly Standard), and all of the others who went to opulent Sea Island to discuss ways they can make President Donald Trump fail come to mind, you understand what I'm saying.

Or, you're a conservative Republican who supports our Constitution, the Rule of Law, and our populist President. In that case, your political legacy is the Constitution, truth, and the Rule of Law.

The establishment Republicans are actually worse than the amoral Democrats and their legacy will be one of a small group which arrogantly believes their few biased minds know better than American voters what is good for the people. They are worse because they are traitors to the very thing that has given them the opportunity to gain access to the power they so easily abuse. They are disgusting and will one day pay a horrific penalty for their behavior.

Again, your actions are not taken just against the President. Rather they are taken against all of us who support him... millions of us to a handful of you. What arrogance you have – and how badly you wear it.

“But you don’t know this or that about Trump. Because of who we are and do we know things... and you must believe we are doing what we think best for the nation.” They may say something similar. And, to a degree, they may be right. They may know things we don’t know which might change our minds if we knew them.

That doesn’t change the fact that we, the voters of America, are entitled to enjoy our right decisions and pay the penalty for the wrong ones we make so we can learn from them. All we need to do either is the truth. Because of your duplicity, even if you know things we don’t know, we will not have that opportunity because you won’t tell us the truth. What does that say about you?

A small group (by comparison to the total population) does not have the right to determine the best path for the millions who will have to pay for whatever outcome may result from your carelessness. That is your legacy... a personal legacy for each of you. Your names will always be affiliated with Sea Island and what many of us consider treasonous behavior.

That same principle applies to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)

and the National Security Agency (NSA). J. Edgar Hoover left a legacy, didn't he? It involved using the nation's primary law enforcement agency to gather dirt on anyone and everyone who could influence the direction of the American government and did not hesitate to threaten them with it. Look how that's turned out. That's his legacy.

How sad to see the FBI lose the trust of the American people. President Trump may tell the graduating class of FBI agents that he loves law enforcement and he's got their back, but most of the people will have a bit of disagreement with him about his Justice Department and how well it is being run. They also disagree with law enforcement's abuse of power.

If I were writing a novel, I would have a Senator named Jeff who is part of the Never-Trump group of Republicans and I would assign him the responsibility of being the first United States Senator to come out and publicly support this populist non-politician. I would assign him the task of becoming personally close to the candidate (who no one expects to win... I'd choose him because if the candidate doesn't win the nomination he is such a popular Senator he won't lose his seat just because he supported a losing presidential candidate).

When the candidate unexpectedly wins the nomination, then even more unexpectedly wins the presidential election, Jeff would be perfectly positioned to be appointed Attorney General. In its aftermath, all of the elitists and insiders know the biggest risk of this entire election cycle is for someone who wants to clean the swamp to succeed at becoming President of the United States.

Going right along with the plot, during his Senate Confirmation Hearings, Jeff would swear to remove himself from any investigations involving charges made by the lying Democrats – those whose candidate was so bad even they had a hard time stomaching her. That will be a major part of the Democrat/Liberal legacy: the 2016 election campaign and all

of the lies.

By recusing himself from having anything having to do with the investigation of possible presidential missteps involving Russia during the campaign, the Attorney General can avoid providing badly-needed support for his President. He turns everything over to the Assistant Attorney General who supports the FBI's senior management coup d'etat team.

My book plot would move forward by having the AAG appointed to handle all matters related to the Russia matter. He would appoint a special prosecutor who is the best friend of the former FBI Director the President fired. Surely this way they will be able to cover up all of the covert and indelicate, unlawful behavior of senior Bureau management members who are totally involved in trying to defeat the new President? It is a devastating loss by the Democrat candidate whose power supports their corruption. They are all sickened by her loss. OMG! They might even get caught red-handed.

Little by little, however, the new President finds ways to get the truth to the people. The Attorney General looks ridiculous and incompetent, the Assistant Attorney General looks like a naïve fool who no American wants running the nation's law enforcement, and all of the members of senior management look like traitors... including the special prosecutor.

What a book plot! What a legacy these fictional characters are leaving their nation! Are they fictional you ask? Of course. They must be. No one could be so oblivious to reality as these characters are.

As I said, only individuals leave a legacy. When their individual stupidity and arrogance enables the group's objectives to depart from the group's basic purpose for being, their individual legacy suffers as does the group's. The rules are the same whether it's the FBI, NOW, or the DNC.

They will forever be remembered for their treachery and lies. Mostly, they will be remembered for disrespecting the American people and causing them to lose faith in their public servants' ability to handle the power they were given. Once lost, trust is never regained.

It will take time, Mr. President, for the people to forgive this grave violation of the public trust. It will take time and tremendous dedication of those left to clean up the mess.

As for total support for law enforcement, as long as police departments and sheriff's officers are pulling over people for no apparent violation but are removing from them their personal possessions (and never returning them without a court hearing which may or may not be either affordable or constitutional), I will not subscribe to your commitment to the thin blue line. Again, the AG's office should be taking action, but is not.

As the former editor of the National Peace Officer's Magazine, as a former police officer's wife (and a former Denver police department employee), I say those words with great sorrow but also with great respect for the rule of law so easily ignored by so many cities, counties and states. It is unlawful and is a reflection of the sewer that made those who believe senior managers at the FBI should make political decisions on behalf of the American people.

Neither action is to be tolerated in a nation of laws and justice. At the moment, we have neither.

I could go on and on about legacies left behind... the other one I will mention is feminism - which is anything but representative of most American females. Their legacy will be to declare that we must believe women who lie about sexual encounters because women don't lie about such things while... not even 40 years later.

That's an interesting thought and it is being stated at the

same time Los Angeles attorney Lisa Bloom admits that the women who have charged the President of inappropriate behavior towards them have been offered huge sums of money in return for their sudden memories. It is rumored that Bloom's law firm will take one-third of the amounts paid to her clients by – we don't know who. The amounts paid are, according to news stories, in the hundreds of thousands of dollars. I believe Bloom has denied much of this... I would, too – wouldn't you? That's one woman I won't believe.

That is the feminist legacy. They forgot the charges made against the Duke lacrosse team in 2006. The North Carolina Attorney General said at the time: "The Duke lacrosse case has shown that our society has lost sight of the most fundamental principle of our legal system: the presumption of innocence." Some things don't change and this is one of them.

We are to believe them just because they are women. It tosses in the trash the rule of law declaring that in America you are innocent until proven guilty, but feminists don't care. That's part of their legacy: Ignorance of the law and naiveté [perhaps gullibility is a better word].

Perhaps if female morality was more apparent, you might convince me, but probably not. You wear skirts up to your private parts (without telling men this is the new norm, not an invitation to your bed... though that's what it looks like to me), you sleep with just about anyone from the time you get to high school, you don't understand that "choice" occurs at the moment you decide to have sex rather than when you get pregnant and so believe the killing of a baby in your uterus is an acceptable form of birth control... even through the ninth month.

Your group will not be remembered for standing up for the rights of women. It will be remembered for one of your leaders, a Hollywood elite, wanting to blow up the White House. It will be remembered for murdering many of God's most

innocent beings... babies in your own belly.

What you represent – your legacy – is that of liberal Democrat women who have no moral compass. That is your legacy... a group of individuals with no moral compass.

Those are legacies.

And while you are thinking about this, remember that you, too, dear reader will leave a legacy... one either of meaning and positive purpose or of... what? Or, the groups you belong to will leave a legacy for you.

Be careful whom you support... it will be part of your legacy personally. And now you know why I resigned from the Republican Party.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

San Francisco... Out Of My Heart Forever

I, for one, will never visit San Francisco again. I will not even take a flight that will touch down at the San Francisco airport to change planes. If I did, I'd be supporting the San Francisco tax base which is used to support criminal illegal aliens.

I'm not just disgusted by the trial of Jose Ines Garcia Zarate, 45, acquitted of murder and involuntary manslaughter charges, as well as assault with a deadly weapon, I am sickened by it.

Jurors convicted the Mexican citizen of being a felon in possession of a firearm, which could bring a three-year sentence. He admitted to killing Kate Steinle as she and her father walked along the San Francisco wharf together.



To read the actual reasons Kate Steinle is dead (including violations by more than one department of the federal government), go [here](#) to read the lawsuit filed by

the Steinles against numerous government departments whose responsibility it was to keep her safe.

In essence, the City of San Francisco (and the complicit State of California) have created an unsafe environment for American citizens so they can provide special privileges for illegal aliens under the false flag California chooses to call "Justice."

It used to be a beautiful city. Wells Fargo Bank was one of my clients during the 1980s and I spent quite a bit of time there. Wells Fargo was one of the best banks in the country at that time. Today, they are caught in criminal credit card violations for which no one goes to prison... a reflection of the attitudes of the federal regulators and the City of San Francisco where Wells Fargo's corporate headquarters resides.

Keep in mind, throughout history evil has always imploded on itself.

Did you know that 22% of federal prisoners are suspected illegal immigrants? Do you realize it costs American taxpayer

citizens \$87.61 per day (or \$31,977.65 per year) to pay for the prison upkeep of just one criminal? It totals hundreds of billions of dollars... dollars you work for every day.

From 2010 to 2014, ICE released a 30,558 illegal criminal aliens from prison. Did you know that after their release 121 of them were charged with homicide? Sounds like a small number by comparison to the 30,558... tell that to the families of the 121 who were murdered.

We hear a lot about how special the "Dreamers" are and how important it is for them to be able to continue their educations in the United States. "Dreamers" are illegal aliens who go to school under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program. They are so grateful to be studying at American universities and colleges, 2,139 DACA recipients have lost their permits to remain in America due to their criminal activity.

Hispanics aren't the only ones tearing our nation apart.

Black consumers are being told by Black Lives Matter to buy Christmas gifts only from black-owned businesses. I guess that means that all whites should not buy from any black business... isn't the Black Lives Matter message "Shop by color"? So if you are racially sensitive and if you're white, stay out of black communities. Don't buy anything from them because Blacks don't want to do business with you. They want business from fellow blacks. I've always supported blacks supporting black communities (though not quite like this).

Colin Kaepernick (of the San Francisco football team – where else?) began the trendy "one knee" stance while the pre-football game national anthem played. It shows total disregard for our military and the men and women who have died defending America. It shows total disregard for the American people who love their country and their flag. Then many overpaid and under-educated teammates joined him in his

protest – and NFL football ratings have gone down ever since. I will do all I can to help the trend... down, down, down for the NFL and Roger Goodell, the NFL Commissioner who handled this fiasco (and others) so badly but thinks he is worth a \$50 million per year (plus medical benefits for him and his family) for life.

You can't make this stuff up!

The men who think a football field is the appropriate place for political protests won't really feel the result of their behavior until it's time to negotiate their next contract. Until then, their ability to run with or kick or pass or receive a football and get paid millions of dollars for doing so makes them feel they are making powerful political statements. In reality, all they are doing is ruining the game and those who finance it: the fans – most of whom love their country.

It's fascinating to watch multi-millionaires demonstrate because they are victims!

The economy of the old Soviet Union was never strong and corruption, always present in big governments which must always grow as the population increases, made the USSR vulnerable to corruption.

Communist China faces the same problem (even after Mao killed over 65 million people to implement communism... that form of government stupid American university professors teach their uninformed students is wonderful; those student become violent in their support of it; they – and their hero, Bernie Saunders – call it socialism but even Karl Marx admitted socialism is a high-speed railroad to communism). Stalin killed 40 million Russians to get communism implemented. Doesn't that sound like a wonderful form of government?

Under communism the government represents total power. It is huge and, as we have learned since President Trump began

cleaning the swam, the corruption runs very deep indeed in America's theoretically non-communist government.

My use of the word "theoretically" merely means that too many people still believe they are free and are living in a republic (if educated) or a democracy (if uneducated). In truth, however, government considers itself the only important, dominant element of American life. Most of those who "serve" the people as elected officials consider themselves elites who reside high above the rest of us in their own mythical world of power and corruption... a communist attitude.

There is no better example of government gone wild and out of control than the San Francisco trial of Jose Ines Garcia Zarate for the murder of Kate Steinle. Zarate was clearly guilty of murder – at the very least, manslaughter. The comrades of San Francisco found him innocent and because he has already spent 29 months in confinement he will probably see little, if any, time behind bars in California.

What happened in San Francisco is a small indication of the total lack of moral values, the total lack of appreciation of what it means to be born an American citizen, and shows a lack of appreciation for citizenship that results in a mindless devaluation of our nation.

For example, the Millennials who flood the streets and willingly resort to violence if it means preventing a conservative from speaking an opinion with which they disagree think we should have open borders. Their university professors haven't gotten around to telling them that without borders there is no nation (the professors – men who will never be recognized for their intelligence – probably don't know.). One of the things required to establish a nation is a clear statement of its borders. In other words, if America has no borders, there is no America.

Gee, you don't suppose that's part of the plan, do you?

The dumbed-down generations (at least two of them... maybe three) have reached a point in American society where truth has no value. Without truth there is no freedom, just political correctness where one group of powerful people can tell the rest of society what they may and may not think and say because if you disagree with them you are guilty of hate speech. In Great Britain you can go to jail for hate speech. In reality, the only hate speech being spewed through society comes from the mouths of the politically correct and powerful elitists who think themselves better able to run your life than you are.

It is reminiscent of the old Soviet Union.

According to Gorbachev's Prime Minister, Nikolai Ryzhkov, the "moral [npravstennoe] state of the society" in 1985 was its "most terrifying" feature: "[We] stole from ourselves, took and gave bribes, lied in the reports, in newspapers, from high podiums, wallowed in our lies, hung medals on one another. And all of this – from top to bottom and from bottom to top."

It sounds like America, 2017, doesn't it? It reminds me of the \$3 trillion Donald Rumsfeld announced was missing from the Defense Department in 2001... he didn't know what had happened to all that money – but they were looking for it. It was never found... and Rumsfeld made his statement the day before 9/11/2001 so it got little attention as Americans sat in disbelief over the loss of over 3,000 fellow citizens.

It also reminds me of Representative Maxine Waters who just a few days ago told her black audience to remember that Congressman John Conyers is a friend to women... an icon in the black community. Of course, Waters hasn't had a cogent thought in her head for... possibly her entire life. As Gorbachev's Prime Minister, Nikolai Ryzhkov, said just before the fall of the USSR, "[We] stole from ourselves, took and

gave bribes, lied in the reports, in newspapers, from high podiums, wallowed in our lies, hung medals on one another." He could not have defined the actions of Waters (and other politicians) more successfully had he tried.

We free illegal alien murderers in San Francisco, while Michigan voters elect John Conyers to office so he can sexually insult and intimidate women for the 50 years he's been in Congress. Even died-in-the-wool liberal Cokie Roberts admitted on television that all women in Washington knew better than to get on an elevator with Conyers,

We have so many women coming forward to accuse Senator Al Franken of Minnesota of putting his hands where they do not belong that it's hard to keep an accurate count.

Foreign Minister Eduard Shevardnadze made equally painful comments about lawlessness and corruption dominating the Soviet Union in its final days. During the winter months of 1984-85, he told Gorbachev that "Everything is rotten. It has to be changed."

"Sometimes people hold a core belief that is very strong," Frantz Fanon said in his 1952 book *Black Skin, White Masks* (originally published in French as *Peau Noire, Masques Blancs*). "When they are presented with evidence that works against that belief, the new evidence cannot be accepted. It would create a feeling that is extremely uncomfortable, called cognitive dissonance. And because it is so important to protect the core belief, they will rationalize, ignore and even deny anything that doesn't fit with the core belief."

If that doesn't define victims, liberal progressives and most Millennials, I don't know what does.

During their final days as a world power, the Soviet Union allowed cognitive dissonance to rule its better judgment... as so many Americans are doing in 2017. The handwriting on the wall was pretty clear for Gorbachev. The Soviet economy was

failing. They did none of the necessary things to save their economy. In 2017, the handwriting on the wall is pretty clear for the American people.

The economy is failing. The number of closed retail outlets tripled this year over last. [Read this article.](#) Don't confuse a climbing stock market with a successful economy. President Trump is doing all he can to save it, but he is having to crawl over the body blocks of liberal progressives (both Republican and Democrat) to do so.

The people and the Congress do none of the necessary things to save their economy. Why? Go re-read the definition of cognitive dissonance. That's why. We have a classic fight going on between fear-motivated people who want government to take care of them and who willingly pay the price of lost freedom to get that care (evil), versus those who value freedom and the self-responsibility it requires (good).

As Eduard Shevardnadze said, "Everything is rotten. It has to be changed."

As I would say it, "There is no Rule of Law in America today."

No one listened then; no one is listening in America now. The primary reason? Cognitive dissonance.

Kate Steinle was murdered by her government. The State of California, the City of San Francisco and the federal government have one primary responsibility as legitimate governments: Provide for the safety of citizens. They did not. How legitimate then are they as governments?

California is so focused on making sure illegal aliens have access to the benefits people like Kate Steinle worked hard and paid taxes to provide, they forgot about her safety and the safety of all other citizens... and tourists. I invite you to join me in avoiding any kind of California vacation or doing business with any online California-based businesses.

Why would you want to add to the tax base of a government that so abuses American citizens?

Such comments as those from Soviet leaders Nikolai Ryzhkov and Eduard Shevardnadze a mere half-dozen years before the failure of what Reagan termed “the Evil Empire” should cause chills down the spines of any American reading the quotes for in many ways our government has become “the Evil Empire.”

The words spoken by Soviet politicians just before their fall are a mirror image of this nation’s social and political disorder as I write these words.

Say a prayer that President Trump will be able to succeed... and another prayer that the Never Trumpers like Senators Mitch McConnell (R-KY), Corey Gardner (R-CO), John McCain (R-AZ), Jeff Flake (R-AZ) and all the others are in the final days of their treasonous service.

You might also want to say a prayer that McConnell wakens Senators so they begin passing some of the 300 Bills approved and sent to them by the House of Representatives – laws which have been ignored by McConnell, McCain, Gardner, Corker, Thune... one of which is Kate’s Law.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

NFL Fans Union (NFLFU) Speaks Out

In 1982, the National Football League and the players were at logger heads. The players wanted a bigger piece of the profit

pie and the owners didn't want to pay them more.

In the process of arguing, both forgot about the people who provide the money for the high profits owners were keeping and the high salaries players were demanding.

The players decided to strike.

I called my attorney and told him to file Articles of Incorporation for an organization I named the National Football League Fans Union... the NFLFU (get your mind out of the gutter). We were a fan advocacy organization. I, a conservative, started a union... which proves you cannot believe everything you hear about conservatives!



I called a press conference to announce the formation of the NFLFU. I got permission from the owner of the Denver Broncos at the time, Jerry Phipps, to hold the event at Mile High Stadium. I stood on the 10 yard line in front of the South stands at Mile High Stadium and read a press release. About 75 members of the media were invited... 50 showed up.

We got good coverage throughout the U.S. and around the world. I still have the clippings from the papers in many of the cities that have NFL franchises... and many more.

The players' union (yes, the players belong to a union) and the owners were abusing the fans whose financial support pays their salaries and provide their profits.

What was the problem in 1982? Both sides were showing a lack of respect and appreciation for the fans.

What is the NFL problem in 2017? It is clearly a lack of respect by players for the fans, their nation, their flag, and their military. Player political opinions have been blatantly forced on the fans and millions of television viewers who tune in to watch a football game, not get an uninformed political opinion.

All Kaepernick and his minions have achieved is to make it clear that football players disagree with the people who elected President Donald Trump to office. They are so arrogant as to think they know better who should have been elected. Donald Trump did not call players SOB's... I would, but he did not. He suggested that owners call them SOB's... And he has since Tweeted that owners are afraid of their players. He's right about that, too.

Players want to force their political opinions on fans by protesting the flag of the nation that makes it possible for a bunch of guys – many of whom would be flipping burgers if not for the opportunities America makes possible. The fans really do not give a damn what football player opinions are about politics. Players do not have a right to force us to pay a large sum of money to find out what they do not like about the world off of the football field. They sell people tickets for one thing and provide another. In fact, it sounds like fraud to me... but I'm not a lawyer.

Let's make one thing very clear. The Constitution gives the right of free speech to all people. When you act as an individual, you may peacefully protest to your heart's content... but when you go to work, your employer is not forced

by the Constitution to put up with your protests. Your co-workers have rights, too. And so do those who finance the business that provides your employment. Thus, any team owner can take action against players "going to a knee" if they choose (I hate that term.) When football players go onto a football field, they enter as (highly over-paid) employees, not as individuals.

The same thing we see as we watch players go to one knee to insult our flag and country as the national anthem is played is the same problem we had in 1982 when I got so angry I started a union for the fans to give them a say in the game they subsidize. The owners and players have no respect for people – and they have a very high opinion of themselves.

Taking a knee when the National Anthem is played is not the core problem; it is merely a symptom of it.

Who owns the stadiums in which football games are played? These days, major corporations often build the stadiums and get a huge amount of advertising from it. But who owns the land? Who pays the property taxes? What kind of tax breaks do owners get to keep a team in a city because of the huge sales tax income the city/county get from \$15 a beer charges with 50,000 people in the stands every game, every football season? How much does that increase taxes for average people in cities with NFL franchises? If tax breaks are given to one party, other parties must pay for what the first party avoids paying.

Though it differs from team-to-team (sometimes it's the city/county, sometimes it's the owners, sometimes it's a combination of the two), but the public often pays for the stadiums, the property, the taxes, the tickets, and on and on. This results in lucrative television contracts paid for by ridiculously high advertising costs to corporations (which results in increased costs of products you buy from football advertisers, from Budweiser to Ford, because it adds to

costs).

If there were no people in the stands, televised football games would be about as exciting as licking envelopes. Advertisers certainly wouldn't pay much for 30-second ads without the excitement the fans bring to the game. And the fans have made it very clear that they are opposed to football players – many of whom are not the brightest candle on the cake – advertising their political opinions on the field.

When someone pays from \$50 to \$100 for a ticket to see a football game, the last thing on their priority list is to have players disrespect their nation, their flag, and the military that makes it possible for those doing the disrespecting to earn ridiculous salaries because they can catch and throw a football – or because they are able to prevent another team from running with or throwing and catching a football.

Can you imagine the arrogance of a player who walks onto a football field thinking that his political opinions are so important he can force the person who paid a lot of money to watch a football game aware of them?

The league, the owners, and the players all receive massive rewards at the expense of America's football fans. Billions of dollars go to the NFL... even the Department of Defense has paid them money. Perhaps it's time for the NFL to pay some of it back?

The American people have invested approximately \$7 billion in the various NFL teams. They have received no respect, gratitude, thanks or appreciation from the league, the owners, or the players. And that is the core problem that needs to be solved.

What's the old 1970s song? R-E-S-P-E-C-T.

It's too bad the NFLFU didn't get enough financial support in

1982 to remain active. We sold memberships, NFLFU hats and bumper stickers, but it needed a full-time representative and I was a financial consultant who was traveling all the time. We did do a lot of good, but once the season began, prostituted though it was, people lost interest.

And that's part of the core problem, too.

We want things to go the way they are supposed to go, the way people promise us they will go when we buy season tickets or any other product – even when we elect politicians. When things don't go the way we want them, we become angry... as if we have no responsibility for doing what is needed to make sure things go the way people have promised us they will go before taking our money.

“We are not pro-player; we are not pro-owner,” I said during that 1982 press conference. “Neither are we anti-player or anti-owner. We are pro-fans! We want fans to have a right to the first class football on which ticket sales were made. We feel both owners and players have the responsibility to provide this based on the ticket prices charged.”

If fans really want the going to one knee to stop, get 50 fans in each city to file a class action suit demanding a \$10 rebate for all fans who find the one-kneeing offensive (for each game in which it has occurred). It is not part of what they paid for. It will be amazing how quickly team owners begin fining players for one-kneeing the National Anthem.

We encouraged people to write letters to sponsors of televised football games. “Tell them that you will not purchase the sponsor's product because anyone associated with the game of football during the 1982 season does not have the better interests of the people at heart.” I would encourage people to do the same in 2017.

We recommended that fans boycott all products advertised by NFL players. We demanded refund policies be put in place.

For example, when season ticket sales promotion literature was sent to the general public, no one told them the season was going to be interrupted by a player strike (though management was aware it was a possibility). We achieved that objective.

We – the NFLFU – were concerned about the lack of third-party mediation. Talks were going nowhere. Management was represented in the talks and so, through their union, were players. But fans, whose ticket purchases finance the game, were not represented. The NFLFU offered to provide trained mediators to help bring the players' strike to a conclusion acceptable to everyone.

The NFLFU wanted management and players to guarantee no loss of ticket priority for fans who refused to buy tickets during a player strike year. Teams like the Denver Diapers – oops, I mean Broncos – and Seattle Snowflakes – oops, I mean Seahawks – have a waiting list for upgrading existing season ticket seats when other people with better seats let them go. If you miss buying your seasons tickets one year, you lose your position on that list. We won that one, too!

The NFLFU even challenged a Supreme Court ruling made in 1922. It held that professional sports were exempt from typical antitrust provisions because no product was sold. I felt that ruling, made in a 1922 economic environment of manufacturing rather than a 2017 economy of technology was antiquated. It was – and it still is. The challenge was never resolved.

Perhaps we need a Special Counsel appointed to the FBI to investigate whether professional sports are exempt from antitrust provisions because each team certainly is a product... especially when political positions are forced on fans! Maybe they could add that to Robert Mueller's witch hunt list.

This entire issue is about respect... for our nation, our flag, our military and the people who work hard to support them

all. We all have problems. I would love nothing better to have a pre-game two minutes to air my grievances to millions of people watching the game... but the fans didn't pay \$98 a ticket to know what I have on my mind – and they didn't pay to hear what football players have on theirs (unless it has to do with football).

The military fights, bleeds, and dies so those players can make (I didn't say "earn") their ridiculously high salaries. Our military fights under that flag.. Military caskets come home draped with the flag that the players dishonor. 64% of Americans agree with President Trump's position on this issue... the other 36% are progressives and communists (which appear to be pretty much the same thing, these days... based on Colin Kaepernick's support for communist Cuba, he's probably in that group, too). NFL television ratings are already down 11% this year compared to last (and they were down last year, too – perhaps an attestation that League Commissioner Roger Goodell isn't up to the job he has?). Commissioner Goodell issued a statement saying that President Trump is responsible for the divisiveness being caused by player misbehavior (according to Goodell's own Game Operations Manual). A small man in a big job.

The NFL's Game Operations Manual says that "all players must be on the sideline for the National Anthem" and must "stand at attention, face the flag, hold helmets in their left hand, and refrain from talking or face discipline 'such as fines, suspensions'," and teams may be required to forfeit draft choice(s) if owners allow misbehavior. Well, owners are ignoring the misbehavior so perhaps it's time for the League to impose some forfeits of draft choices.

Just because our Department of Justice has ignored constitutional law for the past 30 years does not mean NFL team owners and the National Football League should ignore their owner Game Operations Manual.

What the people feel about NFL players who so disgrace their nation, their flag, their military, and the people who go to work every day to support those things and who love those things, goes beyond disgust.

The Jacksonville Jaguars and the Baltimore Ravens are the worst. They played a league game in London and about two dozen of them went to one knee for America's *National Anthem* and stood for *God Save the Queen*, the British Anthem. Their statements that they mean no disrespect for our nation when they go to one knee is a lie. Their actions prove they lie.

Jacksonville is a Navy town. My son's aircraft carrier was berthed there. If the President decided to give Georgia – or perhaps South Carolina – the financial opportunity of the thousands of sailors that are currently housed in Jacksonville, it would be an economic disaster for the Jaguars. No one would have any money to attend the games. Gosh, that would just break my heart.

As for Baltimore... who would expect anything else from a city run like that one is? We all saw what Baltimore is all about in the matter of Freddie Gray's death and the riots that ensued. All six police officers charged by Marilyn Mosby, Baltimore's State Attorney, were found innocent of his death and in mid-September the federal government said no charges were being considered by them.

Core Problem: No Respect for the People – in a lot of places.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Slavery

The first recorded sale of Irish slaves in 1612 was to a settlement in South America.

The little white girl on the cover of an ACLU Tweet last Thursday looked Irish... blonde and beautiful, carrying a toy in one hand and an American flag in the other. That Tweet was quickly replaced by another of Kermit the Frog and an apology and note of thanks to those who had complained about the ACLU using the picture of a white child. "The ACLU explained that the complainers were right... white supremacy is everywhere they said and we all need to be reminded of that.

In the interest of letting readers know part of my history that could "color" my views on race and slavery, I need to disclose some personal information. My granddaughters are half black. One has an undergraduate and a Masters Degree from Stanford, the other an undergraduate degree from the University of Southern California (USC) and is a very successful young woman (happily married) in New York City. Their parents were not rich... they worked at what can only be called middle class occupations.

My granddaughters went to university on full scholarships having to do with their grades, not their race or skin color. My oldest granddaughter was #2 in her state's PSAT scores. The younger was offered a full scholarship to NYU as well as USC. She is a whiz in mathematics.

I also have a daughter-in-law who is Korean... her last name used to be Lee. I guess it's a good thing she isn't a sports announcer who was scheduled to cover the UVA game on Saturday after the Charlottesville tragedy.

Now let me continue with my story about Irish slavery.

King James the 1st ruled in England early in the 17th century

and the English had a problem: The Irish people against whom Britain had been practicing genocide since the reign of Elizabeth I (who took off the head of Mary, Queen of Scots) just wouldn't give up their "Irishness." The English couldn't kill all of us, though.

Genocide is defined as "intentional action to destroy a people in whole or in part."

According to Ancestry.com's DNA program, I am 52 percent Scot-Irish .My people were sold into slavery by the English; Africans were mostly sold into slavery by their own people but both groups were largely sold for the same reason: they disagreed with those who presided over their 16th and 17th century government swamps.

Swamps in power centers have been around forever. The politicians in Washington, D.C.'s swamp have done a better job of using psych games to hide their "swampness" than TPTB (the powers that be) of olden days. But the objectives of modern human slave traffickers appear to have similar objectives: Get rid of people who disagree with you.

We think of those called "human traffickers" as being inhuman trash who sell young women or children of both genders and all races into criminal sex rings. The women and children are slaves to those who sell sexual services of children to pedophiles and sexual services of women to those who buy sex rather than find it in meaningful relationships. If the slaves do not provide the services for which payment is made, the women and children are severely punished – or, they are killed. Nothing is more deplorable than those who partake as either providers for or buyers of human flesh. It is the inhumane – and non-human – behavior of sick people.

Queen Elizabeth and King James couldn't kill all of the Irish who were too committed to their status as citizens of Ireland. The Irish and the Scots wanted nothing to do with

the British (which made them a huge political problem). So the King and Queen either killed my people or sold them into slavery.

As News With Views Kelleigh Nelson pointed out in [one of the best articles](#) I've read on the broad subject of slavery and the South, from 1500 to 1870, close to 600,000 slaves were brought to America's shores. Of the 600,000 slaves sold to Americans, about 1/3 of them were Irish. It is difficult to estimate how many blacks and how many Irish, but there are some documented examples of what the numbers might be.

For example, at the beginning of one 12-year revolt by the Irish known as the Confederation War, the Irish population was 1,466,000. It fell to 616,000 by the end of the war. Over 550,000 Irishmen were killed and a minimum of 300,000 men were sold as slaves, a majority were sold in New York, Rhode Island, Massachusetts... on America's Northeast coast. Irish men were sold into slavery by the English and their servitude was for life. Women and children were sold for a certain period of years after which they were to be set free (if they survived).

Irishmen sold into slavery had women and children dependent on them for support. The dependents were particularly vulnerable. With their husbands and fathers sold into slavery, children were kidnapped by slavers who took them to local prisons or to workhouses where they were charged with "crimes" such as "vagrancy." When mothers, grandparents or concerned others discovered the children had been taken and tried to get them back, the children's captors and jailers demanded that food the children had eaten be paid for and the war weary citizenry could seldom pay the ridiculous prices charged. These children were sold into slavery and were brought to America.

The average Irish slave ship carried 300 people, but shipmasters might carry as many as 600. A Mr. Mittelberger

witnessed 32 children thrown into the ocean during one voyage. A man by the name of David Sellick was to supply New England with 250 women (females above 12 years of age and under 45) and 300 men (over age 12 and no older than 45). Mr. Sellick's firm (one of many) was responsible for shipping over 6,400 girls and boys. Only God knows how many Irish men, women and children Mr. Sellick sent to the New World as slaves. (See *The Irish Slaves* by Rhetta Akumatsu.) Before black people ever heard of slavery, the Vikings captured the Irish to serve as their slaves – from the 8th to the 12th century.

Being familiar with my Irish history, I get a bit tired of hearing elected black officials talk about their history of victimhood because their ancestors were brought to America as slaves. The sale of the Irish as slaves in America ended at the same time the sale of African slave sales did – though the signs in windows in from Boston to New York saying “Irish Need Not Apply” for jobs lasted far longer.

“Hypocrite” is, I believe, the appropriate term for those living on our East Coast who so like to portray themselves as too civilized to participate in something as deplorable as owning a black slave (like Southerners did). Buying Irishmen to be their slaves, however, was okay.

My Irish ancestors were still being rejected for jobs in the North long after the War Between the States was over and many blacks in the South were given land to farm. I do not suggest that this in any ways justifies what was done to blacks sold into slavery. I do suggest that history proves an effort of reparations was made to that group. None has ever been made to the Irish people stolen from their homes by royal English decree and given to human traffickers in England.

I have heard no apologies from New Englanders, New Yorkers, Rhode Islanders and other East Coast residents who enslaved over 300,000 Irish men, women and children. Yet I have seen

no Irish people running to Boston to tear down statues of early American founders whose roots were English or who owned Irish slaves. I see no Irish people demanding restitution for the misery their ancestors suffered because they were sold by the English into slavery in America. I see no Irish last names of men elected to Congress demanding advantage over other people because their ancestors were sent to America as slaves.

“Well,” will say Representatives like John Lewis (D-GA) or Sheila Jackson Lee (D-TX), “Irish people are white. The children of Irish slaves could integrate into the white-dominated society more easily. Blacks will forever be identified as former slaves because of the color of their skin.”

That’s a good excuse, but it’s not a reason. An excuse and a reason are two totally different things.

People who use excuses rather than reasons to explain themselves are usually lying – mostly to themselves... or, in the case of politicians, to voters who need an excuse for the choices voters have made in life. If Blacks still identify themselves as offspring of former slaves 150 years after slavery ended, they need to take a lesson from other minorities. The Irish did the same thing the Italians, Hispanic, Asian, Japanese, Chinese and all other immigrant Americans did: They created their own communities, their own businesses which they supported, and as these new businesses succeeded, their children moved into society on an equal financial footing. Color had nothing to do with it. Black people have not supported their own communities.

There have been no slaves in America since 1870... that’s almost 150 years. If you calculate 30 years as representative of a generation, that’s five generations since any white, red, yellow, brown, or black person was sold as a slave in America... at least if “slave” is defined as one who is bought

by one person and is sold to another.

In reality, those on welfare today are “bought and sold.” I don’t care what color you are, without your monthly government dole you would be on the street. People on Social Security (which is not welfare... Social Security is money people paid into a retirement fund which was stolen rather than invested by the United States Government) have been (unlawfully) “bought and sold.” Politicians who run for office are bought by those who contribute the largest amounts of money to their campaigns.

