

The Lies of the Left-Wing Media in Georgia Face-Off

The uncensored leftist media is so naked in their lies and tactics. This week I was used for click bait in a smear campaign designed to hurt Republican chances of winning the two Georgia US Senate run-off that takes place on January 5th and will decide who controls the US Senate.

President Trump's Act of Mercy and Justice

I was prohibited at trial from presenting expert testimony and forensic evidence that would have proved that the entire "Guccifer 2.0/ Russians hacked the DNC" narrative is false and that neither Mueller nor the intelligence agencies have any evidence beyond the now discredited CrowdStrike report to prove this assertion.

Trump Supreme Court Candidate Brett Kavanaugh Was Up To His Waist In The Mysterious Vince

Foster Death Investigation

Prosecutor Kavanaugh Intimidated and Tampered With Witnesses In The Investigation of The Death of Clinton Advisor Vince Foster Whose Body Was Found in Fort Marcy Park

Twice in the recent past so called Conservative Republican Presidents have had an opportunity to remake the US Supreme Court and set it on a solidly Conservative direction. Unlike President Ronald Reagan who gave us Supreme Court Justices like William Rehnquist and Anthony Kennedy, George H. W. Bush gave us David Souter who joined the Liberal block on the court while his son George W Bush gave us John Roberts who cast the deciding vote to save Obama Care. President Donald Trump must be cautious not to make such a mistake.

Donald Trump's appointment of a second supreme court justice, even before he and his party have to face his 1st mid-term congressional elections, may be the single most consequential decision – and opportunity – of his entire presidency.

This is blazingly apparent just in the insane mass conniption fit thrown by the radical Democrat left since the moment Anthony Kennedy's resignation was announced. The appointment of Judge **Brett Kavanaugh**, who by all reports is on the President's short list, would be a mistake however.

Kavanaugh is not only the candidate of Karl Rove and the Bush family, but the mainstream media praise for his potential appointment shows he is a deep state quisling. Even more troubling, is the fact that **Kavanaugh** who as a Federal Prosecutor intimidated and tampered with witnesses in the Clinton advisor Vince Foster's mysterious death and the finding of his body at Fort Marcy Park.

Those on the right who say Foster was murdered by the Clintons are wrong. He did indeed committed suicide, having psychologically been driven to it by Hillary. Although Foster

killed himself, it was in his old executive office building office that he did so. Hillary admits in her own biography that she feared Foster's White House office would become a "crime scene" with Federal Investigators having access to all of Clinton's most confidential records. Foster's body was rolled in a carpet and the body was taken and dumped in Fort Marcy Park. The FBI toxicology report confirms that Foster was covered head-to-toe with carpet fiber.

A man was driving up the GW Parkway towards home. This unfortunate witness, named Patrick Knowlton, happened by the Park where Foster's corpse had been transported and staged to look like an on-site suicide.


But when the parkway got congested, pulled into Marcy Park in order to urinate. Knowlton relieves himself behind a bush but upon returning to his car saw two men in a blue automobile with Arkansas license plates. The men were menacing and he left quickly. When he learned on the news that this was the day Clinton's Presidential aide Vince Foster's body was found in Fort Marcy Park, Knowlton made the biggest mistake of his life by calling the Parkway police and the FBI and telling them what he did- and did not see. Incredibly, Federal Prosecutor **Brett Kavanaugh** rather than welcoming this information, undertook a campaign to terrorize, threaten and intimidate Knowlton into changing his story.

Kavanaugh working for Special Counsel Ken Star, browbeat, intimidated and tampered with the testimony of Patrick Knowlton who ultimately filed a compelling lawsuit over this prosecutorial abuse. Knowlton's mistake, he saw the blue sedan with Arkansas plates in which Vince's body was delivered but Vince's car a brown sedan also with Arkansas plates had not yet been delivered. It is interesting that Foster's car keys were found not in his pocket but thrown yards away from his body. In my book ***"The Clinton's War On Women,"*** I identify the thugs who did Hillary's dirty work, but it is the bullying

tactics that render him unfit for Trump's appointment to the Supreme Court.

The attack on Knowlton by **Kavanaugh** was brutal. His unequivocal witness statements to the Park Police investigators suddenly created consistency problems for the cover-up story that Foster had driven himself to the park in his brown vehicle and shot himself there. Knowlton saw a different vehicle, not Foster's, and vehemently denied that Foster's brown vehicle had been there when Knowlton first arrived. It could only have arrived sometime later, after Foster was known to already be dead.

Enter **Brett Kavanaugh**, a junior rising federal prosecutor supposedly tasked to investigate and, if required, prosecute any matters arising out of the larger Whitewater Special Prosecutor investigation, including the Foster death. Kavanaugh accused Knowlton of being on drugs, of being drunk, of being in the park for a homosexual assignation and badgered him relentlessly to remember the color of the car as brown. Knowlton's phones were tapped and he was put under physical



surveillance, all at the direction of would be Supreme Court Justice **Brett Kavanaugh**. All to cover up for the Clintons and silence this inconvenient witness, Patrick Knowlton, who would ultimately file an extraordinary lawsuit against the government.

President Trump should not be fooled. U.S. Appeals Court Judge **Brett Kavanaugh** is the ultimate deep state legal mercenary and

ascendant judicial errand boy for the deepest of the deep state. **Kavanaugh** utterly epitomizes and certainly represents the very same Beltway-Bushier-Yale Law network of diabolical manipulators who saddled Trump with George W. Bush's loyal FBI chief **Robert Mueller** as the perpetual in-house persecutor of Trump's presidency.

The insiders show their hand; before Trump could even pull his list of possible nominees out of his desk drawer, the usual suspects in the fake news corporate media and the extended Bush-Clinton-Obama sedition network that has been gunning for Trump since day one, were busy propping up and pushing **Kavanaugh** as the frontrunner – the top pick – the odds-on favorite – whatever description they could use to front-load the selection process in **Kavanaugh's** favor.

The Swamp has spoken and it wants **Kavanaugh**. They will try to make his ascension to the high court seem like an inevitability, even as to President Trump himself. It is incredibly imperative that President Trump reject these machinations and not consider **Kavanaugh** for so much as another second.

There are better choices for the court, which badly needs a Libertarian within its Conservative wing. Trump's emotional favorite for the appointment is **Judge Andrew Napolitano**, a possibility ruled out by age and his media persona. Trump needs a quick easy confirmation. Senator **Mike Lee**, a man who I have publicly disagreed with but who I think nonetheless is a principle Libertarian, deeply suspicious of government and the establishment who could be easily confirmed. **Susan Collins** is a friend of mine who I disagree with on most things but a woman who I believe is of great principle. Although I don't know **Lisa Murkowski**, I do know the libertarian spirit of the people in Alaska. I think both these fine woman Senators would be hard put to oppose **Mike Lee**.

There is discussion of **Judge Napolitano** however, as a possible

Attorney General when Trump finally decides to give Sessions the heve-ho. Former US Attorney **Anthony McCarthy** is another Giuliani would push to clean house at the Sessions-Rosenstein DOJ where cover up of the Clinton's and Obama's crimes seems to be first priority.

Judge Willet from Texas is a noble idea and a real Libertarian as is **Amy Barrett**, a principled Conservative that Liberals would pick apart over her devout Catholicism would both be good choices but probably un-confirmable. Trump could gamble on another woman but **Mike Lee** is a sure win, clean, quick and before the 2018 Election.

If the president is not already of this mind, it shouldn't take much convincing, since just last month in a rally speech Trump himself brought up the very incident that is at the root of why **Kavanaugh** is absolutely unfit to serve on the high court, or on any bench really, and must be disqualified. It would be like letting Hillary Clinton appoint the next Justice; **Kavanaugh** has been a soldier in the Bush/Clinton Crime Syndicate. He is the wrong man for this job because he did cleanup work for the Clintons and the political establishment.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Roger Stone's Recently Remembered Contact With A Russian FBI Informant

My critics have flipped because I only recently recalled a contact who was both a Russian and an FBI informant only in

the country on a visa requested by the Miami office of the FBI saying his presence in the country served a "public benefit". The man who called himself Henry Greenberg is actually Gennady Vasilievich Vostretsov. A convicted violent felon who spent 10 years in prison and has been an FBI informant for 17 years.

Since my 20 minute meeting with the FBI informant resulted in no inappropriate or illegal act, I have no reason to dissemble or hide the meeting since nothing improper or significant resulted from it. Even the FBI informant himself confirmed for the *Washington Post* that I rejected his demand for \$2 million.

I now realize the FBI informant's demand for "Trump's money" in a \$2 million payment for undisclosed damaging information on Hillary Clinton was seeking to compromise Trump himself. Knowing I have an almost 40 year relationship with the President, Comey's FBI thought I could get Trump to pony up thus entrapping him.

I flatly rejected the FBI informant's request for \$2 million as the *Washington Post* reported.

The question isn't why I didn't recall this – since the results of this contact are inconsequential and in no way improper or illegal.

The real question is why Comey's FBI would send a paid informant who is clearly only in the United States at their sufferance except as a "Stef Halper" type operation to penetrate Trump's circle and compromise him through me. The name Gennady Vasilievich Vostretsov has indisputably been an FBI informant for 17 years, has a long association with Russian organized crime and spent 10 years in prison for a violent crime. He was deported from the country in 2000 but was conveniently in Miami in 2016 where he is working for the FBI. [Here is the rap sheet.](#)

Michael Caputo who asked me to take this meeting had no foreknowledge that the FBI informant would demand \$2 million

for the information he “wanted to get to the Trump campaign”. A text message in the hands of the *Washington Post* reflect my rejection of his proposal and I chastise Caputo because the meeting was a waste of time.

I have reason to believe that Robert Mueller’s investigators have this text exchange, which I believe they obtained through an illegal FISA warrant as reported by the *New York Times* on January 20th, 2017. Since FISA warrants can only be issued against US Citizens if they are actively involved in espionage on behalf of a foreign power against The United States. I certainly don’t meet that criteria. Thus this warrant like the ones on Paul Manafort and Carter Page was political motivated.

The government insists in a Manafort case that Manafort was never under surveillance of any kind either in an earlier period in which he was working in Ukraine, a surveillance in which no evidence of wrong doing was found, his surveillance was turned back on at precisely the time that he joined the Trump campaign. This will ultimately come out if Manafort goes to trial as I expect him to do. The issuance of a FISA warrant against me is a violation of my civil rights and a violation of my right to privacy. I have filed the necessary tort claims with the US government to file a lawsuit for \$1 million in damages which won’t come close to the legal fees I will have to pay in the coming food fight.

I believe any evidence the government uses to frame me for some bogus offense will be the fruits of an illegal FISA warrant. There is no doubt that the offense of Special Counsel has already accessed my email, text messages and phone calls in a “police state” move to conjure up some faux infraction for which I could be prosecuted.

The Special Counsel seems determined to frame me for some bogus offense both to silence me as a critic of their investigation and for political motives and to induce to testify against the President in some way. This I will not do.

Because I'm already being sued by an Obama backed lefty legal foundation and the DNC and also facing a baseless \$100 million defamation lawsuit from a Chinese national in the United States who is fighting extradition, and has ties to Steve Bannon. My legal expenses for representation surrounding my testimony to the House Intelligence Committee and my impending testimony before the Senate Intelligence Committee and perhaps the Senate Judiciary Committee along with compliance with their broad and onerous document requests, my legal expenses are expected to exceed \$1 million. I have already looted my grandchildren's college fund. Online reports that I have a \$20 million net worth are false. My legal expenses against a running away Federal prosecutor seeking to "flip me" against Donald Trump, a man I have known intimately for 39 years, threatens to bankrupt me and destroy my family. You can help by sending a donation to StoneDefenseFund.com

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Trump Must Drain The EPA Swamp

Last month marked a huge victory for man's best friend and dog-loving Americans as President Trump signed a spending bill that finally defunds nearly all of the Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA) [cruel and wasteful canine experiments](#).

Amid pressure from myself, Congress, veterans groups and countless taxpayers, the [new law](#) enacted by POTUS dramatically restricts the use of taxpayer money for deadly dog experiments at the VA. In the recent past, VA's studies have included horrifying and needless practices like causing heart attacks

in 6-month-old beagle puppies, collapsing dogs' lungs, cutting into their brains, and severing their spinal cords. This waste and abuse was especially egregious given the VA's ongoing failure to give veterans the proper physical and mental health services—including failing to provide service dogs—and other benefits they have earned by defending our freedom.

Unfortunately, the VA isn't the only entrenched government agency where mission creep has resulted in the senseless torture of helpless animals with our tax dollars and the madness must be stopped immediately.

Take the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), whose laudable mission is “to protect human health and the environment.” Sadly, many of the agency's programs and policies accomplish neither, and even undermine the EPA's objectives. In the name of reducing fuel emissions, it set federal ethanol standards that are helping [decimate butterfly populations](#), and to allegedly protect land and waterways it attempted to [fine one family \\$16 million](#) for building a small backyard pond on their own property.

As the Daily Caller [reported](#), the EPA's National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory (NHEERL) is another well-intentioned program that has gone awry. NHEERL is reportedly squandering millions in citizens' hard-earned tax money to conduct useless air pollution tests and other exposure experiments on over 20,000 rabbits, mice, and other animals a year.

A review conducted by conservative watchdog group White Coat Waste Project of some 20 EPA studies done in the past two years shows that animals were forced to inhale toxic fumes and were exposed to pollutants the EPA claims can be deadly in humans. The tests shockingly involved [force-feeding](#) animals lard to make them obese and then exposing them to air pollution, forcing animals to breathe diesel

exhaust, [blasting](#) animals with loud noises and light and then exposing them to ozone, and giving baby animals [electric shocks](#).

EPA critic and [JunkScience.com](#) founder Steve Milloy told the Daily Caller, "Mice are not little people when it comes to studying the potential health effects, like cancer, of low-level exposures to chemicals in the environment." He went on to explain that, "Generally, in order to demonstrate a chemical can cause cancer in a rodent or other lab animal, the researcher will have to literally almost poison the animal" and that, "In addition to the physiological differences between mice and men, laboratory exposures to chemicals are typically nothing like real-life exposures." The EPA's animal tests are as dumb as they sound. They're also dangerous because their misleading results may be used as a basis for flawed public health and regulatory decisions that harm people and industry.

My friend Congressman Matt Gaetz (R-FL) recently [took to the floor](#) of the U.S. House of Representatives to call out the EPA's animal experiments, explaining, "We sure find a lot of ways to waste money in this town, but the EPA may just have reached a new low. We are spending \$5 million dollars on a study to force-feed rats lard and coconut oil until they're morbidly obese. Then we pump their enclosures full of exhaust until they die... I would invite my colleagues to join me in fighting this and so much other wasteful spending."

EPA [released a draft policy](#) last month aimed at reducing and replacing animal testing by the industries it regulates, but it has not made the same commitment to get rid of the expensive, awful and unnecessary animal testing its doing in its own labs. EPA administrator Scott Pruitt, a Trump favorite, needs to get his own house in order, too.

President Trump just made history by cutting funds for VA's dog testing and now he has another opportunity to clean up

research labs at the EPA. The administration's 2019 budget proposes cutting NHEERL's funding by 37 percent, from \$115 million to \$71 million. If Congress heeds Trump's request, as it should, it will be yet another win for taxpayers, animals and anyone fighting to drain the DC swamp.

Roger Stone is a legendary Republican political consultant and a veteran of many national Republican presidential campaigns.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Left Lies About Wikileaks And Attack's Me For Speaking The Truth

In the 40 years that I have spent in American Politics, I have never seen a more hysterical lynch mob than the one at *MSNBC*, and other "Trump Hating" fake news sites. If you read the *Washington Post*, *Salon* or *Vice*, they would have you believe that I am on the verge of being indicted by Special Counsel Robert Mueller for obtaining copies of the allegedly hacked DNC emails acquired and published by Julian Assange and passing them to Donald Trump and his campaign.

The drooling left-wing talking heads insist endlessly that Julian Assange, the publisher of WikiLeaks, is a Russian agent and WikiLeaks is a Russian front. Therefore, they reason that obtaining and passing such documents to Trump would be a treasonous crime.

There is only one little problem with this conspiracy theory. I never received anything from Wikileaks, or the Russians, or

anyone else. I never sent Donald Trump anything. In fact, I never discussed the Wikileaks disclosures or allegedly hacked DNC emails with Donald Trump before during or after the election.

I testified for four hours before the House Intelligence Committee months ago, debunking this left-wing conspiracy theory. Unfortunately, although members of the Committee disparaged me in public session, I was only allowed to respond behind closed doors. Suggestions by the grumbling Democratic minority and amplified by *Politico* that my testimony was less than honest are completely and categorically false.



Last week someone on the staff of the House of Intelligence Committee leaked a carefully doctored and truncated screenshot of the direct message exchange I had with

WikiLeaks. This material was long ago supplied to the House Intelligence Committee and even in its heavily edited form, proves yet again that I had no coordination or collaboration with WikiLeaks.

Unfortunately, virtually everything about this fake news fairy tale is wrong.

There is no evidence whatsoever that I had advanced notice of the source, content, or exact timing of the WikiLeaks disclosures that would so thoroughly rock Hillary Clinton's campaign. There is no evidence whatsoever that I received any documents from WikiLeaks or Julian Assange, and quite obviously I could not pass on what I never had.

I never communicated with candidate or President Donald Trump regarding the WikiLeaks disclosures and the DNC emails, before, during, or after the election. The claim by the Democrats and some of their vicious handmaidens in the media does coincidentally jibe with the charges in a phony harassment lawsuit filed by an Obama approved front group, falsely accusing Donald Trump and me of receiving material hacked by the Russians and providing it to WikiLeaks (sound familiar?). The entire front line of Deep State criminals, including Ex-CIA head John Brennan and NSA perjurer James Clapper, filed an extraordinary 'friend of the court' brief harping on Russian interference in our election... but never proved I had anything to do with it. Silly Rabbits!

The Greek chorus of left-wing fanatics led by *MSNBC's* Ari Melber and whipped into a frenzy by over-the-hill - Trump hater Tom Brokaw, the *New York Times* Michelle Goldberg and neocon



Bill Kristol generate nothing but disinformation and lies. All of this hand-waving is designed to invite scrutiny of my records and activities by the office of Special Counsel Robert Mueller. No Russian... or Wikileaks collusion will be found.

On January 20th, 2017, the *New York Times* reported in a page one story that I, as a longtime associate and advisor to Donald Trump, had been subject to a FISA warrant by which the FBI monitored my communications. The *New York Times* has never retracted this story, so I have operated under the assumption that all my communications including emails, text messages and phone calls have been monitored. They will yield no evidence of Russian collusion or collaboration with WikiLeaks or Julian

Assange.

I essentially punked the Democrats and the media by carefully following the public pronouncements of Assange and WikiLeaks, mirroring them in my own postings. As Assange himself has said, Roger Stone never wrote or tweeted anything we hadn't already said publicly.

This new assault on me is a naked attempt to twist the facts, because I so get under the skin of the "never Trump" media elites. It's also meant to serve as a backdrop of lies for the harassment lawsuit that Donald Trump and I are fighting in front of a liberal judge in Washington D.C.

The Stone haters know that fighting this litigation, as well as dealing with any potential inquiries from the Special Counsel, will cause me hundreds of thousands of dollars in additional legal fees. Jousting with the House and the Senate Judiciary Committees racked up [\\$497,000 in legal fees alone!](#)

This harassment by the media and this expensive but bogus lawsuit are literally designed to bankrupt me and distract me from the coming fight by Special Counsel Robert Mueller and the Democrats in Congress to remove our President.

Clearly this is an attempt by the President's critics to re-inflate their now discredited "Russian collusion theory." They do this to distract from the growing evidence that the Obama Administration, the Obama Justice Department, and the FBI used evidence they knew was fabricated and paid for by Hillary Clinton/DNC. This was the basis for the phony rationale to "legally" justify spying by the State on the Republican candidate for President and his campaign.

I'm sure you have read about the decision by General Mike Flynn to sell his home in order to pay the mounting legal cost of his defense and his establishment of a legal defense fund. With these new attacks on my family, legal bills for an aggressive representation by my lawyers is projected to cost

an additional \$300,000. The Libtards are trying to bankrupt me.

I have been through many political battles and I have the scars to prove it. I stood with Donald Trump and his reform agenda from the beginning. I continue to believe that he has the courage, vision, and persistence to revitalize our economy, reduce our trade imbalance, seal our borders and rebuild our military strength.

As a strong supporter of the President and a friend to this cause, I hope you will consider a generous contribution to the [STONE LEGAL DEFENSE FUND](#), set up to help defray my costs. The cabal of Liberal Democratic lawyers assembled by Robert Mueller have an unlimited budget of tax dollars and are unfortunately ungoverned because the most recent Special Counsel Law has expired. Under the expired law, Mueller would need the approval of a Federal three Judge panel to extend his investigation into any area beyond the narrow scope of his charge; "Russian Collusion."

