

# The party of the people

This election cycle has brought a lot of things out into the open that most people knew nothing about concerning the state of our political system. This is rampant in both political parties but it is only beginning to show blatantly in the Republican Party this cycle. I watched a video of a behind doors meeting of the political elites of the Republican Party and they were complaining about some 'candidates' that are coming against people of certain religions and some people of certain ethnic backgrounds.[1]

This was a direct attack on Donald Trump's opposition to the unconstitutionally unbridled immigration from Mexico and his opposition to the unfettered immigration of muslims from terrorist nations specifically Syria. In this video the speaker stated that the Republican Party was their Party and then he made the statement that it was the Party of the people. He is 100% wrong about that. He, along with the rest of the elites in both parties, have forgotten that we have a representative government and that the people elect the people that THEY want to represent them. This Republican Party demands that we elect the people the PARTY wants. They are ignoring 'the people' they are supposed to be representing.

In the debate that was scheduled for last Thursday night on Fox News some interesting information came out a couple days before the debate that Fox News, at the approval of the RNC, were going to have a pro-muslim and pro-hispanic round of questions for Trump via YouTube. I have been involved in politics for quite some time and I have never seen a political Party attack its front runner from every side as they have Donald Trump. One really has to ask the question 'Why are they so afraid of Trump?' In virtually every poll Trump is the people's favorite by double digits![2]

Yet the Republican elite are behind closed doors doing

everything they can to bring him down. There has to be a reason for this and I believe that it is because he can't be bought. He can't be manipulated. He is exposing a lot of dirty laundry within the entire political system. His attention to the 'natural born' aspect of the Constitution concerning Ted Cruz is nothing more than upholding the demands of the Constitution concerning the position of President. This aspect was ignored in the last two elections and we have an ineligible man in the White House because of that. In short Trump is 100% right that Cruz is not eligible. The term 'natural born' was understood at the time of the writing of the Constitution to be a child born to two parents that were citizens of a country. This is discussed in detail in an article written by my friend JB Williams.[3]

This definition, which we have followed up until 2008, makes not only Obama ineligible but Cruz and Rubio. My question is why does the Republican Party literally demand that this be ignored. Because this is part of the Constitutional requirements to be eligible to hold the office of the President any changes to that requirement would require an amendment to change it. There is no amendment to that article of the Constitution and as such that requirement still stands. Cruz's father did not become a US citizen until 2005. When Ted Cruz was running for the Senate in 2012, he stated to supporters at a Texas 912 campaign event that he was "NOT ELIGIBLE for the White House because his father was never a US citizen until 2005, in addition to being born in Canada." [4]

With the Republican elite attacking Trump on illegal immigration from Mexico it is nothing but a slap in the face to the citizens of America. These illegals are keeping the wages artificially low because they are willing to work for much less than the American worker. They are like leaches on our welfare system. Our country is going through an immense immigration crisis that will not be able to endure for much longer.

It turns out a new report from the U.S. Census Bureau shows that nearly all immigrant families with four or more children in the house are on some type of government welfare program.

Census reports that with every child, immigrants access taxpayer-funded welfare programs more and more. Some 70 percent of those with one child are on welfare, 71.3 percent for those with two children, and 87.8 percent for immigrant families with three kids in the house.[5] We, as a nation, can no longer continue on this path and Trump has the ability to make some significant changes in how this is done.

Our spending under Obama has escalated beyond belief. He has spent more than the previous 43 presidents combined and he had promised to cut the debt in half his first term! In an article from 2011: When Obama was inaugurated on Jan. 20, 2009, according to the Treasury Department, the total national debt stood at \$10,626,877,048,913.08. As of Monday, Obama had been in office 986 days—or about 32 and a half months. During that time, the debt increased at an average pace of \$4.27 billion per day. Were that rate to continue until Obama's term ends on Jan. 20, 2013, the debt would then stand at about \$16.86534 trillion—an increase of more than \$6.2 trillion for Obama's four years.

That would equal nearly \$53,000 for each American household or more than \$66,00 for each full-time private-sector worker.[6] How can we continue on this road to bankruptcy? Obama has about 6% of his cabinet that has any business savvy. Ronald Reagan had 68% of his cabinet that were successful businessmen. Trump has openly ridicules this administration on the 'deals' that it has made with other nations that seem to benefit only the other nations at the cost to the American taxpayer! I believe that Trump will have many in his cabinet that will know what needs to be done to get our economy going again. One of the things we have to do is curb the illegal immigration and neither Party is willing to address this and the American people are getting tired of our government

ignoring the major concerns of not just the people but the health of the nation itself.

The national security is a top concern for most citizens and allowing immigration from terrorist nations to continue without a viable vetting process is almost suicide. But the Republican elite continue to bash Trump for saying something needs to be done. Jimmy Carter banned immigration from Iran during the hostage situation and even deported over 15,000 Iranian students, nobody said a word. FDR put Japanese US citizens in internment camps, nobody said anything. Trump suggests that we stop immigration from terrorist nations until we can get a hold of a better more reliable vetting process and the world goes nuts including the Republican elite!

Is there more to this than we can see on the surface? I have no doubt that there is. Will it be exposed in this election? I think a lot of it will and it will be exposed by Trump and that is what both Party elites are afraid of. Trump may not be a perfect candidate but then none are. Trump is willing to take a stand. His refusal to attend the debate I think is a good one. It is just a set up to attack him and ignore the issues. He want to talk issues. But he is shedding a light on many of the things that are wrong with our current system. I think that light needs to be brighter. We may not like what we see but at least it will give us what we need to make the changes that need to be made. God uses the foolish thing of the world to confound the wise. We are seeing this manifest before our eyes.

2016 Roger Anghis – All Rights Reserved

### **Footnotes:**

1. [Leaked Video: RNC Meets Behind Closed Doors To Destroy Trump From Within.](#)
2. [Polls: 2016 Republican Presidential Nomination](#)

3. [True Facts About the Endless Natural Born Debate](#)
  4. [True Facts About the Endless Natural Born Debate](#)
  5. [Over 90% of Immigrant Families With 4 Kids Are on Welfare.](#)
  6. [Obama Has Now Increased Debt More than All Presidents from George Washington Through George H.W. Bush Combined.](#)
- 

# Forget 'we'll remember in November' – it's the primaries

“Nature gave man two ends – one to sit on and one to think with. Ever since then, man’s success or failure has been dependent on the one he used most.” –George R. Kirkpatrick (1867-1937) Lecturer

‘We’ll remember in November’ has been the rallying cry every two years by millions of Americans. Of course, too many don’t understand by November it’s too late.

Rewarding Crooks and Incompetents, May 11, 2009

“The Republican Party wants to ‘reinvent’ itself. What hogwash and it’s all for public consumption to fool voters into reelecting incumbents or ousting a few Democrats.

“Americans have been committing national suicide for decades. They vote the same incumbents back into office over one issue: More money for education! Save social security! Save Medicare! which is now \$30 TRILLION in the hole. Protect abortion! Save sodomy! Save the whales! The list goes on and on. I’ve seen the insanity repeat itself over and over and over. People will “forgive” their House member or Senator because “the other

side" is worse. They still can't see the truth because of blind loyalty to their party."

Americans Love the IRS & The Income Tax, April 7, 2012

"But, none of this seems to matter to the nearly 100 million Americans who continue to reelect the same liars for hire to the U.S. Congress. For the past few months enthusiastic supporters of incumbents have been out there working to get their Congress critter reelected. The same miscreants who steal from us, lie to us and cheat us out of our pursuit of life, liberty and happiness. The same incumbents who have and continue to lie to those enthusiastic supporters about the nature of the "income" tax. Roughly 100 million Americans working for their own destruction while thinking it's a good idea. Classic Sun Tzu's Art of War."

Are Americans Really This Stupid? June 27, 2012:

"Americans got angry. They said we will hold you responsible in November 2010! Sure, they did. With an approval rating of 11% for the gangsters in the Outlaw Congress, a whopping 86% of incumbents were sent back to Washington, DC., after the 2010 elections to continue stealing us blind and passing more and more unconstitutional laws while allowing alphabet soup agencies like the FDA, EPA and USDA to continue running amok. I suppose one could say it was an improvement over the usual 96% reelection stats for incumbents....

"Don't look to any change come this November as far as the U.S. Congress. The same outlaws will get reelected after winning their primaries and it will be back to business as usual come January. Remember that Rassmussen poll I cited above? 78% of the American people believe the outlaws in the U.S. Congress are governing without their consent, yet they have gone to the polls and voted to reelect the same parasites in dozens of primaries. You hire someone to do a job, they do just the opposite and you turn right around and give them your

vote – again.”

Four Important Bills Languishing in Congress, March 10, 2014

“Those bills are important issues that should have been solved a long time ago. Where has your congress critter been? Why hasn’t he/she pushed to get them passed? Do people expect change and problems solved by reelecting the same incumbents who never got the job done in all the years they’ve been in Congress?”

Fox News poll: 67 percent would vote out all current lawmakers – March 6, 2014

“By a 67-26 percent margin, voters would kick everybody on Capitol Hill to the curb and replace them with new people. That includes two-thirds of Democrats, Republicans and independents. The result is perhaps not so surprising, given how voters feel about lawmakers these days: just 12 percent approve of the job Congress is doing, while 78 percent disapprove.”

But, voters said almost the same thing in 2010 and still reelected 92% of congressional incumbents and expected change:  
Fox News Poll: 68% Say Vote Out All Incumbents -March 19, 2010

“If American voters only had the following two choices on the Congressional ballot – keep all current lawmakers in office, or get rid of all incumbents in Congress – what would they do? A new Fox News poll asked that question, and the answer could be bad news for incumbents this fall. Sixty-eight percent of voters would oust all incumbents, while 20 percent would keep all lawmakers in office.”

“Not here in Texas. Our primary was March 4th and what did ignorant voters do? Why, they voted against qualified challengers for Congress. They voted to reelect the same incumbents who have destroyed this country. That’s right. Conservatives ignorant on the issues allowed themselves to be

herded in the right direction by organizations like The Conservative Republicans of Texas who sent out a sample ballot to registered Republicans telling them to reelect every incumbent. So-called leadership leading the flock straight to the slaughterhouse.”