Perhaps someone will one day explain to all citizens – black, white, red, brown, yellow – that when you tell society that to achieve success in life – as a family, as a worker, as a business owner, as a student – you need advantages unavailable to others, you will never consider yourself or be considered by others as “equal.”

If you need an advantage over others to be equal to them, you are admitting you do not consider yourself equal. That’s simple logic. That is not to say blacks did not need civil rights legislation to gain equal access to good schools and universities. They did. They needed equal opportunity in the workplace. All minorities did. But black leaders quickly turned equal rights needs into advantage over whites.

The truth is, all disadvantaged people have benefitted by the civil rights mostly fought for by Martin Luther King, black legislators and community leaders. The truth is, once the law forced those who for one reason or another did not want to grant education and employment equality to blacks, other minorities, women, the disabled and others, all that was needed was effort by those needing the protection and enforcement of the law.

Sure. There are a few thousand white supremacists in America – former Senator Robert Byrd, a Democrat of West Virginia, is

a good example. He was a lifelong member of the Ku Klux Klan until it became politically disadvantageous for him. But a few thousand white supremacists are not enough to make a dent in a national election for the Presidency of this nation. Neither can a few thousand people withhold access to being a successful, productive member of society from an entire race of people who do what is necessary to help themselves.

It's interesting, isn't it? We call ourselves Americans, not Irish-Americans. We do not separate ourselves from other people by hyphenating our national origin. There are a lot of Irish people whose ancestors were brought here as slaves but are now proud Americans. They love this country. It doesn't matter how they got here.

In truth, the current "let's tear down all of the historic figures who owned slaves" is nothing more than an attempt to tear down America's history... to destroy us as a nation just like having open borders removes our status as a nation. It is part of the plot to establish world government.

You would think that black leaders of people who are killing one another at record rates and whose out-of-marriage birth rate is 70% might want to take a page out of the book of other people whose ancestors were brought to America against their will but who succeeded, regardless. That applies to whites as well as minorities (whose out-of-wedlock birth rate is lower than whites), but not to blacks.

That concept, however, does not fill the needs of black politicians and community leaders and organizers who are leaders in the black community. They are leading people, many of whom I dearly love, over a cliff.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Tribalism Explained

Words have meaning. How we interpret the words we hear and the words we use have consequences. Yet, few people spend time analyzing the real meaning of words we all say and hear.

What does the word fair mean? In the world of liberal progressives, “fair” means pitting one group of people against another group, demanding that one side deserves more “fairness” than the other because of... you name it (it can be any excuse). Liberals think in terms of divide and conquer class warfare. They create “victims” within the groups they attack. Which groups?

Rich vs. poor (or haves vs. have-nots)

Productive vs. non-productive

Taxpayers vs. non-taxpayers

Seniors vs. youth

Victims vs. criminals

Healthy vs. unhealthy

Race vs. race (white vs. black, brown vs. black, white vs. brown, brown vs. yellow, black vs. everyone to protect victim status, etc.)

Male vs. female

Female vs. male

Gay and lesbian vs. straight

People of faith vs. non-believers and members of other faiths:

Catholics vs. Protestants

Mormons vs. all traditional Christian religions

Christians vs. Jews

Christian vs. Muslims

Muslims vs. Jews

Muslims vs. Christians

Pro-life vs. pro-choice

Pro-Second Amendment vs. Opposition to Gun Ownership

And on and on and on...

It is a very long list. When you hear members of various media outlets say the words "identity politics," that is what the words mean: Identifying people with a group or gang – or, tribe rather than as individuals. The media usually does not use these words.

Regardless, they accurately define the tribal wars that liberal progressives use to gain and keep power.

The objective is to throw as many daily crises on your plate as possible so that intellectual chaos results. That is a major purpose of identity politics.

Why do liberals want your intellect to be chaotic? Because it is hard for the mind to reason in the midst of chaos. When you aren't reasoning, you miss what's going on behind the curtain the Wiz tries so hard to keep closed. The best way to cover up major events and unspeakable objectives (from the overthrow of the American government to world government to being nuked by North Korea) is to prevent you from viewing your world and what is really happening in it through a logical lens. In short, it's the way they hide what they're really doing. If they can make you fearful, they win the

game. That's why the Russia thing will remain at the top of the media's chaos list for as long as they can milk it.

If having the above as the platform for one of your two political parties doesn't frighten you, it should.

What "they" are doing is strongly motivating people to become part of a group... or, better said, a tribe. This is how you achieve tribalism. It is the most dangerous psychological ops game the Deep Statists have played thus far.

Tribalism was well-explained by Ayn Rand in numerous publications and you can find good overviews of it at the Atlas Society [web site](#). I encourage you to familiarize yourself with the information they provide. The Rand philosophy says "To live, man must hold three things as the supreme and ruling values of his life: Reason—Purpose – Self-esteem." Reason and self-esteem rely on your ability to think logically.

The Merriam Webster Dictionary defines tribalism as: "Tribal consciousness and loyalty; especially exaltation of the tribe above other groups."

That describes perfectly what is going on at the University of California Berkeley (and everywhere else in America) when they reject speakers who bring logical and well documented arguments to stimulate thought about solving problems.

After UC Berkeley students succeed at rejecting speakers, they suffer terrible tension placed on them by the thought of having someone say something with which they disagree. To ease the stress, they protest – if you call protest breaking the windows of small, independent businesses belonging to people who have worked hard most of their lives to succeed and build that shop. So much for the value of what the University of California teaches.

The same is true of the increased hostility between races,

especially during the eight year term of Barack Obama. When Attorney General Eric Holder ignored the threats made outside of a polling place when white voters were threatened by black militants carrying signs and slapping batons in their hands outside of the place the people were going to vote was a very dangerous form of tribalism.

“These men are part of my tribe and I, an elected official who took an Oath to uphold the Constitution and to represent all people when enforcing the law, place my tribe’s importance above your tribe and hereby tell you that any Oath I take is worthless. Thus, don’t trust me because my tribe comes before honor. ”

Tribalism is what Barack Obama did when he took sides with black Harvard Professor Henry Louis Gates in Cambridge, Massachusetts in a dispute with a police officer (whose behavior was proven right). Ferguson, MO was tribalism. The riots in the streets of Ferguson and Baltimore were declarations of tribal power. These are all perfect examples of tribalism.

These examples prove tribalism is a very dangerous thing and is not a toy for idiot politicians to use to engender emotional responses that gain votes. Idiots? Anyone who plays with fire is an idiot.

President Trump is absolutely correct in placing America First to restore sanity and social order. From the crime waves caused by Chicago gangs (gangs are tribes) to American youth deciding they want to join ISIS, most of the violence we see around the world today represents some form of tribalism.

Tribalism is widespread and if President Trump can survive the Deep State and Swamp attacks against him and his policies which are designed to destroy tribalism in this nation, we will be fortunate, indeed, because it is the fastest way to return all of us to the cave and a social order based on

survival of the fittest.

Whether it's the Crypts or the Bloods or the more recent MS-13 being diligently pursued by the Department of Justice, all of it screams "My tribe is bigger, better and stronger than yours!" Like dogs pee on trees to establish their territory, so, too, do gangs pee on (or shoot or knife) their opponents for what? The same reasons: perceived territory, superiority and proof of the power of the tribe.

Most wars are an example of tribalism... e.g., in the Middle East, most of the fighting is between Sunni and Shia Muslim tribes. The Czechs have pulled apart from Slovakia and the Kurds want independence from Iraq. Many Scots want sovereignty... they no longer want to be part of the United Kingdom. Great Britain had its Brexit moment last year and currently prepares to return to the British tribe and depart the European Union.

As Bill Clinton's Secretary of Labor, liberal progressive Robert Reich who is currently the Chancellor's Professor of Public Policy at the University of California, Berkeley, says on his blog, "Before the rise of the nation-state, between the eighteenth and twentieth centuries, the world was mostly tribal. Tribes were united by language, religion, blood and belief."

Reich correctly points out that "Nations are becoming less relevant in a world where everyone and everything is interconnected. The connections that matter most are again becoming more personal. Religious beliefs and affiliations, the nuances of one's own language and culture, the daily realities of class, and the extensions of one's family and its values – all are providing people with even greater senses of identity."

The first sentence of the above quote explains why liberal progressives want open borders and believe a wall to keep

America a safe, sovereign nation is evil. They want everything interconnected... how else can you have a world government? It is why the liberal progressive tribe is so filled with hatred for President Donald J. Trump who opposes tribalism and supports individualism... and a fence at our border.

Note how the sentence beginning with "Religious beliefs..." views each person as part of a group rather than as an individual... another major part of the liberal progressive philosophy. People thinking as individuals cannot be controlled; group think can be controlled. Thus, people as individuals are dangerous to the liberal progressive cause.

If "they" can divide us into small groups rather than Americans standing shoulder- to-shoulder regardless of race or religion, demanding our Constitutional rights and freedoms, they can beat us down (as they have the Europeans) and remove our national pride, our sense of sovereignty as Americans, and all of the other things that make us unique in the world – at least once the swamp is cleaned we will once again be unique.

Reich made a couple of interesting comments on his blog.

"Each tribe is headed by rival warlord whose fighting has almost brought the national government in Washington to a halt. Increasingly, the two tribes live separately in their own regions – blue or red state, coastal or mid-section, urban or rural – with state or local governments reflecting their contrasting values."

"I'm not making a claim of moral equivalence. Personally, I think the Republican right has gone off the deep end, and if polls are to be believed, a majority of Americans agree with me." ... Robert Reich

Well, Professor Reich, the polls – and you – were not to be believed. Otherwise, we'd be calling our President "Clinton" rather than "Trump."

I have to ask this question: How honest is a man who will make this statement after the Republicans control both chambers in 32 states, including 17 with veto-proof majorities. Those 32 states cover 61 percent of the U.S. population.

Democrats, meanwhile, control legislatures in 13 states, amounting to 28 percent of the country's population. Republicans now control governor's offices in 33 states – those states make up 60 percent of the population. Democrats control 16 states that have 40 percent of the population. This statistic is particularly important when viewed from the perspective that in 2009 the Democrats held two-thirds of the governorships... a 50 percent decrease in political power in just 7 years. So how can an intellectually honest person say the Republicans are the ones who have gone off the deep end? Answer: An honest person cannot.

The Democrats also lost their majority in Congress over the past six years, both the House and the Senate. Now they have lost the Presidency – and the Republicans are the ones who have gone off the deep end? Please!

Keep your eyes open for examples of tribalism because wherever it is being implemented it is dangerous... you might particularly want to watch your public schools (including universities).

At no time has it ever been more important for Americans to stand shoulder-to-shoulder, united as citizens of this great nation. After accepting that our country comes first and every citizen of this nation is important to me (if I want to remain free), we can, like any family, have our disagreements and still belong to any group or tribe we want.

© 2017 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Swamps, Deep States, And Core Problems, Part 2

It felt so good yesterday afternoon! The Republican National Committee (RNC) called at precisely the wrong time (for them) and the totally right time for me.

They were calling for donations. In a soft, positive voice, I told the young man that until the Republican Party can pass a program that gets government out of our health care, I don't have a dime to send them. I further explained that since the Republicans in Congress have had seven years to figure out how to repeal Obamacare and haven't been able to, I do not think they are using contributions sent to the RNC to find the brightest candidates. Rather, it appears to me that the candidates who got and get the most support are those the RNC can manipulate to vote as the RNC wants.

I made one final comment about not having one penny available for Republicans who cannot support our Republican President. I then thanked the man (calling from Washington, D.C.), told him to have a nice evening and hung up. I rather doubt they will be calling me back.

The purpose of this article is to tell you of an action I've decided to take and it might interest you, also... an action that will help empty the swamp in Washington, D.C, give you your nation back, cost you no money, and take only about an hour of your time.

(By the way, regarding health care and politics: Until Obamacare – excluding Medicaid and Medicare – government was uninvolved in our health care and the care for a majority of citizens was excellent. And that's the problem... the

Republicans want government to stay involved for the obvious skimming profit off the top reasons but can only do that if they repeal AND replace Obamacare. Isn't that really what this argument is all about? REPEAL the darned thing and forget replacing it! Let the marketplace replace it!)

Let's start with the truth. For those of you who have difficulty with that, I refer you to the Bible, Timothy 2 Chapter 4 Verse 1 and Thessalonians Chapter 2 Verse 9-12. They talk about how, in the end times, people who do not seek the Truth (remember, Christ told us HE is the way, the Truth and the life) will be unable to tolerate hearing it and will thus be unable to deal with facts.

I'm talking about both political parties, not just Democrats/liberals/progressives. Republicans who say they are conservative don't have a clue what conservative philosophy means... they think it is an ideology rather than a philosophy. Conservatism is a philosophy based on the principles of seeking truth and facts. They are constitutionalists who respect the Rule of Law that flows from the Constitution of the United States and their own State Constitution. People who understand conservatism also understand that the Constitution is like the Bible. You do not get to pick and choose which parts you like and discard the rest.

To be honest, there are not many people who are conservative. There are a lot who think they are and even more who say they are. They are not.

Both political parties have so abused the power we gave them and this article tells you how I intend to help collapse these two corporate entities... yes, both the RNC and the DNC are corporations and can be dissolved. And that's what this article is about: How to remove the power base of these two organizations. We need to start from the beginning.

The Republican National Committee (RNC) and the Democrat

National Committee (DNC) did not always collect money to elect candidates. We used to find and recruit our own candidates and give our financial support directly to the candidate.

One day the RNC and DNC said "Send your money to us and we'll find the best candidates for you... candidates who believe what you believe and who will vote to support a platform that will be approved by all Democrats (or Republicans). We'll make sure candidates have the money they need to get elected." State parties said the same.

Political candidates said "Great idea!" Availability of funds was more certain and made planning for advertising easier. Those are good things. Being indebted to a political party and dependent upon it for re-election funds, however, is not good.

Candidates are under the thumb of those who finance their re-election campaign and the parties have a view of the political world that may be (usually is) quite different from that of candidates and voters. The easy solution to this problem is term limits which keeps politicians from making a career out of being elected to office. It was never intended for politics to be anyone's career!

Voters said, "Great idea!" Rather than sending money to individual candidates, we sent it (many still send it) to the RNC or the DNC, local, state, and federal. Instead of going to political meetings, we spend time with our families. That's a good thing, right? It's a good thing unless the group giving you the time to relax is stealing your country by putting people in office that they, the party, control and those who run the party want to take away your sovereignty and give you one world government.

We enabled those who select our political candidates (for both parties) to select people whose past histories or current temperaments make them susceptible to blackmail... perhaps they

have a drug or sex addiction. It appears the RNC's and DNC's number one qualification for candidate selection is "easily manipulated." They sought candidates that want the power and public recognition that goes with the title Senator or Congressman, but do not particularly care what they vote for or against.

Both Parties provide political training for candidates when they actually became candidates... after winning a primary, or whatever. And attending these training classes is how those who run for office learn to obey. They become "Young Pro-Lifers" or some other groupie name when they graduate.

Why would political parties seek weak candidates who can be manipulated? Because: The political parties are the ones doing the manipulating. The Senator or Congressman each Party works to elect receives what that Senator or Congressman wants: The apparent public power and status and publicity. The Parties get what they want: Control of the Members of Congress and their votes on legislation. The party controls candidates via the provision of funds required to run future winning campaigns. The same is true at the state and county levels. And that defines the "Shadow Government."

I have known for many years that both political parties are corrupt. I believed the only way to get rid of the corruption was from inside the party. I had to remain a Republican to change the Republican Party.

I no longer believe that to be true – and here is what I figured out over the July 4th holiday: If people remove their names as registered members of any political party, that party's power is reduced by each name that is removed. My guess is that if several million registered Republicans changed their voter registration to "Independent," Obamacare would be repealed very quickly and politicians wouldn't be worrying about replacement. Replacement will take care of itself when politicians get penalties of the law out of the

way by repealing Obamacare.

“Oh, you can’t do that! You’ll be empowering the Democrats and we’ll have world government and communism within two years!”

You’re wrong! My moving my voter registration from Republican to Independent doesn’t change one bit who I can vote for – if I choose to vote for them (and I won’t hold my nose and vote for another non-conservative Republican candidate). It’s true that I won’t be able to vote in Primaries, but I learned a few years ago that the RNC uses funds to promote one Republican Primary candidate over another – which is against the rules... but who cares about rules?

How do I know this happened? I know it because one candidate who is from a tiny town and not well known in Colorado and is not personally affluent suddenly began advertising day-after-day on one of the most expensive shows on cable: Bill O’Reilly’s “The Factor.” That money had to come from the RNC or other special interests. So, I’m really not losing anything but my right to participate in a stacked deck.

Here’s my message to Republicans: Having watched Republican Party behavior since 20 January 2017, I’m fairly sure you earned my rejection by your rejection of my President in violation of the Constitution to which you swore an Oath.

If you, a Republican elected to office, cannot support the President those of us support who make you and the Republican Party possible, I can only say “good bye.” Let’s remove the word “support” from your dictionary and mine and see who suffers most. (Hint: It won’t be me.)

Without the people as voters and contributors, political parties are nothing so I’m going to begin helping them be nothing! That is, after all, what they are trying to make us.

I say both parties have abused their voter bases so badly it’s

time we take the base away from them. I am removing my registered support for Republicans for their abuse of President Donald J. Trump. To them, their egos are more important than the good of their country. And we're parting ways because of what appears to be Republican commitment to world government and war as the primary economic drive. I place more value on human life – ours and theirs – than that.

The Republican Party knows what the people want. We elected Donald Trump to be our President. What are establishment Republicans doing? Every possible thing they can to make our President fail.

Please remember the following names. They are the anti-Trump Gang of 22 who way back in March 2016 met at The Cloister, a 5-star resort on Sea Island, Georgia. Republican voters likely don't expect much better behavior from the first 7 names on this list – ending with neo-conservative expert Karl Rove. We don't expect much from Kentucky, Ohio, Nebraska, Michigan, Mexifornia, and certainly not from anyone representing Washington or Oregon.

I hope voters in those states look at this list and remember not to contribute one penny to any who are running for office. Republican voters probably were pretty surprised at Cotton, Gardner, Scott, Brady, Black, Hensarling, and Price.. and Price ends up in Trump's Cabinet! These traitors to our Constitution deserve no support.

These are the Republican traitors who are trying to take our President down and, by taking down a lawfully- elected President, they believe they can pull off a bloodless coup. Remember them when you buy products (you can get along without an Apple).

- Tim Cook, billionaire Apple CEO
- Larry Page, billionaire Google co-founder
- Sean Parker, billionaire Napster creator and Facebook

investor

- Elon Musk, billionaire Tesla Motors and SpaceX founder
- Arthur Sulzberger, billionaire publisher of The New York Times
- Philip Anschutz, billionaire businessman and GOP donor
- Karl Rove
- Senate Majority Leader Sen. Mitch McConnell(R-Ky.)
- Sen. Tom Cotton (R-Ark.)
- Sen. Cory Gardner (R-Colo.)
- Sen. Tim Scott (R-S.C.)
- Sen. Rob Portman (R-Ohio)
- Sen. Ben Sasse (R-Neb.)
- Speaker of the House Rep. Paul Ryan (R-Wisc.)
- Rep. Fred Upton (R-Mich.)
- Rep. Kevin Brady (R-Texas)
- Rep. Kevin McCarthy (R-Calif.)
- Rep. Cathy McMorris Rodgers (R-Wash.)
- Rep. Tom Price (R-Ga.)
- Rep. Jeb Hensarling (R-Texas)
- Rep. Diane Black (R-Tenn.)
- Rep. John Delaney (D-Md.)

Those swamp denizens in Washington, D.C., have caused me to lose trust in my government, in the intelligence agencies that are supposed to keep Americans safe... the FBI, CIA, NSA, and all the rest. Congress can't be trusted. I don't trust the Department of Justice which hired Robert Mueller to be Special Counsel for the FBI's Russia investigation. In turn, Mueller (who has more conflicts of interest in this matter than Bill Cosby has sex charges filed against him) has hired a dozen pro-Hillary/Democrat/Progressive/Socialist attorneys to investigate President Trump. It appears Jeff Sessions has no control over his Department of Justice. Which Pandora God is Attorney General Sessions serving?

This is my personal Declaration of Independence. I no longer believe either political party is salvageable. I believe the

greatest power we the people have at this particular moment in time is to walk away from both political parties. As for me, as of next week, I will officially be a registered Independent – and I invite you to join me.

Independents have more fun.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Swamps, Deep States And Core Problems, Part 1

Like most of you, I have become more and more concerned about the massive criminality of the swamp animals and other spooky creatures in our nation's capitol. In addition to the Swamp, we also have a serious problem called the "Deep State." The Swamp and the Deep State overlap but they are different entities.

We don't really know what the Deep State is... just that it's a group of people (most people say they are from America's Intel community... FBI, CIA, NSA, etc.) who are so arrogant they believe they know better than the millions of voters who put Donald J. Trump in the Oval Office who should be there – and they don't think it should be Trump.

The people who make up the Deep State have yet to realize their arrogance is the worst form of tyranny. At the moment they think they are saving us from ourselves... at least that's what they tell themselves. That's what all tyrants tell themselves and it's what they tell those they want in

servitude to them. Remember the number one job qualification for spies: Good liar.

Most people thought that when Candidate Trump said "I'll clean the swamp" it meant that President Trump would have to terminate a few bureaucrats and get voters in a couple of states to retire this Senator or that Representative.

Add to that, placing good conservatives on the Supreme Court and Senator Sessions as Attorney General and El Zappo! Done deal! After a few arrests, the swamp is clean... except wait a minute. What's this? Jeff Sessions is allowing Rosenstein to hire Mueller – a man with such obvious and numerous conflicts of interest when it comes to anything close to the Clintons – to investigate the Trump Administration's involvement with Russia! Most of us are shaking our heads over these appointments. What could "they" have on Sessions, we wonder?

Cleaning the swamp requires investigative reporter Clark Kent during the regular business day and Superman.com after hours. In his active and meaningful attempt to make America great again, President Donald J. Trump has opened Pandora's Box and its unlimited evils... including treason/sedition and other crimes evidently committed by some very important people.

Rumor has it that if everyone in elected office were "outed" for a variety of crimes (from drunk driving to pedophilia to treason), we would lose 80 percent of our Congress. Is that true? I have no idea – but it would not surprise me.

Those who know me well will attest that I think it a waste of time to talk about issues because issues cannot be solved. Why? Issues are symptoms of problems and those symptoms change from day-to-day as the disease (problem) gets better, then worse.

You can ease the symptoms (issues) for a short time but until the disease (problem) is accurately diagnosed, treated and cured, it will stay hidden in dark recesses, just waiting for

the right moment to attack again. The problems America has politically do not require medication. They require extensive surgery.

Trying to solve issues is exemplified by how physicians have misused antibiotics... over-prescribing them, e.g. The bacteria morph – changing to avoid being totally destroyed. Thus symptoms (issues) you thought you'd dealt with keep reappearing and they get stronger each time they reappear. They no longer respond to the medication. More and different antibiotics or political solutions will be prescribed and the patient ends up at death's door – all because establishment politicians want to deal with issues rather than go directly to the core problem and rip it out.

What is our core problem... the core of the core? A lot of people will likely disagree with me on this and that's by 'God's Grace... if liberal progressives have their way, no one will be able to disagree (with them) about anything. They'll have a violent riot, call it a protest, get you thrown off campus and bury a point of view different from their own, so let's enjoy our disagreements while we can. It's called "freedom."

It came to me as I watched an interview of Congressman Jason Chaffetz (R-UT) by one of the [best investigative reporters](#) in the universe, Sharyl Attkisson. [Here's a link to the Chaffetz video.](#)

There have been television news stories done telling us that Representative Chaffetz sleeps on a cot in his House of Representatives office at night and in this interview he discusses how costly it is to buy or rent property in the Washington, D.C. area. It's impossible, he says, to maintain two residences for his young family in two costly cities. He's not complaining about the pay... just the expenses involved in running two households.

In this interview, Representative Chaffetz tells Attkisson why he decided to resign from Congress. Losing a truly good, honest and intelligent Congressman like Chaffetz hurts. Badly. He is one of the few totally trustworthy Constitutional conservatives in the House. He's exactly the kind of young man we want to represent us. Chaffetz said he expected that when Donald Trump became President and Jeff Sessions became Attorney General things would change. That, according to Chaffetz, did not happen. In fact, as Chairman of the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, Chaffetz says things have gotten worse.

"The reality is, sadly, I don't see much difference between the Trump administration and the Obama administration. I thought there would be this... these floodgates would open up with all the documents we wanted from the Department of State, the Department of Justice, the Pentagon. In many ways, it's almost worse because we're getting nothing, and that's terribly frustrating and with all due respect, the Attorney General has not changed at all. I find him to be worse than what I saw with Loretta Lynch in terms of releasing documents and making things available. I just, that's my experience, and that's not what I expected."

If you watched the video linked above, what you heard is a popular Congressman who would be easily re-elected if he ran... Chaffetz was only six months into his tenth term of office when he resigned. He's telling you that he cannot – will not – tolerate the environment that has been created over the years in Washington, D.C. by members of the elitist establishment of both political parties.

He's saying he has more respect for his time than to spend it in constant effort to raise money... not for himself, but for the RNC. He's also saying that he worked very hard to get Donald Trump elected and expected change that has not yet come. In fairness to Trump, he has had his hands full with the media war, Russia, Comey, etc. There is, however, no such

excuse for Jeff Sessions withholding from this important House Committee the files they need to conclude very old investigations (going back to Fast and Furious).

When you look closely at what the Chaffetz decision to resign really means, the core problem of our entire political system emerges.

Representative Chaffetz spoke truthfully and from the heart in that video. He gave us his honest reaction to Washington, D.C. He came to the nation's capitol and invested ten years of his young life to make things better. What Chaffetz in essence said is: "If you are a moral, intelligent, informed and capable human being who wants to help make America the best it can be, you won't be able to stay for long because of the swamp in which you must live." Those are not the words he used, but if you listen closely that's what he said.

There is your core problem. This is what is wrong with America's system of governing. As it is in Western Europe, the established system holds no appeal to the young, the honest, the intelligent, the moral people who want to serve America as our founders envisioned. We can thank establishment leaders from Pelosi and Ryan in the House to Reid and McConnell in the Senate for the lack of intelligent, moral people who will run for political office these days. Can you blame them? Listen to Congressman Chaffetz describe what is expected of him. Is that a life you'd like to live? Nope... but anyone looking to get their hands on government funds would.

When something lacks appeal to honest people, it usually attracts the dishonest. When it lacks appeal to intelligent people, it attracts the not-so-bright. When something doesn't hold appeal to moral people, it will attract the immoral and the amoral.

I can't give anyone advice about finance or politics but in my

opinion anyone who sends money to the RNC or the DNC so they can use your money to “buy” a candidate they control and who often votes against the better interests of the people is, in a way, committing suicide. That, I think, makes such a person a MAJOR part of the problem.

I have stopped sending funds to State and County political parties, too. Everyone is angry about the swamp that’s been created – and it exists largely because of financial support given to the political parties. Better that I send nothing than provide more money to establishment politicians and the organizations that do their dirty work for them. That is true whether the organization is federal, state, county or local, Republican or Democrat.

It’s the American way to find your own candidates and support them. Understand that we live in a new world of how to get candidates elected. How many Tweet numbers do you have? How many email addresses? It doesn’t take money to get elected today. It takes electronic contacts. The election results in Atlanta two weeks ago prove that. If money could get a candidate elected, we would be calling our President “Clinton” today.

If enough of us would withhold our support and send no money, we would quickly find two political parties who decided to support us rather than arrogantly expecting us to support them. Support no candidate just because he or she has an “R” or a “D” after their name and give what support you give directly to the candidate, not to a political party.

Do you realize that we are talking about our survival as a nation?

© 2017 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Marilyn Barnewall: emembee@charter.net

Democrats: Quit Smoking

It is common knowledge that the majority of people who die in fires are overcome with smoke and that smoke inhalation is the cause of death, not the fire itself.

Conservative broadcasters talk about how ridiculous Democrat commentary is... there is no evidence of Russian wrongdoing and never has been.

Evidence is the fire. The stories are the smoke... the weapon of mass destruction aimed at President Donald Trump by liberal progressives and "Never Trump" neo-conservative traitors.

It is sometimes hard to know who does more damage to our great nation: 1) The newspapers who publish trashy false stories as news, or; 2) those who read stories which provide no reliable named sources to validate the stories and, without checking the fake news, pass them on to others who read and believe them because the information came from a friend.

How do we know there is no fire? Because any investigator worth his or her salt does things in a specific, strategic, planned way: They investigate, they gather evidence, and then they file charges. They do not investigate and send every rumor to the far left media for publication... such behavior hampers serious investigations. The Russia/Trump administration investigation has been going on for almost a year and no evidence of wrongdoing by Trump has emerged.

The Trump/Russia smoke has been building for a long time. If any believable evidence existed, a Grand Jury would be impaneled and an indictment requested (if the evidence supports indictment), or if the evidence is irrefutable, charges would be filed.

There is no evidence of collusion – though James Comey subtly tried to lay ground work for it in his testimony on Thursday... testimony which did more damage to Comey (and former Attorney General Loretta Lynch) than to anyone else.

There is no evidence of obstruction of justice. Collusion and obstruction have very specific definitions. Look them up. Google them and stop believing what other people who have an agenda tell you.

It is interesting how Hillary's name has disappeared from all of the investigations going on in Congress and all of the intelligence services. Gee, you don't think that's part of the liberal progressive "get rid of him with smoke" plan "because we're toast if we don't" strategy, do you?

Hillary's the fire and to keep from being burned she must generate a lot of smoke. Otherwise people might see through the smoke and begin asking questions about the numerous felonies of which she might be guilty... her involvement while Secretary of State of putting almost one-third of America's uranium in the hands of Vladimir Putin and the Russians, for one (for which the Clinton Foundation was well paid). That's a fact, not an opinion. Records exist that validate it.

If Democrats can't keep everyone talking about Donald Trump and Russia, the smoke would clear and people might start talking about how Hillary committed at least one felony by sending classified email messages on a non-protected, non-government issued personal computer using a server in Colorado.

Those same classified emails were forwarded from Hillary's unprotected computer to Huma Abedin's personal computer where her husband, Anthony Weiner, a man recently convicted of using email for sexually perverted reasons, copied them onto his personal computer. Records confirm it happened.

You get the idea why and how Democrat liberals use smoke to

destroy others. They have done it so often, they have the system down pat. They tried to ruin Sean Hannity a couple of weeks ago and got slapped down.

This week they are blowing liberal smoke about one of the most honest men in Washington, D.C.: Attorney General Jeff Sessions. He will have his chance to destroy the stories about him when he testifies this afternoon.

I understand why conservatives are upset with Sessions. He appointed Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein to handle the investigations into Russia's hacking of American elections... and Rosenstein appointed former FBI Director and James Comey's mentor, Robert Mueller, as Special Counsel.

I agree with Newt Gingrich about Mueller being totally inappropriate special counsel to investigate the Russian affair... he's a man with so many conflicts of interest involving James Comey and the FBI he is totally the wrong man. [To see why, go here.](#) Mueller will likely turn this investigation into a witch hunt. But upset with Sessions or not, he is an honest man... one of the few in our nation's capitol.

Progressive liberals understand their opponents – and human nature – very well. They know the loyalty level of the average voter isn't even skin deep.

The issues creating the smoke that are leaks from the intelligence community and come from Obama bureaucrats who are still employed in positions that give them access to information a "Hate Trumper" should not have – like leaker James Comey. They are holdovers from Obama whose jobs have not yet been filled by the Trump team.

One of the most hostile actions plotted to destroy the Presidency of Donald J. Trump comes from the man who served as President for the eight years before Trump: Obama. For the first time in more than 200 years of American history, a

former President is actively involved in trying to cause the President who replaced him to fail.

A group set up by Obama called "Organizing for Action" (OFA – too bad they didn't add the word League... OFAL) – is prepared to cause chaos and possibly violence around the nation on the issues Trump supports and the former President opposes.

According to a *New York Post* article, Obama's OFA plans to implement change in communities on issues involving gun control, socialist healthcare, abortion, gender equality, climate change, and immigration reform. Gee, do any of those sound familiar?

Aren't they the very issues that caused Hillary Clinton to lose her bid for the Presidency because she opposed them? Aren't those things responsible for the victory of Donald Trump? Aren't these things a majority of people across the country support (excluding those who live in large sanctuary cities)? As his performance in office proves, Obama opposes them. Thus, they must be changed. What the people support doesn't matter to Barrack Obama and OFA.

The *Post* article makes readers ask the question: If you had 30,000 people around the nation who ask "how high" when you say "jump," and if you had a court system corrupted by years of liberal progressive judicial appointments, how much damage could you do to America? Those are resources OFA has and that is how much damage Obama appears to be planning.

Imagine for a moment how this works. President Trump issues an Executive Order imposing a travel ban on people who come from nations famed for their skills as terrorists. They are experts at killing innocent people... whether slitting a woman's throat in Oklahoma on a public street, or killing almost 50 people in Orlando (exactly a year ago), or murdering people who just gave them a baby shower. They kill in many cities elsewhere in the world... murder is their claim to fame.

This Order runs in opposition to OFA's beliefs – and they want to impose those beliefs on all of us because in their opinions of their own opinions, they are right.

OFA calls for protests from its paid 30,000 members and for anti-immigration statements from pro-illegal immigration policy experts... and ACLU lawyers swing into action, filing lawsuits in jurisdictions where activist judges obstruct immigration laws (the 9th District).

They call for protests at airports and at Town Hall Meetings held by elected officials. The left-wing media which supports all things liberal and progressive makes sure anti-immigration protests receive extensive prime-time coverage (and they make sure to feature sad pictures of weeping émigrés being turned away from the land of opportunity). Because of Trump, they are unable to leave behind their violent lives to live peacefully in America... like they live peacefully in Belgium, France, Germany, the United Kingdom, Sweden, etc.

The 9th District Court makes a legal decision in opposition to the President's travel ban and without jurisdiction to do so places the citizens living in all other Court Districts in the nation at risk by stopping the Presidents Order. Something is wrong with that concept. Something is very wrong with a legal system that permits it to happen.

And that is how OFA, a group set up by Barrack Obama, works.

I got an email this week that explains why liberal progressives behave the way they do – why, for example, people are willing to pop up at a protest on a moment's notice (aside from the fact that many of them are unemployed, need a cause, and get paid for doing so). You may have seen the same email. It is a wonderful explanation of why the media so hates Donald Trump... so much so it would rather destroy the nation than write truthful news of his plans and achievements. It has to do with things staying the way they

have always been.

You start with a cage containing four monkeys and inside the cage you hang a banana on a string and place a set of stairs under the banana.

Before long, a monkey will go the stairs and climb toward the banana.

You spray ALL the monkeys with ice cold water. After a short time, another monkey makes an attempt. As soon as he touches the stairs, you spray ALL the monkeys with ice cold water.

It won't take many such repetitions of the above and when another monkey tries to climb the stairs, the other monkeys will physically prevent it. They are tired of shivering after taking unwanted ice cold water baths.

Now, put away the cold water. Remove one monkey from the cage and replace it with a new monkey. The new monkey sees the banana and attempts to climb the stairs. To his shock, all of the other monkeys beat the crap out of him. After another attempt and attack, he knows that if he tries to climb the stairs he will be assaulted.