Mueller has a license to look at anything, and the Justice Department refuses to publicly disclose the size of his budget. It is safe to say that it has wasted millions and millions of our tax dollars.

Please help, [contributions of any amount are deeply appreciated](#). I have three more grandchildren to put through college. This financial pressure on me and my family is part of the globalists plan to silence Donald Trump's supporters and destroy his Presidency.

Their entire "Russian Collusion" narrative grows tedious. As a person who was drawn to politics by the fervent Anti-Communism of Barry Goldwater and Ronald Reagan, and whose own relatives were mowed down on the streets of Budapest in 1956, I have no illusions about the strident totalitarianism of the Russian system and the tough tactics of Vladimir Putin. At the same

time, I think war with Russia over Syria would be yet another Neocon blunder. When a nation has thermos-nuclear weapons, I am a great believer in a dialog with them.

The indictment of 13 Russians including a caterer (which means Robert Mueller literally indicted a ham sandwich) who the Special Counsel knows will not be extradited from Russia to face trial is a joke. The somewhat inept and contradictory social media campaigns that have not been connected in any way to Donald Trump or the Trump campaign are not evidence of "Russian collusion."

It was reminiscent of the "Show Trials" the Russians used to hold. More than half of the Russian money for internet promotions appeared to have been spent after the elections. At various times, they masked themselves as Anti-Trump, Anti-Hillary, Pro-Bernie Sanders and Pro Hillary, as well as sharing some Pro-Trump memes. Boris and Ivan were the gang that couldn't shoot straight.

The incredible progress President Donald Trump has made, considering the deep animus for him by the ruling elites of both parties and Washington's Permanent Establishment, including our sadly politicized Intelligence Agencies are leaking and lying to spite Trump and screw the American people.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Deep State, Mainstream Media,

And The Established Order Will Stop At Nothing To Prove The Unprovable

TRUTH ABOUT WIKILEAKS AND RANDY AND ME

When I spoke of a back channel to WikiLeaks in a rousing Tea Party rally in 2016, I was probably over dramatizing the role of progressive talk show host, comic, impressionist, and activist Randy Credico.

I first met Credico when I was advising billionaire Tom Golisano in his 75-million-dollar independent bid for Governor of New York against Governor George Pataki, who governed conservatively in his first term but mortgaged controlled of state finances to the municipal unions in return for their endorsement in his re-election and Carl McCall the elected African-American State Comptroller.

Golisano, a maverick billionaire from Rochester, had proposed the legalization of medicinal marijuana as the candidate of New York's Reform Party affiliate, the New York Independence Party who backed his message with millions from the fortune he made as the founder and CEO of PAYCHEX. It shows how far ahead of his time the billionaire philanthropist entrepreneur Tom Golisano was.

Credico pitched me on Golisano's calling for reform of New York's Draconian drug laws name for New York Governor Nelson "Rocky" A. Rockefeller. Rocky, who began as a liberal Republican needed to move to the right, along with a rapidly changing Republican party. Rockefeller rebranded himself as tough on drugs and crime to win back Republican voters outraged with his tax and spending policies. Rockefeller's pollsters told him in his 1970 bid for a third term, he had to join the "Law and Order" brigade of Nixon and Agnew.



Although I was pro-marijuana legalization based on my mostly Libertarian views, I was really obtuse about our expensive, ignominious, and racist drug laws and the outrageous

mandatory sentences for non-violent people in possession of small amounts of drugs which has created an upstate cottage industry of prison guards and purveyors of services to upstate prisons. Judges were restricted from any discretion, being compelled to mete out harsh punishment for first time offenders, despite the absence of any previous criminal record.

The Rockefeller drug laws were ruining lives, destroying families and rehabilitating no one, while tax payers paid through the nose for the long-term incarceration of an enormous disproportionate African-American and Latino prison population. Because of the less than valiant use of the pardon power by Governor Andrew Cuomo, people remained lost in New York's broken system.

Randy Credico opened my eyes to all of this and arranged for me and Tom Golisano appear at a "countdown to justice" rally with the Reverend Al Sharpton, hip hop entrepreneur Russell Simmons and then Attorney General Andrew Cuomo, calling for reform of the Rockefeller Laws. Ultimately Simmons would hijack the negotiations in the state legislature to reform the law in the face of the wide spread criticism, but Simmons agreed to modest reforms that have not helped those still trapped in the corroded rectum of the New York State penal system

Credico is an engaging character with a deep sense of history,

a great sense of humor, and a sometimes-deadly ability as an impressionist. Credico's "Richard Nixon" is dead on. Credico actually had two Nixon impressions, the "relaxed" Nixon, worldly Statesman which is uncanny in its accuracy, and an "over the top cartoonish" Nixon, dropping catch phrases like "let me make this perfectly clear" and "I am not a crook."

Credico had a stunning star-turn as a comic impressionist who ran afoul of Johnny Carson on The Tonight Show and was banished. By Credico's own admission, this successful comedian's career spiraled out of control because of drug abuse. Randy would grapple with his demons but reinvented himself as a one man advocate for drug law reform and prison law reform helping found the New York Mothers of the Disappeared, black and Latino mothers whose sons and daughters had disappeared into the halls of New York State penal system. Credico would travel to Albany dressed as Diogenes in his efforts to shame the Legislature and the Governor into drug law reform and broader use of the pardon system.

Credico's talents as an impressionist were such that during Tom Golisano's campaign Randy called the campaign manager as "Tom" and fired the young man. Golisano's temperament made the gag believable. I continued to maintain that Credico, who has heard me rant over martinis and cigars can be heard in the voice message to Governor Elliot Spitzer's father, warning him that his sons corruption would soon bring him down. Credico's impression of me is incredible.

It was Randy Credico who first brought to my attention in mid-July 2016, the public claim of WikiLeaks publisher Julian Assange that he had significant material on the Democrats and Hillary Clinton and would publish those documents. Up until this time, I had not been paying much attention to WikiLeaks and was not following the WikiLeaks or Assange feeds on Twitter.

I knew that Randy had a long association with the William

Kunstler Foundation and was particularly close to Kunstler's wife, Margaret, the radical lawyer's widow and a most able attorney herself. Randy was competing with hundreds of other journalists to land Julian Assange as a guest on his radio show at WBAI, a legendary progressive station in New York City, where Credico had seemed to have found his niche as a talk show host. Assange would subsequently give Credico extraordinary interviews that are well worth listening to. I figured Credico knew what he was talking about.

I asked Randy to confirm that the Australian journalist had credible information on Hillary Clinton's campaign. Assange is held a virtual captive in a cramped embassy in London where he is being persecuted for doing what all real journalists do, sometimes obtaining classified information from whistle blowers that embarrasses governments and publishing it. The intelligence agencies repeated insistence that Assange is a Russian agent and that WikiLeaks is a Russian front is as phony as their certitude that the DNC's mail servers were hacked by "Russians."

It is important to note that Credico never said from whom he gained this confirmation, or the source, or the content of whatever was coming. He told me it would be released October 1st. I consider him a confirming source and little else despite my hype of calling him a back channel.

From the end of July through August until the end of September, Credico insisted that Assange was about to publish this material on the Democrats which Randy described as "devastating" to Hillary, on October 1st. When Assange scheduled a press event on October 1st, I was among those predicting the impact on Hillary Clinton even though I did not know the source or the content of the disclosures. Credico speculated that the material pertaining to the Clinton Foundation, a prediction that turned out to be only partially right, with those emails lacking the "devastating" facts revealed in the DNC email traffic.

When Assange made no disclosures on October 1st, Alex Jones was among those publicly motherfucking Assange for losing his nerve. Credico told me that Assange had demurred on October 1st because of the concerns of one of his lawyers, Daniel Ellsberg, about threats to Assange's life if he went forward with the disclosures. Remember, Hillary Clinton actually advocated the use of a drone strike to kill Assange in London, in order to prevent the disclosure of what she knew he had. Credico told me that Secretary of State John Kerry had astonishingly gone to British Prime Minister Teresa May and asked that Britain rescind its diplomatic recognition of Ecuador for one day, stripping Assange of his asylum, so that US and British authorities could storm the Embassy and seize Assange.

Credico also told me that Kerry had convened a conference call of the heads of state of the Latin American countries surrounding Ecuador to demand they assert pressure on the Ecuadorian government to turn over the embattled journalist, warning that there would be harsh treatment for those nations that did not help the US government in this regard.

Credico predicted that Assange "would do the right thing" and in fact Assange announced the schedule of a series of forthcoming disclosures in his October 1st remarks, which was little noticed by the press. He would follow this schedule to devastating effect.

To make an important point, Credico never mentioned anything about the emails of John Podesta, nor did I publicly predict that his emails would be hacked and published by WikiLeaks or anyone else. Acutely aware of Podesta's not-so-subtle hand in pushing stories regarding the Ukrainian business activities of Paul Manafort, I was well aware of Podesta's extensive business dealings with the oligarchs around Vladimir Putin, having read about it in the Panama papers published in April of 2016. I had also read a devastating opposition research memo by the investigative journalist Dr. Jerome Corsi which

outlined Podesta's involvement in Russian banking, uranium, and gas interests. I didn't need a heads up from WikiLeaks to tell me that Podesta's business dealings would prove controversial and "his time in the barrel" would come. I specifically never made any reference or prediction about Podesta's emails, and the assertion that I was involved in obtaining them for WikiLeaks is categorically false. Many media outlets reported on the Podesta brothers' dealings, including a piece I wrote based entirely on public sources.

Attention Aaron Blake; I never had advance notice or knowledge of the hacking of any emails by anyone. Twist that one.

While I testified to the U.S. House of Representatives Select Committee on Intelligence about all of this, I initially declined to supply Credico's name to the Committee for fear of professional reprisal against Credico whose life seemed to have stabilized with his WBAI gig and he was getting big ratings for provocative interviews. At the urging of Rep. Trey Gowdy and other members of the Committee I decided to supply Credico's name to the Committee in a letter from my attorneys to the Committee's attorneys. As I feared, Credico was fired at WBAI when his name leaked.

To be absolutely clear, neither Credico, nor WikiLeaks, nor Julian Assange, nor the Russians, or anybody else sent me any of the documents ultimately published by WikiLeaks. As Assange himself said, I never Tweeted or predicted anything that Assange and WikiLeaks had not already publicly disclosed. I was a keen reader of Assange's Twitter feed and picked up significant interviews through a constant Google News search. I had no advanced knowledge of the content, source or ultimate timing of any of the WikiLeaks disclosures including the infamous DNC emails. I did carefully mirror Julian Assange's own disclosures, but only after he made them.

Equally false is the irresponsible claim by Washington Post blogger Jennifer Rubin who extrapolated from the House

Democrats Russian collusion memo that the Russians gave advance copies of the hacked material to Trump insiders and her assertion was that it included me. This is categorically false! There is no evidence to support this assertion, yet Rubin has refused a request from my attorney for a correction. When I said this on MTP Daily with Chuck Todd, Michelle Goldberg of the New York Times said this story did not exist. Goldberg falsely claimed that I said the memo itself inferred that I received such documents when I clearly ascribed this false report to the Washington Post. [Here it is](#).

When the House Select Committee on Intelligence sought to question Credico regarding what he considered to be perfectly legal activity, well within his scope of operating as a journalist, the veteran comic asserted his 5th Amendment Rights. I on the other hand testified for 4 and a half hours, under oath explaining my comments.

Sadly Credico, having dodged under oath testimony in front of the Congress, is now having amnesia regarding what really transpired. Perhaps the out of work comedian is embarrassed that he was “talking out of school” prior to his landing Assange as a “big get” on his radio show.

Fortunately, Credico bragged about his role in educating me as to Assange’s claims that he had the “motherlode” on Hillary and would disgorge it, to another journalist.

Since I never received any material whatsoever from WikiLeaks or the Russians, or any other source, the charge that I provided those documents to either Donald Trump or anyone in the Trump Campaign is patently false. You can’t give what you never got. I can honestly say that I never discussed the WikiLeaks DNC material with candidate or President Donald J Trump before, during or after the election. This dog won’t hunt.

The Atlantic magazine recently published a truncated, doctored

screenshot of a direct message exchange between me and WikiLeaks, which has long since been turned over to the House Intelligence Committee in its true and complete form months ago. Only in the current, highly charged atmosphere can a leaked document which is entirely exculpatory and proves that I was not collaborating with WikiLeaks, provoke an “AHA” moment.

The tragic meltdown of Sam Nunberg brought new attention to the issue of the WikiLeaks disclosures, when Nunberg in a wild a contradictory series of interviews said he would not cooperate or honor a subpoena for documents of any email between Nunberg and numerous officials of the Trump Campaign including Corey Lewandowski, Hope Hicks, Steve Bannon, Steven Miller and myself.

Sam Nunberg was not speaking at my behest or direction. Nunberg was however correct when he said I haven’t done anything wrong.

Vultures in the main stream media including the Washington Post, Salon, and Vice jumped to the immediate conclusion that my indictment was imminent because there was evidence that I had received a heads up and copies of the DNC documents and provided them to Donald Trump and the Trump Campaign. This is wishful thinking by my political enemies. There is no evidence to support such a charge.

The only possible impropriety of contacts or dialog with WikiLeaks, is based on a premise that Assange is acting for a foreign power, namely the Russians a mantra repeated endlessly by our politicized intelligence agencies, but unsubstantiated by any evidence they can cite. All the while, they push their ridiculous claims of being “highly confident” in their “assessment” of WikiLeaks and Assange. Julian Assange is a heroic journalist. As Sean Hannity who has interviewed Assange points out, WikiLeaks’ track record of accuracy and authenticity is unblemished after 11 years.

When I made this point in my MSNBC interview their legal analyst Ari Melber said I was “moving the goal post” in my declaration that Assange was not a Russian agent. He asserted that I did so to essentially absolve myself of treason because of the perpetuated lie that I trafficked documents from WikiLeaks, an activity in which I never engaged. What hole does Mr. Melber live in? I have asserted my belief that Assange is being persecuted simply because WikiLeaks has disclosed information embarrassing to the established order and the Obama Administration, as well as our overreaching intelligence agencies. For months, I have repeated my belief that Assange is being wrongly labeled as a Russian asset, including in this [op-ed piece I wrote in for a newspaper in England](#). No Ari this is not something new that I have been saying.

And now, who should help Credico peddle the crap that he was not my connection to WikiLeaks, but Mike Isikoff, who the FBI fed the phony dossier to “place” a story they would then cite in court to support their politically motivated and illegal spying. A deep state shill, he beseeched me to disclose Credico’s name to him “for his book” and I wouldn’t spit it up.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Swamp Stink Special: A Rubin Sandwich, Covered In Schiff

Well, another Trump-Russia collusion hoax-peddler from another leftist media rag is out with another specious, conclusory attempt to reinforce yet another bunch of Schiff floated

downstream by House Intelligence Committee Democrats in the form of a 10-page “rebuttal” memo replete with all kinds of clever stories and, as expected, absolutely ZERO evidence to support anything in the Democrats’ ceaseless carousel of phony allegations against the president and his 2016 campaign.

This time the designated Trump-Russia collusion conspiracy theorist is an actual creature of the Beltway Swamp, where democracy goes to be thwarted by “Democrats.” But to call the duplicitous Trump-deranged neo-liberal Washington Post warmongress-in-chief Jennifer Rubin a ‘conspiracy theorist’ would be a terrible insult to that moniker, even as a CIA-invented pejorative.

After reading Rubin’s flimsy attempt bolster the Democrats’ Schiffy, heavily-redacted memo the term conspiracy fantasist is far more fitting. Rubin’s brand of conspiracy-peddling follows the modus operandi of the Clinton spinmeisters who manufacture intrigue and fabricate complexities to cleverly, if cynically, insinuate their seething ulterior animus into the public discourse surrounding any controversial issue or contentious question.

Rubin is one of the phoniest of all phony fake news poseurs who would never be accused of trying to inform or explain or illuminate. Quite to the contrary, Rubin’s raison d’etre is to disinform, confuse and obfuscate, so she can capitalize as thoroughly as possible at every turn (including every “Right Turn”) on the rotten fruits of her underhanded literary machinations.

Just like that of her fellow travelers in the fascist left’s pseudo-intelligentsia, Rubin’s aggressive casuistry is conscious and purposeful, serving as both a tactic and a tool.

Create confusion, exploit confusion. Divert and distract from the simple, obvious truth of the matter by concocting complicated, convoluted conspiracy claims that conveniently

dovetail with ulterior partisan and ideological motives, while providing cheap cover for more deception, disinformation and defamation.

Rubin's cursory memo on the Memo is weak sauce, rich with irony coming from someone whose entire political identity is itself a cynical fraud. Rubin's Washington Compost blog is laughably called "Right Turn" and purports to offer "reported opinion from a conservative perspective." Rubin's "conservative perspective" is on par with Sasquatch. We've all heard that Bigfoot exists, but who among has every actually seen one?

Prior to her arrival to the Swamp with her carpetbag full of authoritarian statist bromides, ready to launch her illustrious career as a shrill militarist described as "conservative" by everyone except, you know, *actual* conservatives, Rubin was a failed left coast "labor and employment" lawyer who followed seven years of indoctrination at U.C. Berkeley (undergraduate and law school) with a 20-year stint in L.A. shilling for Big Corporate Hollywood.

This is not to say that someone with a "conservative" pedigree on par with Jane Fonda's is incapable of being cured of her leftist lunacy. Yes, miracles happen. But it has been more than a decade of (actual) conservatives' having to suffer false association with Rubin's dubious opinion columns that one of her WaPo colleagues described as "at best ... political pornography" before suggesting Rubin be canned "not because she's conservative, but because she's just plain bad." Given that Rubin is actively in bed with the worst of the worst of far-left Democrat deceivers, it is long past time for Rubin and her Bezos Post puppet masters to finally drop this offensive charade and cease and desist from any further false claims that Rubin is anything remotely resembling a conservative.

Like David Brooks and Bill Kristol, Rubin is a darling of the

leftist media establishment because as a counterfeit conservative she serves the function of either embarrassing conservatives with her strident warmongering or teeing up the opportunity for her leftist cronies to mischaracterize real conservatives as somehow extreme or unreasonable when compared to Rubin's much more acceptable-to-leftists (i.e. counterfeit) rendition of conservatism. All the better if Rubin simply goes on the attack against real conservatives, as suits her fancy.

The WaPo and its crony fascist hierarchy, like all left-bent corporate media, likes their conservatives like they like their news: FAKE. Unfortunately for Rubin and the continued viability of her long-standing ruse as an impostor conservative, her compulsion to attack those not aligned with her big government proclivities and global interventionist militarism has escalated into an unhinged obsession as it concerns anything and everything Trump.

Consistent with her enamor for Mitt Romney, Rubin is an evangelical never-Trumper whose seething hatred for our president...for HER president...is so intense in both word and deed that she is now effectively-indistinguishable from the worst of the unhinged Democrats in Congress.

In other words, Rubin has finally become her true self, showing her true colors as a disingenuous quisling that neither leftists nor conservatives can credibly suggest is an actual conservative.

So, it is just another bonus benefit of the Donald Trump presidency that his mere existence in that office has forced the permanent, involuntary unmasking of a longtime ideological grifter who has consistently been a willing tool of the most ardent enemies of all things conservative.

Returning to the Democrats' 'much memo about nothing' with all its Schiffy hedges and dodges and hair-splitting sophistry, it is quite clear that Rubin's attempt to declare victory, and

somehow breathe life back into the same discredited narrative the Democrat distractionists have been pushing since the summer of 2016, is not a sign of its strength, but its weakness.

Were the memo anything close to as persuasive or dispositive as the Schiff wagon has been busy for the last 48 hours clattering around the Hill to proclaim, certainly Ms. Rubin would not have saw any need to spend her Saturday cobbling together such a sloppy, wishful declaration of its success as a Democrat counterpunch.

Without getting into Rubin's cherry-picking around the memo's rather-scatterbrained contents to prop up the steaming pile of Schiff that it is, I will simply address Rubin's deceitful attempt to bring me into her fantasy narrative. Specifically, Rubin wrote:

The memo also states that "Russian agents previewed their hack and dissemination of stolen emails." Was this a heads-up to [Roger Stone](#)? If they were previewing the email release to anyone associated with the Trump campaign, that surely constitutes collusion by anyone's definition.