Ft. Hood: Official Response More Meaningless Rhetoric, April 6, 2014

“Not if people reelect the same incumbents back to Congress starting with those upcoming primaries. If incumbents in the Outlaw Congress haven’t fixed the problem for active duty soldiers with mental health issues and the VA in all the years they’ve been in Congress, what makes you think they will do it if people vote to reelect them in the primaries? Why do Americans keep expecting things to change by voting for the same failures in the Outlaw Congress who didn’t get the job done?”

Rape & Betrayal By The GOP: Let Me Count The Ways, December 16, 2014 one month after Americans gave Republicans the majority again. That column has very important information in it, i.e, “How much will this cost you, me our children and grand children in DEBT? \$720.9 MILLION in 2015. Here is the web site to see how those “conservatives” everyone helped win their primaries on the way back to Washington, DC to screw us are going to steal from us in 2015. The list of countries is endless while our financial future is dead. There is ZERO constitutional authority for the bandits in the Outlaw Congress to steal from we the people for any of it.” My column:

GOP Incumbents Will Betray America End of Year by yours truly, February 10, 2014

“Johnny boy and other pimps with pull power in the House and Senate have every intention of selling us out. As pointed out in this piece, ‘White House giving Boehner room on



immigration', it likely will come during the 'lame duck' session, Nov – Dec. 2014...

"What all incumbents in the U.S. House and 1/3 rd of the unlawfully seated U.S. Senate want is you to vote for them in the upcoming primaries so they can go on to win in November and go right back to destroying this country. John Boehner, if not defeated in the May 6, 2014 primary will return to the Outlaw Congress and sell us out, right along with most incumbent Republicans for back room deals.

"Mark my words that's EXACTLY what will happen. Republicans will use the same cowardly argument about "family values" to legalize 25-30 MILLION liars, cheats and thieves (some family values) because that's what illegal aliens are..."I've got news for Republicans who want to keep the destroyers in office – incumbents lie."

"I told you so: Boehner Ally Admits Omnibus Bill Was Crafted in Literal Cigar Smoke-Filled Back Room. "The bill funds Obama's amnesty, funds Obamacare, provides funds for controversial pro-abortion measures, and is packed to the hilt with pork-like money to save rhinoceroses from poaching; a reauthorization of Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid's casino crony kickback, the Travel Promotion Act; and more.

"And, what did those incumbents who won their primaries and got reelected to the U.S. House do on December 11, 2014? The U.S. House of Whores voted to pass a \$1.1 TRILLION dollar bill which will finance the government through September 2015 – including amnesty, all the programs underway to keep illegals here and Obamacare."

Voters, Again, Choose Their Own Destruction (Primaries), May 11, 2014

"Do people expect change and problems solved by reelecting the same incumbents who never got the job done in all the years they've been in Congress? Apparently, they do. But, since tens

of millions of voters are completely ignorant about the issues, how could they know their congress critter is a failure who keeps promoting Band Aids instead of constitutional solutions? The herding technique is very effective every election cycle: keep blaming the other party, not your incumbent...

“Here in Texas, millions of voters who’ve “had enough” stayed home and didn’t vote on March 4th. A paltry 13.3% of all registered voters in this state bothered to get to the ballot box. ‘Don’t Mess With Texas’ is a meaningless motto. Our state legislature is also just as corrupt as others. They continue to ignore the only real solutions as the clock ticks. Tragically for Texans, rotten GOP state incumbents also won their primaries.”

One Thing Donald Trump Can’t Do For The Economy, December 21, 2015

“If your member of Congress has done nothing to kill the cancer and continuing down the same road, what makes you think re-electing the same incumbent next year will get the job done? Abolishing the unconstitutional “Fed”, getting us out of all “free” trade treaties, abolishing Obamacare, get us out of the UN once and for all, abolishing unconstitutional cabinets – the most critical issues have been completely ignored by your incumbent and mine, who is retiring, thank God. Tragically, we the people are going to pay dearly in the near future.”

If Americans once again vote for their congressional incumbents in the upcoming primaries NOTHING will change.

- Uninformed, disinterested, brainwashed & special interest voters (2006)
- Re-electing the Band Aid Brigade (May 2010)
- Electing new Band Aid Pushers (May 2012)

Every two years qualified constitutional candidates run for

the state houses and Congress and every two years incumbents wipe them out. Go look at this chart which shows the percentage of incumbents who continue to get reelected election after election. On the House of Representative side the average is always above 90%. On the Senate side, consistently in the 80% and above.

Besides vote fraud which kept me out of Congress, candidates challenging an incumbent are squashed like a bug by the GOP machine AND because those challengers don't get the ground support they need to win a primary.

At this point in time, tens of millions of Americans are angry. VERY angry. If they go to the polls in the next few months and vote for an incumbent instead of a qualified challenger NOTHING will change. The incumbents – either party – then goes on to win in November because they always vote straight party line. Despite polling which shows incumbents (every two years) with an approval rating of 11% – 15%, still the people vote back those incumbents. Why? Because (1) the GOP and Democratic/Communist Party USA throw their weight to crush challengers, (2) because too damn many Americans still believe it's not their incumbent, it's the other party's, and (3) They believe the BS about an incumbent having so much experience and being on important committees. They sure do and are destroying us and this country.

Well, how's that working out for YOU?

The crook who has never represented me in my congressional district, Randy Neugebauer, is finally retiring. Here Are Four Texas U.S. House Races to Keep an Eye on

“Nine Republicans filed to run for retiring U.S. Rep. Randy Neugebauer's strongly Republican seat: former Texas Tech Vice Chancellor Jodey Arrington, farmer Jason Corley, bank president Greg Garrett, veterinarian John C. Key, retired ophthalmologist Donald R. May, farmer Don Parrish, Lubbock

Mayor Glen Robertson, retired U.S. Air Force officer Michael Bob Starr and health care administrator DeRenda Warren.

## Advertising

Donald May, who I voted for, ran two years ago but lost the primary because he's from Lubbock two hours from where I live. There was barely a peep about his run. He simply did not have the critical grass roots organization to beat Neugebauer. The others are new names to me so I looked at each of their web sites. For your district just type in the person's name + for Congress into a search engine to get to their web site.

Arrington has the standard boiler plate stuff, but as far as any constitutional solution to get rid of unconstitutional cabinets and agencies like the Federal Department of Dumbing Down Education, he is sorely lacking. Corley only has a Facebook page. I've never logged on to any Facebook page nor will I. Mark Zuckerberg who hates American workers is worth nearly a half trillions bux. He owns Facebook and he will never get a penny of my money. While his heart is in the right place, Corley needs a web site that gives his position on the issues, clearly and succinctly.

Garrett has no clue about the income tax fraud. Nor does he address any of the critical issues I dissect in the columns above, Band Aid Brigade and Electing New Band Aid Pushers. John Key also recognizes many of the cancers killing this country, but again, nothing about the disabilities of our monetary system and actually going for the jugular in reducing the size of the federal monster. Mr. Parrish has the worst web site that virtually tells you nothing about constitutional solutions. M. Warren is highly educated but she's the typical fluff out there. No constitutional solutions; doesn't even list critical issues besides Obamacare.

Michael Bob Starr recently retired as a full colonel in the Air Force; highly decorated veteran. Same old, same old one

hears from 'conservatives' running for Congress. Unfortunately, he just doesn't get it regarding the national debt, no mention of the FED and so on. Glen Robertson 'gets it' on many of the issues just like Donald May. However, I will vote for Dr. May again because he is keen on the Tenth Amendment as well as having a good understanding of constitutional government.

I bring this up for a reason. Find out who is challenging your congressional incumbent (same thing for your state rep and senator) in the upcoming primaries. Then you have to do like I did – which is time consuming – look up their 'for Congress' web site and go through them one by one. Get out there and help beat rotten congressional incumbents.

ALIPAC Endorses Maria Espinoza for Congress Against Rep. Culberson – She gets my personal endorsement. Culberson has money behind him, but he needs to be booted out of Congress. If you live in his district, get out there and vote for Maria in the primary, March 1st. Spread the word in that district.

Here is a list of 150 incumbents Republicans, House and Senate, who betrayed YOU, your children and grand children on amnesty – they all need to get thrown out of Congress. The only way to get that done is to get out on primary day in your state and vote. There's still time to sign up and volunteer for a good challenger because they don't have the kind of money (well, most don't) incumbents do and if they don't have volunteers to walk the district getting the candidate's message to voters, there's no chance.

I guarantee you if the same congressional incumbents win their primaries odds are heavily in favor for that incumbent in November and NOTHING will change. Republican or Democrat, NOTHING will change except for the worse. I'll say it again as I have said so many times: Do people expect change and problems solved by reelecting the same incumbents who never got the job done in all the years they've been in Congress?

Right now the major focus is on Iowa and the presidential candidates, but primaries are also for congressional seats, your state capitol and any other offices like city council, sheriff or judges. If you don't know who is running for a particular office, use a search engine. Example: Texas primary candidates secretary of state. That took me to this page which gives you all candidates on your primary ballot.

In 2014 our primary here in Texas was just sickening. Out of 13.6 million registered voters only 1.9 million got out and voted for their future. 11.7 million voters here in Texas stayed home. They couldn't even make the effort to kick out every incumbent, Republican or Democrat, who have been destroying this country and their future, never mind their children and grand children. It's not about one issue as I wrote about in my columns on Band Aid pushers. It's about violating their oath of office every day they're in office.

It isn't the other party's incumbent. It's YOURS and MINE from both parties. 'Conservative' means nothing as I wrote about in my column last week. We need constitutionalists, not more phony conservatives who have been conserving nothing but our destruction.

A few items you might find helpful:

- 1 – Ted Cruz's Closest Counselors Are Neocons – Warning
- 2 – Ted Cruz's Federal Education "Choice" Kills The American Dream  
Remember: Congress has ZERO authority to legislate education
- 3 – How Huckabee Deceived Iowa 8 Years Ago
- 4 – America 2016: We're mad as hell and not going to take it anymore
- 5 – Film will make viewers jury in 'Hillary trial'  
Buried among scandals is 'biggest-ever case of campaign-finance fraud'
- 6 – Hillary Project on track 'to take her out' – The goal? Prosecution, not presidency

## 7 – Reminder: Why Trump Battles The Fox News Machine

How can he run as a Democrat when he's not registered with that party?