Remove another monkey (of the original four) and replace it with a new monkey. The newcomer goes to the stairs and is attacked. The previous newcomer takes part in the punishment – with enthusiasm – because he is now part of the “team.”

Then replace the third of the original monkeys with a new monkey, followed by the fourth. Every time the newest monkey takes to the stairs, he will be attacked.

The monkeys that are beating the new monkeys have no idea why they are not permitted to climb the stairs. They have no idea why they are beating the newest monkey... none of the remaining monkeys have ever been sprayed with ice cold water.

Nevertheless, not one of the monkeys will try to climb the

stairway for the banana. Why? Because in their minds getting beat up if you try to climb the stairs is how it has always been!

And that describes the behavior of media, the swamp in Washington, D.C. and the politicians and the bureaucrats that populate it, and it describes the unexplainable hatred of President Donald J. Trump. In the liberal progressive world, that's the way it has always been.

After listening to last Thursday's monologue by James Comey and his charges that the most "respected" newspapers in the nation, *The Washington Post* and *The New York Times*, willingly print stories they know to be false, suggests that these editors and reporters are psycho.

They are like the monkeys in a cage with that banana... and they thought the game would go on forever because that's the way it has always been. People would always believe what they wrote because that's the way it has always been.

Then there's CNN. They employed a woman who held by the hair a picture of President Trump's head with blood pouring down his face, telling the public she's a comedienne and this is funny. Such a person is mentally ill and should be institutionalized. She is guilty of aiding and abetting the enemy!

The woman defends her actions by saying "I'm a comedienne."

She has no concept that her action is perverted. She thinks such actions are funny and defends her self, blaming the Trump family for their reactions to her exhibit designed to promote violence against the President. She cannot believe someone doesn't think she's funny and thinks she is a victim because someone she brutally attacked fought back and she is the loser. She's probably always been a loser.

Our FBI Director is supposed to protect Americans from terror

and other forms of violent crime. James Comey is so weak it should frighten every one of us. The FBI is headed by a man so insecure he's afraid to say to his boss, "Mr. President, this conversation is inappropriate." He was too frightened of Loretta Lynch to tell her he was heading an "investigation," not a "matter" after Lynch insisted he call it that – and he did.

Do we now have a FBM rather than a FBI?

Thank God Putin did not send the FBI a message while Comey was Director. He would be so stunned he would lock himself in a closet for months.

I have said since the beginning that James Comey is as slick as a wet snake. He proved it during his Senate hearing. He did damage President Trump, but he did more damage to himself. The personal notes he gave to a Columbia University Law Professor to leak to *The New York Times* were written while he was still the FBI Director and the notes belong to the government, not James Comey. They were not his to leak. And that, I believe, represents [misprision of felony](#).

I never thought I'd say this, but congratulations to Senator John McCain for (along with Senator Tom Cotton R-AR) asking the most intelligent questions of the entire Comey hearing.

A lot of smoke but no fire – not at the White House, anyway.

© 2017 Marilyn Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Which Dominates Your Life?

Purpose Or Cause?

People must have horribly miserable lives to willingly strap explosives on the chest of one of their children and tell him or her to blow up not just themselves, but other people, too.

Modern Americans are so spoiled; most have no idea about the standard of living – or lack of a standard – in poverty stricken nations. Too many of us have no idea just how blessed we are and how thankful we should be. Too few of us honor those who have lost their lives in service to America.

What does that really mean... “service to America”? To many people, it means a person who gave their life so the idiot children of California liberals can prevent freedom of speech at the University of California Berkeley. It means sacrificing their time on earth so we can grill hot dogs, hamburgers and steaks on our barbies last Monday... Memorial Day.

Adults who willingly tape explosives to their bodies and go to a public place to kill others must have totally meaningless lives if that’s the only life purpose they feel is worthy of them. When innocent people who love life and are enjoying themselves at a public event need to worry about being blown up by those who have no meaning beyond a violent cause, we have returned to the attitudes enjoyed by our ancestors who lived in a cave. Regressive defines “progressive.”

People who feel meaningless and powerless need a cause to find meaning in life. They have nothing of value inside of themselves and must thus seek meaning by finding a “cause” outside of themselves.

Having a purpose implies choice; promoting a cause implies force. If you doubt that, the students who took over the campus at Evergreen State College in Washington last week, demanding that all white people leave the campus for a day,

prove my point.

Having a life purpose and having a cause are quite different things... they are not even similar. The only way to fight stupidity is to impose sufficient penalties to make people think twice before repeating such behavior... like refusing any student loans to attendees of that school because those who attend it are there not to learn but to force their views of life on others. All financial aid to such a school should be ceased because the adults are not teaching; they are reacting to students who are teaching them how administrators should not behave. What cowards!

We all need a reason for being alive. We need to feel we matter. If we can't find a meaningful purpose in life, many people seek meaning in death... suicide, murder, violence – or, we escape facing life's questions by seeking solace in drugs. Or we take over university campuses to feel a fake sense of power.

Having a life's purpose is an individual, not a group, thing. No two people have the same skills, the same natural talents, the same thoughts or philosophies, the same education or self-discipline. All of these things make up a life purpose. That is precisely why having a life purpose simply cannot exist in a group environment. Causes are born in groups. Life purpose is born in individuals.

People who seek purpose by joining a group find no purpose, just a cause. I wonder if they realize that at the moment they set off the explosives taped to their bodies, or as they run their car or truck into an innocent group of people to kill them. Realize what? Just how totally useless they are and how meaningless are their lives... how they do not matter.

Those are hard truths... but they are truths. And we have other harsh truths with which we must come to grips. We don't like to think about them because it's unpleasant to realize our own

mortality.

The two most basic truths in life are that 1) we are born and 2) we will die. It's what we do between those two events that either gives or withholds meaning for the time we spend on earth. A basic look at human behavior makes it very clear that people have a deep need to feel the time they spent on earth matters – that they matter.

It is absolutely insane to bring people to America from extreme poverty and miserable societies – people who kill their own offspring so they can have a better life in the hereafter. This life is so bad, death offers meaning unavailable to them in life: Happiness. They come to a free society and then demand the laws that governed and made their old lifestyle so miserable be put in place to govern them in their new, freedom-based home.

At public universities all over America, our children are being taught that Islam is a religion of peace.

First, Islam is not just a religion. It is a total form of government and its "Constitution" is Sharia law. It is impossible to be a Muslim if you do not accept Sharia law... just like it is impossible to be an American without pledging allegiance to the Constitution of the United States. It would appear that many Americans have forgotten that... especially those who attend institutions of higher indoctrination.

In fact, because Sharia law prevents any Muslim from swearing allegiance to any way of life that takes precedence over Sharia – to our Constitution – a good case can be made that Muslims cannot be citizens of this country. I have great respect for Muslims for being so firm in their faith. Christians could learn a few lessons from them.

Donald Trump tried to convince people that there are some in the world who are better off staying in the misery they have created for themselves, but liberal progressives who prefer

socialism to a constitutional republic fight to prove him wrong... but all of the explosions and death keep adding to President Trump's wisdom on this subject.

We are told by most of our professors who are employed by universities that are financially supported by taxpayers – that would be you and I – that Islam is a religion of peace. As explained above, it is not just a religion. It is a religion contained within a government structure called Sharia law. The following statistics prove there is nothing peaceful about it.

The Crusades began in 1095. For those students who attend university in America and do not know which nations fought in America's Revolutionary War, the Civil War, World Wars I and II, and the Korean or Vietnam wars, the Crusades were official wars declared by various nations against Islam. What motivated these nations to declare war against non-European nations in the Middle East beginning in 1095?

In 1095, Muslims had been attacking Christians for almost 1,500 years. They declared their wars against hundreds of nations and fought over millions of square miles.

All we hear today is how the Muslim nations are the victims of Western imperialism. However, the 1,500 years of Muslim imperialism should and does give Christian nations reasons to prevent the takeover of Christian nations today... though Angela Merkel and the rest of the G-20 do not seem to be aware of the dangers (regardless of the number of bombings, motor vehicle deaths, and rapes against the people of their nations – their primary job is to protect citizens, not immigrants from the Middle East).

Maybe it will help if we remind the members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) about [the history of wars](#) declared against Christian nations by Muslims.

In the fifth century B.C. (for Millennials and for those who

attended Ivy League schools, that means Before Christ), the Persians invaded Europe in an attempt to conquer the Greeks.

August 20, 636 (that is 459 years before Christians fought back via the Crusades), the Muslims declared war, defeating the Byzantines, at the battle of Yarmuk.

In July 637 (458 years BTC which means before the crusades), Muslims conquer Iraq.

In 639-642, Muslims conquer Egypt.

In 641, Muslims Crusaders control Syria and Palestine and that began the siege of Jerusalem which lasted five months. It is said 75,000 soldiers took part in these two efforts and they were quite bloody wars. So it is clear that until 641, Jerusalem belonged to the Jews, not the Muslims who became the "new Palestinians" and remain so.

Between 644 and 650, Muslim Crusaders conquer Cyprus, Tripoli in North Africa and establish Islamic rule in Iran, Afghanistan and Sind.

In 652, the Muslims plundered Italy... the beginning of ongoing attacks.

In 678, the Muslims attacked the Byzantine capital of Constantinople.

In 713, the Muslims conquered Spain.

By the time the Crusades began, an article in ClashDaily.com says "...Muslim armies had conquered two-thirds of the Christian world."

And that is what motivated the Crusades in 1095. There were terrible things done to Muslims by those holding themselves out to be followers of Christ and there is no excuse in this world for such savagery. It is particularly disgusting because Christianity, like Islam, holds itself out to its

believers to be a religion of love, joy and peace. However, for the 1,500 years prior to the Crusades, the Christians were the ones being savaged by Muslims. They did not become the attackers until 1095.

On the other hand, after being attacked, savaged and abused by Muslims for 1,500 years before the Crusades, Christian anger is somewhat understandable if not acceptable. There is nothing in the Bible that tells us to turn the other cheek to evil. We are to identify it and, when possible, destroy it.

And here we are again... Christians are being slaughtered by Muslims. There are political reasons and political crimes behind the wrong-doings of the Western Christian world against the Middle East – and from the Muslim perspective, they are good and we are the evil and they are trying to destroy us. Nothing excuses the kinds of crimes perpetrated by Muslims against innocent people in this, the 21st century after the birth of our Lord... especially the intentional killing of children. There is also no excuse for the innocent Muslim lives lost from the wars perpetrated there by the West, including America, over oil... or is it the poppy fields? Whether it is a bomb that unintentionally kills a child, or a knife used to slit a child's throat, the result is the same: Death of an innocent.

It has always puzzled me why there is so little discussion in the Christian world about the two sons of Abraham: Ishmael and Isaac. Read Genesis 16. An Egyptian woman named Hagar was the maid servant of an elderly woman named Sarai, wife of Abram – who was about 85 when God told him in Genesis 15 that he and Sarai would have a son..., they never had children. Sarai, wanting her husband to have a son, had encouraged her Egyptian handmaiden, Hagar, to sleep with her husband, Abram.

The Lord had told Abram that he and Sarai would have a son (Genesis 15), but both were so aged they did not take the words seriously. Sarai actually laughed at the idea. When

Hagar conceived, she looked upon Sarai with contempt. Sarai dealt harshly with Hagar who ran away into the desert.

An angel of the Lord found Hagar on the way to Shur and told her to return to her mistress, saying: "Behold, you are pregnant and shall bear a son. You shall call his name Ishmael because the Lord has listened to your affliction." The name Ishmael means "God hears."

The angel continued: "He shall be a wild donkey of a man, his hand against everyone and everyone's hand against him, and he shall dwell over and against all his kinsmen."

According to the MacArthur Study Bible, "wild donkey" refers to a wild donkey that is fiercely aggressive and independent and Ishmael would exhibit these traits and pass them on to his Arab descendants. Abram was 86 years old when Ishmael was born.

Then several years later, as God had promised Abram, Sarai became pregnant and had a son and, as God had told Abram he must do, the child was named Isaac.

So, Abram became Abraham and Sarai became Sarah, as Abram was instructed by the Lord.

As the two sons grew in age and stature, Sarah became aware that as long as the illegitimate child of Abraham remained in the tribe, Ishmael would cause doubt to be cast upon the legitimate child's right to inherit from his father. Sarah demanded that Abraham cast Hagar and Ishmael out. It was difficult because Abraham loved the illegitimate son he'd had with Hagar.

An angel of the Lord appeared and told Abraham, "Whatever Sarah says to you do as she tells you for through Isaac shall your offspring be named. And I will make a nation of the son of the slave woman also, because he is your offspring."

If you believe the Bible and continue reading the story of Ishmael and Isaac to its conclusion, it certainly appears that the violence that exists between Christians and Muslims in 2017 has its roots in Isaac, the son of Sarah and Abraham, and in Ishmael, son of Hagar and Abraham..

Isaac was the father of Jacob who wrestled with an angel all night before meeting his twin brother, Esau, the next day. As dawn neared, the angel touched Jacob's thigh and asked his name and when Jacob, son of Isaac, told him, the angel informed him that from this day forward his name would be Israel, no longer Jacob. Until that moment, the word Israel is not spoken in the Holy Bible... the nation of Israel was born to Isaac's son.

Perhaps our Creator has a sense of humor... one that has for several thousand years let the battle between illegitimate and legitimate sons, of abuse of an Egyptian slave woman and her son, of the Christians and Jews (who worship the same God) fighting to defend themselves from a wild donkey of a son who did produce 12 princes, as God's angel promised Hagar Ishmael would. Upon reading the history of Islam and Christianity/Judaism, it appears one has been attacking the other for 2,500 years and the other has been defending and then attacking back.

Perhaps we are nearing the end of the battle for dominance between Ishmael and Isaac?

© 2017 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Trump, Comey, Russia, Mueller, Lieberman, And Dirty Tricks

Part 2

A brief apology to my readers: Due to a transmission problem, this article that should have appeared as Part 2 on Sunday, was delayed. Sorry... Marilyn

The reaction to President Donald J. Trump's firing of FBI Director James Comey makes very clear how out of touch with the American people the U.S. Congress and its various investigative committees are.

Either Congress is out of touch or they have no idea how informed the people are about their President and all of the phony probes the House and Senate and FBI use to discredit or otherwise insult him. They are, after all, part of the swamp the President intends to drain.

The purpose of the probes appears to be: Call witnesses who say negative things about Donald Trump and are then asked questions by Senators and Congressmen that could have been written by a game show host rather than a serious investigator.

For example, on March 15th Chairman of the House Intelligence Committee, Devin Nunes (R-CA), and ranking member Adam Schiff (D-CA) wrote a letter to the CIA, NSA and the FBI asking for any available evidence that the Obama administration had gathered by using unlawful surveillance against the Trump campaign.

The NSA and the CIA responded. The FBI did not. I have yet to hear the obvious question asked of James Comey as FBI

Director: "Why did the FBI not respond to the letter sent by Congressmen Nunes and Schiff to the FBI on March 15th wanting to know if the Bureau has evidence proving or disproving that the Obama Administration used surveillance of Trump's campaign?"

Why didn't they ask that question? The answer is not only important, it could prevent unnecessary further investigations of what appears to be a non-issue.

Chairman Nunes investigated and because he found evidence of President Trump's innocence the Democrats forced him to step down as Chairman of the House Intelligence Committee. He was, thus, prevented from asking this important question.

Congressman Schiff, however, the ranking Democrat on the Committee, began doing numerous television interviews. If I hear him say one more time how it is critical to appoint a Special Prosecutor to investigate Russia's attempt to influence the American elections and the Trump campaign's involvement with Russia in that endeavor, I think I'll throw up.

Schiff and the Democrats evidently don't know we're well enough informed about what really happened to understand the hidden agenda of the Democrats. We know why every Democrat who opens his or her mouth when a television or radio microphone is placed in front of it calls for a Special Prosecutor: The only way the Democrats can protect Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton and the Democrat National Committee (DNC) is to appoint a Special Prosecutor who can keep all of the dirty little secrets hidden from us while they remain free to continue their public attacks against President Trump.

There is no doubt James Comey wears a black hat. It's not hard to find the facts – not my opinion or your opinion or President Trump's opinion, but facts.

Comey is a former member of a Clinton Foundation corporate partner's board. During his term as FBI Director, his brother, Peter Comey, worked at the law firm that does the Clinton Foundation's taxes.

In February 2010, FBI agent and lead investigator John Giacalone resigned because of the way the Clinton foundation investigation was being handled by FBI Director James Comey.

Giacalone said the pay-for-play investigation of the Clinton Foundation was not properly done, was white-washed and forgotten. He said FBI agents were blocked from serving search warrants issued so key evidence could be retrieved. They were not allowed to interrogate witnesses spontaneously.

It appears agents thought the Clinton case was being investigated in a way that would make it go on and on and on – in the end, FBI agents would look like they were abusing Hillary (who was not sworn in for her FBI questioning) and Cheryl Mills and one other woman both of whom who were allowed to sit in on her FBI interview. They were concerned, they said, about possible medical complications (memory) involved in her fall. When the FBI agents tried to gain access to Hillary's medical records regarding her real or imagined head injuries from the fall, it was not allowed (many say it wasn't allowed by the FBI Director, James Comey).

It is very interesting that Sandy Berger, Loretta Lynch, and Cheryl Mills all worked at this same law firm's Washington, D.C. office. Berger (who passed away in December 2015) was National Security Adviser to Bill Clinton; Loretta Lynch was Obama's former attorney general – the one who met with Bill Clinton at the Phoenix airport in July 2016 just before the decision whether Mrs. Clinton would be prosecuted on criminal charges; and Cheryl Mills was Hillary Clinton's long-time adviser and her Chief of Staff. Isn't that interesting?

This same law firm did patent work for the Denver-based

company that coordinated the use of an unlawful private computer by Mrs. Clinton when she was Secretary of State – the same company that hid Hillary's server in a closet.

Do you remember this server? As declared by James Comey, Top Secret, Secret and Classified emails were unlawfully sent to and from Secretary of State Hillary Clinton via this unprotected server. Many of the emails were forwarded from Secretary Clinton's computer to former Congressman and convicted sexual predator Anthony Weiner, husband of Huma Abedin, Clinton's long-time and very, very close advisor.

When Comey was nominated in 2013 by President Obama to become Director of the FBI, Comey told the U.S. Senate that he would remove himself from all cases involving companies where he, Comey, had worked.

Comey worked for Lockheed Martin, a company that in 2010 admitted to becoming a Clinton Global Initiative member. Comey earned \$6 million in just one year at Lockheed. That same year, Lockheed Martin won 17 approvals for private contracts from Hillary Clinton's State Department and the Barack Obama administration.

In addition to being a Clinton Foundation Global Initiative member, Lockheed Martin is a member of the American Chamber of Commerce in Egypt which paid Bill Clinton \$250,000 to deliver a speech in 2010. Ya gotta pay to play!

Lockheed Martin has a long history with many, many fines for screwing our military – you remember, the military that today doesn't have enough parts for aircraft and ships and that is having to scavenge old planes and ships for repairs?

In 2007, Lockheed had to pay the federal government \$265 million caused by overbilling for its work on the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter; in 2003, Lockheed paid \$37.9 million because it had been charged that it inflated costs on work done for the U.S. Air Force.

There is a very long list of abuses like this by Lockheed. The company's affiliation with our military should be cut off and they should be allowed to abuse others for awhile.

On 5 July 2013, President Obama nominated Comey to become FBI Director. That same year, James Comey became a board member, a director and a Financial System Vulnerabilities Committee Member of HSBC Holdings.

[The Clinton Foundation received up to \\$81 million](#) from clients of HSBC bank.

For those of you who may have forgotten, HSBC is one of the world's largest drug money launderers. It has been fined massive amounts several times for violating money laundering regulations. HSBC Holdings Plc made several agreements to pay fines for breaking money laundering regulations, a recent one for \$1.92 billion. This latest fine was imposed because HSBC laundering drug money is as dirty as the Rio Grande River which flows between Mexico and the U.S.

The point is, HSBC is known (not just suspected) to be a money laundering facility and opens its arms to Mexican and South American drug cartels among others.

HSBC was charged by the U.S. Justice Department (Brooklyn) of violating sanctions laws by doing business with customers in Iran, Cuba, Burma and Sudan.

The bigger point is, this is the financial institution with which James Comey chose to affiliate himself as a board member. HSBC history has made headlines before and after the time Comey chose to join its board of directors.

Former National Security Adviser to Bill Clinton, Sandy Berger, was mentioned above. His most publicized escapade was the [theft of numerous documents from the National Archives](#) – no one is really sure how many documents he took and destroyed. It was, however, determined some had to do with

negligence on the part of President Bill Clinton in the build-up to 9/11. He was hiding them by stuffing them in his pants, socks, shorts, and any other available place he could find to hide what he was stealing.

In 2004, Comey was serving as deputy attorney general at the Justice Department and it is said that he limited the criminal investigation of Sandy Berger. He certainly kept the prosecution under-publicized.

Berger should have done extensive jail time for his theft and destruction of valuable National Archives documents, but a World Net Daily article by Jerome Corsi, "On April 1, 2005, Berger pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor charge of intentionally removing documents from the National Archives and destroying some of them. He was fined \$50,000, sentenced to 100 hours of community service and two years probation. Also, his national security license was stripped for two years."

So much for how critical James Comey thinks classified documents are.

Jerry Corsi is a long-term business associate of mine and a man I know to be one of the best researchers in the media world. Jerry is, a journalist for World Net Daily, InfoWars, and is a NY Times bestselling author. In his linked article, Corsi, points out that Comey has a long history of cases ending favorably for the Clintons.

One little-known fact is that James Comey became a prosecutor who worked on the Gambino crime family case. He moved on to the George W. Bush Department of Justice, a few private sector jobs, and then joined the Obama administration in 2013.

James's brother Peter Comey has worked as Senior Director of Real Estate Operations for the Americas for DLA Piper – coincidentally the firm that performed the independent audit of the Clinton Foundation in the early attempts to neutralize the damage done by the Hillary email scandal. DLA Piper is

listed #5 on Hillary Clinton's all-time career Top Contributors list.

Interestingly, Peter re-designed the FBI building and his brother, James Comey, owns the mortgage on Peter's \$725,000 home in Virginia.

It is obvious that President Trump had numerous reasons to terminate James Comey's ten-year contract as FBI Director. Even Senator Schumer was yelling last summer to anyone who would listen that Comey should be fired... amazing how Schumer switches from anger to tears so easily; from indignation to humble public servant. He, too, makes me sick.

Many people object to the way in which Comey's termination was handled by the Trump administration. Frankly, I can think of no better time to fire an FBI Director whose possible involvement is trying to ruin a Presidency than to do it when he is out of town and five hours away from Washington. That way the locks on his office door can be changed so he can't remove possible evidence. Comey knew he was going to be fired... he just thought it would be done in a more politically smooth way. President Trump isn't politically smooth – which is why the American people elected him.

Further, it is rumored that President Trump will appoint an Interim Director while he searched for the best possible person to fill that job. Anyone who has ever played good chess can see what Donald J. Trump is doing – and all I can say is, the Democrats don't play much chess.

Regarding the media problem caused because President Trump changes the stories being told by his media representatives...it shouldn't happen and it is largely the President's fault. The communications team, however, could solve the media hatred-of-the-President problem if they would get out of panic mode.

Most of us do not like the Trump Tweets... we think it makes the President appear as if he doesn't take his job seriously.

Because of the hateful negative media which covers the President with no possibility of a positive comment, however, it is needed – at least until an alternative way to make sure people are told the truth is found.

To stop the Tweets, the negative media situation must be changed. How to do that?

Every city and state has small, independent newspapers which are not part of the “Never Trump” campaign. They must, however, use information from sources that are part of “Never Trump.”

If Trump’s communications department could see its way to a creative idea, they would quickly find the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of the editors of these papers. There are thousands of them. They would send press releases directly from the White House to these editors. They would invite independent editors to send questions... to ask for verification when needed, for example. They would receive a response.

The result? Once a strong independent newspaper base has been established nationally, the mainstream media becomes irrelevant because people will get their news either from the Internet or from their local, independent newspapers which provide facts rather than fiction.

Actually, the mainstream media is already irrelevant but they do not yet know it.

Donald Trump needs better advisors than he currently employs and he needs to come to grips with the fact that he has powerful enemies who seek to destroy him and his Presidency. He knows this, of course, but the President’s actions indicate he does not yet take the threat as seriously as he should.

He needs to stop talking and Tweeting spontaneously because once the mainstream media problem is solved, there is no

reason for him to give them so much ammunition.

It will be interesting to watch the Comey Comedy unwind. The announcement last week that Joe Lieberman is the top contender for this job, however, is more than just a little unbelievable. If President Trump appoints Lieberman to this job, he is truly putting the fox into the hen house.

Former Democrat Senator Joe Lieberman was the Democrat party's nominee for Vice President in 2000 – his running mate was Global Warming crook Al Gore. While a Senator, Lieberman was the one who proposed the Don't Ask, Don't Tell Repeal Act of 2010 as well as legislation that led to establishment of the Department of Homeland Security. Lieberman cast the 60th vote required to pass Obamacare... of course, any one Senator who voted for that legislation can say the same thing (but most avoid saying they voted for it, these days).

If Donald Trump wants to put an enemy who I believe will likely work to destroy his Presidency, Joe Lieberman is a good choice as FBI Director.

Is it possible that this is a Jared Kushner recommendation? If so, the President needs to have a talk with daughter Ivanka. For part 1 click below.

Click here for part → [1](#),

© 2017 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Trump, Russia, Comey And

Piling On

Part 1

Americans dislike the concept of “piling on.” We even have rules to prevent it in sporting events like football.

Liberal Democrats do not understand this concept.

It's pretty easy to identify piling on in a football game. In politics it's more difficult – until the public has been so badly abused it reaches a tipping point and just stops believing anything anyone says. It is part of the reason people who have supported President Trump remain loyal. They understand the concept of piling on... your enemies do it when they're losing and need to injure an important player on the other team to have a chance for victory... if you call that victory.

On Fox News last Wednesday, former Congressman [Dennis Kucinich](#) (D-OH) told the American people how close they are to losing their Republic. He said the “deep state” within the bureaucracy is trying to “destroy Donald Trump’s presidency.”

The former Ohio Democrat Congressman is right and should be applauded for taking a stand against the strategies being used against Trump. He loves his country. God bless him!

For those of you who do not understand just how serious the ramifications of what the Democrats and their partners in crime, the deep state and the mainstream media, are doing, do a little research about Argentina and Venezuela. Both were thriving nations ready to take their place on the world economic stage until socialism got a toe-hole in their economies. In only a few years, Venezuela has become a nation that cannot afford to keep electricity or toilet paper available to its citizens (who are also going hungry).

If we lose our Republic, don't think you'll sleep through it because you won't.

Liberal Democrats look foolish and don't seem to have even a slight degree of humility that enables them to see their own childish behavior. Republicans look like they don't know how to spell the word loyalty or best interests of the people. They certainly do not support this Republican President. They look like what they are: Compromised politicians who should never be re-elected to office.

Politicians like Senator John McCain make the rest of us wonder if the voters of Arizona are suffering from mental disorders associated with old age... they re-elected the liberal Republican. Are they familiar with the word "recall?"

My Number One Rule in the 2018 elections will be: Did you defend Donald Trump when he was being attacked? If the answer is "no," I will vote against you, regardless of who your opponent is. I hope readers will join me.

Voters want a guy named Donald Trump to drain the swamp but re-elect to office one of the biggest alligators who lives in it. Same comment to South Carolinians who support Senator Lindsey Graham who never saw a microphone he didn't love (whether or not he has something meaningful to say).

There is no doubt that Donald Trump has a lot of political lessons to learn. There is no doubt that President Trump doesn't have the kind of temperament that easily succumbs to being told that he, now "the most powerful man in the world," has a very large learning curve and that he's not handling it well. His unskilled political behavior just might cost him the Presidency. Should we lose him, we also lose our country... all because President Trump doesn't know he doesn't know some things.

If the thought of that doesn't make you pick up your phone or write a letter to your Republican elected officials and tell

them to defend our President, you are part of the problem.

I don't know who is advising President Trump... news accounts make it sound like his son-in-law, Jared Kushner, fills that role. Whoever it is, it is the wrong person. Hopefully, Donald Trump will realize this before the media, intelligence community, and liberal Democrats take him down.

The person advising him obviously has no insight into the difference between getting things done in the private sector versus the political arena. Or they are afraid to say "Mr. President, you're behaving like someone who knows what they're doing and you do not. To prove the accuracy of my words, I ask you to look at your results thus far." Or, they have a hidden agenda.

President Donald Trump's actions – especially internationally – get an A. President Donald Trump's political skills, his ability to communicate effectively and understand how to get his legislative agenda passed get a D.

Do the Democrats understand that their anti-American behavior will come back to them ten-fold?

Do the Republicans understand their lack of support for their party's President will stand as the reason for the failure of their political party? They are so afraid of what will happen in the 2018 elections, they do nothing – which is precisely the wrong thing to do when observing the mood of voters at this point in time.

I don't know about you, but both rat packs disgust me.

We have business strategies versus government manipulation. We have a President who is spectacularly successful in the world of business – specifically real estate development and contracting – but who is an obvious neophyte in the world of politics. On the one hand his followers need to be loyal to him but on the other they do not have to accept his personal

behavior which, thus far, has provided more ammunition to his political enemies than Obama gave Isis when he withdrew our troops early from Iraq. Tell him how you feel!

We have intelligence services that even a schlump like Senator Chuck Schumer (D-NY) admitted publicly will cut anyone's legs off at the knees if they cross them... it has been affectionately named the "Deep State." You and I are paying the salaries of those who are trying to win a bloodless coup. Do you not realize that is far worse than anything played out on the stage of the USSR in its worst days?

There is little if any doubt that certain elements of our very costly intelligence community – and it is huge, not just a few agencies like the FBI, CIA, Naval Intelligence, and on and on – will not hesitate to use information gathered on public or private citizens if it suits their needs. It has been known since the days of J. Edgar Hoover that the FBI holds in a very secret place highly secret information about anyone who serves in government and uses that information to manipulate politicians.

The question then becomes, what are the needs of the Deep State? What is it they want from the politicians they manipulate with hidden information about them? What do "they" want so badly that they are willing to put the security of their entire nation at risk to get it?

Based on performance, the answers seem pretty simple. It appears they want total control over you and over me. They want access to easy drugs worldwide (even if it means supporting a war in Afghanistan so they have access to the poppy fields), the ability to ship drugs wherever they wish, the ability to launder the money that results from the drugs that kill so many American youths, to kill whomever gets in their way with no legal problems, and just a few other small things. In other words, they want you to pay their salaries while they screw the nation and enslave you... and they want us

to be quiet while they do it.

Just six months ago, people were crowding into Trump campaign rallies shouting "Drain the swamp!"

Are those people so naïve that they thought Donald Trump could, wearing white boots and white hat, walk into a huge mud pit filled with snakes, crocodiles and psychopaths and not get dirty? Are they so naïve as to believe that those who hold corrupted power and so abused it that people elected Donald Trump will give that power away without fighting with every weapon they possess? It will cost them trillions of dollars to let the new President keep his promise – to let him drain the swamp. They will use any weapon – *any weapon* – to succeed.

Are people so naïve that they do not realize how deeply imbedded in very ugly personal behavior and crimes those who live in the swamp are? Do people not realize that we have been supporting the very intelligence agencies that seek information to compromise those in positions of power so they can manipulate their behavior and gain outcomes they want – outcomes that are abusive to the people?

So we now have Robert Mueller as Special Counsel in charge of the FBI's investigation into the "Russia matter."

In truth, Robert Mueller should disqualify himself from serving as Special Counsel in this investigation. He has a huge conflict of interest.

Robert Mueller worked as Comey's mentor and Comey views Mueller as his protégé. The two were very close... both threatened to resign because of the warrantless wiretapping that involved then-Attorney General John Ashcroft in 2004.

As Gregg Jarrett of Fox News pointed out in an [article](#) last week, "The law governing the special counsel (28 CFR 600.7) specifically prohibits him from serving if he has a conflict

of interest in the case. The rule has been interpreted to mean that even the appearance of a conflict is sufficient for disqualification.”

Most conservatives became suspicious of the wisdom of this appointment when Democrats all rallied around Mueller, raving about what a great decision this was. Combine this with the consideration of former Democrat later Independent Senator Joe Lieberman of Connecticut to be FBI Director and it makes one wonder if President Trump is trying to find a way to get himself impeached.

Will any investigation include Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's turning over to Russia access to about 30 percent of America's plutonium? For those who don't know, plutonium is the metal required to make nuclear weapons.

Will Mueller's investigation include Hillary's unlawful email problems... especially the Top Secret, Secret and Classified emails identified by James Comey as having been sent from Huma Abedin's computer to her husband, sexual predator Anthony Weiner, who pled "guilty" to obscenity charges in court last week? We'll see. President Bill Clinton appointed Mueller to become a U.S. Attorney in the Northern California District (San Francisco) in 1998.

I remember Robert Mueller. As I listened to Fox News reporters extol Mueller's virtues today, I couldn't help but remember that he was originally appointed FBI Director by President George W. Bush and that 9-11 happened just 8 days after his appointment on 9/4/2001. He was in charge of the FBI on 9-11. He was also in charge of the FBI a day later when a plane full of Saudi citizens related to Osama bin Laden were flown safely from America back to Saudi shores.

He just sounds perfect for this job, doesn't he? No wonder the Democrats love him!

As we listen to testimony from intelligence operatives, we

need to keep in mind what two things make them successful: 1) The ability to lie very well; and, 2) perform whatever actions are required with no pangs of conscience.

We need to remember the tactics being used against President Trump come from a swamp filled with powerful politicians who learned well from the Clintons. When you want to get rid of someone who has you in a corner, tell the world a big lie... one that will resonate, and one with which you are familiar (because you did what you're telling the world they did).

Most of us who worked to get Donald Trump elected feel strongly about what is happening to the man the swamp is trying to drain. We wish he had less need to talk about himself but love his willingness to do the work – the very dirty work for which he takes a lot of flak – that needs to be done.

All we can do is maintain our loyalty, disbelieve anything that is said about President Trump, and pray that God truly has mercy on those who may be misguided at times but whose desire to do good for their nation outweighs their occasional recklessness.

We can also pray that Robert Mueller recognizes his conflict of interest and the negative reaction that will result on any investigation he does.

We can also pray that President Trump does ten-minutes of research on Joe Lieberman before appointing him as FBI Director and that he stops listening to the advice of the person who suggested he make such a ridiculous appointment.

We all understand the need to keep our enemies closer than our friends, but this appointment doesn't just keep an enemy closer, it gives him a knife.

No female president... This time Pt. 3

Hillary would have damaged the real feminist cause for generations!

So the USA did not get its first female President of the United States.

This “loss” so bothered the cast of the New York play HAMILTON that they felt obliged to use the stage to lecture Vice President-Elect Mike Pence who attended on November 18th about how a Trump presidency might not provide what liberals believe to be critical to their security needs... like, they might have to get real jobs and give up their free cell phones for which the rest of us must pay. Rather than the physical assassination President Lincoln received in a theater, Vice President-Elect Pence received a character assassination. Liberals are so violent – and the New York theater is so highly over-rated!