Rubin really shows her smarmy leftist lawyer-liar chops with this little cherry-picked tidbit. The actual memo section from which Rubin lifts this single sentence about Russians "previewing their hack and dissemination of stolen emails" is as follows:

Now, I can certainly concede that this memo contains a good bit of language and syntax that are exactly what one would expect from a bunch of sleazy partisan lawyers on a mission to manipulate and obfuscate details with confused or confusing phrases and sentence construction. I must admit, even a Berkeley-trained lawyer who worked for Harvey Weinstein's cronies for 20 years would, as I did, ask themselves what the hell that sentence even means, specifically.

Rubin, of course, like a good leftist ambulance-chaser, is not one to let the sloppy, Schiffy drafting by her fellow lawyer scum stand in the way of using it in just as deceitfully as it was intended to be. There is NOTHING in that sentence or paragraph that makes it anything but unclear as to what is even meant by “previewing”, nor how one can preview a “hack and dissemination”, versus previewing documents themselves.

Nor do I understand at all what Miss Rubin means by her own sloppy, inarticulate drafting of her comment: “was this a heads-up to Roger Stone?”

What exactly the hell do you mean, Jenny?? A heads-up? From whom? To whom? How?? Please feel free to email me to let me know what exactly you are suggesting.

In the meantime, permit me to set your confused fake news brain right on this question. I will repeat what I have stated verbally and in print, repeatedly, over and over and over, including under oath before your soul-sister Schiff and the entire House Intelligence Committee: I have NEVER had any contacts with any Russians in any way whatsoever having anything to do with my work for the Trump Campaign or any campaign or any business or professional or even personal undertaking, EVER.

I did not have and have never had access to, or possession of, any leaked materials, hacked or otherwise, from any source, by any means other than what has been published openly on the internet, i.e. any view I had of any materials of any kind from any source by any means was from the same place that anyone else in the general public would find and access them on the internet.

No Russian intelligence “preview”, no “heads-up”, no Russian collusion, nothing that would ever validate or even come close to resembling your demented defamatory delusions. Period.

You have now been warned that your fake navel-gazing comment,

and any other like it, suggesting or speculating or wondering aloud in a manner that casts me in a false light and attempts to imply that I participated in any activities with any Russians for any reason, is purposefully-defamatory and flat-out deceitful.

Kindly cease and desist and, if at all possible, please consider going back to where you most belong: chained to a desk in the bowels of some degenerate Hollywood sweat shop for 3rd-rate lawyers...

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Using The 25th Amendment To Destroy Trump Won't Work Either

The Establishment is in an uproar. Dirty trick after dirty trick has failed them. They were so sure Hillary would win! They were so sure they could control the narrative! They were absolutely positive that they would pull the levers of the Deep State and unseat Donald Trump with a relentless campaign of lawfare (warfare by lawyers).

President Trump has been a step ahead of them every time, so their Plan A – a recount followed by an attempted hijacking of the Electoral College failed, and their Plan B – “Deep State Soft Coup” is failing spectacularly. The failure to find any evidence whatsoever of Russian collusion means that Plan B has been a major dud. While special counsel Robert Mueller is still on course to bring some process-oriented charge against the President such as perjury or obstruction of justice, the

exposure of his entire inquiry as a partisan witch-hunt makes his success in reversing the results of the last presidential election unlikely. As Pat Buchanan has pointed out, unlike Richard Nixon, Donald Trump will not go quietly.

That leaves them only a couple of plans left and each is more desperate and futile than the prior attempt.




Plan C is clearly an attempt to unseat President Trump with histrionics of the POTUS being mentally unsound. A year ago, we warned you, gentle reader, that the

Left would never accept Donald J. Trump as their President, and that they would do literally anything within their power to overthrow his 2016 election win. We coined the term, Leftist Spasm, to denote the involuntary and knee-jerk appearance of their dismay.

The Left is resilient and persistent, so their next plan, Plan C – “25th Amendment Solution” is now under full swing, but it won’t work either because Trump is in excellent health. Harpies in the media are jumping on every tweet, slip of the tongue, and unorthodox method as proof positive that the President has become unhinged.

The mainstream media chorus that began with CNN’s Don Lemon, MSNBC’s Joe Scarborough, certified nut- job Keith Olbermann,



and first promulgated by Senators Robert Corker and Jeff Flake that Trump is mentally unbalanced picked up speed with the publication Author Michael Wolfe's fictional book, "Fire and Fury". Incredibly we now know that it was quisling Steve Bannon who let this hyena Wolfe into the Trump White House. It is essential to understand that this is the necessary backdrop for the Washington elite's plan to take a Trump down under the 25th amendment. Their plan cannot succeed until they have whipped up a national hysteria that insists that the president is out of his mind.

The president's recent crude but accurate depiction of certain third- world countries who would like to import their refugees to the United States as shitholes has given the Democrats and their handmaidens in the mainstream media a new talking point to use in their insistence that the president is nuts,

For those unfamiliar with the 25th Amendment: The Twenty-Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution deals with succession to the Presidency and establishes procedures both for filling a vacancy in the office of the Vice President as well as responding to Presidential disabilities. It has four sections, the first three deal with the Vice President becoming Acting President. The fourth section allows the Vice President and a simple majority of the principle officers of the executive departments to decide by vote that the President is unfit for office, even against the wishes of a physically and mentally sound President.

The specifics of this mechanism are as follows:

- The Vice President and a majority of executive cabinet inform the Senate, by way of the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, in writing that the President is unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office.
- Immediately upon notice to the Senate, the Vice President shall assume the powers and duties of the

office as Acting President.

- The President can then transmit to the Senate a written declaration, again by way of the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, that no inability exists, and he shall resume the duties of his office.
- The Vice President and a majority of the executive cabinet have four days to transmit a written declaration that the President is still unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office, to the Senate by previous ways.
- With this second declaration of unfitness, Congress shall assemble within forty-eight hours to decide the issue. Congress then has twenty-one days to certify that the President is unable to discharge his duties, and if so, the Vice President shall continue to discharge the duties as Acting President.
- If Congress is unable to certify that the President is unfit, within the twenty-one days of Congress receipt of the initial declaration of unfitness, then the President shall resume the powers and duties of his office.

Who gets to decide if the President is fit? It starts with the Vice President, Mike Pence. None of it can move forward unless he gives the say-so. The other cabinet members who get a vote are:

Secretary of State Rex Tillerson

Treasury Secretary Steve Mnuchin

Secretary of Defense James Mattis

Attorney General Jeff Sessions

Secretary of the Interior Ryan Zinke

Secretary of Agriculture Sonny Perdue

Secretary of Commerce Wilbur Ross

Secretary of Labor Alex Acosta

Secretary of Health and Human Services Tom Price

Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Ben Carson

Secretary of Transportation Elaine Chao
Secretary of Energy Rick Perry
Secretary of Education Betsy DeVos
Secretary of Veterans Affairs David Shulkin
Secretary of Homeland Security Kirstjen Nielsen
UN Ambassador Nikki Haley

While a detailed analysis of exactly who would vote which way isn't the point of this article, it is important to note that many of the people on this list would vote against Trump if it was the politically expedient thing to do. There are, sadly few Trump loyalists on this list.

Would Vice President Mike Pence sign-on to such a coup d'état? The desire to be president does strange things to men. We know that Pence demurred in the wake of the NBC /Billy Bush revelations, refusing to take candidate Trump's call at the same time party leaders were privately offering to convene the Republican National Committee and dump Trump as the party's nominee, replacing him with Pence. The Vice President's political majordomo Nick Ayres got caught red handed leaking information detrimental to the President only to have the Vice President's highly regarded Communications Director Jeff Lotter take the fall.

My sources also tell me that Pence has chafed over the President's unwillingness to reverse US law when it comes to same -sex marriage and to push to overturn Roe v. Wade. At the same time the Vice President is gung ho for US attorney general Jeff Session's push to crack down on State legalized marijuana in direct contravention of an important campaign pledge made by the President, As Julius Caesar might say the Vice President has "that lean and hungry look".

Even if a majority of the cabinet in the vice Pres. Work to sign on to a 25th amendment removal of Trump the chief executive would have the right to appeal this decision to the US House of Representatives. At least one high-level White

House aide has told me that they believe House Speaker Paul Ryan has already agreed to be Pence's vice president in order to block such a move.

On December 6th, 2017, Donald Trump made the historic announcement that Jerusalem was to be the recognized capital of Israel. The Establishment Press almost ignored this great achievement on December 7th, 2017 and instead ran story after story about how President Trump was mentally unfit because he a couple of cough drops in his mouth. That he gave a perfect and well received speech that same day, on the 7th, honoring the fallen of Pearl Harbor was totally lost on the media that is 'Never Trump, All the Time'.

Recently the pundits wee parsing discredited Trump hanger-on Cory Lewandowski's recent book "Let Trump Be Trump" and selecting choice anecdotes about then Candidate Trump, while on the campaign trail. Desperate for absolutely anything with which they can use to paint the President in a negative light, Main Stream Media talking heads point to President Trump's blunt tell-it-like-it-is nature and his proclivity for Filet-O-Fish sandwiches as a sign that the President is unstable and unfit to lead the nation.

Donald J. Trump is a fighter. He's been down, but he's always gotten back up and pummeled the ones who knocked him down. He's a lion, and his true supporters know this and will back him 100%. His supporters, the heart of America, are beyond incensed at the brazen attempts to unseat their duly elected President. Many of them are no longer dreading a confrontation, but rather are itching for it, wanting to settle the score once and for all.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

How Obama's Administration, And Hillary Plotted To Destroy Trump

Never Mind Mueller, Fire Rosenstein Now

There was a time when I thought that president Donald Trump should fire special counsel Robert Mueller over his conflicts of interest and his egregious overreach. I now recognize that such a termination would hand the Democrats exactly the media created firestorm they desire.

As I outlined for Infowars and the Daily Caller, the better course of action is for the President to direct the Attorney General to appoint a Special Counsel in the matter of Uranium One.

The Special Counsel investigation of Uranium One will necessarily and righteously encompass then-FBI Director Robert Mueller, then Maryland U.S. Attorney Rod Rosenstein, Mueller protégé and successor, now-ex FBI director James Comey, and soon-departing Deputy FBI Director Andrew McCabe.

[All four of them are at the kernel of suspected law enforcement corruption that served to facilitate the Uranium One deal by covering up the racketeering activities underlying it.](#) This corruption was instigated and directed by the then-Secretary of State and her former president spouse, overseen by the sitting president at the time, who ultimately approved the Uranium One sale to a proxy of the Russian state nuclear agency.

There is no way that Rosenstein can remain as Deputy Attorney General under these circumstances. Rosenstein's termination would have to precede any Uranium One Special Counsel appointment, particularly since the Attorney General

Jeff Sessions might decline to make the appointment, which would hand the decision to the Deputy Attorney General. Even if Rosenstein were still in the position, he would be disqualified immediately given that he would be one of the principal subjects of the investigation.

With Rosenstein terminated, and Sessions passing the buck, the Solicitor General would be next in the chain of command at the Department of Justice. The Solicitor General is likely to execute the president's directive and undertake to appoint the Uranium One Special Counsel.

Where Mueller's removal may have sparked a fire storm, Rosenstein's replacement would be a small, noisy and short political bonfire, at most. This would be particularly true if the president already has Rosenstein's replacement vetted, chosen and ready for immediate appearance before the Senate, for confirmation, at the time he announces Rosenstein's termination and the directive to appoint the Special Counsel.

Aside from his involvement in Uranium One, the primary grounds for termination of Rosenstein are his inexplicable and inexcusable disregard of his duty to ensure against any conflicts of interest or improper appearances of personal ties, especially ones as stunning and brazen as are implicated by his own longtime close personal and professional association with his mentor, crony and now-supposed subordinate Robert Mueller. [DOJ rules specifically prohibit even the appearance of the conflict of interest.](#)



As Robert Mueller's unaccountable taxpayer-funded lawyer hit squad of Hillary-loving Trump-haters progressively implodes, taking with it the Democrats' obscene Trump-Russia collusion hoax, it is

imperative that President Trump act decisively to assert his constitutional authority as the nation's chief law enforcer and re-take control of his Department of Justice.

The manufactured turmoil of the last year has made it clear just how deep and damaging has been the long, sordid train of abuses and corruption that took root in the Obama Justice Department under the two most lawless partisan Attorneys General in American history (to include John Mitchell): Eric "The Contemptible" Holder and Loretta "The Tarmac Hack" Lynch.

Holder and Lynch may be gone (no doubt off somewhere cashing in on their government "service") but the Obama-Bush-Clinton stain that spread most prolifically under their maladministration of the DOJ stubbornly persists.

From all indications, the president is loath to do what so many Trump loyalists and political realists, including myself, have urged him to do and move his largely-limp, undeniably-useless Attorney General Jeff Sessions out to pasture.

Much as this would be a major move forward for the president and his long-term political prospects, whether he sees it or not, it appears that Sessions is firmly and indefinitely ensconced as Trump's AG.

While Sessions is clearly out of his depth and ill-equipped to root out the lingering vestiges of Obama-Clinton corruption,

he is not the worst problem in Trump's DOJ and also not the official most deserving of removal.

This dubious distinction belongs to the Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein, prime mover in the hasty appointment of the special counsel that has proven to be a nest of partisan opportunism and subversion against the president – and about which Rosenstein has done absolutely nothing, arguably participating in the activities by omission.

No top-level DOJ careerist more epitomizes the shifty, dubious, self-preserving cronyism that rose out of the Obama-Clinton culture of corruption than nearly 30-year government careerist lawyer and now Deputy Attorney General, Rod Rosenstein.

President Trump owes it to the country and to the integrity of his Department of Justice to immediately fire Rosenstein, at minimum for Rosenstein's utter mishandling of the special counsel appointment, for his failure to ensure proper oversight or corrective supervision of the special counsel, in the absence of the recused Attorney General Sessions, and for his flouting of the spirit and letter of the strict rules concerning conflicts of interest and the duty to pro-actively and pre-emptively ensure that no investigation or prosecution risks being tainted by even the appearance of impropriety.

It is not necessary to delve into Rosenstein's role in facilitating the Obama-Clinton Uranium One treason, as the Maryland U.S. Attorney under whom the Mueller FBI's investigation into Russian state racketeering and corruption inexplicably (and conveniently for the Clintons) came to naught, to see how Rosenstein is a metaphorical 'asp in the bosom' of Donald Trump's fledgling presidency.



From the moment he was confirmed, Rosenstein's actions as Deputy AG have demonstrated loyalties not to the nation's constitutional chief executive, from whom Rosenstein's office

and authority are solely derived, nor to the rule of law, to the extent that the law conflicts with Rosenstein's ulterior motives as an underhanded deep state manipulator and crony protector.

Rosenstein, with little apparent consideration or deliberation, rushed through the appointment of his longtime legal ally, cohort and mentor Robert Mueller as special counsel. Rosenstein apparently cared not that he would be responsible for overseeing the actions and decisions of a close professional cohort and former supervisor, stretching back to the very beginnings of Rosenstein's career, as a junior entry-level prosecutor working under Robert Mueller in the DOJ criminal division.

Rosenstein also apparently cared not that Mueller had literally just interviewed with the president to replace the FBI director whom Mueller preceded in office and whose firing prompted the special counsel appointment in the first place, an interview from which Mueller was not offered the job.

One need not think it likely or even probable that Mueller might bear ill will towards the president after not being selected for the job for which he just interviewed without success, to believe that the mere appearance of possible animus resulting from this failed interview is enough that Rosenstein should have moved on to any of the 1000s of other lawyers who could very competently and ethically serve as

special counsel in this instance.

To cap off Rosenstein's sloppy, hurried, ill-conceived appointment of his old pal Robert Mueller as special counsel, Rosenstein was reported shortly after as opining that he might have to recuse himself from the matter due to his role in writing the memo that the president relied on in deciding to fire James Comey as FBI director.

If Rosenstein had such misgivings, they certainly would have come up at the outset, and should have prompted him to recuse himself BEFORE appointing a special counsel, rather than be the subject of navel-gazing rumination weeks AFTER he already acted to appoint his old legal crony to investigate political allegations against the newly-inaugurated president who appointed him to present office and whom Rosenstein arguably prompted to take the action that directly led to Rosenstein's appointing the special counsel that has developed into a tainted partisan cabal right under Rosenstein's nose.

The mess that Rosenstein has made of this affair, his negligence in overseeing the special counsel, at least enough that it would not be staffed with rank partisans, much less a longtime professional crony who was very much steeped in FBI and DOJ affairs and internal politics, and his failure to come clean about whether or not the FBI or DOJ were complicit in the abuses surrounding the infamous Steele Dossier are each reason enough to terminate Rosenstein, and with good cause, irrespective of the political repercussions possible for the president.

Because it was impossible for President Trump to reverse James Comey's ham-handed, if not underhanded, mishandling of the investigation of Hillary Clinton's national security crimes or to countermand Comey's subsequent maneuvering to perpetuate the phony Trump-Russia collusion fantasy, Trump had no option in dealing with Comey's misconduct but to fire him.

The President is in the same position now with Rod Rosenstein, unable to reverse the mess Rosenstein has created in the tainted Mueller hit squad, leaving him (the president) only the option of terminating Rosenstein to deal with the root of the problems Rosenstein has caused by failing, if not refusing, to adhere to the ethical requirements imposed on such consequential official decisions as he made in appointing a legal hit squad to chase loose allegations made against the president by his political enemies.

Although the leftist lawyer hive mind has been rumbling about doing anything and everything to protect and preserve the inside man, witting or otherwise, that they have in Rod Rosenstein, they have little more than their own hysteria and bluster to protest the president's firing of Rosenstein.

Cynical leftist lawyer smear artists and Democrat partisans may have been able to intimidate and menace the president into not acting to remove Robert Mueller, despite blazing examples of underhanded partisan animus and serious conflicts of interest that only recently came to light.

But their exhortations should fall on deaf ears as it concerns the president's absolute prerogative to remove his own appointee, one who has proven to be just another troublesome government lawyer who can't help but serve his cronies and his tribal loyalties, above all else.

The President should not hesitate for a moment in firing Rod Rosenstein and the sooner, the better.

© 2018 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Dear Juanita Broaddrick

I think **Juanita Broaddrick** is a brave and courageous lady, but Juanita is mistaken when she recently commented that I played “no role” in her appearance at the second Clinton-Trump debate, alongside the Clintons’ other best-known sex-crime victims.

I dedicated my book [*The Making of the President 2016: How Donald Trump Orchestrated a Revolution*](#) to Juanita Broaddrick because I admire her courage and her grace.

Because I did not make the actual arrangements for Juanita and the other Clinton victims [Paula Jones, Kathleen Willey and Kathy Shelton (a Hillary victim)] to attend the debate, it is understandable that Juanita might be unclear about my role in bringing these ladies to the forefront of the national consciousness at a critical moment in the final weeks of the campaign, undoubtedly to Bill Clinton’s mortification, which he skillfully masked.

In short, I am a political strategist, not a campaign advance man. Regardless of who booked their travel and arranged for their admission to the debate, and the like, the idea of highlighting these female Clinton victims and, more importantly, of exposing Hillary’s role in bullying these women into silence, was a strategy I began pushing even before the Trump campaign’s formal launch in early 2015.

A full year before Steve Bannon even joined the Trump campaign, I was well underway in advocating for these women to be given a high profile, including their introduction to a national debate audience. Prior to the Republican National Convention, I was urging this strategy to newly-installed Trump campaign manager Paul Manafort, as well as to Trump pollster Tony Fabrizio.

Clearly Hillary Clinton’s plan was to capture a

disproportionate percentage of women voters based on her specious claims that she is somehow a champion for women. I felt it essential to expose and highlight Hillary's past as an abuser of women who would psychologically and emotionally rape Bill's victim's after he had raped them physically.

I have never claimed that I interfaced with Bill's victims regarding the specifics of the debate appearances. During the Cleveland convention I discussed the matter over lunch with Breitbart reporter Aaron Klein, whose reporting on Broaddrick's experience in several incredible interviews with NBC was absolutely stellar.

Both Klein and attorney Candice Jackson, who also wrote a compelling book about Bill's history of sexual assault and how it impacted the lives of Broaddrick and the others, assured me that these women were willing to attend a debate to face down Bill and Hillary.

Adding to the confusion (or misinformation), David Bossie also erroneously told Fox News that the debate appearance idea was Steve Bannon's. Given how long it took Bossie and Corey Lewandowski to finally produce their lightweight gossip book about the Trump Campaign, long after I wrote and published the definitive account in the [Making of the President 2016](#), I am surprised he even remembers what actually went down.

Regardless of these erroneous accounts about the origin and genesis of the Clinton victim debate showcase, I have already supplied an e-mail string that clearly shows how I handed the idea to Steve Bannon weeks ahead of the debate, [as reported in The Wrap](#).

Although Klein is copied on this e-mail string he denies the idea as anyone's but Bannon's. Klein's selective memory is unsurprising given his place on the Breitbart payroll.