## 8 – Bernie Sanders: 'We will raise taxes. Yes, we will'

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

**Lois Guitierrez goes on tour  
to naturalize migrants to**

# vote against Trump

Rep. Luis Gutierrez (D-Ill.) is poised to travel the nation in partnership with Hispanic rights groups, find a million legal migrants, immigrants and green card holders, and get them naturalized by May so they can vote against Donald Trump.

It's been dubbed the "Stand Up to Hate: Naturalize, Register, Vote" tour. What a dismal waste of the taxpayer's dime and an entirely improper role for a seated congressman who's supposed to represent real constituents, not would-be or wanna-be ones.

"Our goal is to have one million to become new U.S. citizens this year and we've got to get it done by the end of May," he said, in a press call reported by Politico. "This is realistic."

And speed is apparently of the essence.

"One of the main reasons we're recommending people naturalize now is to stand up to the hate the rhetoric of this political season," he said, pointing to Trump as the main divider.

Specifically, Gutierrez will be joining forces with the Latino Victory Foundation, the National Partnership for New Americans, Mi Familia Vota, America Action and the Service Employees International Union, as well as with Sen. Dick Durbin (D-Ill.) to attend or sponsor 100 or so rallies and workshops in Nevada, Colorado and other key battleground spots.

Their four-month goal of naturalizing a million is ambitious. The pathway to citizenship is normally lengthy, somewhere between six months and several years, and includes paperwork, fingerprinting, interviews and exams. As the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services reports in its "10 Steps to Naturalization" pamphlet, "the most common reasons for continuation [of application] are you fail the English and/or civics test" or "the USCIS officer determines you need to provide additional documents." Failing the written exams alone leads to another 60-to-90 day delay – and that's if the bureaucratic wheels are all moving at textbook example speed.



The naturalization process also includes substantial fees, though the payment process is filled with waiver applications and exemptions – and likely, Gutierrez and his minions will help considerably in this regard.

This is all such outrage.

Think what you will of Trump. Love him or hate him, or regard him somewhere in between. But here's a glance at Gutierrez's compass: "In 1986, Gutierrez was elected alderman [in Chicago] ... At the time, he was a member of the Puerto Rican Socialist Party, a Marxist-Leninist entity. ... In the mid-1990s, [U.S. Rep.] Gutierrez developed close ties to the pro-socialist New Party in Chicago. ... In 1999 he collaborated with fellow Progressive Caucus members ... to pressure President Bill Clinton (through Deputy Attorney General Eric Holder) to free 16 convicted terrorists belonging to the FALN, a Marxist-Leninist paramilitary organization that had carried out 146 bombings during a 25-year period, killing nine people while injuring and maiming dozens of others. Indeed, Gutierrez was the FALN's chief spokesman and advocate," DiscovertheNetworks.org reported.

He's since spent much of his Capitol Hill time advancing radical amnesty and immigration causes – this "Stand Up to Hate" tour the latest.

His record is clear. Gutierrez is a disgrace to his office and ought to be drummed from Capitol Hill. The fact that he's not – the fact that this audacious un-American congressman is allowed to boldly use his public servant position to further his personal agenda for those who aren't even voting constituents without worry of losing his seat – only underscores why Trump's messages are resonating in the first place: We very badly need to make the country great again.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

# How to identify true principled candidates

“Ted Cruz idolizes Ronald Reagan...” “To his credit, Barack Obama has done the same thing. There are some in the political world that vilify Barack Obama. Personally, I’ve never been one of them. I think he is deeply committed to his principles and demonstrated real courage in fighting for them.” –Senator Ted Cruz, The Guardian, December 2013

Ted Cruz idolizes Ronald Reagan and the real courage of Barack Obama, –Raw Story

I do not believe Megyn Kelly was the reason Donald J. Trump did not show up for the Fox News debate last Thursday. Though Kelly appears to view herself as an elephant in the Donald’s campaign, I think she is more of a flea on the elephant’s butt.

Two days before the debate, Breitbart filed a good article which proved to be totally true. Fox had two “sleepers” recruited to make Trump look radical in his lawful views about immigration – and that was the intent. It appears Fox has become Marco Rubio’s champion. Those who are impressed by Rubio need to consider that his first major speech after announcing his candidacy was to the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), a New World Order group.

In a later article out Saturday, Breitbart discovered that Fox News was trying to push Trump into a corner during the debate... a corner where he would either have to be badly embarrassed or would have to violate debate rules to defend himself. The debate organizers believed he would violate debate rules and put a plan in place to escort him from the stage. Trump’s

instincts are good and he made precisely the right decision to stay away from the Fox debate. [Fox News Moderators Planned to Escort Donald Trump Off Debate Stage If He 'Broke Rules']

The "sleepers" submitted questions via Google and they were selected as among the best questions. Each would ask their question, on camera, during the debate. If you believe these questions were the best of the hundreds of thousands submitted, I sympathize with your lack of knowledge regarding the numerous survival issues facing our nation, from economics and jobs to terrorism. These women and their questions were selected to cost Donald Trump votes in the Iowa caucus scheduled to take place about 90 hours after the debate. His responses would have been easily explainable – and justifiable – but it would take longer than 90 hours to reach caucus voters.

If you watched the debate Thursday night, you saw the two women selected by Fox News and Google. One was an illegal alien Mexican who had overcome poverty and become a successful entrepreneur in Los Angeles... obviously a slam against Trump's stated desire to lawfully return illegal aliens back to Mexico. Her question focused on how the American economy would lose if illegals like her were all sent home to Mexico. Trump has said if they want to return lawfully, they can get in line and do so. He respects the Rule of Law. Since every dollar illegals contribute to the economy is countered with a \$3 cost for social services, it would not be a difficult question to answer.

The second person selected to ask a question was a Muslim woman. She was born in America. She questioned plans to deport all Muslim refugees who cannot be properly vetted. How could the government remove her friends who had done no wrong, she asked, wailing? Again, it was an obvious slam at Donald Trump's statements that until Muslims can be safely vetted, they should not enter America and those who had entered unlawfully should be sent home.

My answer to her would have been: "Ms. Noor, you say you are a moderate Muslim and that you were born in America. The problem is this: You are evidence of the statement that not all Muslims are terrorists. Unfortunately, another equally true statement is that all terrorist acts around the world are being committed by Muslims – not just in America but in Paris where what you tell me are peaceful Muslims killed 130 innocent victims, Sweden where they are exporting 80,000 violent Muslims, Finland which is exporting 40,000 Muslim refugees, Germany where at the Cologne rail station Muslim men who appear to have no respect for women raped several German women. Since no one from your peaceful moderate Muslim community is willing to oppose the violence you say does not represent your faith, people around the world are doing what is necessary to ensure their safety. I'm sorry if that emotionally distresses you, but whether you awaken each day a happy woman because you are surrounded by friends and family is of less importance to me than having innocent Americans killed by Islamic terrorists because America's 'moderate Muslim community' cannot or will not reject terrorism."

Jeb Bush sympathized with her (as one would expect Jeb to do) and there was little discussion of the matter by other candidates. I would also say that Jeb's solutions do not comply with America's immigration laws... a lack of respect for the Rule of Law. He forgets that the primary responsibility of the President of the United States is to protect the citizens of this country. To read the immigration law Donald Trump supports and which applies to any group that rejects the Rule of Law that flows from our Constitution, go to this link and scroll down to Chapter 2, Section 212.

It amazes me that the "professional journalists" who run these debates do not ask even the most basic question. Every Republican candidate states he or she is a conservative. I have yet to hear any of them ask any candidate: "You say you are a conservative. How do you define that word... what does it

mean when you say you are a conservative?"

Generally speaking, most of the candidates will respond with an answer like "I believe in smaller government," or "I oppose abortion and same sex marriages" or "We need a strong military" or "I believe in lowering the debt" or "I do not believe in socialized medicine." These are all issues of concern to conservatives, but issues do not define conservatism. Principles that come from conservative philosophy define conservatives. If you do not know what those principles are, read on... but ask yourself: If you don't know what conservative principles are, how can you be sure the person you support in political races is really conservative?

Every voter who thinks of him/herself as a conservative should be able to answer that question. How do you define "conservative?" Conservatism is a philosophy that supports very specific principles. It is not about issues – like gun control, abortion, trade, privacy, taxation, the size of government, etc, etc., etc. Principles and issues are not the same thing. If you do not realize that, you are likely part of the reason we keep electing people who say they are conservative but, once elected, prove to be anything but.

Most people confuse principles with issues. Because I am pro-life does not make me conservative. Being an Evangelical Christian does not make me a conservative. Because I believe in the Second Amendment and gun rights doesn't make me conservative. It means I have conservative views on these specific issues.

Believing in the total Constitution is a conservative principle. Believing in the Rule of Law that flows from the Constitution is a conservative principle. Believing in truth (facts) is a conservative principle. These three things are the primary principles of conservative philosophy. Candidates who do not understand and accept the Constitution in total cannot be conservative. Those who do not believe in the Rule

of Law that flows from the Constitution (and practice it) are not conservatives. Those who lie to make their "truth" more believable are not conservatives. How do we know when someone is lying to us? We don't. We must take the time to look at candidate history: We find facts not in what they say, but in what they do.

Any candidate who opposes the right to bear arms cannot be a conservative because he or she rejects the Constitution. A person who supports the Second Amendment but not other portions of our Constitution is not a conservative. To enter America unlawfully violates the Constitution and any candidate who opposes upholding the dictates of the Constitution as it relates to immigration is not a conservative. To support the legalization of or amnesty for the millions of illegal aliens currently in America is unconstitutional... and anyone who violates the Constitution is not a conservative because it violates conservative philosophy. If they will violate the Constitution in one way, they will violate it whenever they wish.

If you do not know the contents of America's Constitution, then you cannot say with certainty that you are conservative. If you don't know the contents of our Constitution, how can you select a conservative candidate? Answer: You cannot.