The cast gave no thought to Trump voters who were in the audience and who paid from \$500 to \$1,400 per ticket and had to hear the unqualified, uneducated analysis of actor Brandon Victor Dixon who used/abused the theater stage to tell Vice President-Elect Pence his concerns regarding the Trump administration. Conservatives in attendance did not pay an abominable price to hear an ill-informed actor speak about political issues and I wish one of the Trump supporters who witnessed this anathema would sue Dixon and the entire cast and the theater.

How do I know Dixon is uninformed? Two reasons; Alexander Hamilton once suggested to then President George Washington

(and made a public announcement) that if anyone said anything negative about President Washington, he should be deported. Lucky for Dixon, President Washington ignored his suggestion or Dixon would be on his way back to Africa or wherever else his ancestors originate.

Second, Alexander Hamilton represented the big banker interests of Europe and was responsible for getting the first central bank (Federal Reserve by another name) implemented. It was a 20-year contract and then, like now, so corrupted the financial and banking system the contract was not renewed. So this is who the Dixons of the world support?

The behavior of the Hamilton cast brought back a memory of when I was consulting for a bank client in Cincinnati, Ohio (1991) and got a call from Brazil asking me if I would fly to New York City to meet with the President of the World Bank. "No," I said, "I won't go to New York. I hate it there. But I will be glad to fly to Philadelphia or Baltimore to meet with him." I had consulted for numerous New York banks and had spent quite a bit of time there and I just didn't like it.

My offer was rejected and I've often wondered how my refusal to go to New York City impacted my future. What did he have in mind for me? I'll never know... but the cast of Hamilton reminded me of why I hate New York and won't go there.

As a woman who spent a good part of my younger life training men for jobs they got because I, as a woman, could not get promoted beyond a certain point, equal rights for women is very important to me. I was actively involved in getting the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1974 passed. As the first female vice president managing a large loan and deposit area at Denver's largest bank, I was asked by the Colorado legislature to testify as to the readiness of the banking community to provide equality in the granting of credit to women.

Equality for women and all minorities is important to me. My granddaughters are half black. I worked hard to gain access to equality as a woman and I sat on many minority boards to help non-whites achieve it, too.

As a woman of the new millennium, I realize things have changed. For example, most young women are no longer virgins when they marry and I find that sad, not "enlightened." Most young women of the 1950s were virgins and it was a wonderful way to start a marriage... a meaningful gift to give when beginning a lifelong relationship based on trust. There were no pregnant girls in my high school classes... in fact people were a bit uncomfortable when the word "pregnant" was used in mixed company. I do not recall one single time in high school that a teenage girl disappeared from class for months to "visit a dear aunt" in another state so she could have a secret baby.

Things have changed. I laugh (scornfully) at educators who insist they must teach students to put a condom on a cucumber in sex education classes because so "many" young people are sexually active and need to know how to make their encounters safe.

It's a good example of how unionized teachers – how liberal progressives in general – expand their ever-intrusive power base through lies and false statistical data. Whether it is carbon footprints or melting icebergs or climate change or the benefits of genetically modified food, liberals have no problem throwing phony data at the general public to get what they want.

It is also a slap in the face to the history of high school students who had discipline about the natural desire to be sexually active but were not for hundreds of years before these enlightened teachers sold parents on the fact that everyone was doing "it." It's true that sex represents normal behavior. That was the tidbit of truth to educator statements.

All good liars include a bit of truth because it makes their stories believable. When is it appropriate to have sex was the question and the erroneous answer our educators gave us resulted in a huge increase in sexually transmitted diseases and unwanted pregnancies ending in abortion and both have long-term negative consequences on the lives of our children. You can thank the NEA for that!

Many forms of enhanced technology have made life easier... not necessarily better. For example, families used to sit around the dinner table together. We had meaningful communication within the family unit, not Tweets or emails or Facebook messages which, in my opinion, provide surface level rather than personal contact. Had I, my brother or sister reached to answer a phone or tweet a message while seated at the dinner table, we would have been excused from the rest of the meal. Family came first.

Today's fractured family structure causes much of our social ills. It seems obvious that to make it believable that it takes a village, not parents, to raise children the family first had to be destroyed.

Those are the views with which I was raised. The point is, Hillary Clinton is only ten years younger than me. She was raised during the same era and was exposed to the same social and moral values – and obviously rejected them. Her PROVEN behavior shows she is, at best, amoral... she has no moral values. Many conservatives consider her an evil woman while others see her as a congenital liar with a psychopathic need for attention, power and money. Her performance level at most things she's done in her life isn't very high, including her time as First Lady and as Secretary of State. There is no record of high performance and there is record of her many failures. If you need a copy of her misdeeds, write. I'll send it.

America didn't lose anything by not getting Hillary Clinton as

the first female U.S. President. It was a gift of fate because had a congenital liar who has failed at so many jobs become our first female President it would have probably been impossible to elect another woman to office for many years. She would have been a disastrous President... dishonest and willing to sell out anyone – she was willing to arrange a uranium sales deal for Russia in return for a contribution to the Clinton Foundation. She was playing pay for play with our lives! What else might she have sold to enrich herself?

I believe Hillary Clinton would have used the Presidency to increase her own power base and bank accounts. Her history proves that's what she does. Had she been elected, two or three generations of future voters would have associated female presidents with self-serving liars that have no respect for the Rule of Law... because that is who Hillary Clinton is.

As a female who would love to see a qualified, strong woman as President of this country, I am hugely relieved that Mrs. Clinton did not get elected because of the example she would have set. Anyone really interested in having a female who will be remembered positively as U.S. President – which opens the door for more female Presidents – needs to make sure that person is a pillar of positives, not negatives.

The purpose of the above words is not to re-hash yet again the failings of this female... God knows I am sick of hearing of and writing about it. If you need a reminder of a record that proves she has no character, go to my article about Hillary which has numerous links to reports about her history.

The people protesting Hillary Clintons' loss by taking to the streets are making fools of themselves. If her record proved her worthy of the office, I wouldn't feel that way. Had Donald Trump beaten a good, honest and informed politician who is female, I would understand their sorrow. My own sorrow would have been great and I probably would have voted for a quality female candidate.

Because I know her history, I pity protesters for their lack of judgment and obvious need to have a government run by someone who wrote a book telling parents they weren't needed because, like all good socialists believe, it takes a village to raise children.

The other thing we are seeing played out by protesters and rioters (not exactly my pick as advisors or leaders) is their arrogance in demanding an end the Electoral College. Why are they making these demands?

People who live in big cities don't think those of us who live in fly-over country should have a voice in national elections. It's that simple.

They argue that Hillary Clinton won the election because she got more votes than Donald Trump. So what? In 1864, John Quincy Adams only got 30.92% of the popular vote. He however got a majority of votes from the Electoral College. We've had several Presidents who lost the popular vote but received the required Electoral College votes to become President.

Of course Hillary Clinton got more votes than Donald Trump. All of the "give me something for nothing" voters live in major cities like New York, Chicago, Boston, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Seattle, etc. Big cities have lots of voters. All are dependent on the federal government for their existence because most are governed by Democrat progressives and socialists who over-spend and need Big Brother government to fund their lifestyles. According to them, those of us who have carved out a higher quality of life than they can even imagine need to listen to them. As I said in last week's article, they are terrified to face a world where individualists rather than groupies dominate public policy.

Lassie could run for the Presidency as a Democrat and the same people who voted for Hillary Clinton would vote for Lassie because she would put her paw print on documents supporting

these people and their something for nothing, amoral lifestyles. That is not said as a slam at Mrs. Clinton. It's a slam at the voters who haven't got a clue what Democrat President John F. Kennedy said in his inaugural speech: "Ask not what your country can do for you; ask what you can do for your country." That's a foreign language to liberal progressives.

Keep in mind the announcements of the Communist Party over the years that they would no longer run Communist Party USA candidates for President because those of a communist persuasion could feel comfortable voting for progressive Democrat candidates.

You might want to look up the history of the Electoral College. The psyops experts have already done a number on a lot of Americans, convincing them that the Electoral College is anti-democracy. To a degree it is... but we are not a democracy, we are a Republic. We utilize the democratic concept of one citizen, one vote – but that does not make us a democracy. In fact, when you hear a politician refer to the United States as a democracy, run the other way.

The Electoral College prevents the largest cities of this country from, because of the number of voters living in them, telling the rest of the country how America will be governed. Those of us who prefer to live outside of major population centers have a voice because of the Electoral College – and that's what these idiots want to eliminate. Take a look at a map that shows counties where Democrat votes flipped from Hillary Clinton to Donald Trump.

Here is another map from the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC)) – hardly a bastion of conservatism. If you scroll down this page, you will see numerous maps with very brief explanations of each... how many Democrats voted for Hillary versus Obama in 2012 versus how many voted for Donald Trump in 2016. Both maps are interactive (place your cursor over the

map and it provides information by county or city or state).

When you look at these maps, the arrogance of protesters who think numbers are more important than the rule of law should shock you. Look at all of those red counties throughout the nation who voted for Donald Trump. They prove he is, indeed, the President of this entire country!

I am laughing today at the arrogance of the actors in the Broadway play "Hamilton." I laugh because they do not understand the difference between their First Amendment rights to declare their personal opinions publicly and their non-rights to force on Donald Trump supporters in the audience to not have the cast's political opinions forced upon them. I laugh even harder because Fox News doesn't seem to have figured out the difference yet.

The real concern arising from the demonstrations based on ignorance of facts, reverse racism, anger, and fear is the weaknesses of character of the Millennial generation. When I think of the strength of the generation of young men who were jerked out of colleges and jobs to suddenly be trained to fight in WWII and compare it to college students who cannot deal with a political defeat without pampered counseling and the need to be excused from very costly classes, the lack of character is frightening!

If this is the generation that will inherit the responsibility for governing America in ten or twenty years... well, it's the only thing that makes me glad I'm almost 80.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

End of the beginning of the end Pt. 2

How to Get Non-establishment Candidates Elected

One thing Tea Party and Liberty Action and Citizens for Liberty groups can learn from the 2016 election is that traditional methods of getting non-traditional candidates elected to office do not work. We in Colorado learned that when a wonderful candidate, Darryl Glenn, ran against U.S. Senate incumbent Michael Bennett, a Democrat and a loser, and lost.

Donald Trump was a non-traditional candidate who could afford to run his own campaign his own way and he did it with great skill. He started with Corey Lewandowski, a non-traditional campaign manager. He moved to Paul Manafort, a traditionalist who served as campaign manager for a brief time. He moved on to KellyAnne Conway who has a good balance of the two methodologies, understanding both traditional and non-traditional campaign strategies.

But what about non-traditional candidates who aren't billionaires able to fund their own political campaigns? They need non-traditional candidate campaign managers who can get them known to voters without spending a lot of money – and they are non-existent. What an opportunity this presents to the Tea Party and other groups to learn about non-traditional candidates and how to get them elected and provide help to future constitutional populist candidates!

What does a non-traditional campaign manager (NTCM) know about getting someone elected that is missing from the handbook of a traditional campaign manager?

First, NTCMs understand they will not have access to Republican funding, especially for primary elections or

general elections. RNC money will go to candidates selected and controlled by the RNC or State Republican Party, just as it always has. It's how the Party controls candidates once elected to office. Traditional campaign managers are used to having access to money for advertising and large staffs. Non-traditional candidates do not have these luxuries. Hillary Clinton spent huge amounts of money on advertising and staff compared to Donald Trump.

Local candidates are not billionaires... and politics at the RNC level haven't changed just because Donald Trump beat them at their own game. Trump's ability to fly to campaign gatherings in his own plane was a huge advantage... but candidates for state office don't have to fly coast to coast. They must drive from city to city. It's a pain in the neck (butt) and it takes a lot of late night driving and one-night motel stays. But it can be and has been done.

I will keep reminding you that you will never gain any ground at changing the national political mess until you regain control of your local political structure. Actually, you get congrats from me on this topic because Republicans not only have majorities in the House and Senate and a Republican is now in the Executive office in Washington, D.C., they also have 35 governorships and dominate most state legislatures. You're doing what needs to be done to take back our country from the socialists!

The biggest difficulty non-traditional candidates have is making sure voters who live in the cities in which they campaign know who they are and what their platform is. They need publicity to get their message out so voters can consider them serious candidates. How do you achieve that with limited funds?

1. Most NTCMs assume they will write fabulously interesting press releases and local reporters will publish/broadcast them. WRONG!

First, if your candidate is a Republican you will get no help from the local media most of whom are committed Democrats. Understand this: In America, there are 1,500 newspapers, 1,100 magazines, 9,000 radio stations, 1500 television stations and 2,400 publishers. Almost all of them are owned by these 6 corporations: General Electric, Newscorp, Disney, Viacom, Time Warner, and CBS. If you (or a mutual fund in which you are invested) owns stock in any of these companies, you are supporting companies that want to destroy the sovereignty of America.

Second, newspapers and television news are in business to publish... news. The fact that a political candidate comes (or is coming) to town is not necessarily news. Does the candidate have something to say that can be defined as news? Is it something that hasn't been said and reported before? If so, it may be worth a paragraph or two... but if there's an accident on the local freeway, that's where reporters will go. Their job is local news.

All of the wonderful comments traditional campaign managers make in their press releases are viewed by the media as advertising, not news. And they are usually right. If you want to advertise, pay the price (which is what non-traditional candidates can't afford to do). So what do you do to get your candidate known? How do you get the local newspaper and broadcast news to cover your candidate?

Rather than talking about things, non-traditional candidates must do things. What the candidate does is dependent on his or her issues.

Let's use Donald Trump as an example. He supports better medical care for our veterans. He could have improved his approval ratings among both Republicans and Democrats had he talked less and... visited VA hospitals and talked with veterans about the care they receive. That's news. It is worth coverage. It's important to invite local reporters to

accompany the candidate. It is also important to have carefully crafted questions to ask patients as well as VA hospital administrators. Not only does it get coverage, it strikes a chord with voters that this candidate really means what he or she says and is not just spouting words.

Donald Trump visited my Western Colorado home twice during his campaign. We have one of the best VA hospitals in the country. I have never heard a negative comment about it. He could have gained much support nationally by visiting the place for an hour, telling the public he wants to know what this hospital does that makes it so much better than other VA facilities. This kind of detailed communication must exist between the candidate and those working in counties in areas where candidates compete for votes – and publicity.

One of the first things you do once you establish people who volunteer to help your candidate get elected in various cities is create a questionnaire for volunteers to complete. Their answers should tell you about their city... what's different about it, what government offices are located there, the average age of residents, etc. Pay attention to that information. Schedule publicity events (like visits to a VA Hospital) around those things that are part of the communities you visit. If a town has a large senior community, visit assisted care and hospice facilities, e.g. Talk with people. Ask them questions... ask them how you can help.

2. Spend your limited funds on things important to your base, not to you. For example, purchase (at a very low cost per copy) copies of the Constitution and pass them out at your campaign gatherings. By doing so, you make a statement about yourself that sticks with people.

Conservatives have three things in common: 1) They are constitutionalists; 2) They make decisions based on truth/facts; and 3) They support the Rule of Law that flows from the Constitution. They will love getting a copy of the

Constitution to carry in their pockets or purses – especially if it has a brief message on page one from a political candidate.

3. Establish volunteers as committee chairmen and have them recruit four or five people to sit on those committees. What committees? What are your candidate's issues? Use them proactively by establishing committees, one per issue.

top talking about the shameful Veterans Administration Hospitals and begin doing something about it. One suggestion was made above, but what else can you do?

Start with an 800 telephone number where vets in the state your candidate is running for office can call when they are not getting the quality care they have earned. Invite those who have received quality care to call, too. Create a questionnaire and have your Veterans committee volunteers contact those people having problems. Gather data (on computer). Report on results periodically. This is a very inexpensive exercise run by volunteers and offers maximum results. You will need a tech person to put it all together. Find a volunteer techie.

It is action rather than words. It is newsworthy. It gets your candidate known. Even more important, it positions your candidate as a person who takes action and makes it possible to reference your opposition as someone who talks but does nothing... takes no action on promises made.

If you are a law and order candidate (most conservatives are), recruit people who are known by the public to support the rule of law. You are surrounded by talent that can be put into action when you manage the campaign of a law and order candidate.

Have your law and order candidate coordinate volunteers in each county to answer an 800 number where all people of all races can report police abuse. Also invite those who have

positive experiences with law enforcement to report what happened. This can be promoted on television and radio as public service announcements. Promise to look into negative incidents. Have volunteers contact those who make negative reports and create a questionnaire that will give your campaign information. Again, this is newsworthy. It gets your candidate known and is viewed positively by all members of the public, particularly minorities.

Does the candidate oppose gun control?

Establish a committee to coordinate a program with the National Rifle Association (if it has endorsed your candidate) to install an 800 number that accepts reports from people who have successfully used a firearm in self defense. Offer to share gathered data with the NRA.

Guns are used 2.5 million times a year in self defense. They are used 80 times more often to protect the lives of honest citizens than they are to kill or injure others. That word is not getting out to the people and it needs to be publicized. This is newsworthy and it provides information voters will appreciate.

Does the candidate support responsible spending?

Establish a committee and an 800 number where people can call and give their experiences with irresponsible government spending. Instead of writing press releases about what a wonderful candidate you are, have a release written about the input you're getting from those who have experienced negligent over-spending.

Do you get the drift? There are a lot of issues (energy, debt, regulations, medical care, Medicare, elder abuse, banking, etc.) that provide positive ways to get publicity and which portray candidates as do-ers rather than talkers. There are a lot of positive, inexpensive ways to get publicity for a candidate and they strike a positive note with voters who read

about it.

Voters like candidates who do rather than talk. Each committee is announced at different times (several stories about a candidate's establishment of a committee is better than one story that announces all of the committees at once). And, reporting the information provided to the committees by the public also generates good publicity.

The final element in running a campaign to elect a non-traditional candidate involves email, Twitter and social media like FaceBook and LinkedIn. If you're planning on running against an elected politician in 2018, you need to start now. If you want your Tea Party or Liberty Action or Citizens For Liberty group to be involved in getting good candidates elected, you should have started doing what I suggest herein two years ago.

Get lists of names of people who are likely to support you during a Primary election... that would include all registered Republicans and, depending on your issues, Libertarians. Your first investment should be the purchase of lists of registered voters likely to vote for you. Your volunteers' first phone call to them should be to ask if they would like to receive your email updates and Twitters about the election, get their addresses, and ask for their help.

Get one or two supporters to have a give-away contest that is promoted via email and Twitter. Give away a good gun or ammunition. When people register, you will automatically get their email addresses if the contest is promoted via email. Give away a training class for conceal carry (please note that the gifts are on topics of interest to conservatives). Get a local restaurant to give a Friday night special dinner to those who register for it... email address required. Use your imagination, but get started gathering Twitter and email addresses.

And that's how you get non-traditional conservative candidates elected to office. I would suggest you make sure the candidate is really conservative... Colorado Tea Party groups supported Corey Gardner and we got a neo-conservative who is half liberal. How to do that? That's another article.

At the end of Part1(last week) I said Part 2 would be about getting non-traditional candidates elected and why it's good news that Hillary Clinton did not become our first female U.S. President. I was more thorough in this material than I intended to be... so I hope you enjoy Part 3: Hillary and the Electoral College (and the idiot protesters). Hopefully I'll get it done by next Sunday.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

End of the beginning of the end Pt. 1

Before I begin my article, I want to pay homage to Paul Walter who publishes NewsWithViews.com. Thank you, Paul, for your undying efforts to make sure Donald J. Trump became the 45th President of the United States. Paul made sure that millions of readers got access to the truth about Trump during the many months the mainstream media was portraying him as an arrogant idiot who the public would not take seriously and who would never be elected to office.

I also want to thank Kelleigh Nelson for her many and better than excellent researched articles about Trump and those who opposed him. You are the best, Kelleigh, and I thank you for all you did to make Donald J. Trump our President-Elect. I realize you were just presenting the facts, Ma'am, but the

level of depth of your research was very difficult and time consuming.

The only wish Paul and Kelleigh have for all their relentless hard work and the love they have for Donald Trump is to get 4 tickets for Trump's inauguration. If anyone reading this can help please contact me or them.

And I want to thank every reader of NewsWithViews.com seeking the facts involved in the fight for the Oval Office and who voted their conscience. All of us listened to atrocious lies about Mr. Trump and heard the mainstream media serve up Mrs. Clinton as if she were a prime rib dinner rather than a woman who liberal William Safire of the New York Times once called a congenital liar who is guilty of numerous crimes against the American people (though it is doubtful she will ever pay for them). Thank you for taking the information you got from NewsWithViews public, sending it to friends so others could learn from it. Thank you for voting.

And now, some thoughts about President-Elect Trump's victory and the street violence that has followed it. I want you to understand why the violence is occurring because it has little to do with Donald J. Trump personally, and a great deal to do with liberal fears.

In the beginning, there was nothing (and America was very close to becoming nothing – a piece of land on the map with no borders that define us as a nation) when patriots around the nation voted for a non-establishment guy who may, if he does things right, buy the nation time to correct the problems created by administrations in power since 1989.

Anyone who thinks America's socio-economic problems of 30 years can be turned around in 100 days (or even four years) has no concept about the depth of corrupted crony capitalism that has been intentionally fed into the system to prepare for George H.W. Bush's new world order.

As President-Elect Donald John Trump said in his acceptance speech, "it is not the end... it's just the beginning." He is absolutely right! The work is just beginning and it will be intense and it will be hard. Electing President-Elect Trump to office was just step one. It will be followed by steps put in place to return us to constitutional government rather than tyrannical rule... and some of those steps will be painful.

First comes transition from Obama to Trump – and 45 must fill 4,000 jobs between now and January 20, 2017. He now has access to daily intelligence briefings and so will be able to turn on that famous Trump analytic skill and, with the input of experienced advisors, begin to make decisions that keep America safe.

It's safe to assume that within three months of his inaugural, some foreign nation will attempt to take advantage of the new administration. He must get his Secretary of Defense, Secretary of the Treasury (because the attack will likely be against the U.S. dollar), and Joint Chiefs established and informed. They need as much input as possible before the inauguration so he can quickly respond to any and all threats.

We have rioters in the streets of major cities who can't effectively deal with the fact that their candidate lost the election. We need a strong Attorney General who will stop Executive Office and Department of Justice support for sanctuary cities and a Congress that will cut off funding for them. A return to the Rule of Law is critical to a good start for President-Elect Trump.

We have large portions of three generations of people – those under 60 years of age – who have been raised to think crony capitalism is capitalism which it is not. Not even close. Find out what capitalism is here. Those three generations have had their critical thinking skills warped by government indoctrination, a part of the New World Order plot to eliminate our borders and turn our nation into an oligarchy

run by elites who consider the rest of us their inferiors who need them to tell us how we can serve them. Most of them didn't earn their family fortunes, they inherited or stole them.

Who are these people who are so traumatized by the idea of a President Trump that they need counseling, need to cry, need to strike out at those of us who disagree with their views of socialist paradise? They are largely the non-productive and public school/college-indoctrinated people who I term Passive Investors (from my banking days). I wrote a News With Views article in 2009 about the new Civil War that was then on America's horizon: The non-productive versus the productive. I believe that is precisely where we are. It may be impolite to say "I was right," but I was right! Why was no one listening?

To understand the mindset of liberal progressives and why they are having such a difficult time accepting reality, read the NewsWithViews articles I wrote (here and here) about passive and active investors. Passives are liberals and actives are conservatives.

Liberal Passives are security-driven people who are motivated by fear. Conservatives tend to joke that liberalism is a disease. Actually, depending on how deep the need for security, how great the need for power to protect them from their fears, how pronounced their fear motivation... it can become phobic or psychopathic. We've seen that in the streets of major American cities the last few nights.

This election placed an Active Conservative in the most powerful position in the world. It has literally caused liberals/progressives/socialists to fear not being able to live in a world wherein conservative values of individuality (rather than group think), liberty that is self-responsible (rather than group determined), and the junking of political correctness (which is the only world in which these groupies function well) is very real – and yes, it is an illness. How

long it will last and how severe the demonstrations and protests are depend on how large the needs discussed in the preceding paragraph are.

How do fearful people behave? Watching the anti-Trump protests continue night after night should give you some insight. This group of people is power-motivated (because power can protect them from the things they fear). When the power that protects them is removed, they feel very vulnerable. When a fearful person feels vulnerable they often resort to violence. It's one reason liberal progressives are so easily motivated to join groups that have violent intent. This will continue in various ways until something they fear more than their loss of power is in place: Being held responsible for their behavior.

It is very important for conservatives to understand this is not just a momentary objection to a political candidate. The socialist mentality has been injected worldwide and its roots run very deep in the psyche of Passives. It's not an American phenomenon. President-Elect Trump has so far played the hand he has been dealt perfectly. He has been behaving in a way that should, over time, reduce the fear that is causing the violent behavior. It will reduce it – but it won't eliminate it. The thing causing the fear and resultant behavior is deeply rooted.

How many times have you asked yourself why liberals are so inclined to socialism and are so opposed to capitalism? Here's your answer: Socialism promises to remove risk from the lives of those willing to give up their freedom to serve the needs of the elite.

Under socialism, government takes care of everything. It will determine your career, reward you when you serve them well by making it possible for your kids to get into good universities, withhold access to your kids to those same good universities if you say something they don't like, and will decide when your life has served its purpose and it's time for

you to die (the lack of individual worth by comparison to the common good of society). They are anti-individual and pro-group.

Liberals are risk averse people and capitalism is a risk management system. Conservatives who are more concerned with controlling their own destinies have no problem with risk management. They deal with it well. Our Founders were individualist risk managers. Non-risk managers do not take on the largest and best-trained military in the world, the English, at the time of our Revolution. They understood that people either manage their own risks in life, or government will do it for you in return for your freedom.

President-Elect Donald J. Trump faces numerous challenges and we need to pray for his safety and that of his family.

Lord, please let us return to the moral nation you helped our Founders build. If we are to become great again, it will be with Your help and through the grace You have always bestowed on this nation. You told us to respect our leaders and to pray for them... but You also told us that God's laws must be followed should our leaders stray from them. We have strayed, Lord. Please help us find our way back to You.

COMING IN PART II: Why pollsters and campaign managers were wrong: They do not understand how to get non-traditional, non-establishment candidates elected. Also a word or two about how women were saved the embarrassment of having a congenital liar whose track record of dishonesty for many years would have prevented a real woman from being elected in future years. For part two click below.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Facts not opinions about Trump and Hillary

ISSUES AND ANSWERS:

Facts, not opinions, may help undecided voters.

The debates are over. Thank God. It's two weeks and two days until the election.

When the process began 18 months ago, American voters both Republican and Democrat, made it clear they have had enough of political corruption. Americans want change. They want a non-establishment President. At least that's what they say.

Two groups of people are trained in debate: politicians and lawyers.

If you want a non-establishment President, you do not want a politician or a lawyer because most politicians are also lawyers, and most lawyers are taught to be politicians whether they run for office or not.

Donald Trump is neither. It's why he's so popular with those who understand the value of capitalism and the evils of socialism. He especially understands the lawlessness of crony-capitalism (which has replaced real capitalism in America).

Since the above statements are factual, why are people critical of Trump's debate performance? He is not a trained debater... he hasn't been taught to avoid direct answers to direct questions. Saying you want a non-establishment candidate who is a trained debater is like saying "I want roast beef for dinner but it must come from a chicken."

The fact that this hugely successful businessman has defeated 15 debate-trained primary candidates and has held his own and even won against a woman who has been debating for 30 years or

more attests to Trump's intelligence and his ability to learn a totally new lifestyle quickly and well.

This is my final article before the election and I hope the following information will be helpful to voters. These are the issues and candidate positions as I understand them to be:

- If you believe it's okay for a baby to be aborted up until the moment of birth, Hillary agrees; Donald Trump disagrees. There have been 53 million abortions in America since the Roe v. Wade Supreme Court decision making abortion legal. Abortion is not being used as a woman's choice; it's being used as birth control. "Choice" occurs when a woman decides to whether or not to remove her panties. It is beyond that moment of "choice" pregnancy occurs.

Though Trump personally opposes abortion, he wants individual states to decide whether abortion is legal. He will appoint to the Supreme Court Justices who will help him achieve this objective.

Those who say "the health of the mother" justifies late-term abortion ignore medical reports stating the health of the mother never justifies late-term abortion because doctors say a caesarian section can be performed to save the mother. They ignore the fact that "health of the mother" has come to mean if, after nine months of pregnancy the idea of having the baby depresses the mother, it places her mental health in danger and she qualifies for a late-term abortion.

- If you want open borders – anyone can enter the U.S. (and Canada) from Mexico and Canada – Hillary agrees; Donald Trump will build a wall and strongly disagrees. As Hillary said in a Wall Street speech, she wants the entire Western Hemisphere to have open borders. It could allow up to 600 million immigrants to enter the United States.

Donald Trump says borders define a nation – and he is correct. To be accepted by the rest of the world as a nation, a

“nation” must have four things in place: 1) a defined territory (borders), 2) a defined population, 3) military strength sufficient to defend the territory and the people, and it must 4) control of a system of finance that supports the people and the military. If any of those four things are missing, a mass of land with people living on it may call itself a nation but by the international definition of “nation” it is not. This creates all kinds of Treaty and trade problems, by the way.

- If you want a strong military, Donald Trump agrees. He would increase the size and strength of the military which the Obama Administration has weakened to World War II levels. Trump is a conservative who doesn't want military involvement all around the world and wants payment from nations we agree to defend. It is neo-conservatism (the Bush cabal) that supports invasion accompanied by nation building.

Hillary Clinton opposes strengthening the military and would continue existing Obama administration military policies. I believe a nation is more likely to be attacked when its ability to defend itself is weak, rather than strong. History says we are safer with a strong military.

- If you want Supreme Court Justices appointed (the next President will likely appoint three or four) who support abortion, the federal takeover of education, who will make gun ownership more difficult (if not impossible), who support legislating from the bench and do not support the power of the three branches of American government (legislative, executive and judicial), Hillary is your candidate. Those who support the Constitution which gives the power of legislating to the Congress, not the Supremes and other courts, Donald Trump agrees with you.

Trump believes in the Constitution as written by the founding fathers, not as written by Supreme Court Justices who want it to reflect their personal “living” opinions. He is endorsed by

the NRA and other gun owner groups. He is also endorsed by the Border Patrol, ICE, and many law enforcement groups.

- If you want illegal immigration to continue and if you want to give amnesty to illegal aliens who sneak into American unlawfully, Hillary agrees with you. She wants to let in anyone and everyone. She intends to increase the number of Middle East aliens Obama has allowed in by 550%. She is on record as supporting open borders throughout the Western Hemisphere (remember the North American Union?).

Donald Trump disagrees strongly. He does not support amnesty and would first eliminate sanctuary cities and known illegal alien criminals and then deal with the 20 million illegals who he believes take jobs from American citizens – as did Presidents Truman, Eisenhower and Hoover who sent millions of illegals home to protect American jobs. He also believes it is unfair to those trying to enter America legally by delaying their lawful entry because of so many illegals.

Trump believes the billions of dollars of Medicare funds spent annually on illegal aliens who are not citizens diminishes the level of care available to America's retired citizens and threatens the future of both Social Security and Medicare. He is concerned about the thousands of children who enter America with no adult protection and just disappear. He is right to be concerned. More than 3 million children a year are sold into some form of slavery worldwide. Hillary says she wants to protect children but invites them to enter illegally with no adult protection... an open invitation to child traffickers.

- If you want to pay higher taxes, Hillary will help. Hillary suggests that affluent people do not pay their fair share of taxes. Statistics say that 5% of affluent Americans pay 70% of income taxes. Hillary says all of her costly new programs can be paid by increasing taxes on the very affluent. I have run those numbers and it is not true... big time untrue. Her programs will require a tax increase for the middle class.

Trump's plan lowers taxes on all individuals as well as corporations. He supports the Reagan theory which very effectively lowered taxes and increased employment (and when employment is increased, the tax base increases because new jobs are created and income taxes that come from the new jobs increase income tax payments to Treasury).

Trump is right about the trillions of dollars that are kept offshore because of America's high tax rates. If one looks only at the federal income tax rate, it doesn't make America look like one of the highest taxed nations in the world, as Trump says it is. However, when you add city and state taxes, sales taxes, fees, etc., we definitely are one of the most highly taxed nations in the world.

One of the less discussed facts related to this topic is how people with money (who Hillary wants to over-tax) are the ones who create jobs. Very few poor people, few in the middle class, own growing and thriving businesses that employ people – and 70% of America's jobs comes from independent businesses.

It takes people with access to cash flow to create jobs and Hillary Clinton's stand on this subject is dangerous. Sweden found out the hard way what happens when you over-tax the rich. They leave the country and take their money with them. Her plans will cost more jobs and generate less funding for the Treasury Department – which she relies on to pay for “shovel ready infrastructure jobs” she wants to create and to pay for with tax dollars.

- If you support education programs like Common Core and No Child Left Behind, Hillary agrees with you. She believes government should be actively involved in running the public school system and does not believe in school choice or charter schools.

Donald Trump will get rid of Common Core, favors school choice, and believes states and local school boards should

choose all subjects taught to their children. The public school system has become largely unionized and appears to serve union bosses with political agendas rather than the educational needs of students. They are strong Hillary supporters.

- To generate taxes for the Treasury, jobs must be created in the private, not the public, sector. Public sector jobs cost tax dollars; private sector jobs provide tax dollars. Hillary Clinton has never created jobs in the private sector. She has created public sector jobs which forces an increase in taxes to pay for them.

Donald Trump has created thousands of private sector jobs. It is true that as an astute businessman he has utilized programs and methods made available by government (which Mrs. Clinton has served for many years and has made no effort to change) that are not popular with the American people... for example, using alien labor when he built a casino. Some people view this as hypocrisy... using Hispanic/Latino labor, some of whom are legal, some of whom are not, to personally profit but saying he wants to send illegals home.

Anyone in business knows it is the responsibility of a CEO to do what the law allows to make a profit for his company. The key words are "the law allows." Trump wants Latinos to become legal and pay into the tax system, including the Social Security system... which most do not do today. If they get amnesty, they will have access to Social Security and Medicare and it is a threat to the entire Social Security and Medicare systems.

- If you love Obamacare and resultant medical treatment, Hillary is with you all of the way! Actually, Obamacare is a rewrite of Hillarycare. President Bill Clinton put Hillary in charge of creating a national healthcare program in 1992. Hillary will expand Obamacare and move quickly towards a national government healthcare plan similar to the one in

Canada... where seriously ill patients who can afford it come to the U.S. for treatment.

Donald Trump prefers to eliminate Obamacare and find a free market solution. He wants to remove barriers that prevent competition – mostly regulations passed by establishment politicians – and he believes competition will bring health care costs down (as it has in the past) and increase quality of care. Market-driven healthcare also removes the concern of government-run euthanasia becoming a part of the American system of healthcare.

TRADE AND TREATIES: If you like NAFTA and our resultant \$800 billion trade deficit with treaty partners, Hillary is with you! If you like the millions of manufacturing jobs lost because of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA – then CAFTA and soon the Trans Pacific Partnership Treaty [TPP] which will suck more jobs from our already-ailing economy), Hillary agrees.

Mrs. Clinton had no intention of renegotiating existing trade treaties and, until she needed to solidify a relationship with Bernie Saunders supporters, she thought the TPP was the gold standard of trade agreements. To appease Saunders supporters she now says it may need some adjustments. There is no doubt that our trade treaties have cost America much of its manufacturing base and jobs.