Infowars reporter Dr. Jerome Corsi [also covered this story](#), albeit far more thoroughly, in a longer piece that included

other corroborating emails.

While this is all largely water under the bridge, it is nonetheless important that the record be set straight when there are those who have set out to muddy it and give credit where credit is most demonstrably NOT due.

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

The Donald Trump Jr. Pile On

There is nothing illegal or improper with someone having contact with Julian Assange or WikiLeaks. Julian Assange is not a Russian asset and WikiLeaks is not a Russian propaganda organization. I understand that the US intelligence agencies insist otherwise but they are utterly unable to prove it. It's true in their minds because they wanted it to be.

Contact between Donald Trump, Jr. and Julian Assange certainly does not constitute collusion with the Russians!

In fact, Assange is a journalist publishing information given to him by sources just as they do at the Washington Post and the New York Times, but Wikileaks record for accuracy and authenticity is far better.

Neither Donald Trump Jr. or Alexander Nix of Cambridge Analytica did anything inappropriate. Having tried so hard to drive the phony Russian collusion narrative to distract from their own Russian profiteering (Uranium One, Gazprom, Joule) they make casual contact with a first-rate Journalist muckraker treason.

Did Donald Trump Jr. Cross the Line With WikiLeaks?

([TheAtlantic.com](https://www.theatlantic.com)) Messages between the president's eldest son and the radical transparency organization don't reveal evidence of any clear-cut crimes.

Donald Trump Jr.'s private exchanges with WikiLeaks on Twitter during the 2016 campaign raise a host of new questions about the Trump team's communications with foreign entities before the election. But the messages alone don't appear to cross any clear-cut legal lines.

"I certainly didn't see anything that looks like a smoking gun in the descriptions that we were given," Rick Hasen, a University of California, Irvine, law professor who specializes in election law, told me.

My colleague Julia Loffe reported Monday that Trump Jr. [exchanged multiple private messages](#) on Twitter with the radical transparency organization before the election. In some cases, Trump Jr. appeared to act on requests from the group. In one instance, for example, he tweeted a link it had sent his way. A message posted by his father's account soon after the group contacted Trump Jr. also mentioned WikiLeaks. The messaging, which WikiLeaks initiated during the election and continued as recently as July, was not previously known to the public.

The earliest known conversations came as WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange and his organization were under immense scrutiny for their role in disseminating stolen Democratic emails. U.S. intelligence agencies later concluded that Russian government hackers laundered the emails through Assange's website to damage Hillary Clinton's presidential bid and bolster Donald Trump's chances.

Most of the public discussion about the Russia investigation centers on the question of collusion between Moscow and the Trump campaign to undermine Clinton. But "collusion" isn't a specific crime under federal law. Instead, legal experts have

questioned whether any Trump campaign officials may have violated a campaign-finance statute that bars foreigners from donating money or any other “thing of value” to a campaign. That same provision also forbids campaign officials from soliciting such a donation.

“If I’m a foreign citizen and I give a thousand dollars to the campaign, then that’s a thing of value,” Hasen explained. “If I provide a dossier, that also could be [a thing of value]. And so the question that came up during the last Don Jr. controversy was whether providing dirt on Hillary Clinton—opposition research—could be a thing of value for purposes of the statute.”

That debate first arose in July when *The New York Times*

[revealed](#) that Trump Jr., his brother-in-law Jared Kushner, and then-campaign Chairman Paul Manafort met with Russian lawyer Natalia Veselnitskaya in Trump Tower in June 2016 after she promised “information helpful to the campaign” about Clinton.

Trump Jr. denied any wrongdoing and said that Veselnitskaya, who has [ties to the Kremlin](#), provided no such information to them. The Twitter conversations made public so far don’t show deliberate solicitation of WikiLeaks’s help on the part of Trump Jr. The closest he came to such a request was on October 3, 2016, when he asked WikiLeaks, “What’s behind this Wednesday leak I keep reading about?” (Roger Stone, an occasional Trump adviser, had tweeted “Wednesday@HillaryClinton is done. #WikiLeaks.” the day before.)

Indeed, it was WikiLeaks that solicited from Trump Jr. throughout the exchanges—asking for his father’s tax returns, highlighting links for Trump Jr. to tweet, and even suggesting that the elder Trump publicly float Assange as a possible Australian ambassador to the United States.

Even if the exchanges did show Trump Jr. soliciting damaging

information from WikiLeaks, federal prosecutors could run into difficulty pursuing charges for violating foreign-spending rules. "Assange is or could be considered a journalist, and we might have different rules for foreign-news media," Hasen explained. "Certainly that's how domestic campaign-finance law works, where we treat media differently than others." And while he believes that a "thing of value" under the statute can include opposition research or stolen emails, that view isn't unanimous among legal experts. He cited [arguments made in July](#) by Eugene Volokh, a UCLA law professor, that such a broad interpretation of the term could run afoul of the First Amendment.

"If anyone actually entered in the username and password or entered in the password to the website, that's a federal crime."

Trump Jr.'s messages also show WikiLeaks providing him with the login information of an anti-Trump website. "A PAC run anti-Trump site putintrump.org is about to launch," the account wrote to Trump Jr. "The PAC is a recycled pro-Iraq war PAC. We have guessed the password. It is 'putintrump.' See 'About' for who is behind it. Any comments?" Trump Jr. replied that he would "ask around" about the website's provenance.

But Trump Jr. doesn't indicate whether he actually used the password. Orin Kerr, a George Washington University law professor who specializes in computer-crime law, said that doing so would violate the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act. "If anyone actually entered in the username and password or entered in the password to the website, that's a federal crime," he said. "And whoever would have passed on the email with the intent that someone else use it is committing a crime."

Prosecutions under the CFAA are relatively uncommon. Kerr estimated that federal prosecutors use it to bring charges between 100 and 120 times a year. Using a stolen password to

gain unauthorized access can be a felony if it's used to further another crime, he added. But what matters under the statute is a potential defendant's intent when accessing a computer system without permission.

"The criminal law is very focused not just on what somebody did, but on what they were thinking and what they wanted to achieve," Kerr explained. "That could be established by the emails and messages associated with it from the context. You don't need him saying, 'I have an intent to further this.' It could be, 'Hey can somebody check into this?' or 'Can somebody try this out?'"

Even if the messages don't directly show criminal behavior, Hasen said he found their contents troubling. On Election Night, when Clinton still seemed likely to prevail, WikiLeaks encouraged Trump Jr. to urge then-candidate Trump to cast doubt on the electoral outcome "if your father 'loses.'" The elder Trump had spent the weeks before Election Day claiming without evidence that the vote was rigged, only to drop the allegations after he won. "We think it is much more interesting if he DOES NOT concede [sic] and spends time CHALLENGING the media and other types of rigging that occurred—as he has implied that he might do," WikiLeaks wrote. Trump Jr. did not respond.

"We were already worried that Trump wouldn't concede if he lost and that this could undermine the legitimacy of our democracy and the electoral process, and here's a foreign citizen egging him on," Hasen said. "That's very disturbing."

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

No Email Available

Five Reasons Why President Trump Was Right To Pardon Sheriff Joe Arpaio

1- Arpaio's prosecution was a political "revenge prosecution" initiated by the Obama DOJ to stop Sheriff Arpaio from rigorous enforcement of the immigration laws.



As previously [reported](#), the case against Arpaio began with the 2007 traffic stop that resulted in the arrest of Ortega Melendres, a

Mexican tourist who was a passenger in an automobile stopped in Cave Creek, Maricopa County.

Melendres [charged](#) the Maricopa County sheriff's officers were "fundamentally stopping brown-skinned people with the pretext of looking for criminals."

The case developed into a class action lawsuit that caught the attention of Tom Perez, then in the Civil Rights division of the Obama Justice Department.

As explained in an article [published](#) by the Law Enforcement Charitable Foundation, Inc., the Obama administration unleashed Perez to utilize the 1994 Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act, authored by then Democratic Sen. Joseph Biden of Delaware – a law that gave the Obama administration under Attorneys General Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch a club

with which to “police the police.”

That club in the form of “consent decrees” allowed the leftist “open borders” administration of Barack Obama eight years to utilize the Justice Department as a club to intimidate and force any state and local government law enforcement authority attempting to enforce immigration laws to buckle under various charges of civil rights violations.

Thorough court-ordered “consent decrees” the Obama administration Justice Department forced Sheriff Arpaio’s office in Maricopa County to accept a consent decree imposed by an Obama-sympathetic U.S. district judge that effectively put the MCSO under the direction of a court-ordered federal monitor.

Arpaio, a target of the Obama administration for years because of his determination to enforce strictly existing immigration laws, was seen by Perez as implementing in the Maricopa County Sheriff’s Office (MCSO) a “systematic policy” that set law enforcement rules and procedures to be intentionally discriminatory to the rights of Hispanics.

Perez began his legal career as a LaRaza attorney in Maryland. He currently is Chair of the Democratic National Committee, a major force in moving the Democratic Party in a hard-left direction.

2. DOJ staged prosecution to oust Arpaio from office.

Largely as a result of the adverse publicity from facing criminal contempt charges, Arpaio lost on Nov. 8, 2016, his seventh bid to be elected Maricopa County Sheriff.

The challenger, Paul Penzone, a Democrat and a former Phoenix police sergeant who lost to Arpaio in 2012, [won](#) the sheriff’s election, 54.9 percent to 45.1 percent, running on a campaign designed to be sympathetic to Arizona’s growing Hispanic voter base.

Throughout the entire case, Perez pursued Arpaio with a vengeance.

On Jan. 5, 2012, when the Department of Justice dropped the initial criminal case against Arpaio in favor of pursuing the civil case, the Department of Justice [sent](#) the author an email, explaining, "If MCSO wants to debate the facts rather than fixing the problems stated in our findings, we will do so by way of litigation."

According to information provided the author by a credible whistleblower, while the Department of Justice was prosecuting Arpaio from 2008 to 2010, the National Security Agency conducted electronic surveillance of the various Arizona-based federal judges on the case, as well as on Arpaio, and on the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office.

At the same time, Department of Justice attorneys under the direction of Attorney General Eric Holder [maintained](#) an on-going telephone back-channel discussion with the federal judge assigned to handle the case.

That the Department of Justice conspired to defeat Arpaio is suggested by the timing of his criminal indictment.

On Oct. 2, 2013, U.S. District Judge G. Murray Snow ruled that Arpaio and his agency had relied on racial profiling and illegal detentions to target Hispanic.

Snow [ordered](#) Arpaio to make mandatory changes in MCSO office law enforcement procedures, requiring officers to radio the basis for each traffic stop before contacting people in the vehicle, the video recording of all traffic stops, increased training for and monitoring of MCSO office employees, and the implementation of comprehensive record keeping.

On May 12, 2016, Judge Snow [held](#) Arpaio in civil contempt of federal court, ruling that Arpaio and three of his aides violated the judge's 2013 order that was meant to curtail

“racial profiling” by MCSO officers.

In mid-October 2016, with the election approximately three weeks away, the Justice Department [announced](#) that lawyers were preparing to file criminal contempt of court charges against Arpaio for his alleged violation of Judge Stone’s orders in the Melendres case.

Then, on Nov. 4, 2016, four days before the election, Politico [reported](#) Soros had contributed \$2 million to a Soros-funded PAC, Maricopa Strong, to defeat Arpaio.

Largely as a result of the adverse publicity from facing criminal contempt charges, Arpaio lost on Nov. 8, 2016, his seventh bid to be elected Maricopa County Sheriff.

The challenger, Paul Penzone, a Democrat and a former Phoenix police sergeant who lost to Arpaio in 2012, [won](#) the sheriff’s election, 54.9 percent to 45.1 percent, running on a campaign designed to be sympathetic to Arizona’s growing Hispanic voter base.

3. Charged under the wrong statute and denied a jury trial.

Arpaio’s attorney, Mark Goldman, Goldman & Zillinger PLLC in Scottsdale, AZ, sent to Attorney General Sessions a letter dated June 22, 2017, that was requesting the Department of Justice to consider various pleas before the start of the bench trial then scheduled to begin on Monday, June 26, 2017, before U.S. District Judge Susan R. Bolton, in Phoenix, Arizona.

Several efforts were made to contact Attorney General Sessions directly and through trusted intermediaries in an effort to get Justice Department attention to Goldman’s letter.

Attorney General Sessions was insulated within the Justice Department so that all attempts to communicate with him failed.

Ultimately, Goldman's letter was ignored, and with the Justice Department's failure to intervene in the case, Judge Bolton began the bench trial against Arpaio as originally scheduled.

That letter, included here in the [Scribed.com](#) file below, went unanswered.

[Arpaio Sheriff Arpaio 2017 06 22 Letter to Att. Gen. Jeff Sessions](#)

"The criminal contempt allegations stem from an alleged failure of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Offices (MCSO) to comply with an Order of the Court (preliminary injunction) dated December 23, 2011," Goldman's letter read.

"This charge relates back to the prior Obama administration and a time when the Sheriff's practices were in direct opposition to the Obama administration in regards to immigration policy," Goldman's letter continued. "The Sheriff was enforcing the law. The Obama administration appears to have been interested in doing the opposite for apparent political reasons."

In the letter, Goldman argued the Obama Justice Department allowed Arpaio to be charged with criminal contempt misdemeanor charges under the wrong statute, precisely because the statute of limitations on the correct statute had run out, and the incorrect statute allowed Judge Bolton to deny Arpaio the right to a jury trial.

Here is what Goldman wrote:

In regards to other aspects of the prosecution, we request that you reconsider the DOJ's prosecution of this matter because it was incorrectly brought under 18 U.S.C. Section 401. Section 401 relates to a simple criminal contempt of a lawful order. The matter should have been brought under 18 U.S.C. Section 402. Section 402 applies to contumacious conduct that is also a separate crime as more particularly

described in the attached Petition. The allegations in this matter compel it to be prosecuted under 18 U.S.C. Section 402 that entitles the offender to a jury trial in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 3691. Additionally, Section 402 offenses come with a one-year statute of limitations.

Given that the matter was not charged under the correct statute, and consequently the Department of Justice has deprived Sheriff Arpaio of his jury trial right and the applicable statute of limitations, in the interest of justice we request that you move the Court to dismiss the criminal contempt proceedings or, at the very least, move the Court to stay the trial pending a full review of this matter by your office.

Time is of the essence for the reason that this matter

Goldman also argued the Obama Justice Department had timed various announcement coincident with Arizona voting deadlines in 2016, so as to influence voters to defeat Arpaio's bid for re-election – an effort Goldman claimed was successful.

"The announcements had an undeniable effect upon Sheriff Arpaio's campaign to be elected to a seventh term in office," Goldman wrote. "The impact on Sheriff Arpaio's re-election campaign is clear. He is no longer Sheriff."

4. Obama holdovers in the DOJ Public Integrity Section

The irony of the case is that the criminal misdemeanor contempt charge is being pressed against Arpaio by the Public Integrity Section (PIN) of the Criminal Division of the U.S. Department of Justice – the section within the Justice Department that holds exclusive jurisdiction over the prosecution of alleged criminal official misconduct.

"How can the Public Integrity Section of the Justice Department prosecute me when all I did was to honestly enforce immigration laws on the books?" Arpaio asked Infowars.com in

an exclusive telephone interview.

"The Public Integrity prosecutors who are still after me are all Obama hold-overs who went so far as to charge me under the wrong statute so they could deny me a jury trial, refusing to drop their open-borders amnesty agenda," he argued.

"If this is Attorney General Sessions' idea of 'public integrity,' then Sheriff Arpaio is right and Barack Obama is still running the Justice Department," Arpaio said.

"How is it that Attorney General Sessions ignored a letter Sheriff Arpaio's attorneys had hand-delivered to his office, when all Sheriff Arpaio requested was that the Justice Department consider insisting the Public Integrity Section would allow me my right as a U.S. citizen to a trial before a jury of my peers?" Arpaio asked.

"It's ironic that Sheriff Arpaio is being persecuted by an Obama hold-over Justice Department Public Integrity Section that thinks denying him a jury trial doesn't implicate them in official misconduct for which they should be charged and criminally prosecuted," Arpaio insisted.

5. Arpaio's attorneys prepare federal ethics charge against District Judge

After filing on Monday, Aug. 14, with the U.S. District Court two motions – one asking for [acquittal](#) and the other asking for a [new trial](#), lawyers for Arpaio are preparing to file after sentencing, a federal ethics charge against Judge Bolton.

Arpaio's attorney, Mark Goldman, Goldman & Zillinger PLLC in Scottsdale, AZ, explained to [Infowars.com](#) in an exclusive telephone interview and follow-up email that the judge in the case, U.S. District Susan R. Bolton, was so biased against Arpaio that she could have written her opinion before the trial even started, stating her prejudice from the start that

Arpaio was guilty of misdemeanor civil contempt guilty conviction.

"The court, in its findings of fact and conclusions of law totally ignored all of the overwhelming evidence at trial that exonerated the Sheriff," Goldman told Infowars.com.

"Most importantly, there was no testimony or other evidence produced that in any way proved that the order was 'clear and definite' which it must be in order to prove that the order could be disobeyed in the first place," he continued.

"Not only did the government fail to prove that the order was clear and definite, we proved that it was not clear and definite," Goldman insisted. "The government's own star witness, Tim Casey, admitted under cross-examination that the order was 'ambiguous.' Just about every witness testified that the order was misunderstood at the time. No one testified that the order was clear and definite."

Goldman explained that the Obama Department of Justice had charged Arpaio under the wrong statute, both because the statute of limitations had run out on the correct statute and by charging Arpaio under the wrong statute, Judge Bolton could deny him a jury trial.

"There was not testimony that the Sheriff ever told anyone that he was violating or going to violate the order," he said.

"The government had the FBI and the DOJ working on this case, yet they couldn't find one person to state that the Sheriff ever suggested that he'd violate the order," he pointed out.

"Finally, it was proved at the trial that no one at the Sheriff's department understood the voluminous 40-page order while it was in effect," Goldman argued. "Only in hindsight did they learn what the order meant after the Melendres court issued a subsequent order!"

Mueller, Rosenstein And Comey: Three Amigos From The Deep State

There is a longtime and incestuous relationship between the fixers who have been tasked with taking down President Trump, under the fake narrative of enforcing the law. James Comey worked in the DOJ directly under Mueller until 2005. Rod Rosenstein and Mueller go even further back.



James Comey wasn't just some associate of Mueller back then, but rather his protégé. Under the George W.

Bush presidency, when Comey was serving as Deputy Attorney General under John Ashcroft, Robert Mueller was Comey's go-to guy when he needed help. The two men, as it came to light years later, conspired to disobey potential White House orders to leave Ashcroft alone when he was incapacitated in March of 2004. These two men, when together, will not obey orders if they think they know better. Being filled with hubris and

almost two decades of doing just about anything they want, they always think they know better.

Rod Rosenstein, current Deputy Attorney General under Attorney General Jeff Sessions, is also a member of the Mueller Gang, having worked directly under Robert Mueller at the Department of Justice as far back as 1990. When Comey was still working as the Deputy Chief of the Criminal Division for the U.S. Attorney's office in New York, Mueller and Rosenstein were becoming thick as thieves.

We look back at Rod's loyal work for Hillary Clinton, when he became a clean-up man for the Clinton Administration as an Associate Independent Counsel from 1995 until 1997. He supervised the investigation that found no basis for criminal prosecution of White House officials who had obtained classified FBI background reports. He did a great job covering for the Team Bill Clinton, including covering for Hillary, as she was one of the people who had access to the reports, and may have even requested them. Convenient for the Clintons, no indictments were filed.

Having proven his loyalty to the powers that be, Rosenstein was appointed to work in the US Office of the Independent Counsel under Ken Starr on the Whitewater Investigation into then President Bill Clinton. By some miracle, or clever work by insiders, the Clintons escaped culpability once again. Rod wasn't alone, he had help from his co-worker James Comey, who was also making sure the Clintons were exonerated during the Whitewater affair.

Here is Robert Mueller, sitting in the middle of his two wunderkinds, making sure the path before them is smooth and obstacle free, and practically shepherding their careers along the way. Is it any wonder that once Jeff Sessions shamelessly recused himself from the Russia Collusion Conspiracy investigation and turned it over to his deputy Rod Rosenstein, that Rosenstein would reach out to his old mentor for help?

Who is surprised when three of the top lawman fixers for the Clinton/Bush cabal have axes in their eyes for President Donald J. Trump?

Enter Lisa Barsoomian, wife of Rod Rosenstein. Lisa is a high-powered attorney in Washington, DC, who specializes in opposing Freedom of Information Act requests on behalf of the Deep State, err, I mean, the Intelligence Communities.