And that is how all of these cretins who currently serve as elected officials got into office. It is how they fooled so many Republicans who consider themselves conservative. They got elected because millions of people who call themselves "conservative" really don't know what the word means, don't understand the very basis of the philosophy – the Constitution – and thus cannot ask candidates questions designed to determine if they are just neo-conservative (pro world government via fascism) or a liberal (pro world government via socialism).

Because someone is a Christian doesn't make them a

conservative. It makes them a person who prefers a Christian view when government policies are legislated. The things Christians have accepted as constitutional for years have nothing to do with the Constitution. Christians have allowed themselves to be bullied into accepting things like the removal of religious Christmas displays from in front of public buildings... that can be found nowhere in the Constitution of the United States.

The Constitution says there shall be freedom OF religion, not freedom FROM religion. Because Christians are too mentally lazy to take a week and study the laws of the land contained in America's Constitution, they have allowed Christianity to largely be removed from our society. Indeed, they have allowed the Constitution to be removed from our society.

Because too many Christians would rather spend money on Smart TVs and iPhones rather than banding together to pay the costs of fighting the "remove Christianity from public life" activities of their Godless opponents, we have lost much of our Christian culture. Any atheist or agnostic who is a Constitution-believing conservative strongly opposes these efforts to remove Christianity from our schools and public buildings. Why? Because they are conservative constitutionalists and these things have no constitutional jurisdiction.

You do not get to pick and choose which parts of the Constitution you support. It's an all or nothing deal. You may disagree with it and you have every right to try and do what's necessary to Amend the Constitution... that's quite constitutional. But until the Constitution is Amended, you support what it says in plain English whether or not you agree with it. It is the law of the land and conservatives believe strongly in the Rule of Law. You do not apply "updated" or "worldly" definitions or personal opinions (like Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg) of what the founding fathers might have meant had they been writing that document in 2016 rather than the

late 1700s. If you cannot do that, you are probably not a conservative.

When I vote for someone, I am as sure as I can be that person is a conservative because if I listen closely to what they say a problem's solution is, it is either a constitutional answer or it is not. If they hold elected office, I can check their record to see if their deeds match their words. I would have a whole lot more faith in the judgment of American voters if I believed they had read the Constitution before voting for someone who claims to be conservative but who, in reality, is just another politician looking for access to the purse strings of the nation.

Is saving your nation worth a few evenings of your life? This is the most important election of your lifetime and whether you are willing to take a tiny amount of time to be able to identify those who want to enslave you versus those who are truly conservative and dedicated to freedom may make the difference in whether you live your life as a free person or in slavery.

As Kelleigh Nelson said in her recent NewsWithViews.com article, Trump is neither Republican or Democrat, neither liberal or conservative. He's just an American who loves his country. He's the best chance we've got of turning the corrupt establishment of Republicans and Democrats upside-down – and that's why they're working so hard to discredit him.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

---



# Today is Al Gore's global warming doomsday

Here we are on January 26, 2016. Do you feel the heat? Do you see the clouds are gone and the sky is glowing red?

Ten years ago, on January 25, 2006, Al Gore stood before his Sundance audience at the screening of his "An Inconvenient Truth."

Al Gore waved his quivering finger in the air and told his audience that unless the world takes drastic measures to reduce greenhouse gases within the next 10 years, we will reach a point of no return.

Gore said our CO<sub>2</sub> emissions would cause Earth to go into a runaway heat death.

The Washington Post reported Al Gore "believes humans may have only 10 years left to save the planet from turning into a total frying pan."

CBS News wrote Gore predicted the earth would be in "a true planetary emergency" within the next ten years unless drastic action is taken to reduce greenhouse gases.

Al Gore's people have been singing like the Donkey in Schrek, "I believe, I believe."

Eco-freak groups have tried in vain to save the planet from our CO<sub>2</sub>. Don't they know it's too late? It's over? We're done for?

Nothing they can do now can save Earth. Al Gore said so. They can relax now and enjoy the heat before we all perish.

Could it be that Al Gore is mistaken? That cannot be.

If Al Gore is wrong then he has betrayed millions of global warmers. They have devoted their lives to Al Gore. Their devotion is their religion. Because global warming is their religion they cannot hear, see, or touch any evidence that might prove their religion is wrong.

But. But. But.

Unless there are no more clouds in the sky and no more snow on the ground, then Al Gore is wrong. You know what Richard Feynman said about the scientific method:

If your prediction is wrong then your hypothesis is wrong.

And if you reject the scientific method then you reject true science. Unless you reject your hypothesis that our CO<sub>2</sub> causes global warming (or climate change) then your belief is a religion, not science.

You see, Al Gore believed the climate models. But climate models are not reality. Models are but an attempt to simulate reality. We must test models against reality. If the models' predictions are wrong then the climate models are wrong.

Look at the red line in the chart. That is the average climate model prediction. According to the average of climate model prediction, Earth's global temperature has risen 0.7 C since 1980.

No wonder it's so hot and there is no snow in Washington, D.C.

But wait. That is a model prediction, right? A model prediction is not reality, right?

Have you ever witnessed an incorrect weather prediction? Now you have witnessed an incorrect climate prediction.

The blue circles and green squares show the real data. They show the earth's global temperature is only 0.2 C higher than in 1980. Al Gore is wrong. The climate models are wrong. The

hypothesis that our CO2 causes global warming is wrong. Checkmate.

Isn't it time the ecofreaks check their climate religion at the door and wake up to reality? If they did, they would save the world a lot of money.

Epilog

Aztecs priests cut out beating hearts, then rolled decapitated heads down the temple stairs to make rain. When rain came, the priests claimed their methods worked. The people believed the priests. So the priests stayed in business.

Today, global warming priests shut down coal-fired electric power plants, tax you to pay for electric cars and wind farms, and sic the EPA on your state to control your businesses and industry.

We think the Aztecs were delusional. But today, as a nation, we are just as delusional as the Aztecs.

Today's ecofreaks waste time and money trying to "address" climate change when they don't even understand what causes climate change. Today's ecofreaks would have supported the Aztec priests in their day.

Today, our schools do not teach students how to think. They don't teach students how to tell a bad hypothesis from a good hypothesis.

Can you tell me what is wrong with the Aztec hypothesis?

You know the Aztec hypothesis is wrong. But can you tell me the general method you would use to reject the Aztec hypothesis?

If not, then your schools have defrauded you of an education. You are ripe to believe in any wacko idea that comes along.

Just because you agree with me about global warming does not prove you have learned how to think.

Many rightwing folks agree with me about global warming because it is a part of their political "religion." Well, the ecofreaks believe just the opposite because it's a part of their religion.

We have many obstacles to overcome in order to learn how to think

We have Pope Francis who preaches the climate cult religion. He should promote good science like Catholic schools taught when I went to high school.

We have a Montana governor who does not know how to appoint qualified people to a climate board. Our Montana climate board has no climate scientist. This is like a medical board with no medical doctor.

Our Montana climate board has medical doctors who "believe" our carbon dioxide emissions cause wildfire smoke. Is "belief" the qualification for a board? The board has no one to suggest the doctors have not properly diagnosed the cause-effect relationships of our atmosphere.

I don't practice medicine but some medical doctors practice atmospheric physics. Does our governor not understand the difference between scientific disciplines?

Is there anything more important in life than to be able to tell the difference between a valid cause-effect relationship and a fraudulent one?

Look at some of the reasons ecofreaks "believe" in global warming. They say polar bears are dying, animals are going extinct, the seas are going acidic, the oceans are rising, and, yes, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is rising.

But for CO<sub>2</sub>, these claimed events are incorrect, but suppose

they were correct. Would that prove our carbon dioxide emissions caused the events?

What is the fallacy of this reasoning?

The fallacy is consequences do not prove causation. Just because something happens does not prove what causes it to happen. The only way to determine causation is to use the scientific method.

There are three parts to the global warming hypothesis:

1. Our carbon dioxide emissions cause the increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide.
2. Added atmospheric carbon dioxide increases global temperature.
3. Therefore, our carbon dioxide emissions cause dangerous global warming.

As we will show, all three parts are wrong.

Our government has spent some \$100 billion on climate models. These climate models were supposed to show the above three part hypothesis is correct.

The problem is the climate models have failed. Climate models failed because they do not include accurate atmospheric science. Today's climate models are the premier example of garbage in, garbage out.

Our atmosphere and its interactions with our biosphere and oceans are vastly more complicated than Al Gore told you. Our atmosphere is as complicated as the human body. No simplistic hypothesis about how either of them work is acceptable.

If we really want to know what drives climate, we must throw out the Al Gore "science" and look to the real science.

Here are some highlights in the real science

As I have previously outlined, there are major problems with

the simplistic view that CO<sub>2</sub> acts like a blanket that warms what is under it.

Dr. Murry Salby is the author of the 666-page, 2012 textbook "Physics of the Atmosphere and Climate." He shows that the first part of global warming hypothesis is wrong. Our carbon dioxide emissions do not cause the observed increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide.

Salby uses advanced physics and math to analyze CO<sub>2</sub> data. He proves mathematically that surface temperature, not human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, causes the change in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>.

Salby's conclusion does not depend on theory. It results from proper data analysis and mathematics.

Watch Salby's two presentations. If you do not follow Salby's lecture then you do not understand atmospheric science.

Dr. Ferenc Miskolczi shows how part two of Al Gore's global warming hypothesis is wrong. Added atmospheric carbon dioxide does not increase the greenhouse effect or global temperature.

Miskolczi's peer-reviewed scientific papers show atmospheric water vapor and clouds adjust to changes in CO<sub>2</sub> to keep Earth's greenhouse effect constant. Miskolczi's predictions match observations. Changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> do not change the greenhouse effect and do not cause global warming.

Dr. Willie Soon is lead author of a 2015 peer-reviewed paper that shows our sun, not CO<sub>2</sub>, drives climate. He shows how CO<sub>2</sub> and total solar irradiance correlate with temperature from 1880 to 2013.

In the figure, the blue temperature lines in each plot are the same. Only the red line is different.

In the first plot, the red line represents CO<sub>2</sub>. It shows CO<sub>2</sub> is not similar to temperature. Therefore, CO<sub>2</sub> does not drive temperature.

In the second plot, the red line represents total solar irradiance. The good match shows total solar irradiance is a major driver of earth's temperature.