Donald Trump wants to renegotiate existing trade agreements and treaties. He has a clear, business-based (rather than political) view of trade, its impact on business growth and jobs, as well as trade deficits and how they impact the overall economy and our foreign policy. And he understands negotiation better than just about anyone.

The cost to taxpayers of the Obama administration's foreign policy has been high, indeed. It's not just the very costly mistakes made by Obama and Clinton in the Middle East. Only

this week Philippine President Duterte said in a Beijing speech, "I announce my separation from the United States." This is a direct result of Obama/Clinton failed foreign policies. This is very serious stuff!

The cost to taxpayers from lost jobs, and a sick GDP (gross domestic product – economic growth) have also been heavy – resulting in an estimated 1% GDP for 2016 (an average weak GDP might be 3%... China's GDP is currently 6%).

Regarding lost jobs: Hobby Lobby is closing all of its stores thanks to Obama's policies (and Hillary will continue them). More independent businesses will do the same for other reasons but similar causes: unreasonable and socialist-based business attitudes.

Why is Hobby Lobby closing more than 500 stores in 41 states (and thousands of jobs)? Here is a quote from Hobby Lobby CEO David Green:

"We're Christians and we run our business on Christian principles."

"A new government healthcare mandate says that our family business MUST provide what I believe are abortion-causing drugs as part of our health insurance. Being Christians, we don't pay for drugs that might cause abortions..."

Such is the tyranny of socialism.

Finally, terrorism. I have only one thing to say on this issue. If you cannot name and clearly define your enemy you will never defeat your enemy. Donald Trump agrees with that concept. Hillary Clinton does not and will continue the Obama policies which have caused such havoc in the Middle East. Her policies and behavior re Benghazi are good examples of her failures.

When I look at the above issues, it is difficult for me to

understand how anyone can vote for Hillary Clinton... but we are a diverse nation. My comments support facts, not my personal opinions.

Some of us, it appears, want to become part of George Herbert Walker Bush's New World Order and give up America's sovereignty. Some of us have become so dependent upon government we do not want anyone to get rid of political corruption because it might eliminate access to welfare, extended unemployment benefits and freebies like cell phones.

The truth is, when people put selfish desires ahead of the good of their nation, they do indeed deserve the government they get. The rest of us get drug along for the ride.

Think positive thoughts about a Trump victory because positives attract positives. "They" like keeping you in a state of fear and anxiety because such negatives attract more negatives and supports their ruinous plots for internationalizing our nation.

Truth will prevail... eventually. It has already begun... largely because Donald Trump brought so many things to our attention that those polished, debate-trained politicians have been hiding from us so they can continue their corrupt practices.

There's only one way you can stop it: With your vote.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Sex, Trump and our republic

Did Donald Trump's disgusting comments about his ability to take sexual advantage of women seeking stardom because he was a superstar bother me?

Yes... but it bothered me to learn that Paul Ryan's love life is dominated by liberal women who tell him what liberal causes he must support. It bothered me to learn that Ryan's judgment about love and women led him to one long-term girlfriend who confessed to crimes of fraud and spent jail time for them. It was a loooong time ago... just like Trump's comments.

If you're going to judge Donald Trump by his apparent values, you must use the same standards for others because a double standard makes you a hypocrite. As I recall my New Testament, the only thing that made Jesus Christ angry was the hypocrites at the Temple making money off of those who came to worship, selling them doves they promised would bring them closer to God.

Trump's vulgarity didn't bother me more than four dead Americans in Benghazi – especially after Ambassador Chris Stevens sent 600 requests to Hillary Clinton for help and she chose to ignore him.

Murder is one of the Ten Commandments; vulgar language is not.

It didn't bother me any more than Hillary being forced to return \$200,000 of stolen goods to the White House when the Clintons left office in 2000. White House furnishings belong to you and me.

Stealing is one of the Ten Commandments; vulgar language is not. Murder and stealing represent actions actually taken. Vulgar talk does not.

Trump's unlawfully video taped conversation didn't bother me any more than memories of the murder (or was it suicide?) of Vince Foster, Castle Grande (Whitewater), the trail of dead bodies that follow the Clintons wherever they go, and Hillary's lies about her investing skills – which are different than these other known lies. I've read "Thou shall not lie" somewhere... let me see.

People consider Trump's vulgarity worse than Hillary's actual behavior? It is said that we get the government we deserve. I want Hillary supporters – including Bill Kristol, Mike Lee, John McCain, Paul Ryan, and all of the other RINOs – to remember that as our country is flushed down the toilet. Your hand was on the “flush” button.

Trump's disgusting conversation, taped with an Access Hollywood interviewer named Billy Bush who is the nephew of George H.W. Bush (cousin of Jeb), suggest this conversation was manipulated by Billy Bush, then saved to bring public when Trump decided to run for the Presidency. After all, he spoke of running often. We know NBC had the recording for six months and waited until 48 hours before the Sunday night debate to publish it.

The Trump corporation's female staffing proves The Donald has more women executives than men and that these women are very well paid – and logic tells me if he has issues with women they do not involve his business – or political – judgment.

The new stories about Trump include him forcing his attentions on a woman in the first class cabin of an airplane – Cinderella is more believable! I flew first class for many years and know the arm rests, unlike those in coach, cannot be raised or removed. For him to have done what she says is impossible... and flight attendants hover over first class passengers and bad behavior is not tolerated by other first class passengers. Her statement that she didn't mind his attentions as long as they “stayed above the waist” tells you the moral vacuum inside that head (and the other actions of which she accuses him that occurred below the waist were impossible because of the seat divider).

This woman's only flight is one of fancy – and it's quite apparent. It makes Hillary look desperate! Desperation keeps your eyes diverted from her 30 years of failure in public life!

First they produce hard evidence that Trump thinks it's cool to use his position of power to seduce women; then they produce liars to say he did it – and it's a very believable scenario. Except the stories are incredibly naïve. And Donald Trump is anything but naïve.

The taping of this conversation also bothers me because the interview violates California law.

In Colorado or Wisconsin and many other states, it is lawful to record a conversation if ONE PARTY that is part of the conversation is aware of the recording. California, however, is a TWO PARTY state in which both parties taking part in a conversation must be aware something is being recorded. Otherwise, the recording is illegal.

Of course we all expect DOJ's Loretta Lynch and the FBI's Jim Comey to jump right on the commission of this crime to make sure it is properly investigated and prosecuted. (Smile)

Bill Clinton has for many years been treated like a son of former President G.H.W. Bush. Here is a photo from 1983 – 33 years ago – of the two men talking with Governor George Wallace.

We all remember George H.W. Bush and Bill Clinton joining forces to collect money for the people of Haiti when they were hit by a violent earthquake in 2010. Most of us also remember how little of the money was actually used for the benefit of that earthquake's victims. People still ask: what happened to all of that money!

As I said, George H.W. and Bill are very close... and both hate Donald Trump with a passion. After all, it was Trump who made Jeb Bush look like a piece of over-cooked spaghetti during the Republican primary debates. Too, George H.W. Bush says he's voting for Hillary.

The Trump tape upset me and I have asked myself some important

questions:

1. What is the difference between being a sinner and being evil? This manipulated circumstance is a perfect example. Hillary has a video tape showing that Donald Trump, like all of us, is a sinner. The information available about Hillary Clinton, however, proves she is evil. There is a huge difference!

2. What do a man's vulgar conversations have to do with knowing how to create jobs in the private sector? For Liberal Democrat readers who don't know the difference between the public and private sectors, creating jobs in the private sector means creating jobs without using public funds (your tax \$\$). Donald Trump has created hundreds of thousands of jobs in the private sector. Hillary Clinton has never created a private sector job. So who do you believe when he or she says they can create jobs in the private sector?

We can go back to the Presidency of Democrat John F. Kennedy and find a man with a very checkered past and lack of sensitivity to women and inappropriate sexual behavior. He was a very successful President... one who also opposed the shadow government that has been running things since after the Civil War. It cost him his life. The answer to the question is: The successful years of John Kennedy prove sexual behavior does not impact a man's ability to create jobs and be a good President.

3. What does a man's sexual behavior have to do with understanding the need for leadership in American foreign policy? Democrat President Harry Truman's well-known loyalty to his wife Bess and his daughter Margaret prove he had strong ethical views and moral propriety. Yet, when it came time to make a decision about dropping atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki to save hundreds of thousands of American soldiers, he said "do it," knowing it would result in the deaths of thousands of Japanese civilians. Being moral does not

guarantee you will avoid questionable decisions... nor does having views others consider immoral.

Though Donald Trump's conversation with Billy Bush is disgusting, it does not negatively impact his leadership skills. As his daughter Ivanka and his two sons Donald Junior and Eric say, he has a unique ability to find the right people to do whatever job needs to be done. It reminds me a little of David and Bathsheba. David wasn't perfect, either.

Those Republican members of Congress who walked away from Trump have no strong definition of the word "loyal" which is a dominant part of defining "leadership."

It is obvious that the Paul Ryans and Mike Lees and John McCains of the political world don't understand how to define leadership because if they did they would have shown some of it during the past ten years and they have not. And that's why voters are so angry!

The professional politicians are the same people who have always hated Trump for his stand on illegal aliens – an issue that points out the failure of establishment Republican legislators. It would have never been made public without Donald Trump. They tend to forget that Democrat President Harry Truman, Republican President Dwight Eisenhower, and Great Depression President Herbert Hoover removed huge numbers of illegal aliens to create jobs for Americans. Statistics are available here: [Combat Veterans for Congress](#).

4. What does a man's conversation about sex have to do with making strong, conservative appointments to the Supreme Court? Trump has told us who he will appoint. When we look at the alternatives of Supremes Hillary Clinton might appoint – even Barrack Obama's name gets mentioned – it makes apparent how insignificant the disgusting words of Donald Trump really are – words which were unlawfully recorded in the State of California by the cousin of a man Trump bested in the primary

elections.

The release of this video just 48 hours before the Presidential debates was carefully planned.

It was this realization that made me come to grips with what initially made me sick to my stomach: If you want a President who is an obvious liar (or one too mentally devoid of memory to answer many FBI questions regarding her 33,000 deleted emails), Hillary is your girl. Hillary's famous "I don't remember" comments were also used during Whitewater investigations – and a faulty memory should disqualify her as a Presidential candidate. She is a woman with a record of highly disgusting acts of dishonesty and greed (she doesn't just talk about them, she does them) both as a public servant and as a lawyer... if that's your cuppa coffee, vote for Hillary Clinton.

If you want to elect to office someone who's campaign team says highly offensive things about Catholics – Hillary, herself, has personally said Christians must change their views and behavior – vote for Hillary. She is a candidate who has never created a private sector job and who supports the very things which cause Americans tremendous pain today – from late-term abortion to open borders. If that's your gig, support Hillary Clinton.

The negative reaction of so-called college-educated women to the Trump tape disgusts me even more than the tape. That you seek security from a woman who will not hesitate to do anything – anything at all – to gain more and more power, more and more money – makes me question whether females should have been given the vote. You are voting (as men have always said you would) with your emotions, not your brain. You are obviously the product of a school system that removed critical thinking and logic skills from our public schools when the new math was introduced into the education system.

No one says you have to personally like your President. In some ways, Donald Trump is unlikeable. What is important is that in the end analysis you respect your President's ability to lead the nation to a stronger economy, a safer society, a return to our Constitutional Republic and the rule of law that flows from it.

Women voters appear incapable of figuring out that the very definition of a nation is its borders. If you don't have borders, you don't have a nation. Hillary Clinton has said she is for open borders... the very definition of George H.W. Bush's "new world order." That's why he's voting for her.

These women call themselves educated. Here is the law that disallows Middle Easterners of the Islamic faith citizenship in America. Have you read it? It proves Donald Trump is correct in suggesting we need to study this issue more before letting unvetted Muslims into our country. Sharia law prevents Muslims from swearing allegiance to anything other than Sharia Law. That includes the Constitution of the United States. Our laws say if you cannot swear allegiance to the Constitution you cannot migrate here.

You're educated, you say. Have you read what is happening in nations which have allowed too many Muslims into their nations... Germany, France, Sweden and others? Is this the violence you want for your daughters?

Once a tyrant puts his or her boot on your neck and tells you to stop living your faith as a Christian, our way of American life is over. Once a tyrant brings unlimited numbers of people from a part of the world where they don't even know how to use toilet paper (and bring their violence and diseases to America with them), it's over.

Over means over. Forever. Goodbye Liberty. So much for leaving a safe, secure nation for the children you say you love. Rather than college-educated women, you make voting decisions

like insecure little girls who want to replace the male protection of the 60's with the government protection of the New Millennium. Women – real women – find security with faith which lives within them and they do not need the protection of Big Brother.

Perhaps what we saw that so disappointed us when we saw the Trump tape is that he is an imperfect human being just like the rest of us.

It is always disappointing to find that our leaders are not God; they are just people with strengths and weaknesses. It is more disappointing to see so many Americans willingly – even enthusiastically – support evil.

It's your choice.

Is our choice for President of the United States to be made on the basis of vulgar talk or evil deeds? Admittedly many people wish for better choices in both parties, but this is what we've got.

Frankly, I'm more worried about the theft of our real estate – the middle class's biggest financial asset. Which candidate do you think more likely to put your property up as collateral with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to continue ballooning America's debt? Donald Trump? Or, Hillary Clinton? I'm more worried about the central banking system that uses a fractional-reserve system to manipulate banks and screw the people. I'm more worried about nuclear war with Russia. I'm more worried about the increasing violent crime rate in our streets, including terrorist violence.

Those are the worries of a big girl, adult females we call women. Only little girls like Hillary Clinton who work hard to divert your attention from her misdeeds and crimes will use sex as the diversion. She knows it catches everyone's attention and is particularly offensive to females.

I didn't call you women. That's a status one must earn. You earn it by growing up and looking at life realistically... as an adult, not as a child.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Kaepernick, Trump and flags

You may have noticed, the flag business is thriving! Whether the flags are made in the U.S. or China is a different issue, but from San Francisco to wherever political candidates appear, flags are featured items.

Quarterback Colin Kaepernick of the San Francisco 49ers doesn't much care about flags. He thinks it is appropriate to show his lack of respect for the American Flag by sitting on the bench – as he adjusts his black lives matter practice socks which are decorated with pigs dressed as policemen – while the National Anthem is played pre-game.

When I worked for a bank, I had the same right to protest that Kaepernick has as a football player with the National Football League (NFL). You, too, have the right to protest regardless of who your employer is. I can attend any protest I want. So can you. A few people we thought were friends might desert us afterwards, but it is our right as Americans to protest.

If, however, I bring the protest into my employer bank's lobby, my butt will be fired – or, in terms Kaepernick understands, fried like bacon. On the spot. No questions asked. While I am in the bank and on the clock, they control my behavior. Am I saying my employer owned me? No. Not at all. I could quit that job and work elsewhere any day I chose. In the meantime, a job description told me what the bank expected

me to achieve each day. It did not include political protests. There was a dress code. It did not include wearing socks with images of pigs dressed like police officers.

What was it black lives matter wanted to do to police officers? Fry them like bacon?

Kaepernick's quarterback job description is much different than mine was as a banker, but, like me, off of the football field he is free to support any cause he wants – and there are plenty of causes to support in San Francisco that aren't terribly popular with the general public. For one thing, it is a sanctuary city for illegal immigrants, the home of Kate Steinle. For another, if you can get in and out of San Francisco without being aggressively approached by panhandlers on the streets, you have had a unique experience. I no longer go there.

The moment Kaepernick takes the field in a stadium paid for by the public and is working for a corporation which pays his salary, the rules change. Neither the public, nor the team for which he plays, support his personal issues with the American flag. At least, they say they do not. The San Francisco NFL franchise signed a 6-year, \$114 million contract, including a \$12,328,766 signing bonus, \$61 million guaranteed, and an average annual salary of \$19 million. In 2016, Kaepernick will earn a base salary of \$11.9 million, a roster bonus of \$2 million and a workout bonus of \$400,000. That money is paid to him for his ability to play football.

It's difficult to understand why someone hates the flag of a nation that made this kind of personal wealth possible via the free marketplace it offers and the price paid to defend that flag by so many members of America's military.

In some ways, I agree with much of what Kaepernick says about what the United States has become. Donald Trump has made clear for us how corrupt it is from top to bottom.

I often wonder how responsible for the 20 veteran suicides a day the corrupted government is. These people, feeling such a sense of hopelessness that they seek a permanent solution to a temporary problem, risked their lives for that flag and the nation it represents. It must be pretty depressing for them to come home and find out the government lied to them about a lot of things – including their medical care and mental soundness to carry a gun.

In other ways, I would suggest quarterback Kaepernick find another country in which he can get a \$114 million 6-year contract to throw a football. He should find it and move there... show his respect for that nation's flag. Except: No such country exists. I would help him pack if it did.

I would further suggest Kaepernick stop supporting organizations supported by George Soros – like Black Lives Matter. Soros so loved his own people that during World War II he helped the Nazis find and slaughter Jews in his home town. He has been quoted as saying it was a very exhilarating experience. You can find Soros money behind many of the corrupt politicians Kaepernick says he doesn't support... ignorance of facts is a symptom of an uninformed useful idiot.

In the aftermath of it all (after the San Diego game), Kaepernick made a contribution of a million dollars to... I'm not sure what. Maybe he's joined with Soros and supports Black Lives Matter. He's not exactly a cash-strapped black American who lives in the inner-city and he has little insight into the real problems of the black community.

His show of angst to the flag and the nation it represents would have been a lot more meaningful if he had made his protest in years prior to the one in which the team for which he plays is seeking to trade him (only to find there are no takers). He's been with them for several years. Is he laying the ground work for a discrimination suit against the 49ers should they decide to terminate him for any reason? His timing

is perfect – an accident, I'm sure (not!) to prevent a termination?

Donald Trump is right about the Democrat Party being interested in black people only as votes, rather than as individual citizens with needs. He is also right when he says if black people continue to vote for the status quo they have supported for from 50 to 75 years, they can expect the same result. Einstein once suggested that to keep doing the same thing over and over and expecting a different result is a definition of insanity.

This does not suggest that status quo Republicans are much better than the Democrats, but at least they are not so duplicitous as to accuse their opposition of doing what they, themselves, do and have done. History says Democrats are racists:

The 13th Amendment abolished slavery. It was passed with 100 percent Republican support; 23 percent of Democrats supported the 13th Amendment.

The 14th Amendment gave slaves citizenship and 94 percent of Republicans supported it. No Democrats – 0 percent – supported it.

The 15th Amendment gave ALL men (not women) the right to vote. 100 percent of Republicans supported the 15th Amendment. No Democrats – 0 percent – supported it.

The Republican Party is the party of Abraham Lincoln who fought a very bloody and costly Civil War that cost his nation 620,000 deaths to free America's slaves. Their lives, of course, don't matter... they were almost all white. I re-ask Trump's question here: What in the world have the Democrats ever done for you?

Does that give you some idea of how uninformed people are?

Back to my subject: The American Flag.

On that subject, Donald Trump is wrong. I love you, Donald, but on this subject you are wrong.

Have you noticed the fringe on the flags behind Donald Trump? I have – and even wrote his first campaign manager, Corey Lewandowski, telling him to get those fringes off of the flags behind Donald Trump at campaign events. I got no response.

Even more important, have you noticed the lack of fringe on the flags behind Hillary Clinton? She uses legitimate American Flags. This is a significant difference between the two candidates.

I have written prior articles on the subject of our flag.

Who cares about whether there is gold fringe on the flag in your courtrooms, school yards, Supreme Courts, public libraries, the Congress, the Post Office and the White House?

I care. Big time I care. So should you – and so should Donald J. Trump.

On 21 August 1959, then-President Dwight David Eisenhower signed Executive Order 10834. It is printed in the Federal Register at 24 F.R. 6865, pursuant to law. Eisenhower made a public statement about the flag saying: “A military flag is a flag that resembles the regular flag of the United States, except that it has a yellow fringe border on three sides.”

On 28 March 1924, the Adjutant General of the Army said: “Ancient custom sanctions the use of the fringe on regimental colors and standards, but there seems to be no good reason or precedent of its use on other flags.” (34 Ops. Atty. Gen. 483, 485.) Thus, use of gold fringe on American Flags suggests martial law.

According to Army Regulation AR 840-10, 1 Oct. 1979, “the flag (United States flag) is trimmed on three sides with Fringe of

Gold, 2 ½ inches wide,” and “such flags are flown indoors, ONLY in military courtrooms.” Such a courtroom tries cases on the basis of military law, not constitutional law, not common law, not civil law, and not statute law.

Title 4 U.S.C. 3 provides that anything put on the Title 4 U.S.C. 1, 2 American Flag – such as gold fringe – MUTILATES the Flag. This is confirmed by the authority of Title 36 U.S.C. 176 (g). The gold fringe is a fourth color and represents “color of military law” jurisdiction and when placed on the Title 4 U.S.C. 1, 2 Flag, mutilates the Flag and suspends the Constitution. (Refer to Title 18 U.S.C. 242, see Black’s Law Dictionary).

Though I strongly support Donald J. Trump for President, I do not support his choice of flags shown behind him at campaign events. I’m sure he doesn’t select them... those who plan campaign functions do. I’m equally sure America’s failing education system didn’t instruct the planners on flag mutilation and which flags represent martial law and suspend the Constitution. The flags chosen to date are covered with gold fringe. They are military flags suggesting Martial Law and Admiralty Law... and no Constitution.

Will someone please tell him to get that fringe off of my country’s Flag? I don’t live under the jurisdiction of the United States Military.

Will someone also please tell him that the best response to challenges by Hillary Clinton during upcoming debates is: “My statements support the Constitution of the United States.” Apply the statement to specific policies, like: “I believe mine is the best solution to the problem of illegal immigration because it supports the Constitution of the United States. It follows the laws set down by the Constitution. What you suggest as a solution to this problem violates the founding documents of this nation!”

That's an answer every conservative will support and will offend no one – other than communists and socialists who seek a Tsar or a Queen or King (or Pope) rather than a President.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

First the banks were too big to fail, now Hillary is too big to jail

...and what happened in the beautiful city of Dallas, Texas, is an apt reflection of what happens when a nation loses its Rule of Law.

We have Hillary Clinton with a lifelong record of lies, surrounded by criminals and conduct that makes her own involvement questionable. We have Bill Clinton who is one of the worst womanizers ever born and a man who has been involved with the Bush cabal since the 1980s... a man guilty of perjury and other felonies, a man who pardoned some of the biggest criminals in the world... like Marc Rich, a world class money launderer (after Rich's wife contributed a quarter of a million dollars).

We have an Attorney General under the Clinton Administration that sends Army tanks to kill women and children in David Koresh's Waco headquarters. That same Clinton Attorney General's office on August 22, 1992 sent FBI agents to Idaho where they killed a totally innocent woman named Vicki Weaver. She had her baby in her arms while standing at the front door of her home. The FBI shot and killed a young boy, too – the son of the Weavers. The agent who shot Vicki was promoted, as

I recall.

We had a Secretary of State whose greatest achievement during her term of office was a total lack of achievement of anything positive and a trail filled with apparent errors and missteps. The information about Benghazi is pretty clear and Congressman Trey Gowdy's Investigative Committee did a good job of identifying evidence the mainstream media refuses to publish – but no legal action is taken. Welcome to the world of how the social order works when tyranny rules and the Rule of Law is trashed. Gowdy, a very popular Congressman from South Carolina, then announces he will not run for re-election. Gee, I wonder why.

We had a Secretary of State who is so incompetent that an American Ambassador is murdered by Libyan terrorists. Three other American heroes are also murdered. President Barack Obama and Secretary of State Clinton tell the American people that a video tape presenting negatives about Islam is to blame. Prior to that announcement, however, Secretary Clinton had emailed statements to her daughter and others that terrorists were responsible for the violence. Both she and the President are caught in this lie – are caught lying to the families of the dead – but when it becomes undeniable there is no media coverage of it. Welcome to the world of socialist lawlessness where there is one set of rules for the elite and another set for everyone else (the workers).

We have an Attorney General who was appointed by the current President and was first appointed to the Department of Justice by President Clinton. The Attorney General agrees to meet with the now former President on her airplane while Clinton and his wife, who is running to become the new U.S. President, are both under active investigation by the Department of Justice. Was this lawful? No. Loretta Lynch should lose her license to practice law over it.

The current President also appointed the former failed

Secretary of State. The President wants to leave a legacy other than being the first black person elected to the office of the Presidency. To achieve that objective, the President must protect the incompetent Secretary of State because if he does not, any positives he thinks he has achieved will go down the tube with her various involvements. What involvements?

Start with unlawfully setting up a private email server in her basement on which she sends and receives classified material. Under oath she tells Congress she never sent or received classified material on her private computer. She lied. Director Comey built a strong case against what Hillary Clinton did in his testimony before Congress. There is no doubt it is true... even FBI Director Comey admits it happened. It is a violation of the law and people have been sent to prison for less... but in today's society up is down, right is left, and wrong is right.

Everyone talks about what a straight-shooter Jim Comey is. Maybe so... but there are a few things about the FBI Director people may have forgotten.

Do you recall the Senate Whitewater investigation of President and Mrs. William Clinton? The Senate was investigating whether then Governor of Arkansas Bill Clinton used his position to influence the granting of an illegal loan that benefitted the Clintons' business partner in Whitewater (the Clintons were major stockholders in Whitewater, as I recall). As I also recall, Webb Hubbell went to jail over Whitewater (and died of a heart attack while being held in solitary confinement). James Comey was Deputy Special Counsel for the Whitewater Investigation.

Too, James Comey oversaw investigations into Bill Clinton's pardon of 16 Puerto Rican terrorists who took the lives of 16 Americans and wounded others.

So, Mr. Comey is not new to what is involved in prosecuting or

attempting to prosecute members of the Clinton “family.” Comey is “a straight shooter,” say Rudy Giuliani and George Will on Fox News. It’s interesting that Fox doesn’t seem to be able to investigate the news much better than ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN and the other broadcasters they constantly criticize. If they did, this information would have been made public at the time Comey testified.

People are shaking their heads and wringing their hands and calling out to God asking “How can You let this happen?” The same people who ask this question are largely the same people who demand that all reference to God be removed from our schools and other public buildings. It is, of course, okay to refer to Allah. The “Get God out of here” people are so stupid it is almost beyond belief. The only people more stupid are those who allowed God to be removed from our society – that would be you and I.

As we mourn the loss of five Dallas police officers and the wounding of six others, as we pray for the recovery of all who were injured both physically and psychologically, let’s apply a little common sense as to why we are seeing such an increase in violence directed at those in authority.

There are some human behaviors that are part of our nature. For example:

1. Always remember that tyrants will do whatever it takes to achieve their objective. They want to delete the Second Amendment and take the guns of the American people. Until they get what they want, we will likely see more of this kind of violence (with progressive Democrats loudly calling for gun control) until the people find a way to combat it more effectively than the government appears able. This is evident in the behavior of George H.W. Bush’s father, Prescott, who helped finance Hitler. It’s all about power.

2. All people – rich and poor, productive and non-productive –

struggle to have a meaningful life. We have a strong instinct that drives us to make the time we spend on earth matter. Some people make their lives matter in a positive way; some achieve it in a negative way. No one wants to be a zero. We fight to be either a plus or a minus in the social order.

One of the problems we have today is that the definition of plus and minus has been turned upside down.

What used to be good and positive is now bad and negative... faith in God, being productive, being a patriot, respecting the Constitution and the Rule of Law that flows from it all, according to our political leaders, make us dangerous, negative citizens. What they really mean is that people who seek the truth and do not accept political correctness have figured out that politicians get to define what is or is not politically correct and they always define it as something that hides their unlawful behavior and benefits them and their objective of global governance.

At puberty, both boys and girls face tremendous pressures from their own bodies as previously unknown hormones flood their systems. They are pressured by schools to believe what they are told in class (political correctness, again) versus what they are told by parents in their homes and lessons they are taught by their churches. It is no wonder they are confused! Some have intact families; about 50 percent do not.

Many households in which both parents work do so because even though they may believe in God they worship things... they want a bigger house and a 55-inch television, a new car, perhaps a boat... and they want a good vacation every year. So, both parents work and the kids have part-time parents.

Because of the economy our government and the Federal Reserve (which is not part of the government but is a private corporation) have created, many households require that both parents work. It is not a matter of wanting to buy more

things; it is a matter of survival and of being able to securely retire someday. It's an issue of being able to afford to send their kids to college (which is so over-priced – again, thanks to government – it is ridiculous especially when you look at the lack of education students receive).

So kids without parents to teach them the value of positive behavior often learn negatives instead. Today, a large majority of high school and college students see nothing wrong with cheating on a test, for example. They see nothing wrong with their negative choices because negatives are what get rewarded in society today. Common sense says: If you want more of something, reward it.

No one can argue with the statement that if you want a congratulatory telephone call from the White House, it won't be forthcoming if you or your kids do something special involving non-Muslim faith, love of country and patriotism, something that demonstrates respect for the Constitution, or if it supports truth but defies "political correctness." The mainstream media, pandering to White House preferences, supports this madness with the topics it chooses to cover... or not cover.

Kids today have few support systems in place to teach them that the choices they make in high school often establish an entire life pattern and if they want a fulfilling life they need to learn discipline... in learning, in loving, in working and in being a productive member of the social order.

We have created a society where people (especially young people) see little or no benefit to behaving in a way designed to produce a safe, productive and respectful society based on love rather than hate. This leads to chaos.

Be careful how you define "love." In this context, it has little to do with "feel good" emotion and everything to do with commitment, respect for self and others, truth and

positive productivity. What is positive productivity? It is contributing to the creation of good for the total social order while maintaining your individuality. For those who believe Jesus Christ was a socialist, you need to recall that His entire message was about individuality (socialism supports the collective, not the individual) – in all behavior. God gave us a world in which everything is a matter of personal choice. The love I'm talking about teaches someone to fish rather than giving people a fish.

3. Our churches have largely failed the American public. They are so interested in maintaining their tax exempt status (which the Constitution gives them regardless of 501.c.3) they are terrified of pointing out to their flocks the corruption of government.

Churchgoers are smart enough to figure it out for themselves, but they are too lazy or apathetic to do it. Some just don't want to hear the truth... they prefer having their butts in the air where anyone can kick them while keeping their heads firmly lodged in the sand. Some convince themselves that Jesus will save them from whatever is coming – either that, or they rationalize that whatever is coming must be the Will of God.

They forget that God only creates opportunity but expects us to fight our own battles. If that were not so, Daniel's slingshot would have been unnecessary. He gave us the most fabulous gift possible... this country. Perhaps He believes that if we care so little for this nation we are unwilling to fight for it, then it should be let go the way of Rome.

A lot has been written about how involved secret societies are in the disintegration of America. One thing we can do right now is never vote for anyone who graduated from Yale where the Skull and Bones group had as members George H.W. and George W. Bush.

God bless the citizens of Dallas, especially the members of

the Police Department that lost 11 dedicated employees. May He heal those who lived of their pain; may He heal us all from our stupidity and motivate us to do what is right before it is too late.

It may already be too late.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

An open letter to the republican establishment Pt. 2

Congressman Ryan, Senator McConnell, Reince Priebus, et al...

When you read the background of Hillary Clinton, it is difficult to believe any one person could lie as often as she does.

Both Bill and Hillary Clinton have a reckless disregard for the law – and for the truth. Bill Clinton's "coincidental" meeting with Attorney General Loretta Lynch on her private jet as it sat on the tarmac in Phoenix, AZ last weekend, for example. Loretta Lynch has lost any trust the public might have had for her by telling her security staff that no one was to be allowed to take pictures of Bill Clinton or herself as she met with him for a half-hour and then told the public they coincidentally met and talked about their grandchildren. All of this occurred within 72 hours of Hillary Clinton's former State Department informing Attorney General Lynch's office they weren't going to deliver the required emails for 27 months... after the presidential election.

We're supposed to believe that story?

Perhaps that's why people don't take Hillary's lies more seriously than they do. Maybe they react with an attitude of "This must be untrue because no one could possibly tell this many lies about so many different experiences that should have put her butt in jail."

In 1971 Hillary was in Berkley, CA interning for the law firm of Treuhaft, Walker and Burnstein. Their client list included the Black Panthers, among other militant groups. In a well-documented article by Don Anastas titled "Hillary Clinton's Laundry List of Lies", he quotes her comments to Saul Alinsky, a known communist. She asked Alinsky (in writing) when his new book, Rules for Radicals, would be available. "I need some new material to throw at people," she told him.

Called a "congenital liar" by William Safire in the liberal New York Times in January 1996, one of Hillary Clinton's first 1970s jobs was serving on the Richard Nixon Investigative Committee.

Hillary was a Republican and her problem with truth telling was evident, even then. She was terminated for reasons of moral turpitude... she was not only a liar, she was willing to manipulate evidence and put it into a court record to get her man, to make her case – to get Richard Nixon out of office while preventing Howard Hunt from testifying. For in-depth facts about why Hillary Clinton was terminated from this job, go here.

Prior to her husband's election as Governor of Arkansas, Hillary made \$100,000 in 9 months on a \$1,000 investment in the highly speculative cattle futures market. At first Hillary claimed she made the investment after reading the Wall Street Journal. She eventually admitted that James Blair, a longtime friend of Bill's and an experienced futures investor, placed 30 of her 32 trades. Was she dodging possible charges of

insider trading – or was she just lying?

After Bill and Hillary moved into the White House in 1993, I called her “Thunder Thighs” – affectionately, of course. Bill was known as a womanizer by all who knew him. It was said that if it walked, had a body above room temperature, and was equipped with a vagina, it was fair game for Bill. According to numerous women who came forward publicly with painful personal stories, it mattered not whether the object of Bill’s sexual appetite was interested in him. What he wanted, he usually got – with or without his target’s cooperation.

Thunder Thighs was an enabler. She automatically shifted into liar gear and excoriated those women who had the courage to speak of the unspeakable... unwanted sex with an arrogant bastard who only wanted to satiate his sick sexual appetite – even if it meant rape. Though he could usually charm his way into a woman’s bed – all good con men are charming or they wouldn’t be good con men – there were exceptions. Many of Bill Clinton’s sexual episodes have been verified by Dolly Kyle, Clinton’s on-again, off-again mistress from high school and author of a new book about Hillary titled “Hillary The Other Woman: A Political Memoir.”

The point is, this man (who became President of the United States) had a wife who enabled him to continue his sexual escapades by insisting that the women making such accusations could not be taken seriously. They were liars, she said. They were the result of a vast right-wing conspiracy, she said. She did what liars do: They lie.

This is the same woman who has declared over and over again how she supports and represents women and how women who claim rape should always be heard and should always be taken seriously.

Any female who believes Hillary Clinton supports them is either totally unconscious, uninformed, or is, like his long-

term lover says about Bill Clinton, a sex addict. How any woman can read the history – NOT OPINION – of Hillary Clinton's reactions to charges of rape against her husband and think she supports women is a scandalous declaration of how far such women have yet to go. Other women have come a long way, Baby, but not these.

When the allegations of Bill's sexual appetite came to the fore, Hillary was put in charge of the "Bimbo Eruption" team. She said horrible things about Paula Jones. In case you've forgotten, Hillary recommended non-settlement in the Paula Jones lawsuit – which, after the Ken Starr investigation, was quietly settled (costing American taxpayers millions of dollars). Bill Clinton was disbarred from practicing law in front of the Supreme Court over his perjury in the Monica Lewinski affair.