Lisa Barsoomian works for R. Craig Lawrence, an attorney who has represented Robert Mueller three times, James Comey five times, Barack Obama forty-five times, Kathleen Sebellius fifty-six times, Bill Clinton forty times, and Hillary Clinton seventeen times between 1991 and 2017.

Barsoomian participated in some of this work personally and has herself represented the FBI at least five separate times. It would be great to research the specifics of the cases she worked in, many of the documents from the Court Docket relating to these cases have been removed from the D.C. District and Appeals Court, including her representation for Clinton in 1998's case *Hamburg. V. Clinton*.

Her loyalties are clearly with the entities that make up the Deep State, as are her husbands.

They are a DC Globalist Power Couple, and they mean to destroy Donald Trump under the bidding of their Globalist Masters. Rod Rosenstein should not have any position in President Trump's administration, let alone one with so much power to harm the Office of the Presidency.

Mueller is also a Deep State lackey, even acting as delivery boy for Hillary's State Department, hand transporting ten grams of highly enriched uranium under the auspices of counter-terror. It must only be coincidence that this happened at the same time as Hillary and her henchman John Podesta were nurturing the Uranium One deal that would see Russia take control over 20% of America's proven uranium reserves.

Shortly after the Russia uranium deal closed, the Clinton Foundation was showered with many millions of dollars from Russian donors.

Comey, Rosenstein, and their patron Mueller are truly the Three Amigos of the Deep State. Joined long ago in mutual regard, owing allegiance only to each other and the enshrined bureaucracy that created them. As their actions show, they desire to thwart the will of the people and depose the duly elected President of the United States of America by using all the powers at their disposal.

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Who Is Dina Habib Powell And Why You Should Care

In January 2017, Dina Habib Powell joined the Trump White House and is currently the U.S. Deputy National Security Advisor for Strategy formerly serving as an Assistant to the President and Senior Counselor for Economic Initiatives.



How did this ex-Bush White House aide who is best friends with Hillary's gal pal, confidant, advisor, and vice chair of her 2016 campaign for the presidency Huma Abedin, Obama

White House Chief of Staff Valery Jarrett and discredited Bush National Security Advisor Condi Rice who walked us into the Iraq war over weapons of mass destruction that did not exist get this job.

How did this globalist insider and ardent neo-con land a key spot in the Trump circle?

The answer lies in Presidential son-in-law Jared Kushner and his wife Ivanka. Having not only inserted this fifth column in the Trump White House, Jared and Ivanka now hope to make the quisling Powell the White House Chief of Staff if (when) current Trump Chief of Staff Reince Priebus goes.

Forty-three-year-old Dina Powell was born in Cairo, Egypt on June 23, 1973. Her family moved to the United States when she was four, settling in Texas. She learned to speak English quickly while continuing to speak fluent Farsi. She attended the Ursuline Academy for Girls in Dallas and later went to the University of Texas-Austin where she earned a bachelor's degree.

In 1988 she married Richard Powell, a senior public affairs executive. They have two daughters. Her first brush with politics came when she interned with Texas Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison. During G.W. Bush's presidency, she served as Assistant Secretary of State for Education and Cultural Affairs and at 29, became the youngest person to direct the White House personnel office.

In 2007, she joined Goldman Sachs as a managing director and became a partner in 2010, recently serving as the president for the Goldman Sachs Foundation and Goldman Sachs Impact Investing team working primarily on philanthropic efforts. Goldman, you will remember, was a major funding source for Hillary's campaign as well as stuffing \$675,000 in Hillary's pocket for a speech the former First Lady refused to release.

The neo-cons are wild about Dina. Dick Cheney's daughter Liz

said “She is such an effective spokesperson for us, because she speaks Arabic and is an Arab woman and can stand up as a role model and as somebody who can defuse some of the misconceptions.” “She’s so bright,” just a bright shiny star.”

“Dina Powell is a tremendous talent and has a stellar record of public service as well as a great career in the private sector,” the President said in a statement. “She has been recognized for her strategic oversight of key programs and initiatives and is a leader in both economic growth and the crucial empowerment of women in various aspects of business development and entrepreneurial endeavors.” Clearly, the President knows little of Powell’s pedigree or background.

Trump’s White House has been leaking since the start and it’s no surprise that Dina Powell has long been considered a leaker. In 1990, she got in trouble for leaking negative stories on Ginny Thomas, the wife of Justice Clarence Thomas. Now she and National Security Advisor H. R. McMaster have been pegged by sources at the National Security Council as two of Trump’s major leakers! President Trump has surrounded himself with double crossing double agents who will do him harm. Let’s hope he wakes up before it is too late.

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Will Trump Save Himself With White House Shake Up?

The Wall Street Journal and the *New York Times* have both reported that President Donald J. Trump intends a major staff shake-up now that he has returned from his international tour.

Both the *Wall Street Journal* and the *Washington Post* said that experienced D.C. political hand David Urban, former Chief of Staff to Sen. Arlen Specter and now a Washington lobbyist known for his quiet and low-key efficiency will be tapped for the White House staff in some capacity in the near future.

Urban, from Aliquippa Pennsylvania, is a former altar-boy, son of a union card carrying steelworker, graduate of West Point, who served his country on both the field of battle and in the US Congress.

Urban served as the no-nonsense Administrative Assistant and Chief of Staff to the meanest, smartest, toughest man ever to serve in US Senate, Senator Arlen Specter. Urban's ability to manage the affairs of the one of the most driven, resourceful and relentless men in American political history makes him a tailor-made fit to serve the hard driving Trump.

Lobbyists and government officials alike agreed that he ran one of the most effective and efficient US Senate offices in the nation's capital. Urban has the US Army discipline and snap that would make Bob Haldeman proud, combined with a shrewd ethical sensibility. He is fiercely loyal to Trump. He also knows his way around Washington and the federal bureaucracy.

Urban ran the campaign in the Keystone state, delivering heavily Democratic Western Pennsylvania to Trump, helping propel the Manhattan billionaire to 1600 Pennsylvania Ave. I detailed Urban's key role in my book, [The Making of the President 2016: How Donald Trump Orchestrated A Revolution](#).

Urban's hard-charging nature, relentless drive, and meticulous organization delivered the prize that eluded Mitt Romney and John McCain.

Urban promoted the Trump message successfully to blue-collar Catholics, Jews, and union members. This helped the Trump campaign run up the numbers in Western Pennsylvania, doing

better in Democratic strongholds than past GOP nominees. These are the new "Trump Democrats."

President Trump would be wise to utilize Urban's talent, a point that the New York Times even made, claiming that Urban was "going in." With Urban, he can expect loyalty and competence, the two things he desperately needs as the Obama hold-overs who continue to populate the government, work to leak to undermine his Presidency.

In many of the same newspaper stories is the 'fact' that fired Trump campaign manager Corey Lewandowski will be returning to the first circle of Trump's advisors. Lewandowski would supposedly spearhead an effort to "find the leakers" and to enforce 'discipline' and "security" in the Trump White House. This is the worst idea of all time.

This "covert-op" to stop the leaks on Trump's staff is allegedly a joint effort with David Bossie. Mr. Bossie is the man behind the stunning "Citizens "United" supreme court decision.

****FULL DISCLOSURE:** Bossie's wife Susan served for several years as my assistant. She is one of the most decent, capable, organized and intelligent women I have ever known. ******



If the President is concerned about leaks, he should ask the FBI to investigate under their cloak of legal authority. Appointing

Lewandowski and David Bossie to such a role would be

reminiscent of the Watergate “plumbers.” Like E. Howard Hunt and G. Gordon Liddy, these unsophisticates will get the President into trouble.

Also in the works is allegedly a “war room” run by Steve Bannon to push back against the President’s critics, although it’s not clear Bannon could run a bath. Instead of a PR offensive, the White House needs to score on policy and focus on the agenda that got Trump elected. At the same time, they need to turn the tables on those inside government who seek to undermine him. Trump should direct Attorney General Sessions to appoint Bob Barr as the special counsel. Barr, a former US Attorney, should investigate the unconstitutional breaches at the NSA under Obama as well as the illegal use of intelligence information for political purposes by Susan Rice and others.

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Roger Stone vs. The Wall Street Journal

In a Wall Street Journal article updated May 25, 2017, writers Alexandra Berzon and Rob Barry attempted to drag me into the daisy chain of communications between a South Florida political consultant Aaron Nevins and Guccifer 2.0 regarding the supposed leaking of DNC voter turnout analysis data their misleading headline saying I “teamed up’ with a guy I had no contact with in 2016.

To be clear, I had nothing to do with the messages shared between Mr. Nevins and Guccifer. It is true that I myself have been in communication with Guccifer 2.0 (who I maintain is not a Russian Agent despite the dopes at the CIA insisting

otherwise), and I have fully disclosed the contents of those discussions in their entirety. It is true that I was directed to take a look at the HelloFLA.com website by Guccifer, and I did take a quick perusal and my response to him of “Pretty standard” shows clearly that I considered it mundane nonsense.

I had no idea there was an alleged dump of gigabytes worth of data to Mr. Nevins, nor that Aaron Nevins supposedly asked Guccifer 2.0 to send it by saying “Feel free to send any Florida based information” that Guccifer might have from his claimed possession of DNC data.

What I saw in HelloFLA.com didn’t seem interesting, or important. It seemed like something you’d find in any high-end political consultancy shop in D.C. as it seemed to be merely massaged data taken from public voting records.

HelloFLA.com was run under a pseudonym, and I had no knowledge that it was really being run by Aaron Nevins, and there is no way I could possibly know that it represented portions of data handed over to Mr. Nevins by Guccifer, if in fact that is what happened.

While I have no earlier or further connections to Guccifer than what I’ve previously published, I do find something very interesting, now that I’ve learned of this voter turnout data. What is fascinating about this data, is that it is exactly the type of data that Seth Rich was working with at the DNC for his job as a Director level data analyst at the DNC. Part of his job was investigating voting records for his official job title, which was Voter Expansion Data Director at the DNC.

It’s clear to see that the WSJ and left Fake News site RawStory had hoped to pull Roger Stone into the mud, but I believe they’ve just realized they merely demonstrated yet again the case for investigating the Seth Rich murder.

Sources:

[GOP operative-asked-russian-hacker-guccifer-2-0-for-help-and-got-2-5-gigabytes-of-stolen-documents-report](#)

[How-alleged-russian-hacker-teamed-up-with-florida-gop-operative](#)

[The-smoking-gun-aims-fires-misses](#)

[Misrepresenting-stones-prescience](#)

Net Censorship Campaign Exposed

The encroaching 'New Censorship' of the left is no fiction, but a fictional television show provides a good lead in. In the latest season of popular Showtime series Homeland, filmed before the 2016 Presidential Election had been decided in favor of Donald J. Trump, a fictional character named Brett O'Keefe is introduced that is an obvious take on Alex Jones and 'Alt News.' In several episodes, they show a clandestine building full of technologists who are using fake social media accounts and bots to manipulate public opinion and spread fake news.

In a classic case of projection, this plot point is attributed to the Alt-Right and the Deep State working together. It is in fact precisely what is happening but with the Deep State in bed with the Progressive Left (which includes many Neocons). David Brock, specifically, and his organization Media Matters have taken in many tens of millions of dollars over the past few years and have built up exactly the kind of infrastructure that is 'fictionally' portrayed on the series Homeland. A virtual army of trolls and the complicit support of the Tech

Left and Main Stream Media. This is the Beast that a rag-tag group of patriots is fighting.

It would be bad enough if what they were up against was just deep pockets and a well-oiled machine, but what they're facing is far more insidious. The entire playing field has been tilted, with the complicity of the major social media platforms and search engines engaging in the overt censorship of material considered 'fake' or 'offensive.'

Who decides what is fake and/or offensive?

As per the new "Countering Disinformation and Propaganda Act" (CDPA), The Center for Information Analysis and Response does, with the help of generous amounts of grant money showered on leftist, liberal, or establishment mouthpieces like Snopes.com, factcheck.org, and Media Matters.

Many Conservatives, Libertarians, and supporters of President Trump know first-hand the effects of this New Censorship being unleashed against them. For decades, there wasn't sufficient concern that venture capital was being expended almost exclusively by the Left on companies run by the Left. Once established, many of the largest of these companies then began a symbiotic relationship with the Deep State, which allowed them to grow into the juggernauts they are today. Facebook, Twitter, Google and their property YouTube have all but locked-up social media interactions on the Internet. The old Anti-trust description fits most appropriately: Monopolies. As monopolies, these left leaning organizations can tilt public discourse in directions of their choosing via a variety of nefarious yet hidden methods.

We at the Stone Cold truth have experienced this digital kneecapping directly, and we know other sites have experienced the same thing. We have gone from over a quarter of a million reach a day organically in October to less than five thousand on any given day this week. This has been reflected in the

decline in direct visits to StoneColdTruth.com as well as obviously curtailed activity on our Facebook and twitter feeds. While the tech left will occasionally boost the numbers in order to conceal what they are up to the overall result of their campaign of censorship is obvious. They seek to restore the mainstream media monopoly on the dissemination of political information at the same time crushing the vibrant and robust alternative media which allowed for the election of Donald J Trump.

Terms have had to be invented to describe the censorship activities being conducted by the Tech Left: Throttling, Shadow Bans, Troll Bots, SJW Puppets, Fake News Flagging, and many more being invented almost every day. All this activity boils down to a single objective: limiting the reach for voices of dissent. Make no mistake, their technologies work, their methods are effective. We at Stone Cold Truth have watched our audience go up month over month yet have seen our reach go down month after month in a dramatic fashion. We have conducted actual A-B tests with tweets and Facebook posts and have watched both Twitter and Facebook stifle our content in real-time. We know we are not alone.

Using Rugged American Individualism, we have stood up to voices and ideology raised by the Left, but in their desperation, the Tech Left is slanting the playing field further and further, to the point of breaking. Facebook, and Twitter specifically have violated their own stated SEC reported corporate charters. Both promise free and open platforms. Both are provably false. Google makes no such promises, but their de facto monopoly on search has given them a powerful position with which to abuse, and abuse they have.

The Left have professional agitators by the thousands, and brain trusts by the score, that work together in purpose if disparate in tactics. No such organizational structure exists for the Right. The organization that has the ostensible duty to help counter such onslaughts is the GOP and they have been

missing in action. The Tech Left is extinguishing the passion and volunteer spirit that helped President Trump rise to prominence using the very tactics the Main Stream Media has accused Russia: using professional trolls to spread propaganda and disinformation, and by total media collusion.

The Left are in a panic. They were certain Hillary would win. When Donald J. Trump won the election, and foiled all of the clean-up efforts, they lost the chance to provide cover for the egregious ethical and legal violations that were committed in the name of getting a Hillary win at any cost. Many on the Tech Left have opened themselves up to extreme legal liability. They were literally banking that Hillary would provide them with protection from repercussions. They have been brazen about their efforts and have left behind noticeable trails pointing to their activities. The liability is their soft underbelly which should be exploited to level the playing field. The Tech Left made a huge gamble, and they lost.

Google, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and all of the tools of the Globalist media need to be investigated for violations of antitrust provisions in the US Code. True information is as much a commodity in today's world as is electricity or telecommunications. All power generation and telecom is regulated by the Federal Government, so too should be any industry that abuses the free flow of information, regardless of platform. Liberals should not be allowed to use their control of publicly necessary information utilities to force their agenda on an unwilling populace.

The Left aren't backing down, they are doubling down. They are watching multiple generations worth of sacrifice go up in smoke. If liberty minded patriots hope to actually effect change and beat them back once and for all, they must seize the opportunity to mount a significant offensive that has the possibility of crippling the Left's ability to control the narrative.

Coming soon ... Twitter's censorship hit list exposed.

© 2017 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

The CIA's Legacy Of Lies

The recent announcement that the Trump Justice Department is considering indicting WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange for release and publication of materials obtained by federal whistle blowers is contrary to the position of President Donald Trump who said, "I love WikiLeaks", during the Fall campaign.



As I wrote last week the troubling comments made by Director of Central Intelligence Mike Pompeo could be a troubling sign that the same intelligence

agency involved an illegal leaking of surveillance regarding General Flynn is out to destabilize President Trump.

These damn agencies lead the charge for the limited air strike in Syria and worked with their allies in the military to push the president to put 150,000 troops in Syria. They are also responsible for the leak to the NYT on Jan 20 on which Washington Post Reports that Roger Stone, Paul Manafort and Carter Page were all subject to NSA ordered FBI surveillance. There is no evidence that either Stone or Manafort colluded with the Russian State.

The absurd denunciation of Wikileaks, which he, like Donald Trump, had previously been praised by our new Director of the CIA, Mike Pompeo, compounds the agency's determination that Assad had conducted a chemical attack in Syria, which led to the launching of a cruise missile attack that took the lives of innocent women and children, an ironic outcome, given it was launched because of his desire to protect the lives of innocent women and children.

Syria had surrendered its stockpile of chemical weapons in 2013, following an earlier "false flag" attack, where their removal was verified by an agency of the UN. The CIA failed to distinguish between dropping a chemical bomb (which does not appear to have happened) and dropping a bomb on chemical compounds (which appear to have replaced the conventional munitions that were stored there). This looks like a classic case of "bait and switch"!

Acting on faulty intelligence endorsed by Director Pompeo, the President has now violated international law, the UN Charter and the War Powers Agreement with Congress. While he has won praise from hawks on both sides of the aisle, who have denounced him in the past, and been lauded by the mainstream media, which holds him in contempt, the foreign policy of his campaign increasingly appears to have been overtaken by the neocon agenda.

The competence of Wikileaks, which has yet to release even one inauthentic email, compares very favorably with the performance of the CIA, which neither anticipated the collapse of the Soviet Union or the "terrorist attack" of 9/11. But not only is the public shortchanged by an agency that all-too-often misses either the boat or gets things wrong, its operations around the world have gone far beyond the scope of its charter. The agency is out of control.

Even Harry Truman, who signed The National Security Act in 1947, concluded that creating the CIA had been his most

grievous mistake, the American people need to know the kinds of actions that the agency has taken that are not only violate the sovereignty of other nations but subvert the ideas of the United States itself. Its actions have been primarily responsible for the wide-spread belief that America is "the greatest terrorist state" in the world.

We can far more easily replace an incompetent director than we can constrain its pernicious influence in other nations. The CIA has become the most effective instrument for subverting freedom and democracy in the name of "freedom and democracy" the world has ever seen and has permanently tarnished the image of the USA, which virtually everyone but the American public understands. Consider five examples of its subversive acts:

Operation Paperclip

In the wake of World War II, as the Office of Strategic Service was transformed into the CIA, more than 1,600 scientists, engineers and technical experts, including Wernher von Braun and his rocket team, many of whom were not only member of the Nazi Party but among its leaders, were quietly transferred from The Third Reich to the United States, where their antipathy for undemocratic procedures has exerted profound influence. Among them were SS intelligence officers Alfred Six and Emil Augsburg (who massacred Jews), Klaus Barbie (the "Butcher of Lyon"), Otto von Bolschwing (who worked with Eichmann) and SS Colonel Otto Skorzeny (who was a personal friend of Hitler's). There is nothing far-fetched about believing that their collective influence upon the government of the United States has been in the direction of making the nation more authoritarian and autocratic and willing to subvert governments at home and abroad.

Coups and Assassinations

Their contempt has been demonstrated by conducting coups in

foreign nations, usurping their right to self-determination, initiated by the 1953 coup in Iran, toppling its democratically elected government and imposing The Shah of Iran, whose brutal and tyrannical regime was only overthrown by the popular uprising of 1979, during which Iranians regained control of their own country, which the CIA had stolen. Its activities include:

- * 1953: Iran coup d'état
- * 1954: Guatemalan coup
- * 1961: Dag Hammarskjöld
- * 1961: Ecuadorian coup
- * 1961: Patrice Lumumba
- * 1963: Dominican Republic
- * 1963: The Diem Brothers
- * 1964: Brazilian coup
- * 1965: Indonesian coup
- * 1965: Greek coup
- * 1967: Che Guevara
- * 1968: Peruvian coup
- * 1970: Salvador Allende
- * 1975: Australian coup
- * 1979: El Salvadorian coup
- * 1986: Iran/Contra scandal
- * 1989: Panamanian coup
- * 1991: The Gulf War
- * 1993: Haitian coup

More than 80 coups appear to have been carried out by the CIA, including at least one in the USA. Author Steve Kangas, "A Timeline of CIA Atrocities", Global Research (2016) has remarked that, while the collapse of the Soviet deprived the agency of its rationale (of fighting communism), its budget was not reduced. And, as Vladimir Putin has remarked, 95% of the terrorist acts in the world are conducted by the CIA. It's long past time question its continued existence.