Dr. David Evans is an expert mathematician. He found a serious error in climate models. When Evans corrects for this model error alone, climate model temperature predictions decrease by 80 to 90 percent.

Climate models use the old Arrhenius assumption that Earth responds to CO2 change like it responds to change in solar radiation. The Arrhenius assumption is incorrect. Climate responds much differently to changes in CO2 than it does to changes in solar radiation.

Dr. Ivar Giaever won the 1973 Nobel Prize in Physics. He is a Democrat who puts scientific truth above partisanship. He is not an ecofreak.

Giaever explains why Al Gore's global warming hypothesis is pseudoscience. He says climate alarmists have made their idea a new religion and therefore can't question it. He shows many conflicts of the alarmist climate idea with the real world of physics.

## Conclusions

The choice is yours. You can either accept true science or reject it. If you reject it, you will live in a world of delusion. You will be like the Aztecs who believed their human sacrifices really caused rain.

Of course, you will not believe in same delusions the Aztecs did. But you will believe in delusions that are just as irrational. You will not know your belief is wrong. If your belief is like a religion, you will refuse to consider evidence your belief is wrong.

So, here's your homework:

If you had a time machine to transport back to an Aztec community, what argument would you use before an impartial court to show their human sacrifices did not cause the subsequent rain?

© 2016 Edwin X Berry, PhD – All Rights Reserved

---

## Fox News equals democrats in republican drag

*If ever time should come, when vain and aspiring men shall possess the highest seats in Government, our country will stand in need of its experienced patriots to prevent its ruin. –Samuel Adams*

*“Experience hath shewn, that even under the best forms of government those entrusted with power have, in time, and by slow operations, perverted it into tyranny.” –Thomas Jefferson*

### Corruption of the Republican Party

Do people now see how corrupt the Republican party has become? For seven years the Congress has done absolutely NOTHING to stop any of the unconstitutional acts by Obama. They actually have been totally **complicit in his destructive agenda**. The recent Omnibus bill that was passed with the help of Ryan comes to mind. (By the way, since he’s grown his beard and mustache, I’m wondering if he’s become a Muslim.) Remember too that [McConnell is trying to fast track authorization](#) to give Obama unlimited war powers. One could write a book just on the things the Republicans have done to help Obama get exactly what he wanted through all these years. I can’t name one thing they’ve stopped.



The party has run candidates for president who most Republicans consider moderates at best, including both Bush's, McCain, Willard Romney, Bob Dole, Nixon, Gerry Ford, etc. Many of the electorate stay home rather than voting for the lesser of two evils, which is still evil.

And the public still didn't seem to see what had become of the party in the last 50 to 60 years. Now however, it is so obvious, I don't know how anyone can miss it.

The outright hatred of someone outside the beltway, outside the political establishment, outside the machine, has turned the establishment sharks on one who should be their own, but certainly is not. Trump is neither Democrat nor Republican, he is an American first! Leading the pack for the RNC is none other than Fox News and all their cohorts. Why? Because The Donald won't play their game and they all know it and hate him because of it. The Donald got the better of, and foiled the plans of, Fox News and Roger Ailes.

### **Fox, Trump, and the Spin**

While watching the drama unfold with CNN yesterday when Trump pulled out of the debate, IMMEDIATELY there was a Ted Cruz campaign guy on calling Trump "childish." Other Cruz guys then began to use the same word – an indication of common talking points. The "spin" begins.

THEN – the spin became that this was all about Megyn Kelly. **It wasn't!** Trump's original statement, and his campaign manager's statement, made clear that this was about Roger Ailes strange and bizarre attack of Trump. [[Link](#)]

### **Roger Ailes**

Then others who were interviewed began to put forth the Megyn Kelly story as the reason why Trump pulled out. The Trump campaign people who they interviewed –accurately said it

wasn't because of Megyn, although Trump doesn't like her. It was about Roger Ailes.


So Ailes is getting a FREE PASS by the controlled press right now, and they are buying into the official "spin" that the Cruz campaign put out immediately after the fiasco happened.

The real story got out at the very beginning and then there was a spin-filled cover-up. Now Cruz is spinning every possible lie about Trump that he can think of to help himself and destroy Mr. Trump. The electorate seems to be getting wise to these deceptions however.

Here is the video where Mr. Trump tells that it is Roger Ailes who has caused the problem:

The attack by Faux News is unprecedented, and CNN has even been shocked at Fox's tactics. However, Mr. Trump skipping the final debate is not unprecedented. In 1980, Ronald Reagan skipped the last debate before the Iowa caucus.

[Bloomberg reported:](#)

Trump isn't the first top-tier presidential candidate to skip a debate. Ronald Reagan did not attend a Republican debate ahead of the 1980 Iowa caucuses, which he lost to George H.W. Bush. Reagan went on to win the  nomination and the presidency, and [won in a landslide](#). See all those red states?!

Megyn Kelly

Obviously, Megyn is taking great pleasure in her job of trying to destroy Donald Trump by featuring on her show every globalist neo-con nutcase who wants Trump destroyed. But both she and Faux News have played right into the hands of the brilliant businessman. Ailes and Fox have been bested, and the "Kelly File," is seen by many as a Anti-Trump Organ for Establishment Republicans.

Megyn's latest "special guest" was none other than Michael Moore on Tuesday, January 27th. Notice how chummy she is with this anti-American cretin.

Moore intimated that Donald Trump was afraid of Kelly and of course she played along with it. But facts are facts, Donald Trump refused to reward bad behavior, and he shouldn't.

Personally, I'd want to bleach everything the man touched after he left. Ugh.

☒ In Breitbart's latest article, ['Love-Fest': Megyn Kelly Blasts Donald Trump, Flirts With Michael Moore](#), author John Nolte tells of the brilliant planning by Donald Trump. He states, *"In short: Trump spent a half-year carefully crafting and building the Narrative that Fox News was out to get him, and with one press release, Roger Ailes blundered right into it."*

Nolte goes on to list all the benefits for Mr. Trump and to nearly gagging while watching Megyn Kelly gush over Michael Moore. Even the left-wing [Washington Post](#) and Salon described it as a "[love-fest](#)." The article is well worth the read.

### **Fox Owners Fair and Balanced? Bah Humbug!**

☒ CFR member Rupert Murdoch owns the lion's share of Fox News and WSJ. Here he is pictured with Jeb Bush and Valerie Jarrett.

His co-owner is none other than Saudi prince Al-waleed bin Talal. . Al-waleed is the 34th most richest man in the world. He has pledged to give his \$32 billion fortune away...but not until after his death.

He also says his money will go to advance the Islamization of America. The Saudis have spent billions already. Eighty percent of the mosques built in America are Saudi funded.

Islamic groups working to impose the sharia are largely funded by the Saudis. We can look forward to 32 billion more of the “kingdom’s brand of Islam, while censoring criticism of Islam,” on Fox News! [[Link](#)]

✘ The 60-year-old prince said he had been inspired by the Gates Foundation, set up by Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates and his wife Melinda in 1997.

The money would be used to “foster cultural understanding”, “empower women”, “enable youth” and “provide vital disaster relief”, among other things, he said.

Bill Gates praised the decision, calling it an “inspiration to all of us working in philanthropy around the world.”

Bilderberger Bill Gates and philanthropy? God help us! Gates is all about [population reduction, Agenda 21, and eugenics](#).

### **Donations to Hillary**

In case you missed it, Fox is one of the biggest donors to Hillary Clinton. They’ve given Hillary Clinton more than \$3 million in contributions. According to [Federal Election Commission](#) and [Center for Responsive Politics](#) data, 21st Century Fox News Corp. has donated more than \$3 million to Clinton family accounts. Overall, this lands Fox as the Clinton family’s 9th largest donor.

### **Amnesty Agenda – Rupert Murdoch**

[The Partnership for a New American Economy](#) (PNAE) brings together more than 500 Republican, Democratic, and Independent mayors and business leaders united in making the economic case for streamlining, modernizing, and rationalizing our immigration system. And guess who sits on the board of this open-borders organization? None other than Rupert Murdoch! There is only one candidate in the GOP race who wants the borders closed and sovereignty of this country, and we know

who that is...Mr. Trump! The PNEA was a prime lobbyist for one of the biggest open borders pushes in American history: Sen. Marco Rubio and the "Gang of Eight."

The other co-chairs of the Partnership are Bill Marriott-Marriott Hotels, Bob Iger-CEO and President of Disney, Jim McNerney-CEO and President of Boeing, Julian Castro-former Mayor of San Antonio, Michael Bloomberg-former Mayor of NYC, Michael Nutter-former Mayor of Philadelphia, and Steve Ballmer-former CEO of Microsoft. What a lineup! All these people want amnesty and open borders...how lovely, and no wonder Fox hates Trump!

### **Greta Van Susteren Poll**

Fox's Greta Van Susteren [polled](#) her "Gretawire" viewers on Tuesday to see who would tune into the upcoming debate without Trump. The results, although unscientific, were overwhelming: 85.9 percent (14,209) of respondents said they would not watch the debate compared to 14.1 percent (2,333) who would.

Most of us knew it would be bad for Faux News, but this is even worse than I expected. Now [Roger Ailes is trying to reach Trump's wife, Melania, and his daughter, Ivanka](#), in an attempt to have them change Donald's mind and come on the debate. And get this, O'Reilly begs Donald to come to the debate...

Having spent years in advertising, I certainly can imagine the advertisers complaining when they paid for 24 million viewers, and without Trump will only get 3 or 4 million! That is going to hurt!

### **The Experienced Businessman**

Only a fool would walk into a deliberate ambush. Once again, Mr. Donald Trump demonstrates his superior intellect. Fox News outfoxed themselves by over scheming to entrap Trump, but he proved he knew what they were up to and outfoxed them all.