Too, before deciding to run for the Office of President, Hillary gave speeches and received large sums of money from nations who believe women are put on earth to be servants to men in whatever way a man chooses. A woman in a Muslim nation must provide four male witnesses if she claims rape and can be (and is) stoned to death for adultery. Many (most) Muslim women cannot drive a car without a man's permission. The ways in which Muslim men abuse women are legend. A good psychologist will tell you that such behavior speaks of fears of male inadequacy.

Hillary Clinton was paid large sums of money by:

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, \$10,000,000 to \$25,000,000; State of Kuwait, \$ 5,000,000 to \$10,000,000; United Arab Emirates, \$ 1,000,000 to \$ 5,000,000; Sultanate of Oman, \$ 1,000,000 to \$ 5,000,000; Government of Brunei Darussalam, \$ 1,000,000 to \$5,000,000; Kingdom of Bahrain, \$50,000 to \$100,000; Embassy of Algeria, \$ 250,000 to \$500,000; State of Qatar, \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000; Emirate of Ras al-Khaimah, \$25,000 to \$50,000

Does that sound like someone who supports women's rights?

Then there is the list of dead bodies that accompanies the Clinton White House years. Have you forgotten about them? No accusations have been made of the Clintons of any wrongdoing, but no other Presidency we know of has such a death legacy list.

It would take a book, not an article, to cover all of the dangers to national security a Hillary Clinton Presidency places on our nation – but Republican leaders appear not to care. They fear Donald Trump and evidently find Clinton an acceptable alternative. That, in and of itself, tells Republican voters how moral and committed to the people are those who supposedly represent the Republican establishment. They continue to withhold their support from Donald Trump... which speaks highly for Trump.

It also speaks highly for Trump that his list of dangers of a Hillary Clinton presidency deals mostly with business matters like international trade and mistakes made during her years as a U.S. Senator and as Secretary of State. This list is provided to everyone by the Trump Campaign. It is 35 pages of mistakes Mr. Trump believes Mrs. Clinton has made throughout her political career.

Perhaps people have forgotten the removal of documents from Vince Foster's office (after his "suicide") by Hillary's chief of staff, Maggie Williams (who became Hillary's campaign manager in 2008)? Or maybe they no longer remember Castle Grande when in 1995 the Resolution Trust Corporation reported that Hillary had been one of 11 Rose Law Firm lawyers who did work on Castle Grande. A 1996 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation report said Hillary had drafted documents that Castle Grande used to "deceive federal bank examiners." This is the same woman who, today, refuses to make the speeches she recently gave to Wall Street investment bankers available to the public while promising that she, the queen of lies, is on

the public's side in the war between the people and Wall Street investment bankers.

For readers who have forgotten, the Resolution Trust Corporation was an organization put in place to coordinate the asset distribution of the failed savings and loan industry... a failure largely caused by legislation put in place by the United States Congress.

Her destructive deeds are too many to explain, but let me list them for you:

- President Clinton gave Hillary authority to handle health care reform. The program she created was so messed up that even a Democrat-controlled Congress wouldn't vote for it. Because of threats made and attempts at political intimidation while pushing health care, she made a lot of enemies.

- President Clinton gave Hillary authority to select a female attorney general and she submitted the names of Zoe Baird and Kimba Wood. Both were forced to withdraw their names because of their backgrounds. Wood was later appointed as a federal judge in New York. Hillary next recommended what Bill Clinton once called his "biggest mistake:" Janet Reno. Those who remember the Koresh killings in Waco, TX and Vicki Weaver/FBI sniper at Ruby Ridge, Idaho will quickly see the kind of people Hillary Clinton tends to respect and appoint to office. With Supreme Court appointments lurking during the next President's term of office, that should scare you to death!

- President Clinton then requested Hillary to recommend the new head of the Civil Rights Commission. She put forth the name of Lani Guinier – whose radical views forced a withdrawal of her name.

- President Clinton asked Hillary for more recommendations. She provided these names: Web Hubbel (of Whitewater fame) for the Justice Department, Vince Foster for White House staff, and William Kennedy for Treasury. As you probably know, Hubbel

went to prison, Foster committed suicide (then drove himself to Ft. Marcy Park to hide his body), and Kennedy (a Rose Law Firm partner) was forced to resign.

- Then we had "Travelgate." Hillary wanted her friend, Harry Thomason, to provide travel tickets, circumventing the White House Travel Office. The Travel Office refused to comply and it cost them their jobs when Hillary reported them to the FBI and got them fired. A guy named Billy Dale who had worked for years in the White House Travel Office was charged with mixing personal and White House funds and it took a jury less than two hours to find him innocent.

- Hillary recommended her friend Craig Livingston (also spelled Livingstone) to be Director of White House security. Remember the 900 missing FBI files – the files that provided classified information on enemies of the Clintons? The material also dealt with widespread use of drugs by White House staff... at which point, both Hillary and Bill denied even knowing Livingston. As a result, the FBI closed its White House Liaison Office (which had served seven Presidents). Is it possible today's Republican leaders are still intimidated by information Hillary Clinton received long ago about them in those files?

- Hillary refused to release the Whitewater documents (Castle Grande). As a result, Ken Starr was appointed Special Prosecutor. It cost taxpayers \$80 million to find out about Bill Clinton's sperm on Monica Lewinski's blue dress.

- Hillary avoided being indicted for perjury and obstruction of justice by using the words "I do not recall," or "I have no recollection" and "I don't know" 56 times during her interrogation.

- And then we have Benghazi, destroying Department of State emails which she kept on her personal computer (in violation of the law – which just doesn't seem to bother Hillary)... and

on and on. I won't go into any detail about these more recent unscrupulous violations of honor and truth... you are probably as sick of hearing about them as I am.

Can you imagine how many dishonesty crises we will likely have visited on us if this woman is elected to office? The 24-hour news stations will prosper!

How can the Republican establishment support someone who hasn't heard of a law she didn't want to break, who has a record of dishonesty and has admitted lying (after being caught and proven to be a liar), has bad judgment, and, according to her former Secret Service agents, has an absolutely foul mouth and a volatile temper?

To top that off, remember that after leaving office Hillary Clinton was forced to return an estimated \$200,000 in White House furniture, china, and artwork that was listed as "stolen."

Our fearful Republican leaders will of course say they do not support Hillary Clinton – but it is obvious that by withholding support from Donald J. Trump, the legitimate candidate... and that equates to supporting that candidate's opponent.

Then there is her record as Secretary of State.

What record, you may ask? And there, as Mr. Shakespeare might say, "is the rub." Even those who support her cannot think of anything positive she's actually accomplished.

There's a lot of information about Hillary Clinton in this article but the more important story has to do with the Republican establishment that enables Clinton to continue with a successful campaign because they do not like the man you and I selected to represent us as our Republican candidate.

It also has to do with those who support Mrs. Clinton. Her

background is no secret. They know what they are getting... and this woman who is totally lacking in character is their choice. What does that say about them – and about their character?

It's a Benedict Arnold deal. Traitorous!

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

An open letter to the republican establishment Pt. 1

Congressman Ryan, Senator McConnell, Reince Priebus, et al...

You have made it quite clear that you, the Republican establishment, do not like Donald J. Trump as the Republican standard bearer in the upcoming presidential race.

Republican voters have made it equally clear that they support Donald J. Trump. You, however, choose to avoid hearing what the voters want... nothing new there. You ignore the fact that more people voted for Donald J. Trump during the various primary contests than have ever voted for a Republican primary candidate and that he defeated a field of 16 establishment Republicans in the process.

You remind me of the European Union politicians who were so convinced the United Kingdom would never exit that conglomeration of arrogance and who, as of late night reports on June 23rd, were “stunned” by the results.

Why were they stunned? The polls said the outcome would go the

other way... that the Brits would vote to remain in the EU. Everyone they talked with said the same. There are two reasons everyone was so wrong about the outcome of this vote:

1. They have yet to figure out that the people with whom they socialize and do business (from lobbyists to the highly affluent – both of which make large political contributions) are out of touch with the real world as viewed by the majority of voters. Like EU politicians, American politicians have no understanding of the attitudes, likes, dislikes, fears and dreams of the majority of people who support nationalism rather than global governance.

The Brits got tired of paying \$20 billion every year to the EU and receiving only \$10 billion back in benefits. I don't want to point out the obvious, but American taxpayers sympathize with that concept which amounts to nothing more than a socialist redistribution of wealth. Like the Brits, we are tired of being told Islam is a religion of peace when the behavior of Muslims and the Koran tell us otherwise. All of us are wearied by your political lies.

In America, the successful campaigns of Senator Bernie Sanders and Donald J. Trump should have set off bells and whistles but your heads are so deeply buried in the sand of your perceived political power that you ignored these two huge warnings. You might want to ask soon-to-be-former Prime Minister David Cameron of the United Kingdom just how fleeting power can be when elected officials forget who really holds the power.

2. You have used political correctness to manipulate social behavior in America, just as the European Union does. It is a brilliant idea because nothing removes truth from public discourse better or faster than a society dominated by political correctness, especially when you get to define what is politically correct and what is not.

You who sit in Washington, D.C. and the Europeans who sit in

Brussels dictate to the people how they will do business, what will be taught in their schools, who will immigrate to their countries (legally and illegally), who gets medical care and who does not, and on and on – and none of you are in touch with the people who must live with your misbegotten, power-driven tyranny. You remember them? They are the ones who pay your salaries. Either that or you are implementing unlawful programs to attain your objective of one world government, a government in which you perceive yourselves as holding power.

Unfortunately, you overlooked the backlash that results from political correctness. When people are beaten over the head time and time again – are told that the opinions they honestly hold are socially unacceptable – they stop proclaiming those opinions publicly. When asked if they are going to vote for this person or that, or if they support this issue or that, they give the politically correct answer and then do what they want. It is less trouble when you tell people who hold political power what they want to hear rather than what you really think. Who likes being viewed as a conspiracy nut? Perhaps better said, who wants to be targeted by the IRS because they answered a polling question honestly? But when they vote, they do so in secret and no one knows just how politically incorrect their ballot may proclaim them to be.

The majority of political establishment liars (that would be you and the others who withhold support from the Republican nominee) say “This is the right way to do things” and people who should be free to do business as they choose (so long as it is done lawfully and honestly) are put out of business by your fines and demands of them to do things based on your definition of what is politically correct (definitions which solidify your power base and diminish theirs).

In short, the behavior of politicians in Brussels and Washington, D.C. is tyrannical. When people go into the voting booth, however, they throw political correctness to the wind, recall your tyranny, and vote their conscience. It is equally

true that some vote their lack of conscience.

Most people care little whether their attitude toward supporting illegal immigrants is politically correct. They have watched their parents work hard, contribute to the system, and save money for retirement only to learn Social Security funds are being used to pay illegal immigrants and give free medical care to illegals and those who choose not to work. Their reaction is "Screw what Paul Ryan or Mitch McConnell and their lap dog politicians say is right or wrong. I know the difference and they are wrong!"

By withholding support from Donald J. Trump as the preferred (by the people) candidate of the Republican Party to run for the Presidency, you weaken the chance for the Republican Party to win the November election – and you know that. Your behavior gives support to Hillary Rodham Clinton. We know you fear you will lose votes in Wisconsin, Congressman Ryan. And Senator McConnell you were barely re-elected in Kentucky. But guess what? This election is about the people and what they want. It is not about you!

Why do Republicans behave this way over the Donald Trump nomination?

There is only one logical answer: Donald Trump is a threat to your power base... a power base the people have come to realize is totally corrupt. Let's be very clear. When you willingly abandon the majority of people who consider themselves Republicans to surreptitiously support a woman whose history proves – PROVES, not indicates or suggests, PROVES – her to be a threat to the security of America, you prove yourself to be unworthy of holding office. Again, I suggest you re-listen to David Cameron's resignation speech and use it as a reference.

If you think the American people are too dumb to figure this out, you might want to re-think who is dumb and who is not. We understand that you have dumbed the people down, removing

strategic thinking from the education system making it difficult for them to use logic. We also understand, however, that most Americans are decent people with consciences that eventually tell them that evil is being perpetrated on them. They react as one expects people of conscience. They vote against staying in the European Union... or they reject those who removed from them their opportunity for meaningful change.

I know not how others feel about your behavior, but as for me, I consider you beneath the lowest of the low throughout the history of American politics.

Perhaps we should rename you Paul Benedict and Mitch Arnold.

Part II of this article lists for you the accomplishments of the political candidate to whom you appear to surreptitiously give your support: Hillary Rodham Clinton. I want the people to know the kind of person you support for the highest office in the land. It is a testament to your character – rather, the lack thereof.

As you ponder the qualities of the person you support so you can maintain your corrupted power base, please let me know if you need a copy of the Constitution – or a copy of the Oath of Office you took to support that Constitution before being allowed to represent the people of your states. As I recall that Oath, you promised to defend America from all enemies, foreign and domestic.

It appears that you and those who oppose the preference of the people may be that domestic threat. I offer no sympathy for the horns of a dilemma on which you have placed yourselves.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Information age? or age of lies and deception?

One of the biggest problems we have in our society today is thinking because we “know” something that it is “knowledge.” That is what makes it possible for so many psychopaths to publish a plethora of lies on the Internet that is believed by so many people.

This is the information age. Never have people had access to so much information – and it can be accessed while sitting in the comfort of your own living room... on your Smart TV or your laptop.

But information is not knowledge. It is just information... possibly true, possibly false; possibly meaningful, possibly not; possibly helpful, possibly destructive or otherwise worthless.

How does information become knowledge? Experience!

You only KNOW information is accurate when it has been tested. If people understood this there would be far fewer divorces and far fewer wars.

I often ask people for their definition of truth and most have to think before they give a response. They often reply “factual.” Here is my definition: Truth is that which does not change. There is only one thing that never changes. It is God, the only entity that is the same yesterday, today and tomorrow. Jesus Christ told us He is “the way, the truth and the life.”

There is a difference between factual and truthful. One can change; the other cannot. When truth changes it goes without saying that what we thought was the truth before it changed was, indeed, not the truth – so how reliable is updated

“truth”? One thing you can always count on in worldly matters is change.

We understand when presented with a list of facts surrounding a specific topic that as we investigate we will find more facts and some will conflict with others. As we learn more, our understanding of the facts changes. To verify that statement, we can look at the numerous scientific opinions put forth about global warming – all based on scientific facts (or opinions about facts that are presented to the public as facts rather than opinions). When we get conflicting facts, those who seek knowledge dig more to determine which ones are accurate, which are not. Those who are satisfied with information – those to whom knowledge is unimportant – stop seeking when their comfort level is reached.

The point is, facts may change. Truth does not. We once thought the earth was flat, for example. Our facts were erroneous. Today we believe it to be round, but as we learn more about our universe who knows what facts we may find?

The lesson to be learned? Information is just information, not knowledge. It may or may not be factual. Before making important decisions in your life, it is important to understand that.

Knowledge is information with which you have experience. You have tested it. It, too, may not be factual, however. Look how many people sincerely believe that something for nothing is a good idea – for them, for society.

Bernie Sanders has generated a following of many millions of Americans who think free education and free medical care are really free. They do not understand that they are coveting – putting their hands in their neighbors’ pockets and stealing from them money their neighbors worked hard to earn. They are incapable of digging deeply enough to learn that socialism has failed everywhere it has been tried.

One reason socialism fails is because when the productive are told they must support those who choose to be non-productive they become less productive. Why? Maybe it's because they have no motivation to pay for your education or that of your children. Perhaps they resent having the quality of their medical care go down so people who prefer to be unemployed rather than employed can get free care. Those who are truly unable to work need free medical care, but those who prefer the "freedom" of being unemployed? Please!

When people become less productive, government tax revenue goes down because fewer people are employed and fewer people can afford to buy things... from homes to clothing to cars. Taxes realized by government as a result of lost income tax from jobs and sales tax from purchases go down. Because college is free, more students attend and the quality of instructors goes down and so does the quality of education... college becomes (as it is today) advanced high school.

There are a lot of people who use information to escape rather than find reality.

Those seeking facts are totally different from security-motivated people who manipulate their life experiences so they hear what they want to hear, learn what they want to learn, and confirm the facts they want to confirm so they can keep their heads firmly buried in the sand – or wherever. You may ask: "If they are confirming facts, aren't they seeking reality?"

Many people stop seeking facts when they reach a point that confirms what they want to believe. They seek no additional facts that may take them into areas of discomfort. That is what we call cognitive dissonance.

Let's look at the sources we use to gain information today. Let me begin with this quote from Proverbs 26 (24-25): "A malicious man disguises himself with his lips, but in his

heart he harbors deceit! Though his speech is charming, believe him not, for seven abominations fill his heart.”

First we have the media. It is hard to believe that people who consider themselves intelligent rely on the mainstream media for information, but they do.

How do we know the mainstream media is not reliable? We know because there is no bigger purveyor of political correctness. We know the primary victim of political correctness is facts.

When an entity that portrays itself to be the provider of news embraces political correctness, you often get opinions rather than facts and the facts that are presented are very selectively chosen. The people instinctively understand this (which accounts for the popularity of presidential candidate Donald Trump who consistently makes politically incorrect statements; people may dislike his personality but like him for the facts he provides).

We know people who believe political correctness has value are those who have an agenda. Often, they are being paid for pushing a particular agenda... the Food and Drug Administration is a good example of that as are politicians. These people think in terms of “the collective good,” a socialist concept. Or perhaps they think of payoffs. Their agendas cannot be supported with facts.

Second, we have social media.

While in college, a computer programmer, Mark Zuckerberg, decided people needed a computer program that would enable them to communicate with others, stay in touch with family and friends, and create new friends via a Web page reflective of their opinions. In 2016, Facebook, Zuckerberg’s creation, has 1.1 billion unique visitors monthly. That is close to 1/6th of the world’s population every month.

Born May 14, 1984, Zuckerberg’s Facebook has created him a net

worth estimated by Forbes to be \$46 billion.

Twitter has 310 million unique visitors monthly and LinkedIn has 255 million. There are many social media outlets. These three merely provide insight into how heavily the social media is used in modern life.

What has social media contributed to our society?

Unfortunately, the result has been to de-personalize communication with family and friends. Rather than a phone call or a personal visit with Mom and Dad on a Sunday afternoon, kids today tell their parents to visit their Facebook page for the latest pictures of the grandkids. We have an entire generation of people who while walking down the street or driving a car are Tweeting others and reading messages (both of which are dangerous). It has placed libelous material in the marketplace, put there by people who get their jollies by misleading others.

Though the large majority of postings to Facebook are made by normal, healthy and happy people, the social media also provides a reflection of human arrogance and stupidity... the posting of offensive and ugly parts of society including confessions of personal behavior that will stay with the person who posted it for a lifetime... or with a person about whom someone posted libelous information.

Facebook and other imitative social media have become heaven on earth for psychopaths. These mal-wired, usually angry people can say anything they want about anyone, including themselves.

Because mentally ill people often get their jollies by lying, their postings are often designed to be destructive to someone they either envy or hate. ISIS uses social media to attract young men to join their cause and blow themselves and others up. They recruit young women to become wives to ISIS warriors. Old men who portray themselves as young, dynamic young men

entrap girls into relationships which have morphed into personal (and destructive) meetings.

I had an interesting experience last week with one of these degenerates.

This sick person's Facebook identity will remain anonymous. I try to direct people to factual data and avoid promoting those with sick ideas.

Thanks to Mark Zuckerberg's creative energy, this man told the world that I was being paid a fee whenever a child is sold to a child trafficking ring. I was appalled to see those words publicly stated in writing. Could there be any worse thing to say about a person?

Does Mr. Zuckerberg monitor Facebook for accuracy or give innocent victims who avoid taking part in his social media experiment a place to complain about this kind of abuse? How can I find out who this person is so I can take him to court? Do I have the opportunity to say "this is a lie and this person is sick and should not have access to the public marketplace of ideas"? Evidently Mr. Zuckerberg overlooked the need for such an alternative.

Understand that I realize anyone who knows me is as shocked by hearing it as I am. I also realize there are far more people in the world who do not know me than who do. It is a comment for which I have absolutely no responsibility and about which I can do nothing. If I had a Facebook account, I could "unfriend" this sick-o.

The Bible tells us there is one unforgivable sin: to blaspheme the Holy Spirit. I believe that anyone who abuses a child blasphemes that child's Holy Spirit. I believe pedophilia to be a more serious crime than murder because by traumatizing their spirit it kills in childhood the person God intended someone to become. They are forced to live with the outcome... a fate far worse than death. I know people who were sexually

abused as children and they carry the scar of that trauma with them throughout their lives.

Those are just a few of the dangers of Facebook and other social media. The people who read the madness pass it on... it gives them a sense of empowerment. They think they know something no one else does and that makes them appear better informed than they are. In fairness to Facebook, this happens as a result of telephone conversations, too. People read or hear something, don't check it out, and pass it on as if it's a fact... and that's called gossip, not information – and certainly not knowledge.

When you pass on information about someone with no corroborating evidence (evidence goes beyond “I know this person well and he/she would never lie to me”) you may be defaming someone and may be libeling them. If we don't take time to verify what's being said about another person we have no business passing it on.

We as Americans need to ask ourselves some questions. One of them is: Has the quality of life gone up or down since the technology revolution? I also think when we give ourselves an honest answer to that question we need to understand it is what we have done with technology that creates problems rather than the technology, itself.

As for Facebook, please consider yourself “un-friended” (what a stupid expression!).

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Donald Trump, American voter and the republican party's nightmare

Though the Republican National Committee may think it is going to survive the nightmare of what Donald Trump could do to the established power base of both political parties if he became President of the United States, the nightmare may be just beginning.

With the shortsightedness for which politicians and bureaucrats are known, Reince Priebus and the rest of the power-based Republican establishment have only begun to feel the anger from abused constituents they were either elected or appointed to serve. Rather than serving, they have ruled... or tried.

What might the future hold?

If Donald Trump loses the Republican presidential nomination because of rules changes at the 2016 convention, the Republicans will lose the election. Period. Zero chance of it being otherwise. Why? Because voters around the country who, as good citizens voted in primaries and caucuses, have learned that their votes are meaningless. They have now been told by the Republican Party that it, not the people, chooses the Republican candidate for President.

Keep this in mind in the future. We don't have to take our valuable time to let the RNC and DNC continue their soap opera scripting designed to gain political contributions and voter support for "the big November election" wherein a company owned by George Soros counts the votes. The RNC and DNC control who will be their nominees and do not need our votes.

I just watched a Sean Hannity Fox News segment. Two women were

discussing how the rules that govern the Republican presidential nomination will be determined at the 2016 Convention and they will be determined by the delegates. One woman repeated at least twice that a majority of the delegates voting on the rules for the 2016 Convention will be Trump and Cruz delegates because they have the largest percentage of votes via the caucus and primary process. She is wrong... she probably knew she was wrong as the words passed her lips.

Delegates are chosen by state and national Republican committees and those selected will very likely support a candidate favored by the party – and that is not Trump or Cruz. Their first ballot votes taken at the Cleveland Convention must reflect the number of delegates earned by each of the candidates in each state via primaries and caucuses. I wrote an article two months ago explaining how the party puts the fix in to achieve whatever candidate result the party wants.

That's why there were 17 candidates when the race began: Fiorina to pull the woman's vote away from any populist candidate (which the RNC thought would be Ted Cruz, not Donald Trump). Dr. Ben Carson was there to attract the Black vote (and the vote of the faithful – Carson is known as a strong Christian and was a much stronger candidate than the RNC thought he would be). Governor Mike Huckabee is a former Pastor and has a television following (and would make the best vice president). Former Senator Rick Santorum was supposed to attract the Catholic vote; Kasich who positions himself as part of the Reagan team is in reality part of the Bush neo-conservative cabal (according the news articles during April's first week, perhaps Kasich appeals to gay voters, too – he lived with an openly gay man for over a year when he was a Congressman and his campaign manager is openly gay... which is a plus for him in NYC and California).

The delegates selected by various Republican committees (county and state) are committed to vote on the first ballot

for the candidate who won a specific number of delegates in a particular primary or caucus election, but the delegates are hand-picked by the State Republican parties (which is dependent for financial support on the RNC). They may be selected because they oppose either Trump or Cruz. Some delegates will have to vote for Trump and Cruz on the first ballot because they won the right to those delegate votes. But if no candidate gains the required 1,237 votes on the first ballot, delegates can vote for anyone they (or the RNC) choose.

Without the conservative vote, the Republicans cannot win any election against any Democrat. The Party really doesn't care because the elitist political establishment from both parties has only one objective: Power. They could care less about political philosophy and principles that flow from the philosophy. As you read the rest of this article, keep that in mind.

Should there be shenanigans at the Cleveland Convention that deprive Trump and/or Cruz from becoming the nominee, we will likely have a Democrat President. When we know the Republicans will lose the Presidency to Democrats, it becomes critically important for conservatives not voting for the Republican nominee for President to vote for Republican Senators and Congressmen.

No, Republicans are not much better than Democrats when it comes to budgeting or passing common sense legislation that benefits the people and a sovereign nation. But a Republican Congress would have never passed Obamacare. They will maintain some control over whom gets seated on the Supreme Court... and four or five Justices will likely be named by the 2016-2020 President. The Republican establishment intends to hold those very important Supreme Court appointments over the heads of conservative voters to keep them from withholding their votes from the Republican candidate if it isn't Donald Trump or Ted Cruz. In the RNC view, pending appointments to the Supreme

Court will force conservatives to vote for their presidential choice. It is their trump card... or, better said, their non-Trump card.

It is extremely important that conservatives vote this year. Eliminate voting for President if you want. If Trump gets "unliked" by the RNC at the Convention, I will. But make sure Republican Senators and Congressman are elected so there is a wall of protection built between a Democrat President and the Congress. It won't be much of a wall, but it will be a wall.

Most conservatives will throw yet another surprise to Priebus and his elitist Sheriff of Nottingham associates. Conservatives realize that our nation has already been sold out and that Donald Trump represents the only chance the people have to restore our Republic. Many of us don't like his personality but we understand he is the only candidate who can save our nation. We the People know the Republican Party is as firmly committed to a New World Order – a world government – as the Democrats. It was, after all, neo-conservative Republican President George H.W. Bush who first used the words "new world order."

Thus, it really doesn't matter who appoints Supreme Court Justices. It was George W. Bush who gave us Chief Justice Roberts, the guy whose vote forced Obamacare on the nation.

While the RNC has Trump nightmares, I have dreams. My dream of what will happen is this:

Donald Trump does not run as a third party candidate. It would tear the nation apart and after seven years of Obama we are already pretty badly damaged. And, it would harm his status as a Patriot, too. Instead, Trump behaves like the Patriot he is and he waits until after the election to do what his wife Melania says he does when he is hit unfairly by an opponent: He hits back ten times harder than he was hit.

Donald Trump, if a brokered convention removes him from the

top spot he's earned and he is not chosen to be the Republican nominee, will on the day after the November election make an announcement that he is establishing a third political party... let's call it the Politically Incorrect Common Sense Party (PICS) for the moment. He will publish a list of cities he will visit in each state and the dates he will be there for a Town Hall Meeting to enroll members into PICS. He will have to rent football stadiums to hold the numbers of people who will want to attend. In a full page newspaper ad in papers across the nation, he will particularly invite Libertarians and Independents and Democrats who oppose socialism to join with Conservatives to make our nation great again.

All it will take to fill the stadiums will be a full-page ad inviting people to attend. All of those tweet and email addresses will also be available to receive invitations. Press releases should be sent to every newspaper in the nation. It is news, after all.

In Colorado, he would visit Denver, Colorado Springs and, on the West Slope, Grand Junction., all on the same day. Attendees will receive an Elections Commission form to fill out so it can be filed with their local authorities. Zap! A new political party is born.

What that effectively does is destroy the establishment Republican Party. It will cost them at least one-third of registered voters. Reince Priebus and his establishment pack rat elitists will be a thing of the past. That, in and of itself, will fulfill Melania's prediction that when unfairly attacked Donald Trump hits back ten times harder than he was hit.

After all 50 states have established a solid base for the PICS political party the party must immediately identify good, solid, honest candidates to run for Congress and the State Legislatures must quickly be identified. All candidates agree to attend a PICS school that teaches a course on the

Constitution. Experts like Michael Badrnarik, Krisanne Hall and Michael Gaddy, known for their writings and classes on constitutional law, will teach the classes. Members of the media will be invited to attend the classes free of charge. New members of the new political party can attend, too.

The objective will be to get enough pro-constitution PICS candidates elected to office that they will provide a back-up to the few truly conservative members of Congress in getting legislation either passed or defeated. That can be achieved by the 2018 elections.

By 2020, there should be a sufficient number of New Republic Political Party members relative to the Republican and Democrat parties to put forth a presidential candidate... Donald J. Trump. And this time he would be treated fairly as a legitimate presidential candidate.

That is the nightmare the Republican Party should be planning to avoid... but they are not. They are too arrogant to even perceive such a catastrophe might overtake them. I can only pray for it and ask you to join with me. It's just a dream at the moment, but who knows what the future holds?

If you would volunteer to help plan and coordinate PICS Town Hall meetings in your state, drop me an email (address at bottom of article) and should such a miracle occur I will make sure your name is given to Mr. Trump. Send me your suggestions for a good name for the party, too – but first make sure there is currently no political party by the same name already in existence.

Has Mr. Trump given any indication that such plans for a third party are in the hopper? Not that I know of but surely the thought of a third party has occurred to him. I will volunteer to write the PICS Party platform and philosophy statement. It will begin with a statement opposing the existence of any government corporations and a return to constitutional law in

our courts.

Truthfully, this would give the nation an even better chance to beat the socialists and communists who are shoving one world government down our throats than electing Donald Trump to be President in 2016. If elected this year, he will be standing alone in a pit of vipers and corrupt puppets who ask “how high?” when George Soros and the other new world order minions say “jump!”

If Donald Trump truly wants to save a capitalist nation called America, I think this may be an even better way to achieve it than becoming President in 2016.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

White House burglary

When I was 11 years old – that would be 1948 – it was an election year. In those days, caucuses were held in private residences.

I lived on South Sherman Street in Denver and a house across the street was the polling place for the Democrat caucus. I was curious and asked my Mother what a “polling place” for the District number shown on the sign outside of the house meant. I asked if she and my Father would be attending. I was one of those kids who constantly asked questions.

I didn't know Democrats from Republicans in those days. I must admit, I don't see much difference between the two parties today, either. They are both run by the same globalists who manipulate power back and forth for their own benefit and to the detriment of the people of America. In my view, both

political parties are totally corrupt.

Back to 1948: My Mother informed me that I had to be in the house by dark and that I could not go outside that night... we usually played hide and go seek, Simon Says, or kick the can after dinner. "None of that tonight," she told me. When I asked why, she informed me that there would be numerous strangers coming and going from my neighbor's house that evening and Democrats were people whose moral standards were "different from ours." When I asked what that meant she explained that Democrats were the kind of people who might abuse little girls.

We didn't say the word sex in the good old days and so Mom's explanation was lacking in details, but she said enough to give me the idea that I might be stolen by someone who was part of a political party different from that of my parents and they might be inclined to do things that would ruin a child's life.

My Mother was raised on a small farm that bordered the city limits of Indianapolis, IN. There were many times during her childhood when there was insufficient food for her and her five brothers and sisters. She was born in 1909 and was a child when the Great Depression began. They grew much of their own food. She told my brother, sister and I about cooking turnips in a large pot over the fireplace. One night someone shouted that the water in which the turnips were being cooked was gone and they would burn. Her brother, Paul, jumped up and spit in the pot to keep the turnips from burning... they didn't have indoor water, and had to get it from a pump outside the kitchen door which took a couple of minutes.

How Mom ended up a Republican, I'll never know. She came from a poverty-based background which today would automatically make her a Democrat... or a socialist or a communist.

I remember when, during WWII, FDR went to meet with Stalin and

Winston Churchill at Yalta, Mom said "That old bastard gave America away at Yalta!" I didn't know Yalta from Malta and so didn't pay much attention but she was so vehement when she made the statement, it stayed with me. When I became politically aware, I found she was right. Mom had to quit school after the 8th grade which, from personal observation, is about the same as an undergraduate degree from colleges and universities these days. I do not believe most teachers or professors could pass the test she had to take to graduate 8th grade.

Over the years, there have been many things to remember about both political parties. Interestingly, the thing that stands out most in my mind is the \$200,000 in valued property that was stolen from the White House in 2000 by Bill and Hillary Clinton when they moved out and George W. Bush moved in. They were forced to return the stolen goods and unless I'm mistaken had to pay for some of the damages their White House staffers did.

I remember how those who worked for them destroyed the computer room, stole laptops, etc. In my mind, that somehow was a far worse offense – stealing from the people of the country who paid their salary and gave them respect neither was due – than all of the other things attributed to the Clintons.

It totally puzzles me that anyone would vote for someone who has stolen from them... or, who attempted to steal from them and would have had they not been caught in the act. To vote to put them in a position where they can steal you blind is, in my outdated view, unthinkable.

Mother's assessment of Democrat moral values, it seems, was as accurate in the 1940s as it is in 2016. Here's a reminder of the days we all enjoyed when the Clintons last called the White House home:

- President Clinton gave Hillary authority to handle health care reform. The program she created was so messed up that even a Democrat-controlled Congress wouldn't vote for it.
- President Clinton gave Hillary authority to select a female attorney general and she submitted the names of Zoe Baird and Kimba Wood. Both were forced to withdraw their names because of their backgrounds. Hillary next recommended what Bill Clinton later called his "biggest mistake:" Janet Reno. Those who remember the Koresh killings in Waco, TX and Vicki Weaver/FBI sniper at Ruby Ridge, ID will quickly see the kind of people Mrs. Clinton tends to respect and appoint to office.
- President Clinton then requested Hillary to recommend the new head of the Civil Rights Commission. She put forth the name of Lani Guanier – whose radical views forced a withdrawal of her name.
- President Clinton asked Hillary for more recommendations. She provided these names: Web Hubbel (of Whitewater fame) for the Justice Department, Vince Foster for White House staff, and William Kennedy for Treasury. As you probably know, Hubbel went to prison, Foster committed suicide (then drove himself to Ft. Marcy Park to hide his body), and Kennedy was forced to resign.
- Then we had "Travelgate." Hillary wanted her friend, Harry Thomason, to provide travel tickets, circumventing the White House Travel Office. The Travel Office refused to comply and it cost them their jobs when Hillary made false charges against them to the FBI and got them fired. A guy named Billy Dale was charged with mixing personal and White House funds and it took a jury less than two hours to find him innocent.
- Hillary recommended her friend Craig Livingstone to be Director of White House security. Remember the 900 missing FBI files – the files that provided classified information on enemies of the Clintons? The material also dealt with

widespread use of drugs by White House staff... at which point, both Hillary and Bill denied even knowing Livingstone. As a result, the FBI closed its White House Liaison Office (which had served seven Presidents). It was during this time frame Republican elected officials stopped representing the interests of the people who elected them and began doing the bidding of the Democrat Party. Do you see a possible connection to those stolen FBI files... stolen by a Clinton appointee recommended by Hillary?