Operation Mockingbird

To counter the influence of communist propaganda, Frank Wisner, Director of the Office of Policy Coordination of the NSA, envisioned a program for recruiting American journalists to support the policies of the government by means of their media affiliations. After Allen Dulles recruited Cord Meyer to the CIA, he became the point man for the agency. In 1975, William Colby, then its Director, told Congress that the agency owned everyone of significance in the media at the time. An article by Carl Bernstein, "The CIA and the Media" (1977), confirmed his testimony and reported that agency officials had boasted that their greatest successes had been with The New York Times, Time/Life, Inc., and CBS, which gave it a lock on news at the time.

The situation has become far worse in the decades since, where (what is now known as) "fake news" dominates the mainstream (as opposed to the alternative) media. The development of computers and internet communications has made it more difficult to keep the truth from the American public, where many of the most egregious outlets are resorting to "fact checkers" to reinforce the views the agency wants to prevail. This is a new variation on the standard technique of one dis-info op supporting another, creating the impression they are independent sources, when they are conspiring together. The obvious problem is, who is fact checking the "fact checkers", who are in on the scam?

JFK

The agency's ingenuity was challenged by skeptics of The Warren Report (1964), who raised questions about the backyard photographs (which had been faked), the role of the Secret Service setting him up for the hit (leaving two agents behind at Love Field, the vehicles in the wrong sequence, changing the route four days before the motorcade and other blatant clues), forcibly stealing the body from Dallas (to get it under military control), the impossibility of the alleged shots (which not even the best sniper in the Marine Corps

could replicate) and assorted other anomalies. So they sent out a memo on dealing with critics and proposed the phrase, "conspiracy theorists", to implicitly shift the burden of proof as though, unless they could account for every aspect of the crime, they did not deserve to be taken seriously, which continues to this day.

Even though medical experts have shown that JFK was hit at least four times—once in the back (from behind); once in the throat (from in front); and twice in the head (once from behind and once from the right/front), which could not have been done by a lone shooter, the CIA continues to play "The Mighty Wurlitzer" (as Wiser called the mainstream media, including The New York Times, The Washington Post, ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN, MSNBC and more), who which does its best to drown out those who have done their homework and cannot be taken in. Today we know the body was altered, the X-rays were fixed and the home movies were revised to conceal the true causes of the death of our 35th president, especially the limo stop to make sure he would be killed. The agency appears to fear that, if the public understood the truth, its role in managing the flow of information to the people would be exposed, which it resists to this day.

RFK

Not only was the agency profoundly involved in the assassination of JFK in collaboration with the Dallas Police and Sheriff's Department, but Bobby was taken out by four shots fired from behind, as the acclaimed forensic pathologist, Thomas Noguchi, ascertained, where the fatal shot was fired behind his right ear from a distance of an inch-and-a-half. Since Sirhan Sirhan—who just happened to be a Palestinian—never got closer than several feet of Bobby and fired all of his shots from in front, it would not do to let his autopsy report stand uncontested. In spite of his standing, the coroner was fired for issuing a report that contradicted the LAPD's conclusions. And the public remains

unaware to this day that three of the agency's key players—George Joannides, Gordon Campbell and David Sanchez Morales—were identified by several persons who knew them, including Bradley Ayers, who had served with them in the CIA, and Wayne Smith, a former Ambassador with JFK's Latin American Task force of the Department of State from 1957 to 1962.

Author David Talbot has written a new book painting Allen Dulles as the brains behind the death of JFK, after LBJ forced himself on the ticket in Los Angeles in 1960 in order to ascend to the highest office when Jack was taken out. He maneuvered JFK into making the Texas trip; and even sent his Chief Administrative Assistant, Cliff Carter, down to Dallas to make sure all the arrangements for the assassination were in place.

Concluding Reflections

Once again, the controlling media does its best to manages public perceptions, which continues to this day. With Donald Trump in the White House, many of his supporters, myself included, had high expectations that the "Deep State" would be cut down to size—and, indeed, in some respects, it has been. But appointments like that of new CIA Director, Mike Pompeo, indicate that nothing has really changed, that there is an active effort to co-opt the Trump Presidency. If coopted by the neocons we are in for more wars, not fewer, and more interference in our private lives by The Surveillance State, which can use the information it gathers on each of us to blackmail, intimidate or even kill us in order to preserve its control of the nation's course of events. Without the truth, we cannot know which actions are most appropriate in a fake world of orchestrated events. If we want to do better, we are going to have to get rid of the forces that control us. The CIA would be a good place to start. President Trump should clean house.

Why CIA Director Mike Pompeo Must Resign

Given the American Intelligence Agencies extensive campaign, led by Obama appointees still serving in those agencies, to discredit Donald Trump's election and destabilize his Presidency, I have always believed that the President's appointee as the Director of Central Intelligence would be crucial.



High level officials in the CIA have systematically claimed in leaks they are in possession of evidence collected in surveillance of several Trump associates which proves that there was

active collusion with the Russian State to aid the election of Donald Trump. To date they have publicly produced no such evidence.

Intelligence sources told the New York Times that the agencies were in possession of emails and records of financial transactions which would prove this treason. On January 30, 2017, the New York Times expanded this claim to include the possession of transcripts of intercepted telephone calls by the so-all Trump associates. These same sources leaked the fact that General Flynn was also under surveillance *after* he had been designated as the President's National Security Advisor. Again, they have produced no such evidence but the

main stream media give great credence to this lie.

Not surprisingly, the vaunted 17 Intelligence Agencies still riddled with Obama appointees has produced no hard evidence whatsoever of Russian collusion with the Trump campaign. What we have had instead is a trail of deception, lies and disinformation – so very typical of the agency based on its entire history.

The Intelligence agencies continue to insist that Julian Assange is an active Russian Agent and that Wikileaks is a Russian controlled asset. The agencies have no hard proof of this claim whatsoever. Assange has said repeatedly that he is affiliated with no nation state but the Intelligence Agencies continue to insist that he is under Russian control because it fits the narrative in which they must produce some evidence of Russian interference in our election because they used this charge to legally justify and rationalize the surveillance of Trump aides, myself included.

The actions of Susan Rice now make it clear that surveillance of Trump associates was conducted solely for political purposes, an illegal and egregious abuse of power which could ultimately ensnare the entire front-line of Obama's administration leading to the inevitable question of "what did the President know and when did he know it?"

Roger Stone

Sadly, Donald Trump's appointee of Director of Central Intelligence has clearly been conned by the Neo Con careerists at the CIA. Former Congressman Mike Pompeo was appointed at the behest of Vice President Pence who was friendly with Pompeo in the house. While Mr. Pompeo is a Harvard lawyer, his training at West Point was in mechanical engineering. Although he served on the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence at no time has he expressed any concern about the CIA's sorry track record of insisting that there were weapons

of mass destruction in Iraq, that prisoners of war are not being tortured at Abu Ghraib prison, that the United States was farming terrorists out to 3rd party countries which utilized torture in a practice called rendition, that our mission in Benghazi was attacked by a mob whipped into a frenzy by an anti-Islamic video shown in Turkey, as well as the Intelligence agencies role in the collection of metadata on millions of Americans in violation of the US Constitution.

President Donald Trump said on Oct, 10, 2016 ["I love Wikileaks"](#) and Pompeo who previously had praised the whistleblowing operation now called Wikileaks ["a non-state hostile Intelligence service"](#) often abetted by state actors like Russia".



Mr. Pompeo must be pressed to immediately release any evidence he has that proves these statements. If he cannot do so, the President should discharge him.

My sources continue to insist that former CIA Director John Brennan, who served both under President George W. Bush and Barack Obama continues to direct the campaign of CIA leaks designed to destabilize President Trump. Brennan who refused to be sworn into office as CIA Director on a Bible is a convert to Wahhabism, the most radical form of Islam. Brennan, whose Wikipedia biography details his activism in the US Communist Party when the party was advocating the violent overthrow of the US Government is fluent in Khaleegee and was 'flipped' when he was the Riyadh Station Chief.

Although, MSNBC talking head Ari Melber tried to deny Brennan's conversion to the extremist sect at least three CIA alum who served with Brennan when he was the Station Chief in

Riyadh had direct knowledge of his conversion and his treason.

The left has tried to falsely say that I knew about Wikileaks hacking of Clinton Campaign Chairman's John Podesta's emails in advance as well as claiming that I had substantially more information about Wikileaks ultimate release of devastating documents in October 2016 (which proved epic corruption and cover-up as well as Hillary Clinton's active personal involvement in dirty tricks to incite violence at Donald Trump's rallies). FactCheck.org declared both charges *false*.

The claims of the Sammy Glick of the US House, Congressman Adam Schiff, a career politician so ambitious that he held a fundraiser for his re-election sponsored by an Iranian Arms Dealer at the same time he was making false allegations in House Intelligence Committee hearings regarding the President and his associates. Congressman Eric Swalwell, also of California, a mannequin whose ken-doll good looks have somehow landed him in the US Congress, as well as Congresswoman Jackie Speier and Connecticut Congressman Jim Himes have also soiled themselves by espousing these lies. Virginia Senator Mark Warner has claimed repeatedly that I have had direct contacts with the Russian state and have worked for them-which is a provable damnable lie.

Julian Assange does not work for the Russians. Given the import of the information that he ultimately disclosed about the Clinton campaign, the Obama administration and the deep secrets in the CIA's Vault 7, he has educated the American people about the tactics and technology the CIA has used to spy on ordinary Americans. It is abundantly clear why our democratic system requires whistle blowers willing to violate the law in order to disclose the greater danger posed to our civil liberties and our form of government.

While the hacking by Wikileaks is technically illegal, the corruption, self-dealing and dirty tricks exposed in the documents that he hacked and released regarding the Clintons

and the Obama administration constitute far bigger and more serious crimes. Dr. Martin Luther King violated the law in his quest to win equal equality among the races because in some cases the laws are unjust and put in place simply to hide official government misconduct in the face of the civil rights movement.

The absurd denunciation of Wikileaks by our new Director of the CIA, Mike Pompeo, which he, like Donald Trump, had previously praised, compounds the agency's determination that Assad had conducted a chemical attack in Syria, which led to the launching of a cruise missile attack that took the lives of innocent women and children, an ironic outcome, given it was launched because of his desire to protect the lives of innocent women and children.

Syria had surrendered its stockpile of chemical weapons in 2013, following an earlier "false flag" attack, where their removal was verified by an agency of the UN as well as the Russians. The CIA failed to distinguish between dropping a chemical bomb (which does not appear to have happened) and dropping a bomb on chemical compounds (which appear to have replaced the conventional munitions that were stored there). This looks like a classic case of "bait and switch"!

After some soul searching I have elected to support the President's limited incursion into Syria because of the strong geo-political message it sends the North Koreans, Iranians and Chinese. It also underlined the foolish of the entire "Russians helped Trump" narrative.

Acting on faulty intelligence endorsed by Director Pompeo, the President has now violated international law, the UN Charter and the War Powers Agreement with Congress. While he has won praise from hawks on both sides of the aisle, who have denounced him in the past, and has been lauded by the mainstream media, which holds him in contempt. A further expansion of the war in Syria would mean violation of end of

the non-interventionism, the very concept that won Donald Trump the presidency. It would be the functional end of "Trumpism"

The competence of Wikileaks, which has yet to release even one inauthentic email, compares very favorably with the performance of the CIA, which neither anticipated the collapse of the Soviet Union or the "terrorist attack" of 9/11. But not only is the public shortchanged by an agency that all-too-often misses either the boat or gets things wrong, its operations around the world has gone far beyond the scope of its charter. The agency is out of control. (See "The CIA's Record of Duplicity" on the Stone Cold Truth.com.)

Even Harry Truman, who signed The National Security Act in 1947, concluded that creating the CIA had been his most grievous mistake, the American people need to know the kinds of actions that the agency has taken that are not only violate the sovereignty of other nations but subvert the ideas of the United States itself. Its actions have been primarily responsible for the wide-spread belief that America is "the greatest terrorist state" in the world.


We can far more easily replace an incompetent director than we can constrain its pernicious influence in other nations. The CIA has become the most effective instrument for subverting freedom and democracy in the name of "freedom and democracy" the world has ever seen and has permanently tarnished the image of the USA, which virtually everyone but the American public understands. Mr. Pompeo is the not the man to reform a rogue agency out of control.

Assange is a hero who has rendered valuable service to the American people. Mr. Pompeo has demonstrated that he is far too gullible to serve as the CIA Director and will pretty much swallow anything that the spooks in Langley dish out to him despite the obvious lack of any hard evidence whatsoever. Clearly Mr. Pompeo should resign.

The Smoking Gun Aims, Fires, Misses

Time- Line Disproves Russian Collusion Lie

The Smoking Gun website has come up with a sensational but bogus claim that I collaborated with a hacker, Gruccifer 2.0 to hack data from the DNC and have Wikileaks release it. This is another absurd media claim that is part of the long-standing absurd media claim the Donald Trump had anything to do with the Russians. This is a charge without a shred of evidence.



The Smoking Gun is neither hot nor smoking on this one. Their sequence of events is wrong.

Now I see Raw Story, GQ and a parcel of lefty me-too recycle shops are posting this steaming pile of dung.

Sorry boys but the FBI does not have proof I colluded with the Russians. They have nothing.


Get some Facts

All contact I had with the entity known as Gufficer 2 came **after** I had written an article that was published on [August 5th 2016 by Breitbart News](#) and vetted by their lead

investigative reporter Lee Stranahan. That article came **after the DNC and DCCC material had been released** and identified the role of a hacker going by the moniker Crucifer 2 based on our own sources.

Therefore, any such “collaboration” would’ve also involved a time machine – something that I think Putin has not perfected yet.

Note: At least some of the information published by Wikileaks came in a documents hand off to Craig Murray, a respected U.K. diplomat who has confirmed this. Most in the MSM have not reported this. I reported that a hacker calling himself Gruccifer 2.0 had published the rest prior to Wikileaks publishing.



On August 14- some ten days- later I noted on my twitter feed that Gruccifer 2.0 who had been suspended by Twitter had been reinstated. I did so because I abhor censorship .Then I did in fact have a short and innocuous Direct Message exchange with Gruccifer 2.0. It was so perfunctory, brief and banal I had forgotten it. Not exactly 007 stuff even if Gruccifer 2.0 was working for the Russkies .

Here is the entire exchange

To reiterate, I myself had no contacts or communications with the Russian State, Russian Intelligence or anyone fronting for them or acting as intermediaries for them. None. Nada. Zilch. I am not in touch with any Russians. don't have a Russian girlfriend, don't like Russian dressing and have stopped drinking Russian Vodka.

The vaunted New York Times reported on January 19 that intelligence sources said they had e-mails and records of financial records that proved the Trump Campaign Russian collusion. later in January the New York Times said intelligence sources also said the had transcripts of intercepted phone calls. So where are they? House Intelligence Chairman Devin Nunez says his committee has seen no proof. I challenge them to produce said "proof". This exchange with Gruccifer 2.0 reported by the Smoking Gun proves nothing.

Roger Stone: Donald Trump and the politics of marijuana

One of the most controversial decisions a President Trump will have to make is whether to continue the federal stand-down by the us Justice department in which DOJ does not enforce federal marijuana laws where they contradict state laws legalizing the legal use and sale of marijuana in the 37 states where it is currently legal in some form. Although he has a long standing personal opposition to drug use Trump has also expressed support for the medicinal use of marijuana in the states where it is legal.

Canceling the order by Obama attorney general Eric Holder to stand down on Marijuana would cause a major dislocation in multiple states that are currently budgeting millions in state revenue from the taxation of marijuana and un-employing hundreds of thousands of people currently working in an industry legalized by the states. I would urge President-Elect Trump to view this as a business man; U.S. government cannot turn back the clock on federal marijuana law enforcement.

Just over one year ago, on November 23, 2016, GQ Magazine published a video interview of Donald J. Trump while he was campaigning for POTUS. In this interview, he was asked his opinion about legalized marijuana, to which he answered "Legalized marijuana is always a difficult question . . . for medicinal purposes, for medical purposes, absolutely, it's fine."

A month before, on October 29, 2015, then Candidate Trump told the Washington Post: "In terms of marijuana and legalization, I think that should be a state issue, state-by-state. Marijuana is such a big thing. I think medical should. And then I really believe we should leave it up to the states. And of course, you have Colorado. There's a question as to how it's all working out there, you know? That's not going exactly trouble-free."

While many Internet sources say that sometime in 1990, the Sarasota Herald Tribune published an article that Donald J. Trump said the War on Drugs was a joke, this researcher has found that what actually occurred was on April 14, 1990, at a Company of the Year Award luncheon, sponsored by the Sarasota Herald Tribune for their annual prize announcement, Donald J. Trump was invited to speak. Trump said he thought the Drug Enforcement Agency efficacy was a joke, and that "we're losing badly in the war on drugs ... you have to take the profit away from these drug czars ... tax revenues from a legalized drug trade could be spent to educate the public on the dangers of drugs." Portugal tried this model in 2001, and it is considered a great success by the Portuguese more than fifteen years later.

While Donald Trump is on record at the 2015 CPAC making a statement about recreational marijuana, "I say it's bad. Medical marijuana is another thing, but I think it's bad, and I feel strongly about it." Donald was speaking to Conservatives, and while that might have been the reasoning behind making such a marked change of direction from his

position in the 1990's, his position on Medical Marijuana, even in the face of these same Conservatives, remains unchanged.

During the same election that won Trump the Presidency, there was another vote on the Florida ballot. Amendment 2 passed with an overwhelming majority, with more than 71% of the States votes, on the Left and the Right, approving of Medical Marijuana. This is a far higher percentage of the population than voted for Donald Trump, which reported by the State of Florida, stands at just over 49%.

Florida was not alone, as California, Nevada, and Massachusetts approved of recreational marijuana, and North Dakota and Arkansas passing their own Medical Marijuana provisions.

All told, twenty-eight States and Washington D.C. now have legalized Medical Marijuana. A clear majority of States and population are for it, nationwide.

A great many pro-marijuana organizations, publications, and Internet outlets put their support behind Donald Trump based on his positive statements about Medical Marijuana. People who have marijuana rights as their primary political issue turned to Trump, many against long time party affiliation, in hopes of greater freedom and less abuse at the hands of Federal Agencies.

If, after winning the election, Donald Trump listens to the likes of Chris Christie and Jeff Sessions he risks alienating his base and his newly won supporters in a very tangible way. Both Sessions and Christie come from 'Old World' War on Drugs thinking.

Criminalized Marijuana has directly lead to the persecution of countless individuals, the vast majority of whom are poor and minorities. That this was the desired result of the designers of the system of criminalization cannot be reasonable doubted.

“Laws to suppress tend to strengthen what they would prohibit. This is the fine point on which all legal professions throughout history have based their jobs security.” –Frank Herbert

We cannot leave it to ‘Law Enforcement’ types to decide what is to be allowed and what is to be prohibited. The People must decide for themselves, and they have decided. Overwhelmingly so. They have decided they want legalized marijuana.

“If the people let government decide what foods they eat and what medicines they take, their bodies will soon be in as sorry a state as are the souls of those who live under tyranny.” –Thomas Jefferson

Drain the Swamp. Limit Federal Power. Reel-in out-of-control Alphabet Soup agencies. Return respect for law. These are all things Donald Trump made as major issues for his campaign platform.

“The prestige of government has undoubtedly been lowered considerably by the prohibition law. For nothing is more destructive of respect for the government and the law of the land than passing laws which cannot be enforced.” –Albert Einstein

A precipitous move by the Trump administration to change the equation on legal marijuana in the states could in fact bring action by congress where a coalition of liberal Democrats and libertarian republicans are moving towards legislation to legalize the plant.

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Why Bannon is targeted

The ferocity with which the left and their running dog lackey in the main stream media have attacked former Breitbart publisher Stephen K. Bannon is a testimony to his effectiveness and clear-eyed understanding of the challenges detailed in wrenching control of the U.S. government from the special interest, globalists in multinational corporation whose policies have driven us to the brink of bankruptcy and to be the laughingstock of the world community.

In the interest of full disclosure I have written for Breitbart News and have every intention of continuing. Although Breitbart News is sharp edged and articulate it is neither anti-semitic nor racist and neither is Steve Bannon.

The claim that Bannon is anti-semitic comes from his ex wife in an acrimonious divorce proceeding and has been vehemently denied by Bannon. There is no other evidence that Bannon harbors ill feeling towards Jews or Israel. The Clinton Oppo file distributed by the Clintonistas to the MSM supplies the fodder for the constant barrage of incoming visited upon the former Brietbart publisher.

Bannon is targeted because the left knows he is dangerous. Bannon has a keen understanding of alternative media and the Internet. Bannon understands the greater cultural divides and developments in the electorate which made the Trump victory possible. Bannon also knows that the Trump administration must not be co-opted by the party establishment types or the neocons who's war policies Trump disagrees with. Internally Bannon is the keeper of the Trump flame and must be a protector of the Trump agenda.