**My cyber friend, Bud, had this to say:**

*"I disassociated myself from the GOP several years ago when I realized that they are no different from the socialist Democratic Party. I also stopped all association with Glenn Beck when I realized that he had gone totally toes-up bonkers (maybe he always was!). In any case, I hope enough people realize the truth about what is happening to produce several important results:*

- 1) do not watch the Faux News debate (destroy their ratings/hit them in the purse),*
- 2) drop all support for Glenn Beck and The Blaze (also hit them in the purse, and*
- 3) make Donald Trump the GOP candidate and the next POTUS!!*

*If we do not take control of the political process in the US and return our nation back to its founding principles, we are lost forever."*

## **Veteran Event**

The veteran event was announced on Donald Trump's site it was held the very night of the debate. Santorum and Huckabee also attended. ([Huckabee even says Ted Cruz flip-flops on his positions all the time.](#)) Most folks watched on CSpan. [Here is the list](#) of organizations who will receive benefits from [www.DonaldTrumpforvets.com](http://www.DonaldTrumpforvets.com).

## **Conclusion**

I couldn't have said this better:

☒ *"Trump is raising a MEGA-Movement, a counter-revolution, by standing against the Globalist Elite that own both the Republican and Democrat Parties. They also own the Media who own all the Spin Doctors like Neo-Con Review's gang of 22, Charles Krauthammer, and Megyn Kelly. He is standing against the establishment that controls the six corporations that own*

*the TV and radio media, all of the major news papers, most of the small so-called independent papers, and the 99 percent of the publishing houses.*

*These are the people that also own and control the Federal Reserve and all the Western Central Banks that have held our economy hostage to their New World Order Agenda, robbed us of Constitutional Governance, and relegated our children and grandchildren to debt slavery in a quasi-Marxist Poverty State.*

*This ELECTION is between Donald Trump and the Globalist Criminals that control every aspect of our Government, every NGO that is pushing a Globalist agenda – from the Rockefeller Foundation, George Soros, UNESCO, USAID, and the Clinton Foundation. Simply put, this is the most important election in our life time” ...Robert Snowden*

The Caucus starts Monday. Go Trump!

---

## **Consequences of adding 100 million immigrants to America**

Each month you drive to work to provide for your family, your U.S. Congress representatives import more than 100,000 legal and illegal immigrants. That's been going on since 1965 with the Immigration Reform Act. That single act jumped America's population from 194,000,000 (million) people in 1965 to 300,000,000 (million) people by October 2006. The U.S. Census Bureau will verify those numbers.

If allowed to continue, that same Immigration Reform Act expects to add another 100,000,000 more people, net gain, to

America by 2050—a scant 34 years from now. Not only that, census figures show that America's population will double to over 625,000,000 within the lifetime of a child born in 2016.

Those immigrants flee their own countries that grow by 80,000,000 (million) more refugees annually. No matter how much suffering they experience, they never consider birth control and family planning in order to stabilize their countries' populations. Instead of their leaders taking any logical action to alleviate their dilemma, they ship anyone and everyone willing to move to Australia, Europe, Canada or America.

Mind you, all Western countries' women chose a fertility rate of 2.03 children per woman or less since 1970. Thus, Western countries chose to live within the carrying capacity of their countries' landmass.

But not South America, Mexico, Africa, India, Indochina or China. In fact, when China instituted its one-child policy in 1979, it only slowed down its population explosion to eight million, net gain, annually via "population momentum". That means 1.35 billion people cannot help but expand by eight million annually because so many women birth one child annually that it still equates to eight million, net gain.

Ironically, with all the suffering in India as to water shortages and food scarcity, Indians continue their fecundity rates to add 14 to 16 million annually, net gain, according to United Nation's demographic figures.

While Americans chose a stable society via responsible birth rates, Congress, driven by 'high growth, high consumption' corporate interests such as the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, celebrated those 1.2 million legal and illegal immigrants annually since 1965. Such numbers guaranteed their enormous profits for their stockholders.

But what did it mean for average Americans? In the past 30



years as immigration numbers piled up, wages stagnated to the point where middle class Americans can no longer afford housing, cars and vacations. Millions work two or more jobs, not only to pay for their families, but also to pay for millions of Americans and immigrants subsisting on welfare such as EBT cards (food stamps) and Section 8 Housing for those without jobs or minimum wage work.

With another 100,000,000 more added immigrants, we expect to see not only lower wages and fewer jobs, but also a lower standard of living.

Ironically, as Congress imports millions of immigrants without educational skills or work skills for a first world country, more and more work evolves into automation—thus leaving more Americans without jobs. At some point, the 48 million Americans subsisting on food stamps today may well grow by another 20 to 30 million.

All the while, members of Congress fail to raise the question of a “moratorium” or 10-year “shutdown” of all immigration. It’s seems they harbor immigration as a sacred taboo, even while it destroys the lives and jobs of the citizens who voted them into office.

If you don’t want a Paris, France or San Bernardino event in your community, it’s time to call for a total “Immigration Shutdown Now.”

Call your senators and House rep: 1 202 224 3121. Demand a stop to all immigration and stop to any Syrian immigration.

Definition of slogan: “Immigration Shutdown Now means the American people want a total shutdown on all legal and illegal immigration. That means we want all illegal immigration stopped by arresting, prosecuting and jailing employers of illegal aliens. We deport all illegal aliens by taking their jobs away and as we catch them. We want English mandated as our national language. We demand a cessation of Muslim

immigration in order to protect our culture, language and way of life. We can't save the world but we can destroy our civilization. We demand a stable population that allows everyone to live, work and thrive into the 21st century. Especially our children." FHW

That's why you need to take action. Send this series to everyone in your network. Educate them. Urge them to take action by joining these websites to become faxers of prewritten letters and phone callers. We must force Congress into an "Immigration Shutdown Now!

Share these videos all over America:

[www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org) ;  
[www.CarryingCapacity.org](http://www.CarryingCapacity.org) ; [www.thesocialcontract.com](http://www.thesocialcontract.com)

In a five minute astoundingly simple yet brilliant video, "Immigration, Poverty, and Gum Balls", Roy Beck, director of [www.numbersusa.ORG](http://www.numbersusa.ORG), graphically illustrates the impact of overpopulation. Take five minutes to see for yourself.

"Immigration by the numbers—off the chart" by Roy Beck

This 10 minute demonstration shows Americans the results of unending mass immigration on the quality of life and sustainability for future generations: in a few words, "Mind boggling!" [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org)

America: [www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org)  
; [www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org](http://www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org)

Canada: [www.immigrationwatchcanada.org](http://www.immigrationwatchcanada.org)

United Kingdom: [www.populationmatters.org](http://www.populationmatters.org)

Australia: [www.population.org.au](http://www.population.org.au) Sustainable Population  
Australia

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

# Requirement to get gun permit as unconstitutional as can get

Truly, it's doesn't get more unconstitutional than this.

A small town in Massachusetts – Lowell, located about 35 miles from Boston – has apparently decided the best way to keep criminals from shooting and killing innocent citizens is to make residents who want unrestricted carry permits first pen essays explaining just why, and then submit those justifications to the chief of local police to grade. Those who don't obtain passing grades aren't given their permits.

Insert "Are you kidding me" expression of disbelief here. In effect, the town of Lowell has exempted itself from the Second Amendment.

Of course, the powers-who-be don't see it that way. They see it as a necessary precaution, a way of ultimately saving citizens from crime and killers.

Or, as local Police Superintendent William Taylor told City Council members when he approached them with his brainchild notion: "We wanted to make sure we allowed people to exercise their constitutional right to carry a firearm, but do it with a balanced, reasonable approach."

And Taylor, it seems, is just the guy to determine that this constitutional right to carry in a balanced and reasonable way is being upheld, because that's who City Council members named as the grader of all these papers.

"Chief Bill Taylor has sole authority when it comes to deciding which gun permit essays make the grade," Inquisitr reported.

The background of this policy, which also includes a mandate

that approved permit carriers attend firearms' safety and training classes at their own expense – up to \$1,100 – is that Lowell has maintained a tight control on guns for decades, denying most all concealed carry permit applicants for the past 30 years or so. So this new gun control provision is actually being billed as a freedom.

Local authorities also say applicants don't actually have to write the essay, but doing so would certainly strengthen their appeals for permits.

Well, isn't that special. So Lowell citizens have not only been suffering under Second Amendment dings for decades, but now, in some sort of Twilight-Zone-meets-George-Orwell doublespeak scenario, they're going to be voluntarily forced to beg local officials in writing for their already-guaranteed constitutional rights – and in so doing, subtly acknowledge that this a move toward freedom?

As Jim Wallace with the Gun Owners Action League of Massachusetts said in a statement: "It is absurd. ... It's like having a college professor say, 'I'm going to read your essay and if I don't like it, I'm going to give it back to you.'"

Who made the police the gate guard for the Second Amendment? As one freedom-loving patriot said of the topsy-turvy aspect of the situation: "Did the chief of police write an essay to the City [Council] explaining why he and his police force should have the right to carry?"

Indeed. But those who laugh at that question are part of the problem.

In America, where rights come from God, not government, that simple question goes to the nuts and bolts of the tragedy of Lowell's gun policies. Citizens, in far too many communities and in way too many cases of constitutional issues, have become conditioned to believe those in the public arena, the tax-paid servants, are above the very laws they are trusted to enforce – that they themselves are the sources and lone arbiters of rights and authorities.

The Second Amendment, based on the view of human rights the Founding Fathers all shared, is clear: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

Nowhere does it speak of essays and grades and chief of police powers to determine who may carry firearms, and who may not.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Trump – American believers and patriots answer to prayer**

All of America, Republican, Democrat, in between confused and cynical have found themselves struck up side the head as Billionaire mogul – 'say it like it is' patriot Donald Trump threw his hat in the ring and changed everything.

Don't you just love the journey so far as 'establishment' creatures of various kinds go from ignoring Trump and not taking him seriously to slightly panicking, then demonstrating intensive care level panicking? They have found themselves stuck on stupid horror as they can see their controls and career power structures collapsing before their eyes. Trump has known from the beginning that the Political power structure in place on both sides of the aisle has never been about serving America, our Constitution and freedom. They must go and Trump is the guy to make it happen.

The desperate establishment folks are most entertaining as they attempt to find anything to put legs on a Trump defeat, but can't. Instead we hear the desperate ones crying in shrill tones about his reference to 2 Corinthians v.s. 2nd

Corinthians. I'm still laughing at this. Glenn Beck and many others raced in saying..."it is obvious he has never read the Bible. Why doesn't he just admit it." Making Trump out to be a fake Christian just pushes him higher in the polls as he continues to stay on track. What does this kind of ridiculous criticism say about those throwing Christian hypocrisy bombs?