- When the allegations of Bill's sexual appetite came to the fore, Hillary was put in charge of the "Bimbo Eruption" team. In case you've forgotten, Hillary recommended non-settlement in the Paula Jones lawsuit – which, after the Ken Starr investigation, was quietly settled (costing American taxpayers millions of dollars). Anyone who thinks it is pro-feminist to be an enabler for a male abuser of women (even if the abuser is your husband) has a different definition of pro-feminism than most thinking women. Women who support this woman for President are not feminists. They are traitors to women and to women's causes.

- Hillary refused to release the Whitewater documents. As a result, Ken Starr was appointed Special Prosecutor. It cost taxpayers \$80 million to find out about Bill Clinton's sperm on Monica Lewinski's blue dress. Bill was caught lying about his affairs which he later admitted to... but lost his license to practice law (thank you, Lord) for lying under oath to a grand jury.

- Hillary avoided being indicted for perjury and obstruction of justice by using the words "I do not recall," or "I have no recollection" and "I don't know" 56 times during her interrogation.

- And then we have Benghazi, destroying Department of State emails and using a personal server which threatened and still threatens our national security and the lives of intelligence

agents (plus the possible trading of government favors for contributions to the Clinton Foundation).

How can the Democrats make their candidate for the Presidency someone who doesn't appear to be the brightest candle on the cake (she flunked her Bar exam at least once or twice and passed it only after Bill was in office in Arkansas), whose associates haven't heard of a law they didn't want to break, who has a record of dishonesty, has bad judgment, and, according to the Secret Service, has an absolutely foul mouth?

None of the above includes the list of dead bodies which are linked to the Clintons or the drugs and laundered CIA drug money at Mena Airport while Bill Clinton was Governor of Arkansas.

Still, being forced to return an estimated \$200,000 in White House furniture, china, and artwork that was listed as "stolen" defines Hillary Clinton's character to me... or, more accurately stated, defines her complete lack of character and moral values. It's not that the other things don't disgust me... they disgust me beyond belief.

Then there is her record as Secretary of State. What record, you may ask? And there, as Mr. Shakespeare might say, "is the rub." Even those who support her cannot think of anything she actually accomplished.

Only one Democrat, Hillary Clinton, did all of those things listed above, but all Democrats appear to accept them. See how insightful my Mother was in 1948? Clinton, a woman who supports women's causes she says, supports late-term abortion and crunching unborn baby parts while in the womb so a healthy liver or pancreas can be harvested from a helpless unborn body so it can be sold; she's the one who did the lying about the email server and Benghazi but is supported by a majority of Democrats and will win that party's nomination to be the President of the United States (if the FBI doesn't get her

first). That should tell you something about your Democrat friends and businesses you support.

And we wonder why the world has no respect for our country?

There is no cure for stupid.

© 2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Communist party isa (CPUSA) recruits 1100 priests to join Catholic church

It is Easter... the day of the Resurrection of Jesus Christ. Easter proves that love is stronger than hate, good triumphs over evil, and that truth sets us free. The cobwebs of ignorance are removed by shining the light of truth on them. Slimy secrets exposed no longer withhold truth from people who seek it.

It doesn't always happen on our time schedule, but it always happens.

That is why we are told to seek... why we are promised that if we seek we will find answers to our questions. People, it seems, are lazy. We stopped seeking and began saying prayers asking Jesus to seek and provide the answers for us... or we relied on our Parson or Priest or a television personality to do our seeking – to find truth for us. We must find our own truths.

We stopped seeking answers to worldly matters, too. We turned everything over to politicians so we would have more time for

ourselves... our personal needs. And that's how we got in our current mess... overtaken by corruption in the hands of power seekers.

How could a greater contrast between two philosophies of life be made clearer during this Easter Week? Those who follow Islam gave us Brussels. Jesus Christ gave His life for Christians.

How did our lives, our sovereignty as a nation, our peaceful world get lost so quickly? How did our world change so fast? It did not. What we are seeing today is the result of plans that were put in place long ago and have been implemented one small step at a time. For example, Thomas Jefferson was the first President to declare war against radical Islamists.

It is reminiscent of what happened to the Catholic Church when it got caught up in the evil of pedophile priests abusing young boys. It is the worst kind of abuse of power. It is a puzzle that took years to figure out and Rome is still working to resolve it. Rome thought evil could be swept under a rug... but the light of truth always surprises evil doers.

Before Catholic haters jump to conclusions and before Catholics become defensive, what follows is a true story. It explains how the communists plotted the downfall of the Catholic Church – and have come a long way toward achieving their objectives. It is a story that is difficult to believe... but it is the absolute truth and is exemplary of just how far diseased minds go to achieve the objectives of world dominance.

The book *School of Darkness* was published in 1954. It was written by Bella Dodd, from 1932 – 1948 an organizer for the Communist Party USA (CPUSA). For four years, she sat on the CPUSA's National Council. Bella Dodd says: "In the 1930s we put eleven hundred men into the priesthood in order to destroy the Church from within." In a Fordham University lecture, in

1950, she said: "Right now they are in the highest places in the Church."

This may help some people understand the socialist/communist messages that periodically emerge from priestly commentary at Sunday Mass – or from the Pope in Rome. Just as America today is the result of policies put quietly in place in the late 1800s to turn our Republic into a corporation, the Church today faces the problems caused by those eleven hundred communists placed in the Catholic Church by CPUSA way back in the 1930s and 40s.

Today, we count the ways in which people can abuse power and impose the cruelest of life-changing experiences on others while, simultaneously, holding themselves and their association with the abuse above it all—because of position. Politicians do it, teachers do it, policemen and judges do it—and priests do it. All are human.

It is important to realize that those who abuse the power of position are those who suffer from the greatest sense of personal powerlessness. They are the weakest, most insecure among us. When asked why they abuse others, many respond "Because I can." Their power over others makes it possible. Not all politicians, teachers, policemen, judges – or priests or presidents – gain a sense of personal power from their occupations. Most seek to serve. Too many, however, do not.

Emotion can carry us into the darkness of half-truths, but there is a greater point to be made. All believers suffer persistent attacks against Christianity, family, and everyday goodness and we must not allow them to overcome us! Be aware of the attacks and why they are occurring. They want to take your faith, your family, and your goodness from you. It is the only way they can bring down this Great Nation.

To prove my point, between 2001 and 2005 the Associated Press investigated sexual misconduct in our public schools. They

found 1,801 educators were guilty of sexual misconduct with youths. We don't hear about this sexual abuse in government's public schools... but the mainstream media makes sure we hear about any deviance within any religious organization. Ask yourself why.

In a 2004 report for the U.S. Department of Education titled "Educator Sexual Misconduct: A Synthesis of Existing Literature" done for the "No Child Left Behind" program, Dr. Carol Shakeshaft said that a study she did of complaints against Catholic priests versus public school abuse over a 50-year period proved "the physical sexual abuse of students in public schools is likely more than 100 times the abuse by priests." Still, parents appear to have no time for School Board meetings. When did you last attend a Board meeting?

When the first Catholic priest abused his power to sexually assault a child and was protected by the Church rather than reported to the police, a Holy obligation to "let the little children come unto Me" was violated. That command was given by the highest possible authority within any Christian Church.

The Vatican has said that sinful and criminal abuse of minors by members of the Church must be condemned. They agree there is a need for justice and amends. I say the Church needs to clearly define at what point it's time to dial 911 and let law enforcement handle unlawful behavior. All humans must be held accountable to the same standard before the law. An Oath to God and the designation as a "Man of God" does not change that simple rule of the most basic definition of civilization. Too, it's pretty clear that a "Man of God" doesn't sexually assault children.

All of the above takes me back to Bella Dodd's book, School of Darkness. A graduate of Hunter College and New York University's School of Law, Mrs. Dodd was a school teacher and lawyer by profession. Reading Bella Dodd's book made me realize the Church has been fighting a communist recruitment

program put in place in the 1930s by CPUSA. All Christians have been fighting this battle and the Communist Party is still up to its old and very dirty tricks in our fight against radical Islam.

It is for this reason I draw the analogy between what Bella Dodd reports about the CPUSA and our President's inability to accurately define our current Middle East enemy in the war on terror. Until Mrs. Dodd wrote her book and explained what happened in the 1930s and 40s, the Catholic Church couldn't define its enemy. And, I would point out the communists are as anti-Christian today as they were in 1940.

Specifically, Mrs. Dodd said: "In the 1930s we (CPUSA) put eleven hundred men into the priesthood in order to destroy the Church from within." The idea was for these men to be ordained and progress to positions of influence and authority as Monsignors and Bishops. Just 12 years before Vatican II, held in 1962, she said: "Right now they are in the highest places in the Church." The plan was to weaken the church's effectiveness against Communism. She stated that these changes would be so drastic, "you will not recognize the Catholic Church."

During her Fordham University lecture in 1950, Dodd talked of the chaos planned. A monk who attended the lecture is quoted from Christian Order:

"I listened to that woman for four hours and she had my hair standing on end. Everything she said has been fulfilled to the letter. You would think she was the world's greatest prophet, but she was no prophet. She was merely exposing the step-by-step battle plan of Communist subversion of the Catholic Church. She explained that of all the world's religions, the Catholic Church was the only one feared by the Communists... The whole idea was to destroy, not the institution of the Church, but rather the Faith of the people, and even use the institution of the Church, if possible, to destroy the Faith

through the promotion of a pseudo-religion: something that resembled Catholicism but was not the real thing.

“Once the Faith was destroyed,” the Monk continued, “she explained that there would be a guilt complex introduced into the Church... to label the ‘Church of the past’ as being oppressive, authoritarian, full of prejudices, arrogant in claiming to be the sole possessor of truth, and responsible for the divisions of religious bodies throughout the centuries. This would be necessary to shame Church leaders into an ‘openness to the world,’ and to a more flexible attitude toward all religions and philosophies. The Communists would then exploit this openness in order to undermine the Church.”

Has anyone listened to the recent comments of Pope Francis lately? They ring with “openness.”

In New York, the CPUSA got into trouble and Dodd was a featured part of the problem in the New York Times reports. Dodd, who parted ways with CPUSA, went to Washington to argue an immigration appeal and met with a friend from the old East Bronx area of her childhood. He talked with her about testifying against the Communist Party in front of the House UnAmerican Activities Committee and asked if she wanted FBI protection. He then asked if she would like to see a priest. She was startled by the question, but was amazed at the intensity of her own answer as she said she would.

“Perhaps we can reach Monsignor Sheen at Catholic University,” he said, and an appointment was made for her late that evening.

From School of Darkness, Chapter 16:

“A thousand fears assailed me. Would he insist that I talk to the FBI? Would he insist that I testify? Would he make me write articles? Would he see me at all?

"...By what right, I thought, was I seeking the help of someone I had helped revile, even if only by my silence? ...I rang the doorbell and was ushered into a small room. While I waited, the struggle within me began again. Had there been an easy exit I would have run out, but in the midst of my turmoil Monsignor Fulton Sheen walked into the room, his silver cross gleaming, a warm smile in his eyes.

"He held out his hand as he crossed the room. 'Doctor, I'm glad you've come,' he said. His voice and his eyes had a welcome which I had not expected, and it caught me unaware. Monsignor Sheen put his hand on my shoulder to comfort me. 'Don't worry,' he said. 'This thing will pass,' and he led me gently to a little chapel. We both knelt before a statue of Our Lady. I don't remember praying, but I do remember that the battle within me ceased, my tears were dried, and I was conscious of stillness and peace."

Archbishop Fulton J. Sheen (as he was at the end of his life) never hesitated to point out the evils of communism on his popular television broadcasts of the 1960s. He was an exceptional man. He counseled Bella Dodd as disease sent her to her death. He is the reason she wrote her book.

The impact of Archbishop Sheen's counseling is apparent in Bella Dodd's words:

"On my way to the airport I thought how much he understood. He knew that a nominal Christian with a memory of the Cross can easily be twisted to the purposes of evil by men who masquerade as saviors. I thought how communist leaders achieve their greatest strength and cleverest snare when they use the will to goodness of their members. They stir the emotions with phrases which are only a blurred picture of eternal truths."

There is no doubt the current crisis and liberal attitudes within the Catholic Church have hurt many people. The headlines achieve the objectives set by CPUSA over 80 years

ago. This, then, established the battleground of the Catholic Church from 1950 until–today. It is also the battleground of our schools, families and all other character-building tools used by humanity to keep evil in its cage and civilization moving forward and not “progressing” back into the cave.

As Bella Dodd said, “I thought how communist leaders achieve their greatest strength and cleverest snare when they use the will to goodness of their members.”

That is a danger all Christians face. Goodness misdirected can deter us all from its very purpose. We must keep a clear line of delineation between how faith and religion are defined. Our First Amendment rights to freedom of religion do not carry with them the right of Islam to establish a separate government with its Sharia statutes and laws as part of its religion.

What is “Goodness?” It is best defined by the reason we celebrate this day: Easter and the Resurrection of Jesus Christ who sacrificed His life so that we might have eternal life.

Compare that with the tyrannical taking of other lives so radical egos can celebrate its power over the powerless... whether it is done via violence in Brussels or non-violence in Washington, D.C.

Happy Easter!

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Warning! Coming soon to a bank near you – a cashless society

And With It – A Negative Interest Rate Policy

According to Treasury Secretary Jack Lew who recently signed and sealed America's current audited financial statements, as of 2015 the United States has \$3.2 trillion in assets.

As other corporations do, the United States also listed its liabilities: \$21.5 trillion. One does not need to be a mathematical genius to figure out that America's net worth is a negative \$18.3 trillion. We have \$3.2 trillion in assets minus \$21.5 trillion in liabilities.

In 2014, our negative net worth was \$17.7 trillion and in 2013 it was \$16.8 trillion. In other words, the United States is bankrupt. If you or I had five or ten times more debt than we had in assets, we would be in bankruptcy court.

Another thing corporations do is have their annual financial statements audited by an independent source... usually one of the major accounting firms. The federal government's annual financial statement is audited by the General Accounting Office (GAO). This is done so people will more readily trust the numbers provided in the financial statements. Though it is part of the government, the GAO maintains a certain independence from governmental control.

Re the 2015 financials, the GAO told the federal government that it was on "an unsustainable fiscal path." They also said that the federal government (that would be Congress which approves spending, the President whose policies are reflected in money approved to be spent, and the Department of the Treasury which generates the financial statements) often fails

to provide “reliable and complete financial information – both for individual federal entities and for the federal government as a whole.”

Anyone who has been awake and who listens to statistical data about unemployment, job creation, inflation, cost of living and other data could have told them that.

Most of us remember that the Department of Defense in 2015 announced that it had over the past 20 years “misplaced” \$8.5 trillion of our money. That’s your money and my money... and our children’s and our grandchildren’s and great grandchildren’s money – and on and on for many generations.

Thus it will not surprise anyone to learn that the Defense Department was one of the government departments that failed to provide complete and accurate financial information for the financial statements. Until they find the missing \$8.5 trillion, or until new people and procedures are put in place to track money spent, no additional funds should be sent to the DoD. Republican crowds attending presidential candidates’ functions loudly cheer when the words “rebuilding our military” are used. It does need to be rebuilt – but not until the system used to track costs has been audited and changed to keep honest records. If you’ll recall the day before 9/11, then Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld announced that \$3.1 trillion had been lost. To my knowledge, it’s never been found.

The GAO evaluated Social Security and Medicare and other programs wherein Congress has told the American people that reductions will be made to save the programs. GAO says the weaknesses (meaning errors) they found in the stated reductions total \$27.9 trillion. That means we are in much worse financial shape than they are letting on – and the debt they willingly admit to defines bankruptcy without the detected errors.

There are those reading this article who will respond by saying "Oh, they'll just print more money. Nothing will change." There are many who won't read this article who would respond that way if they did read it. They represent the "something for nothing" crowd. They simply cannot conceptualize the idea that irresponsible federal spending – mostly designed to buy the votes of the poor and the disenfranchised (and illegal aliens and other non-hegemonic portions of society as described by Antonio Gramsci) – has bankrupted this once healthy economy. They cannot see in their minds the idea that when you borrow something, it must some day, some way be repaid.

The truth is, they are about to stop printing money so those who say "they'll just print more money to cover the debt" are wrong.

The truth is, your bank is about to stop paying you the pittance they've been paying for your deposit dollars, calling it "interest." They are about to start charging you money for the money in your bank accounts. It's already being done in Europe and other parts of the world.

Bank of Japan's Governor Haruhiko Kuroda recently opted for negative interest rates. It is not a new idea. In the 1970s the Swiss government implemented negative interest rates because its currency was driven up in value and was causing inflation. Sweden used negative interest rates in 2009-10. Denmark used the concept in 2012. In 2014, the European Central Bank implemented a negative interest rate policy.

What is about to descend on your head is Negative Interest Rate Policy (NIRP). NIRP means that banks, rather than paying you interest on your deposits, will charge you a fee (probably a percentage of your deposit amount) for managing your money.

"Managing my money?" you may ask. "I manage my money; not my bank."

Your reaction is likely: "I'll just take my money out of the bank." No. You won't. Why? Because they are about to remove currency – actual money – from the marketplace. I believe we are about to switch to a digital currency – and no, not Bitcoin.

Our economy is not recovering from the longest recession in history – some would call it a depression, but that would be too close to an honest assessment for this government to admit. The economy is going into a deflationary spin which would put us into a depression that would make the 1930s look like child's play. When an economy deflates, there is no growth – no new business start-ups, no new jobs. People do not borrow and spend. Well, some people do – those who often have no alternative but credit cards to buy food and other survival items... like rent or car payments. People who use credit as a desperation move can seldom repay it and that does not bode well for the near-term future. There is a slowdown in real production of all kinds.

Until the 2007 economic debacle, we lived in an inflationary rather than a deflationary economy. During inflationary economies, people borrow money to invest in everything from the stock market to new business start-ups or company expansions. Consumers borrow to buy everything from cars and refrigerators to new homes and lawn mowers. Jobs are created even though the cost of everything is inflating. America's horrendous debt burden combined with the real estate devaluation which led to the foreclosure debacle sent us into a deflationary period.

The Federal Reserve decided to fight deflation with an inflationary solution – quantitative easing – and it failed. Miserably failed. A lot of already rich people got richer, but no benefits to the economy or the people were realized. That's the difference in crony capitalism and capitalism. This "mistake" was not an accident. It was planned.

When negative interest rates are imposed, it is a desperation move to avoid the failed government policies that have pushed the world into non-repayable debt. The greed of central banks worldwide has led to the coming demise of that system. The Keynesian model has proven to be a failed system. The central banks tried zero interest rates, then quantitative easing, and now negative interest rates. Nothing has worked. Hail Mary passes seldom do. In his book *Currency Wars: The Making of the Next Global Crisis*, Jim Rickards envisions the emergence of a world central bank as a result of central bank follies internationally.

I believe Rickards is correct. I further believe it has been the plan from the start. People tend to forget that for ten years I have been saying the objective of those seeking one world government is to put in place a world economic system because until that happens it is impossible to implement one world government. Once the international economic system is in place, creating a world government is child's play. All that needs to be done once world monetary issues have been defined and implemented is which nations control which land.

To review, we have a nation that is bankrupt thanks to irresponsible and greed-based policies which utilized a zero-based Federal Reserve funds cost and that failed, quantitative easing which failed to stimulate the economy, and the powers that be will now very likely implement NIRP – negative interest rates on funds deposited in America's banks... which will also fail. It is intended to fail.

Why is it intended to fail? There is little doubt in my mind that many who read this will call me a conspiracy nut. They called me that when in 2006 I predicted (in writing) the derivative mess, the 2007 resultant foreclosure mess that would result from MERS, the 2008 stupidity of zero-based central bank lending rates, TARP and quantitative easing, and now what I think they are about to do: The cashless society.

What is a cashless society? Here is a link to an article about the cashless society recently implemented in Ecuador. What negative interest rate policies will lead to is precisely what they have implemented (perhaps as a test?) in Ecuador.

Like everything else “they” do, this has been tested in various ways. The debit card issued to those receiving welfare benefits was part of the testing process. It was begun in the late 1990s. This article explains how it all began.

Rather than issuing a check or directly depositing welfare funds into a checking account, an amount is credited onto a computer system – we can call it “cybercash,” – no real cash involved. It’s just digital money. It can be overseen by banks (though independent banks will fall by the wayside) or it can be overseen by the Department of the Treasury... or even the Federal Reserve System. The recipient of the funds uses the debit card to pay for food, clothes, etc. If it sounds like the government controls what may be purchased with the card that is correct. And now they are ready to expand the program from welfare recipients to you.

Bitcoin, another form of cybercash, was part of the test. Would people accept and use a non-currency form of “money”? Not only did they accept it, it took off like a rocket... until (as I said would happen) some controls were put in place that limited the use of Bitcoin and its stock fell in value as rapidly as it had risen. The test was a huge success.

There will be no cash. If you leave a tip for a waitress, it will have to be placed on the signed receipt which will be deducted from your bank account... or placed on a credit card. Your bank will automatically transfer you tip to the waitress to her bank account... and she will pay taxes on it. If you pay someone for mowing your lawn, it will be via check (no cash) or credit card (or your checking account debit card)... and it will be automatically placed in the mower’s bank account... and he or she will pay taxes on it. When you buy groceries, you

will use a check, credit card, or the debit card. The government will have a complete record of your purchases (including cigarettes, alcohol, and other highly personal articles).

I repeat, there will be no cash. Everything you buy or sell will be done via your bank account and it will be tracked for tax purposes. If you can see a clear road to a barter system and an active black market, you've got the idea of what I'm explaining.

As I said, it is a desperation move made by a failed central banking system the greed of which convinced those who run it to believe the debt era could last forever.

If this does not give you a clear picture of just how far over the cliff the United States economy is, nothing will. Go to the beginning of this article and read about our debt. Read the article about the cashless society in Ecuador. Then prepare for the only logical future that can result from these economic circumstances. Start a new business that lends itself to being a good source for bartering for food, clothes and shoes.

NIRP and the cashless society will be about as successful as quantitative easing was. If you are prepared, you will make it through. If you are not prepared, you will curse yourself for not paying more attention.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

George Soros and moveon.org staged Chicago violence

“If they bring a knife to the fight we bring a gun.” Click on that link and read the Wall Street Journal June 14, 2008 article for yourself. These words came from the mouth of Barrack Obama... the same Obama I saw on television this morning saying that Donald Trump’s heated rhetoric is the cause of the violence and it is unpresidential.

What’s causing the violence is anti-establishment candidate Donald Trump who is running for President.

What caused the violence in Chicago is the establishment which is doing its best to crush Trump.

You also need to remember that the group calling itself “Never Trump” are supporters of former President George H.W. Bush and President George W. Bush. It is a nasty, evil group of elitists who think they, not we the people, know what is best for our country.

Do you now understand why no anti-establishment candidates run for political office?

Do you understand why there is so much criminal and perverse behavior among elected officials? Many of those we elect to office are people the establishment can manipulate into voting to support the interests of the elite against the interests of the people. The establishment cannot stand the threat of a non-establishment candidate they can’t buy or bribe with pictures of vile behavior or threats to members of their families.

As I was writing an article to provide answers to the many questions I received via email this week, I watched the Fox News coverage of the Chicago violence. The first thing that

told me I wasn't going to get an accurate view of the news was when Megyn Kelly suggested there were as many as ten thousand protestors who might be facing ten thousand Trump Rally attendees inside the University of Chicago auditorium. A short time before that statement by Kelly, an on-sight news reporter was asked how many protestors there were and he said "several hundred perhaps..." It is now Saturday – and the number of people has increased to 30,000.

The camera pulled back to show a view from the air and if there were more than 500 protestors, I would be surprised. There were, however, 15,000 Trump supporters standing outside of the University of Chicago who could not get in... Trump had made arrangements for them to hear what was going on inside via special microphones. Fox News never corrected Kelly's error.

Then Fox began interviewing protestors. From the Fox News perspective, those who were inside attending the rally and were awaiting the arrival of Donald Trump did not exist. Their First Amendment rights were non-existent. The First Amendment rights of the protestors, however, were featured loud and clear.

The point is, Fox and other news organizations were obviously active in making more out of this disgusting event than it deserved.

That same on-sight Fox reporter interviewed an 80 year old woman who said she was there because she remembered the speeches of Hitler and Mussolini during World War II and Donald Trump's messages reminded her of those speeches. She was there to protest that kind of speech.

I'm 79 years old and when World War II ended I was 8. She is 80 so that means she was 9 when the war ended. Anyone who believes a 9 year old remembers speeches made 70 years ago by Hitler and Mussolini when she was between the ages of 5 and 9

is a liar.

My parents (and my brother, sister and I) listened to all of the speeches broadcast on radio – we did not hear more than a handful of Hitler’s speeches (it was considered traitorous in those day to give your enemy access to your airwaves and your citizens) and maybe one or two by Mussolini. There were news briefs when I went to the movies, but nothing of much significance... nothing worth remembering. I remember a lot of Hitler’s yelling and Germans shouting “Heil, Hitler!” but not the content of the speeches (which Hitler made in German and Mussolini made in Italian... we didn’t have translators in those days).

What we saw on Friday in Chicago confuses many people. The protestors have a right to gather. So, too, do those who want to attend a Donald Trump event have the right to gather and hear him speak. There were 10,000 people inside awaiting Trump (10,000 to 15,000 more outside because they were unable to get in – maybe that’s who Megyn Kelly thought were protestors?) and less than 1,000 outside in the streets (many carrying Bernie Sanders signs).

Inside the Chicago University auditorium, numerous anti-Trump “protestors” had infiltrated the pro-Trump crowd. They became physically disruptive. The “Black Lives Matter” chant – the same one heard during the Baltimore riots after a black drug dealer died in the back of the police arrest wagon – filled the night air in Chicago, indoors and out.

Shortly after the protestors became violent in the streets and several arrests were made by Chicago police (who did an excellent job), Move-On.org claimed responsibility for the protest. That means George Soros who financially supports Move-On.org had his hands in the Chicago mess. Additionally, Bernie Sanders (whom everyone seems to think is such a nice guy) is a major supporter of Move-On.org and many “Bernie” signs were in protestors’ hands.

Ask yourself some questions. Who shouted “We won!” when Trump decided to close the event down? The protestors did. That was their objective in the first place. They were not there to protest. They were there to stop a political candidate they don’t like from being able to talk with people who support him. They may have a constitutional right to protest. They do not have a constitutional right to disrupt or threaten physical violence towards those who had come to hear a political candidate – students at colleges and universities all over America seem to have a problem understanding that.

Welcome to socialism and the strategies used by both socialist Adolph Hitler and fascist Benito Mussolini. People tend to forget the Nazis were the national socialist party of Germany. Admitted socialist Bernie Sanders never mentions that.

There are some questions that cannot be answered. One of them is “How do you cure stupid?”

Answer: You cannot. To make matters worse, idiots like those filling Chicago streets Friday night don’t read anything that disagrees with their hate-based “I’m a victim” view of life.

Question: What do most of us think of when the word “Chicago” is spoken?

Answer: The number of murders and other violent crimes that occur in the Windy City each and every year comes to mind. Uncontrolled racial problems are rampant. We recall the crime so much a part of the Illinois political system... the Governors who are regularly sent to prison. We remember that organized crime called Chicago home – and may still do so – even after Elliott Ness and his “Untouchables” brought down Al Capone.

So that is what the community protesting Donald Trump on Friday night is all about... that and it is the city in which “The Good Wife” evidently lives and practices law. Are we going to let Chicago set the standard for acceptable behavior?

I end this unplanned article with the question I asked above: Do you now understand why non-establishment candidates do not run for office?

How we react to Chicago will determine whether another non-establishment candidate will ever run again. How the candidates react to Chicago also shows who is part of the establishment and who is not, regardless of what they say. A Canada Free Press article gives you the answer to that comment.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Pope Francis VS. Donald Trump

... and the winner is...

The conversation started with unwarranted comments from the Holy Father, Pope Francis. The Pope's comments stirred the very roots of the mainstream media. It seemed all they could talk about on Thursday, February 18, 2016

“A person who thinks only about building walls, whatever they may be, and not building bridges, is not Christian. This is not the gospel.” –Pope Francis talks about Donald Trump's plans to build a wall between the U.S./Mexican border, 2/17/2016

“It is hypocritical to teach one thing while concurrently supporting its opposite.” –Marilyn MacGruder Barnewall, NewsWithViews.com, 9/27/2015.

I made the above comment September 2015 in an article that was an open letter to Pope Francis regarding the catechism of the Catholic church which teaches adherents of the faith that when

adopting a new nation as “home,” the laws of the new nation must be followed. When someone enters the United States illegally, the first thing they do is violate our laws. Thus, it is hypocritical for the Catholic church to teach one thing and for the Pope to recommend something different from what the church teaches.

In addition to being hypocritical in his comments, the Pope ignored Biblical commentary that says “Judge not lest ye be judged” when he decided it was okay for him to declare Donald Trump a non-Christian without even knowing him.

Did he actually say Donald Trump is not a Christian? No. Read his comment above. He did not say that. The Pope was, however, responding to a specific question from the media about Donald Trump’s proposal to end illegal immigration. Thus, the comment was specifically directed at the Donald.

The media flew into immediate “we have a legitimate anti-Trump story... let’s see who can get it published first” mode. The problem is, it is not a legitimate anti-Trump story. It is a legitimate anti-Republican candidate story because every person running for the American presidency supports the building of a wall to stop the out-of-control flow of people entering freely into the United States across the Rio Grande River.

The media chose to make it a Trump story because it fills their need to help convince the American people that Donald Trump would not make a satisfactory President. They don’t like him. They want an establishment candidate like Marco Rubio or John Kasich.

So, what the media is telling you is untrue – no unusual surprise there. It is a lie that the Pope says Donald Trump is not a Christian. What the Pope said is far more serious than that.

The Pope, whether or not he intended to, said that all

Republican candidates who believe a wall needs to be built to halt illegal aliens from entering our nation and bringing with them disease, poverty, threats of violence, and cowardly refusal to clean up their own governments (they prefer to run away) are not Christians. It is not Christian for Americans to want to stop the flow of illegal drugs – drugs that kill so many young people physically, mentally and, yes, Pope Francis, spiritually – into our country. It is also not Christian to do what we can to stop the murder of innocent American women on San Francisco wharfs, I guess.

The Pope also said I'm not a Christian – and neither are you if you believe we need a Trump-recommended wall to secure our nation from those who would enter illegally. If you are a Christian and you buy off on that pap... what's wrong with you?

Some commentators just couldn't resist the opportunity to stick it to the presidential candidate who they just don't get. They are all shaking their heads... what Trump says should be (from journalists' perspectives) turning off the American public. Instead, his poll numbers go up every time they say "Well, he's done it now... his numbers will go down."

The media is insulated from the true and deep anger of the American people. They do not understand that when Trump says "There were no weapons of mass destruction," many millions of Americans believe he's right. Journalists do not have the slightest idea that those millions of Americans also believe the U.S. Government is somehow complicit in 9/11; so when someone mentions it, journalists think it's being said by a conspiracy nut. They don't understand that when the Pope says all people who believe we need a wall to secure our nation are not Christians, it upsets more than a little bit those who believe themselves to be Christians but think we need to stop illegal immigration.

"You have people coming in, and I'm not just saying Mexicans – I'm talking about people that are from all over that are

killers and rapists, and they're coming into this country," Trump told Jake Tapper at CNN last June. It is a message that resonates with the American people because it is true. Trump has previously stated that the Pope has no understanding of the dangers of America's open border with Mexico. He is right.

Prior to the Pope's departure for Mexico, Trump called the Pope "a very political person." Frankly, I think the Pope should return to the Vatican and straighten out all of the money laundering and other banking problems in the country where he controls policies before he tells America how to run ours and defines for us who is a Christian and who is not.

Bear in mind as you read this material, there is no nation-state in the world with higher walls around it than the Vatican. Those walls kept the Nazis out of the Vatican during World War II just as they would keep drug-dealing criminals out of America today.

When, Pope Francis, will you open your doors... or, at the very least, use your influence to get governments around the world to open buildings owned by the Catholic Church so Muslim immigrants can move in and enjoy freedom and Muslim worship services?

When will the Vatican begin accepting immigrants who run away from chaos and tyranny, from death and destruction, to seek asylum in a country safer than the war-torn piece of land they seek to leave? Surely the Pope would not suggest other nations make sacrifices that are unacceptable to him? That, too, would be hypocritical. What will the Papal reaction be when Muslims – most of the ones departing the Middle East appear to be young men, not families – demand "their part of the Vatican" (or Detroit or London or Paris) be governed by Sharia Law?

I may be wrong, but wasn't it the hypocrisy of the highest-ranking Jewish authorities that allowed (or even perhaps encouraged) the purchase of doves and "soul-saving"

memorabilia to be sold on the steps of God's Temple in Jerusalem? It caused Jesus Christ to display righteous anger and throw the merchants who would market the Lord as if He were a product made by Proctor and Gamble or Microsoft from their Temple lemonade stands. "Oh, ye hypocrites!" were, I believe, Christ's exact words.

Since the Pope spoke words about anyone who builds walls rather than bridges not being a Christian, and since the Vatican has some of the highest walls around it I've ever seen, and since the Pope isn't inviting the starving masses from the Middle East into the Vatican – there can be no other definition of the Pope regarding this matter: "Hypocrite!"

As a former Catholic, I find those words difficult to say. They are, however, the truth.

Unfortunately, by supporting people who flee tyranny rather than supporting them to effectively fight it, the Catholic church supports a lack of responsibility for fighting tyranny and the tyrants who seek to enslave us all – politically, spiritually, and economically. I believe that is not only wrong, but immoral.

We all need to ponder the words "legal immigration" and "illegal immigration" and the negative impact of illegals on the lawful citizens of all nations... often threatening the survival of the lawful so the unlawful can survive. Is that the Catholic objective? If so, the Pope should make clear his views on this issue so Catholics can, as all members of all religious sects do, re-evaluate whether the Catholic church represents their beliefs.

Do the Pope's views represent the views of all Catholics? Or, just the Jesuits?

In all humility, the Pope should stop telling others to do that which he is not prepared to do. It damages his and the church's credibility.

All of the above is based on facts, not opinions... yes, even the use of the word "hypocrite." One is defined by what one does. But here's a bit of a conspiracy theory for you to ponder.

Remember St. Malachi? What if St. Malachi's dream is true? He said there would be 112 more Popes and that the final Pope would lead the Catholic church into apostasy – meaning the abandonment or renunciation of a religious or political belief. Pope Francis is the 112th Pope since St. Malachi had that dream.

What are the necessary elements of a New World Order?

First, the establishment of an international monetary system (the central banks are working hard on that one). Second, some form of international "currency;" (I don't believe it will be a currency, I believe a system of non-currency will be visited on us worldwide – more on that in another article). Third, an international religion is needed. As the communists once said, religion is an opiate for the masses.

Could it be that Pope Francis is leading the church to apostasy? Does he support illegal immigration and a North American Union as step one in forming an international church and does he envision himself at the head of this "church"?

Pope Francis has certainly raised some interesting questions, some well-deserved anger, some strange judgments about who is and who is not a Christian, and what his possible motives for raising such issues are.

Did Donald Trump err by responding against Papal comments as strongly as he did?

All you need to do to answer that question is to answer this one: Until Trump responded to the Pope, what was the number one news story on Thursday, February 18, 2016? It was South Carolina Governor Nikki Haley's announced support for

presidential candidate Marco Rubio. After Trump's comments, I heard nothing about Haley choosing to support Rubio. I heard only about how Donald Trump responded to the Pope's comments.