To be a great president Trump must deliver on his core promises of sealing our borders, recharging economy, renegotiating the detrimental globalist trade deals upgrading

veterans healthcare to be the finest in the world, creating a job boom in our inner cities while conducting a foreign policy that keeps us out of war while entering a new period of detente and hardheaded negotiations with Putin and the Russians that will enable us to work in coordination to crush our mutual enemy ISIS.

Concerns about crimes committed in their country by illegal immigrants and concerns about the ultimate social welfare costs for those who come here illegally and stay does not make one a racist.

The charge of racist has become a staple of the 500 million front organizations funded by George Soros and is used to attack anyone who questions the policy of record of the Clintons. In fact the 1994 Crime Bill which incarcerated an entire generation of young black men for the non-violent crime of possession of small amounts of drugs. In fact the mandatory penalties for possession of rock cocaine was far more harsh than the mandatory penalty for powdered cocaine.

This was pushed by Hillary who famously who famously called inner city blacks super predators "who must be brought to heel". This is the same Bill Clinton who argued in the federal courts for the Arkansas state police's right to racially profile drivers.

I have worked for reformed of New York's draconian and racists Rockefeller drug laws since XXXX. I spoke at a rally addressed by the Reverend Al Sharpton AKA Russell Simmons, Andrew Cuomo and a host of labor leaders. I have written in favor of affirmative action, which was a Nixon administration program to address passed inequalities for black people. I have long taken the libertarian view in support of gay marriage and I have written and said that marijuana should be legalized and regulated for recreational purposes and that the federal government should remove marijuana from the Schedule A-list that includes heroine and cocaine. As a first step to federal

legalization as some republicans are now proposing.

Neither Steve Bannon nor Alex Jones nor Roger Stone is a racist or a bigot but that did not stop many in the mainstream media from continuing this narrative.

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh, etc.) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Wikileaks, Mike Morrell, Russia and me

As someone involved in politics for more than 40 years I can attest to the fact that you ruffle some feathers and, dare I say, make some enemies along the way. So what? If the bed-wetters and pearl-clutchers aren't upset with you, you aren't making a difference.

Politics ain't beanbag, as the saying goes, and I'm no stranger to controversy or a fight. I've been called just about every name in the book, and new books could be written using just the words that have been created to attack me.

But there is one word that no one has ever attempted to attach to me before this week: traitor.

Think of me what you will, I love my country. I've spent my

life defending it from those who seek to harm it, both foreign and domestic. So imagine my surprise when a third-rate bureaucrat cum fourth-rate partisan former Deputy CIA Director Mike Morell accused me of “actually working on behalf of the Russians.”

Congressman Jerry Nadler started this witch hunt when he called on FBI Director Comey (director of the Sandy Berger, Mark Rich and Hillary e-mail cover-ups) to investigate me for my non-existent ties to Russia. I am accused of treason. That’s what Nadler, CIA hack Michael Morell and the Clinton thugs have accused me of. It is, to put it mildly, bullshit. And they know it.

But Morell, now a flying monkey in Hillary Clinton’s thug army, is happily spreading the lie that I knew in advance that Wikileaks would hack the very revealing e-mail of Hillary campaign chief John Podesta. This because of a tweet I posted in August at the time my boyhood friend and colleague Paul Manafort was under attack for his perfectly legal work in Ukraine for a democratic political party. I predicted that Podesta’s business dealings would be exposed. I didn’t hear it from Wikileaks, although Julian Assange and I share a common friend. I reported the story on my website.

So let’s be clear. I had no advance notice of Wikileaks’ hacking of Podesta’s e-mails. I didn’t need it to know what Podesta has been up to. I do not work for any Russian interest. I have no Russian clients. I have never received a penny from any public or private entity or individual and that includes Russian intelligence. None. Nada. Zilch.

This is the new McCarthyism. I don’t favor war with Russia, a war this administration seems to be hurtling towards as we speak. Like Trump, I favor a period of Nixon-like détente and hard-headed negotiations with the Russians that would allow us to work together to crush ISIS. This does not mean I am pro-Putin or approve of Russian totalitarianism.

Being in politics a while, I do understand deflection. The Clintonistas hope they can distract public attention away from the stunning criminal activities exposed in the Wikileaks by attacking those who they say leaked them. In this case that is not me.

Now let's take a look at Mr. Morell. He is essentially the man who ran the Benghazi cover-up. "Former CIA Director Morell received information from the CIA Station Chief in Benghazi that there was NEVER a protest the night of the terrorist attack," according to Gateway Pundit. "Morell later viewed video of the terrorist attack showing there was no protest. Morell later said the FBI changed the talking points to say there was a protest. He changed the talking points to benefit the Obama administration."

This guy wants me investigated?

What's almost as bad is Morrell's failure to disclose his employment by a PR firm that is employed by the Clinton campaign. He's on the Clinton payroll and his employer, Beacon Global Strategies, is on the Clinton payroll. The New York Times neglected to mention when they published his pro-Hillary press release couched as an opinion column or mentioned by any of the media when they reported his carefully worded attack on me.

The FBI and CIA are supposed to work to protect Americans from all manner of threats and should be above partisanship, yet just like the IRS, they have been weaponized against conservatives by the Obama administration. Not directly, but by Morrell's using his former position to promote himself and make attacks against me and Trump. In addition to Morrell's pockets being lined with Clinton cash, the Clintons have lined their pockets with Russian money. As PJ Media reported, "Bill Clinton received \$500,000 to give a speech in Moscow on behalf of a Russian investment bank tied to the Uranium One deal."

That's right, the Clinton crime family was paid a half million by a Russian bank that benefited from then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's approval of a deal that gave Russia control over one-fifth of the United State's uranium.

A former President of the United States should not be giving speeches to Russian interests for huge sums of money while his wife has a say in deals that benefit them and have the potential to threaten our national security. But Morrell, apparently, doesn't have a problem with that. His loyalty is for sale and the checks have cleared.

That Michael Morrell has exposed himself as a partisan hack willing to sell his name to a corrupt political family isn't a surprise. That the New York Times would happily run it isn't a surprise either. Nor is it surprising this hack would smear patriotic Americans to distract from the astonishing corruption of the Clinton campaign exposed by the Wikileaks revelations. These are, after all, the Clintons.

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh, etc.) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Big banks own the Clintons

Prior to the financial crisis, banks were not a subject of political or public ire or focus. They were just companies.

The biggest banks were east-coast and west-coast behemoths. When they lobbied, it was largely in coordination with the nation's smaller community banks. While the smaller community banks have historically represented the political spectrum of the communities they serve, the Too-Big-To-Fail (TBTF) banks were aligned with Democrats, being headquartered in the "bluer" states of New York and California. As a result, the Democrats, and especially the Clintons, have always relied on the big banks to support their agenda, and in turn, they have enthusiastically supported the big bank's agenda.

Unlike community banks, which serve local communities, know their economies and are committed to their neighbors, TBTF big banks don't know their customers, serve themselves and could care less about their neighbors.

When the great financial crisis came, TBTF banks were largely responsible. The nation wanted justice. But it was time for payback, and the TBTF banks used their capture of the Democrats to extort protection.

Since the crisis, Hillary and her pals have helped to make sure that no real harm comes to the TBTF banks. In fact, since the crisis – TBTF banks have dramatically increased in size, stifling the ability of smaller banks to compete.

(NOTE: The banks deemed too big by the Obama Administration when it pushed for enactment of Dodd Frank are more than 30% bigger than before the Act was passed in 2010, and 80% bigger than before the banking crisis of 2008. The six largest US financial institutions now have assets of some \$10 trillion, amounting to almost 60% of GDP; and they control nearly 50% of all bank deposits. Even some well-heeled clients are being rejected. In an October 19, 2015 article titled "Big Banks to America's Firms: We Don't Want Your Cash," the Wall Street Journal reported that some Wall Street banks are now telling big depositors to take their money elsewhere or be charged a deposit fee.

Municipal governments are also being rejected as customers. Bank of America just announced that it no longer wants the business of some smaller cities, which have been given 90 days to find somewhere else to put their money. Hundreds of local BofA branches are also disappearing.

Hardest hit, however, are the community banks. Today there are 1,524 fewer banks with assets under \$1 billion than there were in June 2010, before the Dodd-Frank regulations were signed into law.)

Who loses? Communities, borrowers, competition and local economies.

To follow:

- The Clinton Foundation is Used to Benefit Big Banks
- Big Banks, in Turn, Bankroll the Clintons

I. The Clinton Foundation Is Used to Benefit Big Banks

The Clinton Foundation appears more like a mafia bag-man operation than a reputable non-profit. It claims to be a voice for women and the oppressed, even while it takes billions of dollars from donors who are sexual predators, misogynists and tied to oppressive regimes that reduce the status of women. Only a small percentage of the money raised actually funds real assistance programs; most of the money goes to salaries, speakers and to the Clintons personal expenses.

If you look at the entire list of donors to the Clinton Foundation, you'll see there are lots of individuals under indictment, lots of individuals and institutions currently embroiled in the Mossack Fonseca scandal, and lots of foreign officials who have been found to be corrupt. And the big banks are at the center of it all.

Among largest donors to the Clinton Foundation:

- Barclays Capital

- Citi Foundation
- Standard Chartered
- Goldman Sachs
- Bank of America Foundation
- Citigroup
- HSBC
- Itau Unibanco
- UBS Wealth Management
- Banco Santander Brasil
- Deutsche Bank AG
- Deutsche Bank Americas
- Goldman Sachs Philanthropy Fund
- Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Global Impact Funding Trust, Inc
- Bank of America Corporation
- BMCE Bank
- JPMorgan Chase & Co.
- Merrill Lynch & Company Foundation, Inc.
- Morgan Stanley
- The Monte dei Paschi di Siena
- Wells Fargo Foundation

Examples of how the Clinton Foundation Helps Big Banks to Cash in on “Philanthropy”

CGI Sets up Big Banks to Cash in on Retrofitting Buildings Worldwide

ABN AMRO, Citi, Deutsche Bank, JPMorgan Chase, and UBS have committed to arrange \$1 billion each to finance cities and private building owners to undertake these retrofits at no net cost, doubling the global market for energy retrofit in buildings.

(HRC Senior Economic Advisor Gene Sperling pushed these initiatives while head of Obama’s National Economic Council. He is now a paid advisor to Renovate America Co. They would benefit from these programs as would the big banks who receive securitization and lending opportunities See ([Link](#))

Press Release: President Clinton Announces Landmark Program to Reduce Energy Use in Buildings Worldwide

CGI Helps Standard Chartered (Serial Violator of Iran Sanctions) with "Microfinance Finance Facility"

At the CGI Annual Meeting in 2006, Standard Chartered Bank (SCB) made a commitment to provide development organizations and fund managers with \$500 million worth of credit and financial instruments that they could use to finance microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Africa and Asia, benefiting 4 million people over the commitment's five-year implementation.

As a result, the Bank has formed 48 microfinance partnerships in 15 different countries across these regions. The Bank's portfolio has grown to \$180 million, with investments of \$280 million (including provision of credit and financial instruments).

"Renewable & Clean Energy Financing in Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East" Commitment to Action by Standard Chartered Bank in 2007

At the CGI Annual Meeting in 2007, Standard Chartered Bank (SCB) committed to take a leading role in the financing of new renewable and clean energy projects by arranging debt, advising on finance, or acting as an equity investor in wind, hydro, solar, geothermal, and other areas with a total project value of \$8-10 billion over five years. [Link]

II. Big Banks Are Bankrolling the Clintons

Financial institutions and their employees were Clinton's biggest donors when she was in the Senate from 2001 to 2008. The top four banks were Citi, Goldman Sachs, J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., and Morgan Stanley.

For 24 years the Clintons have orchestrated a conjugal

relationship with Wall Street, to the immense financial benefit of both parties. They have accepted from the New York banks \$68.72 million in campaign contributions for their six political races, and \$8.85 million more in speaking fees. The banks have earned hundreds of billions of dollars in practices that were once prohibited—until the Clinton Administration legalized them.

Perhaps sensing the need to assure (Bill) Clinton's re-election, Wall Street saw fit nearly to triple its campaign contributions—from \$11.17 million in 1992 to \$28.37 million in 1996. Here's what the Big Banks got:

- Repeal of Glass-Steagall
- President Clinton signed the Commodity Futures Modernization Act. This law ended the regulation of derivatives, freeing Wall Street to manufacture mortgage-backed securities and sell them without restriction; these complex derivatives would power the “subprime” swindle soon to commence.
- Clinton's Justice Department a deputy Attorney General named Eric Holder in 1999 authored a memo entitled “Bringing Criminal Charges Against Corporations.” It became the Holder Doctrine, and after the financial crisis of 2008 it would be of incalculable value to the Wall Street banks. On leaving the Administration Mr. Holder joined Covington Burling, the largest law firm in Washington, D.C.. Among its clients were Morgan Stanley, Citigroup, JP Morgan Chase, UBS, Bank of New York Mellon, Deutsche Bank, Wells Fargo, and Bank of America.
- Sixteen days after leaving the White House, Mr. Clinton delivered a speech to the Wall Street firm of Morgan Stanley, for which he was paid \$125,000. That was the first of many speeches he presented to Wall Street banks in following years. By May of 2015, Mr. Clinton had earned \$1,550,000 from Goldman Sachs, \$1,690,000 from UBS, \$1,075,000 from Bank of America, \$770,000 from Deutsche Bank,, and \$700,000 from Citigroup. In

total, \$5,910,000.

- The Wall Street banks underwrote Ms. Clinton's Senatorial ambition, contributing \$2.13 million to her campaign. Among the congenial banks were Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, UBS, JP Morgan Chase, CIBC, and Credit Suisse.

- Wall Street was impressed with both candidates. Goldman Sachs contributed \$1,034,615 to Mr. Obama's campaign; JP Morgan Chase \$847,855; Citigroup \$755,057; UBS \$534,166; and Morgan Stanley \$528,182. \$3.7 million in total. But Wall Street was more impressed with Ms. Clinton: her take from the banks was \$14.6 million.

- A few weeks after her swearing in, Secretary of State Clinton was called to Switzerland by the Swiss Foreign Minister. They discussed a lawsuit brought by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service against UBS, the Swiss banking international colossus (761 locations in the U.S.). Back in Washington Secretary Clinton interceded. The impact of the suit was reduced by 90%.

- In subsequent years UBS paid Bill Clinton \$1.5 million in speaking fees, for eleven separate appearances. Hillary Clinton earned \$225,000 for another one. Also in subsequent years UBS contributed \$540,000 to the Clinton Foundation. [\[Link\]](#)

One of Clinton's earliest votes in her Senate career provoked the ire of Elizabeth Warren, who was then a Harvard law professor.

Clinton voted with the big banks on a massive overhaul to the country's bankruptcy laws, picking the financial services industry over consumers. [Link](#)

Elizabeth Warren – at the time a Harvard law professor – recounted for Bill Moyers how, in the 1990s, she wrote an editorial opposing a proposed piece of legislation tightening

bankruptcy laws. Warren explained that it would disproportionately hurt single mothers. Hillary Clinton, at the time the first lady, read the editorial, and asked for a meeting with Warren. The meeting went well; Warren said she “never had a smarter student.” Afterward, Clinton returned to Washington and, according to her biography, persuaded Bill Clinton to veto the legislation.

But when Hillary Clinton was elected to the Senate and another version of the same bill came to the floor, she did an about face:

ELIZABETH WARREN: She voted in favor of it.

BILL MOYERS: Why?

ELIZABETH WARREN: As Senator Clinton, the pressures are very different. It’s a well-financed industry. You know a lot of people don’t realize that the industry that gave the most money to Washington over the past few years was not the oil industry, was not pharmaceuticals. It was consumer credit products. Those are the people. The credit card companies have been giving money, and they have influence.

BILL MOYERS: And Mrs. Clinton was one of them as senator.

ELIZABETH WARREN: She has taken money from the groups, and more to the point, she worries about them as a constituency.

BILL MOYERS: But what does this mean though to these people, these millions of people out there whom the politicians cavort in front of as favoring the middle class, and then are beholden to the powerful interests that undermine the middle class? What does this say about politics today?

ELIZABETH WARREN: You know this is the scary part about democracy today. It’s... We’re talking again about the impact of money. The credit industry on this bankruptcy bill has spent tens of millions of dollars lobbying, and as their profits

grow, they just throw more into lobbying for how they can get laws that will make it easier and easier and easier to drain money out of the pockets of middle class families. [Link]

Hillary Helps a Bank—and Then It Funnels Millions to the Clintons

Mrs. Clinton announced a tentative legal settlement—an unusual intervention by the top U.S. diplomat. UBS ultimately turned over information on 4,450 accounts, a fraction of the 52,000 sought by the IRS.” [Link]

Hillary Group Partnered With Company That Laundered \$250 Billion For Iran And Violated Sanctions

On July 29, 2009, at a news conference in New Delhi, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton proudly announced that “Vital Voices Global Partnership,” an NGO that she said she had “founded”, had partnered with Standard Chartered Bank to promote women’s causes throughout Asia.

Unfortunately, Standard Chartered, which also donated generously to the Clinton Foundation Global Initiative, was charged by federal and New York State prosecutors of laundering over \$250 billion for Iran and “deliberately” helping Iran circumvent sanctions imposed to cripple its nuclear program. In August and December, 2012 – Standard Chartered paid a total \$667 million in fines to settle the cases and admitted its criminal activity.

First, the New York State Department of Financial Services fined Standard Chartered \$340 million and, several months later, the Department of Justice negotiated a fine of \$327 million in December of last year. Jaspal Bindra, the CEO of Asia Standard Bank, serves on the board of Vital Voices.

According to the New York State Department of Financial Services the ten years of illegal activity by Standard Chartered “left the US financial system vulnerable to terrorists, weapons dealers, drug kingpins, and corrupt

regimes and deprived law enforcement investigators of crucial information used to track all manner of criminal activity.”

And all the while, Standard Chartered was funding programs related to the Clintons. The ties among former President Bill Clinton, former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, the Clinton Global Initiative and Standard Chartered run long and deep. [Link]

The Clinton Global Initiative Helps Big Banks with “Cover”

In August of 2010, the Justice Department announced Barclays would pay nearly \$300 million in fines for breaking sanctions against Iran, Cuba, Sudan and others. The next month, it was – for the first time – a “strategic partner” for that year’s annual Clinton Global Initiative event.

In 2012, the CGI annual event featured three major banking partners that were also facing penalties and investigations for breaking Iran sanctions:

Standard Chartered paid a total \$667 million, when all was said and done, to various regulators to settle allegations it violated Iran sanctions. The first half of that fine was levied by the New York State Department of Financial Services in August 2012, and the next month Standard Chartered appeared as a “meeting sponsor” of the CGI’s annual meeting.

A late August 2012 New York Times report revealed Deutsche Bank was also under investigation for potential Iran sanctions violations. Days later, Reuters reported Credit Agricole was conducting an internal review of payments involving countries that may have been subject to U.S. sanctions, at the urging of the Manhattan District Attorney and other “American governmental authorities.” Deutsche Bank was a meeting sponsor and Credit Agricole was a partner at that year’s CGI meeting.

In December 2012, the Department of Justice and U.S. Treasury HSBC would pay \$1.92 billion to settle allegations that the

bank allowed drug cartels to launder billions of dollars and that the bank violated U.S. sanctions by illegally conducting transactions on behalf of customers in Iran, Libya, Cuba, Sudan and Burma.

It's unclear whether HSBC or any of its subsidiaries gave to the foundation that year or prior, but records on the Foundation's website that were recently updated indicate the bank contributed anywhere from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 in 2014, when it was listed as a convening sponsor for that year's CGI meeting, the highest level of support possible. [Link]

Hillary Bashes HSBC; Doesn't Mention \$81 Million Sent To Clinton Foundation From Secret HSBC Swiss Accts; Doesn't Return \$2 Million In Fees And Donations To Clintons

By Eileen McGann On July 20, 2015

Hillary Clinton is shocked by the misconduct of many big banks and singled out HSBC for especially harsh criticism in her economic speech. She's horrified about bank misconduct.

HSBC recently agreed to pay Swiss authorities \$28 million for laundering money for sanctioned countries and drug cartels, as well as for helping wealthy clients conceal millions of dollars while advising them how to avoid taxes.

In December, 2012, HSBC paid a record \$1.9 billion fine after a U.S. Department of Justice found the bank "violated federal laws by laundering money from Mexican drug trafficking and processing banned transactions on behalf of Iran, Libya, Sudan and Burma."

So Hillary's outrage is understandable. What's not understandable is that the Clinton Foundation accepted a \$500,000 contribution in 2014 – AFTER the settlement. And the money was never returned. Nor was the \$1.5 million that the bank paid to Bill Clinton for speeches.