Even with their sound bite theatre, the media and political establishment represent a whorish brew of sellouts to those who bring them power and money. They have no interest in our real traditions; values and Christian underpinnings because the God of the Holy Bible is simply in the way and so are us pesky Christians. Their call sign and ongoing legacy is 'keep the power, protect their careers, steal money from the masses and flip off God because He is in the position that they demand to be in.'

It hasn't at all gone like it was supposed to go. Trump was supposed to drop out again, like his last few attempts. All the other contenders more in line with political career profiles and GOP establishment weren't and aren't getting close to Trump. Most have been talking GOP – kind of conservative sound bites, staying away from certain 'issues.'

Trump instead and from the start dove into the most forbidden issues and roared. This has stunned and given Americans much hope all over the landscape...African American, Hispanic, females, GOP, DEMOCRATS, Independents, young and old. Trump, though far from perfect and far from saying everything perfectly has a patriot's heart, entrepreneur's spirit, and fabulous ideas for the country, its healing and growth. He is proud to be a Christian and last I checked Christians aren't perfect, just saved and know the truth. Some of us actually speak it.

Let the response to Trump go on record

No matter where this election cycle goes, Americans have been

in a real rage for many years now and are more than sick of being lied to, betrayed and not represented. Americans are simply done watching their jobs evaporate and country disappear before their eyes.

Obama and his leftist minions have talked hope and change forever and brought despair and destruction. Now, on the waves of rage, real patriotism and faith comes the American Tsunami back at the White House and led by Donald Trump.

No more looking the other way with political crimes. No more greed and corruption. No more flipping of the Lord Jesus Christ and targeting Christians. No more joblessness and lack of employment options. No more betrayal of our allies and friends, especially Israel and England. No more letting millions come across our borders illegally and continuing crimes all across our country. No more pretending fundamentalist Islam isn't dangerous and just wanting to 'get along.'

You can all do what you feel led to do as the election cycle unfolds. I have felt from the beginning that Donald Trump is the very outside the box answer to prayer of millions to get our country back into shape. Vote right and let the healing, real hope and change and vision unfold in our country. Believe, pray, show up and vote.

Stay tuned. I am exploring show options for my show.

2016 Dr. Laurie Roth – All Rights Reserved

---

# The snowstorm secured our rights

I am sure you have been made aware of the recent great news for limited government. A record-breaking snowstorm hit the Washington, D.C., area this past weekend, with more than 75 million people on the east coast feeling the impact of this weather phenomenon.

How does this translate into good news and limited government? Well, the weather forced the federal government to shut down all its offices; Senate will not be returning until Wednesday; and the House will not return until next week!

It appears Divine Providence has given the American people a rest from additional regulations to their liberties.

Government and their parasitical bureaucracies have often strangled, suffocated, and murdered priceless, divinely-born rights.

Let me explain. Imagine that you recently contracted with the security company USGOV to employ a guard to secure your estate and possessions. You give specific directives that are specifically written out and signed by the company and yourself (i.e. U.S. Constitution). With your possessions secured (i.e. unalienable rights) and your house in order, you take an extended business trip to acquire new opportunities for your personal enterprise. By much labor and exertion you successfully secure the opportunities you sought and are delighted to return to the security of your personal residence (i.e. private property).

When you arrive, you find all of your priceless family heirlooms have been sold and in their place are new USGOV articles of propaganda. Your personal office, livingroom, and bedrooms have become occupied by USGOV security officers who



quickly escort you out of the home into your son's tree fort where you notice 3 or 4 of your belongings necessary for living. Before the agents leave your new residence, they inform you that all the locks have been changed on your doors, and that the security company and its many subsidiary agencies have claimed your assets. However, for an annual fee, they have made them available to you. In order to continue to protect you, they make you aware of the personal tracking system they have placed in your USGOV issued cell phone. Lastly, you are informed of a daily updated list regulating acceptable uses of your former assets that you now rent.

You would likely be perplexed, astonished, and perhaps thrilled at the prospect of the USGOV taking a day off.

Thankfully, the Divine Author of our rights never takes a day off. Our rights are, in the words of Founding Father and President John Adams, "Antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe."

Drawing on the example given by the Great Legislator, there was one branch of Federal Government that maintained its work through the weekend's tumultuous weather. You could find them at the tomb of the Unknown Soldier, the oldest active infantry unit in the U.S. Army, the 3rd U.S. Infantry Regiment (The Old Guard). A tweet this weekend resounded:

I will guard everything within the limits of my post and quit my post only when properly relieved.

Like the heroic Old Guard Sentries, "The Laws of Nature and Nature's God" have been obligated by the benevolent hand of Providence to be a guard of our individual rights, never taking a day off.

Following these examples, don't let your rights atrophy for lack of exercise. Government is not God and we are not born slaves. Take your post of vigilance that liberty would

perpetuate in TRUTH and may posterity bless your name.

Learn more about your Constitution with Jake MacAulay and his “Institute on the Constitution” and receive your free gift.

2016 Jake MacAulay – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Big oil, European immigration and abortion on demand**

To the globalists pulling the strings of the Western axis (aka New World Order), it's all about BIG OIL. The petrodollar is the god of the globalists who control the big banks, big oil companies, and big governments of the Western axis. And the axle of the axis is the Sunni Muslim nation of Saudi Arabia.

And it appears that regime change is coming soon to Saudi Arabia. And, by all accounts, the new king is anything but stable. Folks, this portends rough waters ahead.

BIG OIL is taking advantage of the global oil glut (and thus low oil prices) to run U.S. “cowboys” (independent, mid-sized oil companies in the shale business) out of business. The U.S., Israel, Great Britain, Turkey, Saudi Arabia, etc., have been using terrorist groups such as ISIS and al Nusra to attack Shiite Muslim nations for the express purpose of giving Western axis-controlled Saudi Arabia as much monopoly over the Middle East oil market as possible. In short, the NWO wants to eliminate the oil competition in Iran.

King Salman's decision to hand the throne to his hot-headed son Mohammed (instead of a lateral exchange of the crown) could be the petrodollar globalists paving the way for a Saudi

war with Iran—which would send oil prices through the ceiling (what the globalists want). And most of the “cowboys” would not be around to compete, either.

Iranians and Shiites everywhere are still fuming-mad over Saudi Arabia’s execution of Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr, a highly respected and renowned Shiite religious leader. What was his crime? Calling for more leniencies for Shiites living in Saudi Arabia. That’s Washington, D.C.’s “great ally” for you, folks.

Plus, everyone in the Shiite world knows that the U.S., Israel, Great Britain, Saudi Arabia, etc., are the ones behind the terror groups ISIS and al Nusra. They know that Saudi Arabia is the proxy front man for the petrodollar globalists. They know that ISIS is shipping stolen Shiite oil to Sunni-friendly countries such as Turkey and Israel. Only the Western world (especially America) is oblivious to what is really going on in the Middle East—and that’s because the mainstream media in the U.S. is nothing but a propaganda ministry for the globalists.

The monkey wrench in the whole thing is Vladimir Putin. It does appear he is not going to sit back and let the globalists take down Syria as a stepping stone to taking down Iran—which might help explain why King Salman is getting ready to pass the torch NOW. The globalists in the West might be trying to outmaneuver Putin with a Saudi/Iran war.

But know this: in the minds of the globalist gamemakers, the name of the game is NOT freedom, or liberty, or fighting terrorism, or America’s security, or Islamic Jihad, etc. The name of the game is BIG OIL.

On another front, the immigrant invasion of Europe is in full swing. For Europe, this is the perfect storm. Thousands of disenfranchised, homeless, irresponsible, violence-prone malcontents from the Middle East and Northern Africa are descending on a passive, disarmed, and politically naïve

continent. The countries from which these marauders came are glad to be rid of them, and the countries to which they have come are just beginning to feel the consequences of their arrival.

These twenty-something year old, single, immigrant males flooding Europe have no attachment to anything: not home, not country, not religion, not principles, not law, not decency, NOTHING. They are like roving beasts prowling the land in search of prey. These two-legged predators must be distinguished from true refugees: persecuted families forced from their homes by terrorists. I must add: terrorists who are the product of nearly three decades of U.S. wars of aggression. And even more, terrorists who were created by the Western axis: NWO globalists that are controlling foreign policy in the United States, Great Britain, Israel, and Saudi Arabia.

In many respects, these Middle Eastern miscreants are to Europe what the Mexican gangs are to America. The difference is, for the last half-century or more, Europe has bred several generations of passive, socialist slaves who have absolutely no idea how to defend themselves. They have been brainwashed into accepting personal disarmament. The only thing most Europeans know to do is to call the local equivalent of 911. They are perfect fodder for these beasts of prey now prowling their streets.

Civilized societies are foolish to allow uncivilized people to have internal access to their communities. However, to target religion, race, ethnicity, etc., is to miss the point entirely. That's where Donald Trump blew it. He was doing fine when he talked about illegal immigration. But he blew it when he discriminated against one religion. Our immigration problem transcends any one race or religion. The fact is, if we had a president who would simply enforce the immigration laws that are already on the books (before the current changes to our immigration laws began under Barack Obama and Paul Ryan, of

course), most of our immigration problems would go away. But we haven't had a president do that in over three decades at least.

I can't speak for America's big cities and disarmed states in New England and California, of course. But I know for a fact that the vast majority of red-blooded Americans in "flyover country" are NOT going to passively sit back and let a group of lawless thugs (regardless of race or religion) rape their wives and daughters, destroy their property, and threaten their lives. They just won't tolerate it. That's a MAJOR distinction from the European mindset. Americans are not pacifists; and they are not disarmed.

And a final note: last Friday marked the 43rd anniversary of the infamous Roe vs. Wade and Doe vs. Bolton Supreme Court decisions that, in effect, legalized abortion-on-demand nationwide.

These two decisions expunged the Jeffersonian principle that all men are endowed by their Creator with the unalienable right to life ensconced in America's birth certificate: the Declaration of Independence.