If you doubt this man is brilliant, you need to look at the facts. He not only got a great deal of support from all Christians – Independents, Republicans and Democrats – for standing up to a Pope who decided he gets to define who is a Christian and who is not – but he took the number one news story away from Marco Rubio and made it his own.

That is brilliant.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Is Ted Cruz the 'North American Union' candidate?

The word "TrusTED" decorates the campaign bus in which Senator Ted Cruz travels America to spread the message of why he should be President of the United States. It's clever.

It's okay to trust someone provided you know what is in the package that comes with him and approve of both... the candidate and the baggage on the bus.

Before you trust Ted Cruz with the fate of your nation, there are some things you need to know. They aren't the obvious things about which I've already written... the fact that Cruz is not a natural-born American because his father was a Cuban citizen at the time of Ted's birth, for example.

I've also written about Heidi Cruz's affiliation with Goldman Sachs... that she's on the Executive Committee of that

organization, not just a mere employee.

For those who at one time were worried about the North American Union – the joining of Mexico, the United States and Canada into a single nation – Heidi Cruz is listed as a Task Force member by the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in its Task Force Report on the Building a North American Community Committee. Mrs. Cruz totally approves of the recommendations contained in the report: Make the three nations into a single entity, same as the European Union?

When you think about it for a minute, how neat would it be for the planned North American Union to have Ted Cruz as President? Joint citizenship in the U.S. and a Canadian citizen – who is Hispanic and can speak Spanish?

To read Heidi Cruz's statement of support for the concept of a North American Union between Mexico, the U.S., and Canada, read Kelleigh Nelson's article.

In the video below you will see Donald Trump hold up one of NewsWithViews's articles written by Kelleigh Nelson.

In fact, Kelleigh has done more research into Ted Cruz and his various relationships than anyone in the media, Mainstream or Internet. If you want to know more about Cruz after reading this article, check out Kelleigh's in-depth researched articles:

- 1- Kelleigh Nelson
- 2- Kelleigh Nelson
- 3- Kelleigh Nelson
- 4- Kelleigh Nelson
- 5- Kelleigh Nelson

I now call Ted Cruz the CIA candidate and here's why.

It bothers me to call him that because after I met him in Denver in 2013 at a press conference after his speech to

Western Conservative Conference attendees, I was thoroughly enamored with the man. He seemed a gentleman with a lot of common sense and political insight.

The other member of Cruz's family who bothers me is his father, Raphael. I watched with great interest one of his sermons to Evangelical Christians. He is dynamite! I was very impressed with not only his charismatic public speaking talents but with his message. I researched this soon after the 2013 Denver speech because I so liked Ted Cruz. I liked what Raphael Cruz said, not just the way he said it. His words were brimming over with love, respect and enthusiasm for both God and America. That always gets my attention and support.

But then I found out that after fighting with Fidel Castro against Batista in Cuba, Raphael Cruz came to the U.S. in 1957... but he didn't bother to become a citizen until shortly before Ted's campaign for the U.S. Senate. If he really loves the country and thinks it is as fabulous as his enthusiastic words portray, why the 50-year delay in applying for citizenship? That bothered me. It struck me as opportunistic for him to wait until his son decided to run for the U.S. Senate to apply for citizenship. Were they planning a presidential campaign even then?

As for Ted Cruz, what did I learn about him personally that changed me from liking and respecting him – to see him as Donald Trump says he is: “a liar”? Well, there is plenty about Cruz that bothers me but it's the Cruz luggage on the bus that I'm disclosing here.

Let's start with the Cruz campaign manager, Chad Sweet.

It is pretty well known that Sweet served as Chief of Staff of the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS). What is less known is that prior to that position Sweet was an investment banker at two firms: Morgan Stanley and Goldman Sachs. Furthermore, he served in the Central Intelligence

Agency's (CIA's) National Clandestine Service.

That comes directly from Sweet's own biography statement.

Then, there's the Woolsey connection.

ROBERT JAMES WOOLSEY, part of Cruz's foreign policy advisory team, was the former Director of the CIA during the Clinton Administration.

In his book *War in a Time of Peace*, David Haberstam states that Bill Clinton chose Woolsey for CIA Director because the Clinton campaign courted neoconservatives leading up to the 1992 election. It was part of proving to voters that Clinton's promise to be tougher on Taiwan, Bosnia, and human rights in China was for real. You remember. That's when Clinton's policies were being compared to the movie, *Wag the Dog*, because of Bosnia mistakes.

Woolsey got the job and became the token neoconservative in the Clinton Cabinet – in the two years he served as CIA Director, Woolsey never had a private meeting with Clinton. Woolsey, A DEMOCRAT, got his BA from Stanford (Phi Beta Kappa), his MA from Oxford University (where he was a Rhodes Scholar – as was Bill Clinton) Woolsey got his law degree at Yale. He was prominent and active in the anti-Vietnam War movement.

Woolsey was CIA Director when Aldrich Ames was arrested for treason and spying against the United States and was harshly criticized for not giving more attention to the Ames leak within the CIA more quickly. Woolsey was forced to resign as CIA head when he refused to terminate anyone at the CIA for not digging Aldrich Ames out of his treasonous sewer more quickly than was done. He has served on numerous Boards and in advisory roles – and the word "Global" appears in many of the organizations with which he affiliates himself.

He is only an advisor to Cruz on foreign policy, but Woolsey

is clearly a neoconservative Democrat (hawkish on foreign policy but liberal on economic and social issues). Some now equate “hawkish” in the Middle East with “nation building” and “constant ongoing wars” as a means to stimulate America’s economy. Woolsey currently is Chancellor of The Institute of World Politics.

ELLIOTT ABRAMS is also a member of the Ted Cruz Foreign Policy advisory team.

Abrams is a Senior Fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations – he’s a CFR leader. Like Woolsey, Abrams has a colorful past history.

Various Internet biographical sketches describe Abrams as a key figure in the Reagan administration before being convicted of charges related to Iran-Contra. He was later pardoned but his Iran-Contra involvement is a fact. Many people believe Iran-Contra was a program implemented by Vice President George H. W. Bush, not Reagan. That idea is supported by the admitted involvement of Ollie North who, when things got hot turned on the paper shredders and it is a fact that North was assigned to the Office of the Vice President (Bush), not the President (Reagan).

Those who are as old as I am remember the appointment of Lawrence Walsh as Independent Counsel to investigate Iran-Contra. Walsh prepared multiple felony counts against Abrams but never indicted him. Abrams worked with Walsh and because of his cooperation he entered a plea agreement of “guilty” to two misdemeanors of withholding information from Congress. He paid a \$50 fine, was on probation for two years, and was also sentenced to 100 hours of community service.

Then we have the guy who sent the message to Iowa voters stating that Dr. Ben Carson was “returning to Florida” with a soft suggestion that those planning to vote for him should vote for Ted Cruz instead.

DAN P. GABRIEL is a former CIA covert officer. He was with counter-terrorism for 10 years, and is an expert in countering violent extremism, directing counter-insurgency operations, and counter-radicalization theory. He was a senior strategic communications advisor, consultant and strategist to the U.S. Government. He founded a company called Applied Memetics which specializes in "cultural sociosphere." What is that, you ask? In the real world it's called propaganda. Gabriel is a propaganda specialist. That's what he did for the CIA and is very likely what he does for Ted Cruz.

Is there anything wrong with the above facts? If you are comfortable with a candidate for the Presidency of the United States being surrounded by what most conservatives consider a rogue U.S. Intelligence Agency, the CIA, then it will not impact your voting decision.

If you are like me and believe if it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, it's probably a duck, you will find someone else to support.

But, you may suggest, the above comments really are not about Ted Cruz. The comments are about his wife, his father, and those responsible for advising Cruz and for running his campaign.

Well, you really don't have to be a duck for my comments to apply. If you see a bunch of ducks walking towards an objective, the chances are strong they are being led by a duck. These guys are a bunch of CIA ducks, Council on Foreign Relations ducks and are men whose feet are firmly buried in the George H.W. Bush CIA history... men who create propaganda of which the old Soviet Union could only dream.

There are so many red flags about the Cruz candidacy in the above comments it feels like May Day in Moscow.

A friend of mine from Toronto called this morning. He said Cruz was a concern to him because of his affiliation with

dominionism. I decided to find out if this was true or false... and I wanted to know more about what "dominionism" is. It asks an important question: "Does Ted Cruz think he is the Messiah?"

I would suggest to all evangelical voters who support Senator Cruz because of his obvious Christian roots that they go here and read the very informative article on dominionism. If Senator Cruz and his father, Raphael, are your kind of Christians, fine. The linked article explains that historically dominionism began as an offshoot of Christian Reconstructionism, the sect founded in the 1960s by defender-of-slavery R. J. Rushdoony. Dominionists, the article says, seek to replace secular law with Biblical law.

Any Christian who believes secular law should be replaced by Biblical law may be a good Christian, but cannot, by definition, be a conservative. The most basic philosophic principle of an American Conservative is a total acceptance of the United States Constitution... very much as Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia (God rest his soul) defined it: Textualism and Originalism. In other words, as it was written by the Founders, meaning what the words meant at the time the Founders wrote them.

To impose Biblical law on those citizens who do not believe in God would violate their freedom of religion under the First Amendment. Agnosticism and atheism are religious beliefs, too.

Whether it is the CIA, the CFR, the North American Union, Goldman Sachs and other too big to fail investment banks, the Cruz campaign staff is filled with them. As I said: there are so many red flags here it looks like May Day in Moscow.

I guess the bottom line is this: I don't want to replace one narcissistic President who happens to be a tyrannical liberal progressive with another narcissistic President who happens to be a tyrannical neoconservative President.

You may feel differently about the Cruz victory speech in Iowa, but before I heard of the dominionism connection I thought how arrogant he was that night. Then I read where his roommate at Harvard, Damon Watson, stated that Cruz did not want to study with anyone who had not been an undergrad at Harvard, Princeton or Yale. "He said he didn't want anybody from 'minor Ivies like Penn or Brown'." Does this sound like Christian humility to you? It doesn't to me. These are not the words of "a man of the people."

As I said... a deep sea of red flags waving. They got my attention. I hope they get yours. And if you know a voter in South Carolina, I hope you send them this article. They vote on Saturday.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

How to identify true principled candidates

"Ted Cruz idolizes Ronald Reagan..." "To his credit, Barack Obama has done the same thing. There are some in the political world that vilify Barack Obama. Personally, I've never been one of them. I think he is deeply committed to his principles and demonstrated real courage in fighting for them." –Senator Ted Cruz, The Guardian, December 2013

Ted Cruz idolizes Ronald Reagan and the real courage of Barack Obama, –Raw Story

I do not believe Megyn Kelly was the reason Donald J. Trump did not show up for the Fox News debate last Thursday. Though Kelly appears to view herself as an elephant in the Donald's

campaign, I think she is more of a flea on the elephant's butt.

Two days before the debate, Breitbart filed a good article which proved to be totally true. Fox had two "sleepers" recruited to make Trump look radical in his lawful views about immigration – and that was the intent. It appears Fox has become Marco Rubio's champion. Those who are impressed by Rubio need to consider that his first major speech after announcing his candidacy was to the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), a New World Order group.

In a later article out Saturday, Breitbart discovered that Fox News was trying to push Trump into a corner during the debate... a corner where he would either have to be badly embarrassed or would have to violate debate rules to defend himself. The debate organizers believed he would violate debate rules and put a plan in place to escort him from the stage. Trump's instincts are good and he made precisely the right decision to stay away from the Fox debate. [Fox News Moderators Planned to Escort Donald Trump Off Debate Stage If He 'Broke Rules']

The "sleepers" submitted questions via Google and they were selected as among the best questions. Each would ask their question, on camera, during the debate. If you believe these questions were the best of the hundreds of thousands submitted, I sympathize with your lack of knowledge regarding the numerous survival issues facing our nation, from economics and jobs to terrorism. These women and their questions were selected to cost Donald Trump votes in the Iowa caucus scheduled to take place about 90 hours after the debate. His responses would have been easily explainable – and justifiable – but it would take longer than 90 hours to reach caucus voters.

If you watched the debate Thursday night, you saw the two women selected by Fox News and Google. One was an illegal alien Mexican who had overcome poverty and become a successful

entrepreneur in Los Angeles... obviously a slam against Trump's stated desire to lawfully return illegal aliens back to Mexico. Her question focused on how the American economy would lose if illegals like her were all sent home to Mexico. Trump has said if they want to return lawfully, they can get in line and do so. He respects the Rule of Law. Since every dollar illegals contribute to the economy is countered with a \$3 cost for social services, it would not be a difficult question to answer.

The second person selected to ask a question was a Muslim woman. She was born in America. She questioned plans to deport all Muslim refugees who cannot be properly vetted. How could the government remove her friends who had done no wrong, she asked, wailing? Again, it was an obvious slam at Donald Trump's statements that until Muslims can be safely vetted, they should not enter America and those who had entered unlawfully should be sent home.

My answer to her would have been: "Ms. Noor, you say you are a moderate Muslim and that you were born in America. The problem is this: You are evidence of the statement that not all Muslims are terrorists. Unfortunately, another equally true statement is that all terrorist acts around the world are being committed by Muslims – not just in America but in Paris where what you tell me are peaceful Muslims killed 130 innocent victims, Sweden where they are exporting 80,000 violent Muslims, Finland which is exporting 40,000 Muslim refugees, Germany where at the Cologne rail station Muslim men who appear to have no respect for women raped several German women. Since no one from your peaceful moderate Muslim community is willing to oppose the violence you say does not represent your faith, people around the world are doing what is necessary to ensure their safety. I'm sorry if that emotionally distresses you, but whether you awaken each day a happy woman because you are surrounded by friends and family is of less importance to me than having innocent Americans

killed by Islamic terrorists because America's 'moderate Muslim community' cannot or will not reject terrorism."

Jeb Bush sympathized with her (as one would expect Jeb to do) and there was little discussion of the matter by other candidates. I would also say that Jeb's solutions do not comply with America's immigration laws... a lack of respect for the Rule of Law. He forgets that the primary responsibility of the President of the United States is to protect the citizens of this country. To read the immigration law Donald Trump supports and which applies to any group that rejects the Rule of Law that flows from our Constitution, go to this link and scroll down to Chapter 2, Section 212.

It amazes me that the "professional journalists" who run these debates do not ask even the most basic question. Every Republican candidate states he or she is a conservative. I have yet to hear any of them ask any candidate: "You say you are a conservative. How do you define that word... what does it mean when you say you are a conservative?"

Generally speaking, most of the candidates will respond with an answer like "I believe in smaller government," or "I oppose abortion and same sex marriages" or "We need a strong military" or "I believe in lowering the debt" or "I do not believe in socialized medicine." These are all issues of concern to conservatives, but issues do not define conservatism. Principles that come from conservative philosophy define conservatives. If you do not know what those principles are, read on... but ask yourself: If you don't know what conservative principles are, how can you be sure the person you support in political races is really conservative?

Every voter who thinks of him/herself as a conservative should be able to answer that question. How do you define "conservative?" Conservatism is a philosophy that supports very specific principles. It is not about issues – like gun control, abortion, trade, privacy, taxation, the size of

government, etc, etc., etc. Principles and issues are not the same thing. If you do not realize that, you are likely part of the reason we keep electing people who say they are conservative but, once elected, prove to be anything but.

Most people confuse principles with issues. Because I am pro-life does not make me conservative. Being an Evangelical Christian does not make me a conservative. Because I believe in the Second Amendment and gun rights doesn't make me conservative. It means I have conservative views on these specific issues.

Believing in the total Constitution is a conservative principle. Believing in the Rule of Law that flows from the Constitution is a conservative principle. Believing in truth (facts) is a conservative principle. These three things are the primary principles of conservative philosophy. Candidates who do not understand and accept the Constitution in total cannot be conservative. Those who do not believe in the Rule of Law that flows from the Constitution (and practice it) are not conservatives. Those who lie to make their "truth" more believable are not conservatives. How do we know when someone is lying to us? We don't. We must take the time to look at candidate history: We find facts not in what they say, but in what they do.

Any candidate who opposes the right to bear arms cannot be a conservative because he or she rejects the Constitution. A person who supports the Second Amendment but not other portions of our Constitution is not a conservative. To enter America unlawfully violates the Constitution and any candidate who opposes upholding the dictates of the Constitution as it relates to immigration is not a conservative. To support the legalization of or amnesty for the millions of illegal aliens currently in America is unconstitutional... and anyone who violates the Constitution is not a conservative because it violates conservative philosophy. If they will violate the Constitution in one way, they will violate it whenever they

wish.

If you do not know the contents of America's Constitution, then you cannot say with certainty that you are conservative. If you don't know the contents of our Constitution, how can you select a conservative candidate? Answer: You cannot.

And that is how all of these cretins who currently serve as elected officials got into office. It is how they fooled so many Republicans who consider themselves conservative. They got elected because millions of people who call themselves "conservative" really don't know what the word means, don't understand the very basis of the philosophy – the Constitution – and thus cannot ask candidates questions designed to determine if they are just neo-conservative (pro world government via fascism) or a liberal (pro world government via socialism).

Because someone is a Christian doesn't make them a conservative. It makes them a person who prefers a Christian view when government policies are legislated. The things Christians have accepted as constitutional for years have nothing to do with the Constitution. Christians have allowed themselves to be bullied into accepting things like the removal of religious Christmas displays from in front of public buildings... that can be found nowhere in the Constitution of the United States.

The Constitution says there shall be freedom OF religion, not freedom FROM religion. Because Christians are too mentally lazy to take a week and study the laws of the land contained in America's Constitution, they have allowed Christianity to largely be removed from our society. Indeed, they have allowed the Constitution to be removed from our society.

Because too many Christians would rather spend money on Smart TVs and iPhones rather than banding together to pay the costs of fighting the "remove Christianity from public life"

activities of their Godless opponents, we have lost much of our Christian culture. Any atheist or agnostic who is a Constitution-believing conservative strongly opposes these efforts to remove Christianity from our schools and public buildings. Why? Because they are conservative constitutionalists and these things have no constitutional jurisdiction.

You do not get to pick and choose which parts of the Constitution you support. It's an all or nothing deal. You may disagree with it and you have every right to try and do what's necessary to Amend the Constitution... that's quite constitutional. But until the Constitution is Amended, you support what it says in plain English whether or not you agree with it. It is the law of the land and conservatives believe strongly in the Rule of Law. You do not apply "updated" or "worldly" definitions or personal opinions (like Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg) of what the founding fathers might have meant had they been writing that document in 2016 rather than the late 1700s. If you cannot do that, you are probably not a conservative.

When I vote for someone, I am as sure as I can be that person is a conservative because if I listen closely to what they say a problem's solution is, it is either a constitutional answer or it is not. If they hold elected office, I can check their record to see if their deeds match their words. I would have a whole lot more faith in the judgment of American voters if I believed they had read the Constitution before voting for someone who claims to be conservative but who, in reality, is just another politician looking for access to the purse strings of the nation.

Is saving your nation worth a few evenings of your life? This is the most important election of your lifetime and whether you are willing to take a tiny amount of time to be able to identify those who want to enslave you versus those who are truly conservative and dedicated to freedom may make the

difference in whether you live your life as a free person or in slavery.

As Kelleigh Nelson said in her recent NewsWithViews.com article, Trump is neither Republican or Democrat, neither liberal or conservative. He's just an American who loves his country. He's the best chance we've got of turning the corrupt establishment of Republicans and Democrats upside-down – and that's why they're working so hard to discredit him.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Truth or 2016 consequences

As I think back over the past year – the past 7 years, to be more precise – we have lost the most important commodity possible: Truth.

Veritas. Truth. It's been missing in action for a long time.

One symptom of lost truth is what Republican Presidential candidates refer to as “political correctness.” The only reason political correctness exists is so people can avoid truth. It is why we have no meaningful laws in our courtrooms. It is behind much of the post traumatic stress suffered by so many of our soldiers. They have no idea about government corruption until they serve their country and find the government cares more about the lives of the enemy than its own warriors and veterans.

A few months ago, I wrote an article stating the political establishment has no idea how badly it had damaged America by making it apparent the government cannot be trusted to act in the public, rather than the political, interest. The anger we

see towards the political establishment in the current election is a mere reflection of that anger – and it is only the tip of an iceberg.

Political correctness has brought the American public to the brink of revolt (which is a bad idea... the other side controls all of the weapons of war). The loss of truth has caused a social upheaval that has wreaked havoc in the hearts of people worldwide and does harm far beyond our shores. What is political correctness if not accepting as truth various opinions your common sense tells you are not true? That is what Donald Trump (University of Pennsylvania) sees so clearly and what he very capably tells the American public. He has common sense and a lack of fear of the crowd from Yale... the skull and bonesmen.

Knowing what we know about the Skull and Bones club at Yale, why would we ever even think of electing to office anyone who graduated from that school? (By the way, only two Presidential candidates are Yale grads: Dr. Ben Carson and Hillary Clinton... and neither were skull and bonesmen).

What do we know about Yale graduates?

We know Prescott Bush was a Yale skull and bonesman... class of 1917. We know E. Roland Harriman was part of the same class as Bush – 1917. We know Averill Harriman was Roland's brother and we know Prescott Bush and Averill formed an American investment bank and that they funded the Nazis during World War II. Though their banks were taken from them because of the treason they perpetrated against us, neither was prosecuted – and their losses from the confiscation of their property weren't really losses because the money was returned to them. The lack of prosecution is a big red flag that these two men were not operating independently.

Some people say the treachery against America started with F. Trubee Davison who graduated from Yale a year after Bush and

Harriman... 1918. Yes, Trubee was also a skull and bonesman. He became Assistant U.S. Secretary of War, a State Representative in New York, and, after World War II, the first Personnel Director at the newly-formed CIA. Prescott Bush, a former OSS chief, was heavily involved in structuring the CIA and Prescott's son, George Herbert Walker Bush, became the Agency's 11th Director just prior to becoming Vice President of the United States.

Ronald Reagan rejected G.H.W. Bush (a Yale grad and skull and bonesman – so is his son, George W.) as his running mate in the 1980 election. The same Republican National Committee that is working so hard today to make you want Jeb Bush or Marco Rubio (neither went to Yale) and to reject electable conservative candidates insisted Reagan accept Bush or do without funds to take the Presidency from Jimmy Carter.

Within months of becoming President of the United States, an assassination attempt badly disabled Reagan. Bill O'Reilly documents this well-researched fact in his book, Killing Reagan. Many of the decisions pushed through the Congress came from Bush, not Reagan. Yes. I refer specifically to the Contra dirty laundry, guns for oil, guns for drugs, the drug routes and air landing sites, etc.. Bill Clinton is a good friend of G.H.W. Bush and the family. Ollie North, now with Fox News, worked for G.H.W. Bush, not President Reagan.

The loss of truth did not begin with the Obama administration. It merely became more apparent during the past 7 years. It began long ago, largely with the Rothschild era, but it has been carried on enthusiastically by those who think of themselves as progressive. It boggles the brain that so many people who think they are intellectually superior to the average American can watch the social order being dragged back to the cave post haste and think their ideas and ideals are "progressive." What they are doing could not be more regressive!

Being educated has little to do with common sense. Being knowledgeable is quite different from being informed and/or educated. Knowledge requires hands-on experience implementing information and seeing whether it benefits or harms the objective to be achieved. One cannot gain wisdom from ideas and philosophy about what ought to work. That comes only from experience... and from there common sense derives.

We have let them steal our common sense. We didn't fight very hard to keep it.

When people motivated by power close in on their objective of exercising total world power, they become careless in their arrogance. What pleasure does power offer, after all, if one must wear it with humility... quietly like a shadow sneaking through the darkness? Power brings wealth, but wealth can be boring. There are only so many things you can buy, so many trips you can take, so many cruises on which you can embark... eventually a wealthy ego wants to be recognized for achievements it probably did little to achieve. That is what has happened during the past 7 years. Arrogance now reigns supreme.

Does listening to truth-based common sense make you a racist or a homophobe or a religious zealot? No. There is nothing racist or homophobic or religious about the truth and that is the greatest lesson we should all learn from 2015.

Is political correctness everyone else's fault? No. It is the fault of people who are afraid of being thought racist or homophobic or religious fanatics. When your common sense tells you that you are not a homophobe, stand up at your next school board meeting and object to teachers who want your third graders to be told how wonderful the homosexual lifestyle is. Those who think you are homophobic or anti-religious or racist in the obvious face of opposing logic are the ones with a problem, not you. Do your research and object on reasons of common sense, not opinion.

Here are some things you need to keep in mind about truth (which is merely a set of facts that provides evidence of the existence of truth):

1. To find truth you must have a deep and abiding desire for it and when you find it, test it (gain experience) to verify it.

2. Recognize that many things are not what they seem to be. Others want to convince you that what they say is true so you will support their message of untruth. They will use deceit to achieve that goal. Trust but verify.

3. Question your own assumptions and premises as strongly as you question those of others who disagree with you.

4. Truth is like an onion. It is often buried beneath several layers. The deeper it is buried, the more important it is to strip away that which covers it. Those who have dark secrets cover their lies with layers of deception. The greater the deception, the deeper is the cover. That should explain why so many political candidates convince people they will support conservative causes, then vote liberal or neo-conservative (RINOs). Too few layers of the truth onion were peeled back.

5. Truth has nothing to do with "feelings" or "emotions." Just because something feels right or good doesn't make it true. Base your views on facts and objective reality. In this day and age of misinformation and disinformation, it is critical to look at ALL facts, not just those that support your opinions.

6. Require all people (including yourself) to be accountable for their lies and opinions because people are hurt when untruths are used to achieve an objective. Being accountable doesn't mean saying "I'm sorry." It means paying for and correcting problems lies create. It's called "justice."

7. Challenge the motives of those in positions of power – that includes politicians, teachers, nurses, policemen, doctors, pharmaceutical companies, etc. The powerless are often attracted to positions of power (though the great majority choose their careers for the right reasons). When they do

something that doesn't make sense, question it. Never fear asking your doctor to read you the side effects of any prescribed medication, for example.

8. Welcome dissent. Debate and responding to criticism sharpens your mind. Don't argue; rather, discuss. Passion is okay; anger is not.

9. To be humble does not mean lying down and letting others use you as a doormat. It means keeping an open mind. An expert who closes his/her mind to changes in their area of expertise loses the status of "expert." Be clear in defining the words you use.

If more of us would just stop letting fear motivate us, political correctness would be quickly sent to the woodshed.

It is time for us to give common sense the respect it is due. Remember, though, that common sense is based on a perception of truth. That is why returning to a truth-based society is so important. Without truth, there is no sane concept of common sense. Without truth and common sense, we cannot get rid of political correctness.

Why fight if you can live without fighting?

If you don't fight (and I do not refer here to a lawless revolt against authority), you'll lose your freedom and live life as a slave. If that's what you want for you and your kids, okay... but don't complain about the chains that come with lost freedom. You asked for it.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

Marilyn M. Barnewall Archive

2009 – 2015

- [Trump is Right: Stop Islamic Immigration](#), 12-20-15
- [Does America Matter?](#), Part 2, 11-8-15
- [Does America Matter?](#), Part 1, 11-8-15
- [Are Reverse Mortgages Smart or a Mistake?](#), 11-1-15
- [Feminism? Or Masculization of Women?](#), Part 2, 10-25-15
- [Feminism? Or Masculization of Women?](#), Part 1, 10-18-15
- [Pope Francis, America, and Socialism](#), 9-27-15
- [The Establishment Want to Get Rid of Donald Trump](#), 9-15-15
- [MARRIAGE: Rite of Church or Government?](#), 8-30-15
- [Megan Kelly, Baier and Wallace: Did the Fox outfox itself?](#), 8-9-15
- [Fathers: Statistically Important](#), 6-21-15
- [Divide and Conquer: The Political Philosophy of the New Millennium](#), 5-31-15
- [Communism, Socialism, Tribalism, Oligarchy, Elitism and Crony Capitalism](#), 3-17-15
- [American Matrix: How We Lost Our Constitution](#), Part 2, 1-16-15
- [American Matrix: How We Lost Our Constitution](#), Part 1, 1-13-15
- [Ridding America of Debt – and the slavery that accompanies it](#), 8-10-14
- [What is Democracy?](#), 7-20-14
- [What Are Derivatives?](#), Part 4, 7-13-14
- [What is the Federal Reserve](#), Part 3, 7-8-14
- [What is Fiat Currency? What is Money?](#), Part 2, 7-6-14
- [What is Capitalism?](#), Part 1, 7-1-14
- [How to Fight Ivy League Cowards](#), 4-15-14
- [Deep Throat Rides Again – A Message for the Washington Post's Bob Woodward](#), 1-19-14
- [BitCoin: A New Currency, Or A New Scam?](#), 1-5-14

- [A Tale of Christmas Past and Present](#), 12-22-13
- [Pope Francis I: Your Exhortation is Marxist](#), Part 2, 12-8-13
- [Pope Francis I: Your Exhortation is Marxist](#), Part 1, 12-1-13
- [Is the American Dream Dead?](#), 10-27-13
- [Two Faces of Conservatism](#), Part 3, 8-9-13
- [Two Faces of Conservatism](#), Part 2, 8-7-13
- [Two Faces of Conservatism](#), Part 1, 8-4-13
- [God Bless C.J. \(Carole\) Williams](#), 1-27-13
- [Social Security Administration Buys 174,000 rounds of hollow-point ammo](#), 8-26-12
- [Cycles of a Nation Called America](#), Part 4, 8-19-12
- [Cycles of a Nation Called America](#), Part 3, 8-12-12
- [Cycles of a Nation Called America](#), Part 2, 8-5-12
- [Cycles of a Nation Called America](#), Part 1, 7-29-12
- [General Motors, General Electric: Guilty of Economic Treason?](#) 7-15-12
- [The Way Banking Used to Be](#), 7-1-12
- [Foreclosures, Mers and Stinky Woodpiles](#), Part 2
- [Foreclosures, Mers and Stinky Woodpiles](#), Part 1
- [Diversion, Duplicity, and Deception](#), 1-29-12
- [Words Have Meaning: Love, and Freedom](#), 1-8-12
- [Finding Truth](#), 1-1-12
- [Celebrating Truth: The Birth of Christ](#) 12-25-11
- [How the hell did we get here?](#) 12-18-11
- [Political and Financial Stew](#) 10-23-11
- [Political and Financial Stew](#) 10-23-11
- [State Baks](#) 8-28-11
- [Economy: They Can Fix it if They Want](#), Part 2, 8-14-11
- [Economy: They Can Fix it if They Want](#), Part 1, 7-31-11
- [Gold Fringed Flags](#) 7-17-11
- [Friends, Americans, Countrymen, Lend Me Your Ears](#) 7-3-11
- [Agenda 21 Equals National Suicide](#) 6-19-11
- [Dead Bodies, Deception and Honor](#) 5-29-11
- [Banksters, Pranksters and Sandy Hell Holes](#) 5-22-11

- [Maturity, Change and Motherhood](#)Â 5-8-11
- [Rolling Stone to Cause Rolling Heads?](#)Â 4-17-11
- [Ballot Blues: Say “Bye-Bye” Obama](#)Â 4-10-11
- [Commies, Crimes, and Chase](#)Â 4-3-11
- [“Inside Job” – Contrived Crisis](#)Â 3-25-11
- [State Banks](#)Â 3-20-11
- [Beyond the Road Less Traveled](#)Â 3-6-11
- [Phantom Jobs and Bullet Trains](#)Â 2-27-11
- [Politics and the Immutable Laws of Human Nature](#)Â 2-20-11
- [The Pack, the Peas and the National Anthem](#)Â 2-9-11
- [Speech Planning and Great Expectations](#)Â 2-6-11
- [Mortgage Mayhem and Mers, Hot Tubs, and the FBI](#), Part 3, 10-31-10
- [Mortgage Mayhem and Mers, Hot Tubs, and the FBI](#), Part 2, 10-31-10
- [Mortgage Mayhem and Mers, Hot Tubs, and the FBI](#), Part 1, 10-31-10
- [Saving Your Nation](#)Â 10-24-10
- [General Jones, Donilon, and other Boondoggles](#)Â 10-17-10
- [Unity in a Disunified Country](#)Â 10-3-10
- [Bullet Train Boondoggle](#)Â 9-19-10
- [Obama Thrill Turns to Chill](#)Â 9-5-10
- [Politics, Derivatives and Corporate Raiders](#)Â 8-8-10
- [Google: Who’s Running Things?](#)Â 7-25-10
- [I Love My Country](#)Â 7-4-10
- [You Say “Sovereign,” I Say “Maybe Not”](#)Â 6-28-10
- [APES: Active-Passive Energy Syndrome](#), Part 2, 6-13-10
- [APES: Active-Passive Energy Syndrome](#), Part 1, 6-13-10
- [Memorial Day: The “Giant” is Awake](#)Â 5-31-10
- [Real Patriotism: No Greater Compliment](#)Â 5-22-10
- [Liar Loans and the Thieves that Make Them](#), 5-9-10
- [Moral Hazard Ahead: Beware](#), 4-25-10
- [Leo Wanta to be Taken Down?](#), Part 3, 4-11-10
- [Leo Wanta to be Taken Down?](#), Part 2, 4-11-10
- [Leo Wanta to be Taken Down?](#), Part 1, 4-11-10
- [Pirates of the Good Ship Tea Party](#)Â 3-22-10
- [Change the Politicians, not the Constitution](#)Â 3-7-10

- [Tea Parties: Hi-Jacked by Political Hacks?](#) 3-1-10
- [Business of Love Flourishes Less in 2010](#) 2-14-10
- [High Speed Rail to Nowhere](#) 2-3-10
- [Glenn Beck, Birthers and the Tea Parties](#) 1-24-10
- [The 27.5 Trillion Dollar Man Finally Speaks](#) 1-10-10
- [State of the Republic 2009](#), Part 2, 12-30-09
- [State of the Republic 2009](#), Part 1, 12-30-09
- [Conservatives Need to Define Themselves](#) 12-6-09
- [Don't Forget Your Roots This Thanksgiving](#) 11-26-09
- [Playing by the Rules](#) 11-22-09
- [Are You in Touch With Reality?](#) 11-8-09
- [The Importance of Values and Principles](#) 10-27-09
- [A Rush of Testosterone and Alpha Males](#) 10-18-09
- [Games the Big Boys Play](#) 10-8-09
- [Obama's Economic Legacy: Success? or Failure?](#) 9-20-09
- [How High will the Financial Fraud Reach?](#) 9-6-09
- [It's not the economy, stupid! It's the attitude](#) 8-16-09
- [The Federal Reserve System and Black Swans](#) 8-4-09
- [Productive vs. Non-Productive: The New Civil War?](#) 7-11-09
- [Fourth of July is important to Americans](#) 7-4-09
- [Who Should Build America's Rail System?](#) 6-28-09
- [Important vs Necessary](#) 6-17-09
- [Audit the Federal Reserve](#) 6-10-09
- [Memorial Day " and an Apology Withdrawn](#) 5-25-09
- [The Story of a Remnant](#) 5-17-09
- [Paradigms and Tea Parties! and Dreams](#) 5-2-09
- [What Happened to American Sovereignty at G-20?](#) 4-18-09
- [It Isn't Capitalism, It's Greed](#) 4-8-09
- [Where's the Beef at AIG?](#) 3-22-09
- [The Difference Between Wealth and Profit](#) 3-14-09