And Hillary never mentioned the \$81 million in contributions that were routed through HSBC's secret Swiss bank to the Clinton Foundation. [Link]

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh, etc.) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Bill Clinton and the selling of America's National Security

This may be the greatest scandal in 50 years of American history. It makes Watergate look like a jay walking charge. It makes the Iran Contra affair look like a small time operation. This is what House Republicans should have Impeached Bill Clinton for instead of lying about a White House Intern giving him head in the Oval Office. It's called treason.

PART ONE: RUSSIA

Bill Clinton is at the center of the greatest foreign policy deceit ever perpetrated on the American people. He used the power of his office to allow the selling and delivery of high tech missile defense components to governments of countries that are listed as threats to this country and the stability

of the world. Russia was one of the benefactors of deals Clinton made without proper authorization from Congress. Ignoring CIA and Pentagon reports that showed how Russia was continuing a major and secretive modernization program for its strategic nuclear missile systems, Clinton allocated over \$1 billion to "help" the Soviets "dismantle" their nuclear weapons. In 1995 the General Accounting Office (GAO) wrote that Moscow refuses to permit American audits of the \$1.25 billion disarmament aid. This is an important issue because it allowed the Russians to use American taxpayer's money to build new offensive nuclear weapons that directly threaten the United States.

Under U.S. law, no money can be spent in Russia until the Clinton administration certified that Moscow is not engaged in any military modernization program that exceeds legitimate defense requirements. The Clinton administration along with U.S. Air Force General Eugene Habiger, the commander of U.S. Nuclear forces signed off on the Russian aid package after returning from an exploratory trip to Russia to investigate construction of a huge secret underground base in the Ural mountains. True, the base is as big as the entire area of Washington D.C. and is defensive in purpose. In addition to the base, the Russians built two cities to house the more than 30,000 workers involved in the project. By 1996 authorities had barred defense attaches from going into the facility.

Known as Yamantau Mountain (translates to 'evil mountain'), the facility is being constructed to offer a safe place to retaliate in the event of an American nuclear attack. To me, this represents a direct conflict of interest. Clinton gives the Russians over one billion dollars to help them take down their nuclear weapons but instead they use that money to build a huge bunker where they can wage nuclear war on the U.S.

Not only that, the Russian Strategic Rocket Forces issued a statement suggesting that nuclear missiles can be secretly deployed at the base as part of what is being called the

“dead-hand doomsday system” where nuclear missiles can still be fired automatically by a command program. This means even after Russian leadership is destroyed, and no one is there to push the ‘button’, missiles will be fired automatically against the perpetrators allowing for complete destruction on a world wide scale. By April 1996, reports confirmed the automatic nuclear attack system listing it as being in the final stages of operation.

It’s more than a coincidence that 1996 saw a massive Russian military build up including major improvements to the Tu-95 and Tu-160 strategic nuclear bombers as well as the long range cruise missiles they carry. Money had also made it possible to design and construct a new class of nuclear submarines called “the Borei-class strategic missile boat.” At least part of Russia’s miraculous financial bonanza is the direct result of United States money. As usual, all the public knows is that the U.S. is helping Russia to “dismantle” some of its nuclear programs.

Bill Clinton wants Americans to know that he has worked very hard to show that Russia and the United States are together in making the world a safer place. In a speech to Moscow University students, he said; “Look what our partnership has already produced. We reversed the dangerous build up of nuclear weapons. We’re two years ahead of schedule in cutting nuclear arsenals under START 1 (Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty) START 2, which still awaits ratification in the Duma, will reduce our nuclear forces by two-thirds from Cold War levels. President Yeltsin and I already have agreed on a framework for START 3 to cut our nuclear arsenal even further.”

Clinton also announced that the two super powers agreed to share warning information on worldwide launches of ballistic missiles and space launchers. Clinton referred to an agreement by which Russia and America would no longer target each others missiles. Yet in a secret CIA report to Congress,

“detargeting” is purely symbolic and does nothing to affect the targeting of strategic nuclear missiles. Placing nuclear missiles in a non target status is like putting your DVD player on pause. When you’re ready to launch, just hit the retarget button and bombs away. What’s more, there is no way of verifying if Russian missiles are in detargeted mode or not.

President Clinton went to great lengths to satisfy political debts. Of this there is no doubt. As Governor of Arkansas he saw to it that cash donors to his political campaign were given special consideration and awarded with lucrative contracts, and that same pattern stayed with him throughout his entire political career and beyond.

In 1998, CIA Director George Tenet testified that the radical Muslim regime in Iran was getting a great deal of technical assistance and materials from Russia. The year before he had testified that along with Russia, North Korea was providing technology to Iran and that it wouldn’t be more than a year before Iran would have their own medium range nuclear missiles. In 1999 Tenet once again told the Senate that the flow of missile technology had not stopped and in the last six months Russian expertise and material was flowing to Iran’s missile construction allowing them to develop more sophisticated weapons and longer range missiles. Before sanctions could be brought against various Russian companies, Iran conducted the first test flight of its new medium-range missile. This act of aggression clearly showed that Iran was now capable of destroying any threat in the Middle East as well as most of Central Europe with chemical and biological weapons.

In no uncertain terms, Clinton had helped Russia modernize her weapons systems to the tune of billions of dollars, while at the same time and behind our backs, Russia continued a secret campaign to weaponize Iran. Clinton, for all his attempts at marginalizing the seriousness of Russia’s nuclear weapons

programs had failed to properly assess the Russian threat.

That Russia was involved in nuclear proliferation to our enemies was a national security failure of monstrous proportions. That a United States President would willfully and knowingly sell, trade, or supply nuclear weapons technology to our oldest adversary is one of the greatest crimes committed against the people he is sworn to protect. It gets worse. Clinton was aware that Russia had been supplying highly sensitive technology and materials to our enemy, the Iranians.

In a closed door meeting held at the Kremlin, in May of 1998, White House National Security Adviser Samuel Berger told Russian Security Council Secretary Andrei Kokoshin: "One and a half years after President Yeltsin told President Clinton that ballistic missile technology transfers to Iran would stop, it still continues....Now time is running out; the stakes are great...Unless this problem is solved we see a potential trainwreck in our relations." Why was it up to Yeltsin to end the transfers? Why was Clinton allowing Russia to give defense secrets to Iran? Did Clinton have something to gain from allowing this? The answer can be found in a shocking conversation. On March 13, 1996, Clinton and Yeltsin met in Egypt. The minutes of this meeting were recorded in a classified document called "a memorandum of conversation."

The document was obtained by Bill Gertz of the Washington Times and discloses President Yeltsin saying to Clinton that because of his global stature he should "support Russia and that means supporting Yeltsin." Clinton responds by raising an issue: Russia's refusal to allow imports of American chicken. At the time Russia was importing about 40% of America's chickens. The major supplier of chickens in the U.S. comes from Tyson Foods of Arkansas. Owner Don Tyson, just so happens to be one of Bill Clinton's biggest financial donors. The Russian ban on chicken imports was not without reason: the U.S. had been flooding Russia with poor quality chicken. With

the ban in effect, Tyson's profits were suffering and he needed Clinton to do something about it. Clinton, according to the classified document, assured Yeltsin that the situation was being handled. Yeltsin agreed to resume imports for continued support from Clinton. Within one week, the chicken dispute was settled and Tyson was back in business.

It was less than 50 years ago that two American citizens were tried, convicted and executed for passing information about the atomic bomb to the Russians. Their names were Julius and Ethel Rosenberg. A co-conspirator, Harry Gold was sentenced to 15 years for being the courier. They were convicted under Section 2 of the Espionage Act of 1917, 50 U.S. Code 32 (now 18 U.S. Code 794) which prohibits transmitting or attempting to transmit to a foreign government information "relating to the national defense." In my opinion, Bill Clinton violated the same statute and should suffer the same consequences.

PART TWO: CHINA

In 1996 President Bill Clinton, at a fund raising dinner in New York City said this: "There are no more nuclear missiles pointed at any children in the United States. I'm proud of that." But by 1998, the CIA's National Intelligence Daily stated that "thirteen of China's 18 long-range intercontinental ballistic missiles are targeted on the United States." How could such a discrepancy occur? When did Clinton know and what did he do to prevent this dangerous situation? These are valid questions and in light of Clinton's involvement in Russia's nuclear weapons advancements, I shudder to think that this too was a result of Clinton's business dealings.

CNN reported how China has been stealing our most sensitive nuclear secrets in an article dated May 25, 1999 and posted on their website. The article was called: China stole U.S. nuke secrets to 'fulfill international agenda'. The article reveals that China has been stealing the most sensitive

nuclear secrets for several decades and despite high-level knowledge of the thefts, security at U.S. nuclear labs still “does not meet even minimal standards.” The CNN article goes on to state that President Clinton had known since 1995 and yet little was done about it. In April 1998 Congressman Dana Rohrabacher (R-California) disclosed detailed information that U.S. aerospace companies had helped China improve its strategic nuclear missiles as part of a major ICBM modernization effort. The named companies were listed as Loral Space & Communications Ltd., Hughes Electronics, and Motorola as supplying the Chinese with space launch technology which China used to improve its nuclear missiles. Congressman Rohrabacher went on to say : “There is ample evidence that American technology was transferred to this hostile potential enemy of the United States....(providing) the Communist Chinese the guidance needed to upgrade and perfect highly sophisticated weapons systems, increasing the reliability and capability of Communist Chinese rockets...” “This has given, what anyone has to admit is at least a potential enemy of the United States, a better ability to deliver nuclear warheads to our country, to American cities, to incinerate millions of our people.” Was there a connection between Bill Clinton and any of the three corporations named as supplying China with materials that improved the lethality of their missiles? I’m glad I asked that question.

The chairman of Loral Space & Communications was a heavy financial donor to Bill Clinton and the Democratic Party in general. His name is Bernard Schwartz and in a six year period between 1992 and 1998 he donated over \$1.1 million to Clinton and the party. To show his appreciation, President Clinton allowed Schwartz to travel to China with U.S. Commerce Secretary Ron Brown. Clinton loosened export controls which enabled Schwartz to purchase Chinese booster rockets for use in launching Loral’s satellites. The relaxing of controls was a two way street and gave the Chinese an avenue with which to import hi tech materials from Loral and other U.S.

corporations that dealt in sophisticated electronics.

Hughes Electronics was also named in Rohrabacher's report. Its CEO, C. Michael Armstrong lobbied Clinton to relax the export controls of sensitive technology. An internal White House memo dated December 8, 1993 and originating from the National Security Council, detailed how Armstrong pressured the administration into easing the trade restrictions with China. Armstrong had threatened to launch a major publicity campaign against the administrations sanctions if the controls were not relaxed. In 1996, a Chinese rocket (missile) carrying a \$200 million Loral satellite exploded on its launch pad. Loral and Hughes put together a team of scientists to investigate the problem. The problem(s) were identified and the information was given to the Chinese consortium Great Wall Industry, a subsidiary of China Aerospace Corporation. Armed with the information supplied by Hughes and Loral, the Chinese were able to upgrade their nuclear ICBM's.

Why did President Clinton allow this? Well it was determined that the Chinese were secretly funneling large donations to the Clinton campaign. Federal investigators found that China Aerospace Corporation had given \$300,000 to Democratic fundraiser Johnny Chung for Clinton's election. In 1993, it was discovered that China was selling missile technology to Pakistan. Under tremendous pressure from Congress, Clinton banned U.S. space industry from using Chinese rockets to launch their satellites. The ban didn't last long and in October 1994, Clinton lifted the ban. Despite reports that China had continued to sell nuclear technology to Pakistan and missiles to Iran, Clinton signed waivers for four U.S. satellites to be launched by Chinese rockets. Clinton did this over strong objections from the State and Defense Departments. Johnny Chung and Loral's Schwartz donated another \$100,000 each to Clinton.

The fact that Clinton personally issued the waivers to allow shipments of U.S. technology that greatly improved the

accuracy and reliability of Communist China's missiles is grounds for impeachment, regardless of whether or not there was any quid pro quo for those decisions.

President Bill Clinton did more to damage U.S. national security than anyone else in American history. He is a traitor to our interests and he is guilty of espionage. He should have been arrested, impeached and convicted. The question is, how did this story escape mass media attention?

I would like to credit these sources from which I have acquired information.

Betrayal: How the Clinton Administration Undermined American Security by Bill Gertz, Regenery Publishing Inc. 1999

- The Phyllis Schlafly Report.
- Report: China Stole U.S nuke secrets to fulfill international agenda.
- Clinton's Damage to U.S. Foreign Policy

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh, etc.) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Donald Trump, Paul Manafort,

and the new McCarthyism

Clinton dirty trickster, Sidney Blumenthal, and Clinton crony and oligarch, Viktor Pinchuk, pedaled bogus charges against Paul Manafort using Ukrainian intelligence. Pinchuk gave the Clinton's \$10 Million to buy approval of a coup in Ukraine against an unpopular, but democratically elected Head of State. Clinton's Charity Ties With Oligarchs Behind Ukrainian Coup Revealed

Blumenthal is the same thug, who Hillary's emails show, invented the lie that the attack on our mission in Benghazi was caused by an anti-Islamic video shown online in Turkey. Sid was also caught trying to line his pockets in a Libyan side deal that he never disclosed to Hillary Rodham Clinton when he was urging the toppling of Gaddafi.

Blumenthal thinks he's Ted Sorensen, but he's Al Capone. When the Pinchuk thugs found nothing legitimate regarding Manafort's entirely legal campaign services in three democratically held elections, they simply had Ukrainian intelligence create a co-ledger with correspondence to no known financial transfer records. There is no evidence admissible in a court that Manafort took any illegal payments. The "ledger" found at some party clubhouse was most likely fabricated by the Pinchuk-owned-Ukrainian Intelligence Service.

Incredibly, the August New York times, owned by the largest single donor to the Clinton Foundation slush fund, a vehicle set up for the facilitation of multi-million bribes, put this steaming pile of horse dung on page one, above the fold, although the story admits that Manafort is not the subject of any investigation. Pinchuk's minions said what they were supposed to for this hit-piece. Nothing there. A total nothing burger manufactured "news."

Recognizing that the mainstream media refuses to see through the baseless and unfounded charges against him, Paul Manafort, not wanting to become a distraction or feed the entire Russian-Putin Trump canard, resigned his position. Manafort did what Cory Lewandowski should have done when accused of manhandling a woman reporter, resigned.

Manafort put the good of Donald Trump and his campaign first. That is a real pro!

The entire spin by the Clintonistas that Trump and Manafort are somehow in bed with Putin and the Russian's when Trump has never met or communicated with Putin, and Putin dislikes Manafort because of the latter's pushing of Yanukovych to have Ukraine join the EU.

This is the "New McCarthyism." The Clinton's and their vassals essentially accuse Trump and Manafort of treason against their own Country, when in fact it's Bill and Hillary who have profited in the Ukraine as well as taking millions from oligarchs and interests aligned with Putin.

Into this breach comes my friend, Stephen K. Bannon, a tough minded street fighter, who understands the New Media, where most voters get their information on politics.

Bannon also knows the deepest, darkest criminality of Clinton's revealed in both "Clinton Cash," and "The Clinton's War Against Women," both essentially excerpted by Breitbart News.

I still predict a Trump victory and have written about my concerns about rigged computerized voting machines.

Donald Trump favors a period of Nixon-style détente and hard-headed negotiation with Russia that would preserve peace between our countries, and allow us to work together to more effectively crush ISIS. He is not only the Law and Order candidate; he is also the Peace Candidate.

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved

Can our 2016 election be rigged? you bet

Donald Trump has said publicly that he fears the next election will be rigged. Based both on technical capability and recent history, Trump's concerns are not unfounded.

A recent study by Stanford University proved that Hillary Clinton's campaign rigged the system to steal the nomination from Bernie Sanders.

What was done to Bernie Sanders in Wisconsin is stunning.

Why would the Clintons not cheat again?

The issue here is both voter fraud, which is limited but does happen, and election theft through the manipulation of the computerized voting machines, particularly the DIEBOLD/PES voting machines in wide usage in most states.

POLITICO profiled a Princeton professor – who has demonstrated how the electronic voting machines that are most widely used can be hacked in five minutes or less! Robert Fittrakis Professor of Political Science in the Social and Behavioral

Sciences Department at Columbus State Community College has written a must-read book on the strip and flip technique used to rig these machines. Professor Fitrakis is a Green Party activist.

A Computer hacker showed CBS how to vote multiple times using a simple \$15.00 electronic device.

To be very clear both parties have engaged in this skullduggery and it is the party in power in each state that has custody of the machines and control of their programming. This year the results of machines in Pennsylvania, Virginia and Ohio where Governor John Kasich controls the machines must be matched with exit polls for example. Illinois is another obvious state where Trump has been running surprisingly strongly in what has become a Blue state. Does anyone trust Mayor Rahm Emanuel, a longtime Clinton hatchet man. not to monkey with the machines. I don't. He was using City funded Community groups to recruit anti-Trump "protestors" who posed such a threat to public safety the Trump Chicago event was canceled when the Secret Service couldn't guarantee his safety.

How do the pols of both parties do it. As easy as determining, on the basis of honest polling, who is going to win. Then, if it isn't your candidate, simply have the votes for the other guy be given to your guy and vice versa. You keep the total vote the same. This is where the "strip and flip" technique described by Professor Fitrakis comes in.

Maybe you don't need all the votes the other guy was going to get. If you have a plan in mind, involving votes and their redistribution, you can find a programmer who can design the machine instructions to produce that outcome. Or you can hack the machine you are voting in with that \$15 device that you can get at BEST BUY.

Europe has rejected electronic voting machines because they

are untrustworthy. This is not a secret. The media continues a drum beat insisting voter fraud is non existent without ever addressing the more ominous question of manipulation of the voting machines. It keeps those in control in control.

Additionally some states still use machines that include no paper trail. The 'evidence" is destroyed. Florida's machines have no paper trail in Bush v. Gore.

In Europe, they use exit polling to determine who won and lost. The tabulated vote only serves as a formal verification. But that is done with paper ballots and hand counts under supervision, the way we used to do it.

Here's the recipe now:

- (1) Publish a poll contrived to suggest the result you are going to bring about.

- (2) Manipulate the machines to bring about precisely your desired outcome.

As someone with great sentimental attachment to the Republican Party as I joined as the party of Goldwater, both parties have engaged in voting machine manipulation. Nowhere in the country has this been more true than Wisconsin where there is irrefutable evidence that Scott Walker and the Reince Prebus machine rigged as many as five elections including the defeat of a Walker recall election.

Mathematician and voting statistic expert Richard Charnin has produced a compelling study by comparing polling to actual results and exit polls to make a compelling case for voting machine manipulation in the Badger state.

When the Trump vs. Cruz primary took place the same pattern emerged again of a Marquette University poll showing a 20 point shift from Trump ahead by 10% to Trump behind by 10%, which was simply absurd. Shifts like that don't happen over brief intervals of time, absent a nuclear explosion. It didn't

make any sense—unless you knew what was going on was an “instant replay” of Walker’s victories. The machine Priebus bill was delivering for Cruz big time.

Today the polling industry has been reported to be “in a state of crisis” because they are altering their samples to favor Hillary. The Reuters poll actually got busted for oversampling Democrats in order to inflate Hillary’s lead. We even had the absurdity of a Gallup poll proclaiming that 51% of those who had heard Trump’s speech were less likely to vote for him, which was endlessly repeated by the shills at MSNBC.

I predicted that Trump would lead in the polls after his highly successful convention (despite the media frenzy over the non issue of a Melania Trump staffer plagiarizing a handful of words). In fact post convention polling for the Trump effort by Hillary hasn’t exactly had smooth sailing.

Julian Assange of Wikileaks said he had incontrovertible proof that as Secretary of State Hillary Clinton armed Isis [LINK](#). The IRS has opened an investigation to the Clinton Foundation and it’s many offshoots and Hillary got caught lying about what FBI director Comey did say.

But you will see less of Hillary’s problems in the mainstream media, which has gone completely overboard in its relentless, even hysterical, efforts to lambast Trump and promote Hillary. Every remotely objective commentator has been stunned. Trump will however have an opportunity to drive these points home in the debates.

We are now living in a fake reality of constructed data and phony polls. The computerized voting machines can be hacked and rigged and after the experience of Bernie Sanders there is no reason to believe they won’t be. Don’t be taken in.

[Editors Note. In order to wake up the population, we need to increase the hits to reach more people. Please use this material, and call into talk radio programs (like Sean

Hannity, Michael Savage, Rush Limbaugh) and mention NewsWithViews.com on the air while discussing the content of this article, write letters to newspaper editors, and speak to your friends. Spread the word, and in doing so, we have a chance to save America.]

© 2016 Roger Stone – All Rights Reserved