Since the Roe and Doe decisions, more than 50 million unborn babies have lost their lives in what should be the safest place on earth: their mothers' wombs. And once again, another Jeffersonian principle was eviscerated. Jefferson said, "To compel a man to furnish contributions of money for the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical." The Roe and Doe decisions violate this principle in the most egregious manner possible.

Furthermore, the national acceptance of legalized abortion has opened the door to a host of big-government programs and policies that have resulted in the wanton destruction of human life both in the United States and overseas. It has created entire industries whose express purpose for existing is the

destruction of human life. It has desensitized the conscience and soul of America.

America's calloused heart to the deaths of defenseless unborn babies has led to the desensitizing of our hearts to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of innocent men, women, and children at the hands of the U.S. military-industrial complex. If we are desensitized to the deaths of the most innocent and defenseless children in our own country, it is but a short step to becoming desensitized to the deaths of nameless, faceless strangers a half a world away. It is estimated that no less than a million innocent people (not terrorists, not militants, not soldiers or fighting men) have been killed in these copious U.S. wars of aggression.

See this report [here](#).

I have an in-depth message on DVD that sheds the light of truth on abortion from a medical, political, cultural, and scriptural perspective. The message is entitled "The Case For The Life Of The Unborn." In addition, we have reprinted President Ronald Reagan's treatise "Abortion And The Conscience Of The Nation." We are offering both the DVD message and President Reagan's treatise in ONE package. Basically, when you purchase the DVD, we are giving you Reagan's excellent treatise.

I wish this DVD and booklet could be put into the hands of every pastor and public official in America. I know of one man who is ordering 150 packets and is going to give them to as many public officials in his State as he can.

Order my message on DVD, "The Case For The Life Of The Unborn," and President Ronald Reagan's treatise, "Abortion And The Conscience Of The Nation," .

© 2016 Chuck Baldwin – All Rights Reserved

---

# Ted Cruz's federal education "choice" kills the American dream

WARNING: Senator Ted Cruz Uses the Words "CHOICE" and "CIVIL RIGHTS," but Beware. By Dangling Title I Money to ALL Parents, Sen. Ted Cruz Accelerates Federal Control Over All Private, Religious, and Homeschools, and Blankets Common Core Equitably to ALL Schools in America With His Proposed Bill, S 306. [Source: [Link](#)]

On Oct. 26, 2015, The Blaze quoted Sen. Ted Cruz as saying, "School Choice Is Today's 'Civil Rights' Issue." But before you get excited about this, ask Sen. Ted Cruz what he means when he uses the term "choice."

Quoting from Sen. Ted Cruz's website:

Sen. Cruz Joins as Lead Cosponsor of Messer-Lee-Cruz Bill to Expand School Choice EVERY CHILD MUST HAVE HOPE AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A BETTER LIFE

January 29, 2015

WASHINGTON, D.C. – U.S. Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas, today joined as lead cosponsor of the Enhancing Educational Opportunities for All Act, which was introduced by Sen. Mike Lee, R-Ut...

"The rich and middle class have had school choice from the beginning of time," said Sen. Cruz. "This fight is about ensuring that every child, regardless of race, ethnicity, or zip code has the same opportunity to choose the school that best fits their needs and will help them achieve their very best. I am proud to work with Sen. Lee and Congressman Messer on this legislation to give more and more kids hope and

opportunity for a better life.”

The Enhancing Educational Opportunities for All Act would:

- Allow Title I funds to follow low-income students to any public or private school of their choice.
- Remove contribution limitations on Coverdell Education Savings Accounts, the lone tax break available to parents for K-12 expenses.
- Expand “529” Savings Accounts to cover K-12 elementary and secondary education expenses, giving families yet another option to pay for K-12 educational expenses. (Emp. Added.)

It is interesting to note that Sen. Ted Cruz forgot to say in his Press Release that he also changed the definition of private school to include homeschool. This is significant. This fight is all about protecting our children. [Mike Huckabee: Ted Cruz “Constantly Changes Positions”]

If I had not read the sponsors of this bill I would think this legislation came right out of President Obama’s Equity Plan called For Each and Every Child: A STRATEGY FOR EDUCATION EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE. (Source: [Link](#))

Ask what “Equity” means. On page 17 of President Obama’s report it states:

The time has come for bold action by the states—and the federal government—to redesign and reform the funding of our nation’s public schools. Achieving equity and excellence requires sufficient resources that are distributed based on student need, not zip code, and that are efficiently used.

Page 19:

Accordingly, this commission believes the time has come for bold action by the states—and the federal government—to redesign and reform the funding of our nation’s public schools. (Emp. Added.)



...and develops mechanisms that allow the federal government to MONITOR and ENFORCE the ongoing performance of its new equity and excellence investments to make sure those investments are, in fact, enhancing student achievement. (Emp. added.)

Page 20:

ENFORCE its equity mandates in a fair and intelligent manner. ENFORCEMENT mechanisms should be tied to federal funding and equity of outcomes. (Emp. added.)

On October 26, 2015, Andrew Mullins, Deputy Director, HSLDA Federal Relations, Home School Legal Defense Association, in support of this legislation stated:

“In other words, S. 306 seeks to treat homeschoolers fairly.” Federal funding, Title I portability is designed to control. There is nothing “fair” about S 306. In a letter to Sen. Ted Cruz last November, HSLDA continued to support S 306.

Title I portability is not a mystery. This is FEDERAL “CHOICE” which reforms how schools are financed. This means that Sen. Ted Cruz supports Title I funds that are “portable,” where all students are funded “equitably,” not local schools. What this means is that all public funding can be deposited into an ESA, education savings account. Sen. Ted Cruz’s title in S 306 makes the statement “ALL students” which includes private school students and homeschool students. S 306 knocks down the doors of every private and homeschool so that the Feds can sweep right in.

Sen. Ted Cruz stated on The Blaze TV show on October 26, 2015 with Glenn Beck:

“I’m not remotely pushing for homeschool to be reclassified in any way, shape or form.”

Yet S 306 legislates otherwise! Sen. Ted Cruz’s Press Release dated January 29, 2015 states that he supports Rep. Messer’s bill, HR 553, dated January 27, 2015. However, Rep. Messer’s

companion bill does NOT have Title I portability included in his bill.

Why has Sen. Cruz attached Title I portability to his S 306, targeting private schools and homeschools to receive ESEA Title I federal funds? Title I portability is a F5 tornado that pulverizes all schools and now he has made sure that it will sweep through homeschools as well. Why has Sen. Cruz added Title I portability to his bill when it is not in the Messer bill?

The key to understanding the re-write of ESEA that passed in December, is actually reading the Reauthorization legislation which I am convinced not many people have. When Sen. Ted Cruz added his S 306 to the already heavy-handed federal legislation in the Reauthorization of ESEA, a very ugly monster storm begins to emerge out of D.C. – total control of all schools with one national curriculum, with one set of national standards, and one national test in the United States. I believe Sen. Ted Cruz knows very well why he included homeschools in the Title I federal agenda.

Interesting that Sen. Ted Cruz voted against the Reauthorization of ESEA in the Senate, (knowing that S 1177 was going to pass in spite of his NO vote), but then submitted his S 306 which will suck homeschools into the Title I agenda of ESEA. He, perhaps inadvertently, tipped his hand. He is betraying homeschool parents. In the end, Sen. Ted Cruz DID NOT VOTE at all in the final passage in December.

S 306, Section I, Title I Portability under ESEA is “amended by adding to the end” of [Link] which are state plans for standards, testing, and accountability which is referenced in Sen. Ted Cruz’s bill. A Title I child accepting funds to implement a federal education program is considered a recipient of federal financial assistance. This will effectually mandate Common Core to every private school accepting the enrolled Title I funded portability child.

Senator Ted Cruz supports "CHOICE" as a Presidential candidate, and he would allow "CHOICE" Title I funds to "follow the child" to any public school or private school. But this is phony choice! It is government-controlled choice! It means that a private school must accept a "low income" child with federal Title I funds in their ESA. In the past a private school could refuse Title I FUNDS. But now the money "follows the child." If a private school refuses the child with the federal funds, that school will be sued under discrimination according to Civil Rights laws. Is this what Sen. Ted Cruz meant by choice being a "Civil Rights" issue? Everyone must comply to Common Core?

This also happens to be President Obama's Equity Plan. Yet the Republican leadership in the House and Senate ramrodded nationalizing this education plan along with the President. Sen. Ted Cruz obliged. To complete this monstrous bipartisan plan, all private, religious, and homeschools must be added in.

If a homeschool, now a private school, meets the criteria for "low income child" it would be targeted to access these funds – and the control that comes with the money. This is not true choice. This is phony choice. This child receiving Title I "choice" funds must abide by the rest of the amended section which mandates standards, testing, and accountability, Common Core and data collection. Accepting Title I funds is considered federal financial assistance.

But, one might think, not all homeschools or families with children in private or public schools are "low income." Let's dig into ESEA to find out how the rules have changed in which ALL CHILDREN are mandated to be simmered in the federal Title I pot.

Why are ALL children Title I, or what had to be changed in the Reauthorization legislation to throw an ESEA blanket over every school?

Title I was originally a poverty program to help poor children learn to read. President Obama and Secretary Duncan have bastardized Title I by dissolving the poverty guidelines under the illegal ESEA Flexibility Waivers that capture ALL CHILDREN under a Schoolwide net. Schoolwide means ALL students in that school become Title I. The 40% guidelines of children receiving free and reduced lunch disintegrated without Congressional approval to the level of 0%. Sen. Lamar Alexander and Congressman Kline's ESSA legislation combined have codified the Flexibility Waivers in the Reauthorization legislation passed in December.

How does this impact private schools or homeschools? In ESEA the exceptions allow a school once designated Schoolwide the day before the legislation is adopted to continue the Schoolwide banner. This means by "cooking" the numbers all children in that school are designated "low income," even if some parents are millionaires. When these Title I children flock to their "CHOICE" school, their Title I federal baggage "follows the child."

Furthermore, ESEA states that a private school student receiving funds from a local education agency is designated a student enrolled from the originating public school that delegated the funds. If the student happens to live in a Schoolwide school district, that child is Title I. ALL students are Title I and ALL students are identified to receive Title I portability funds to go to the school of their choice under Sen. Ted Cruz's bill. ALL federal monitoring and enforcing mandates now kick in.

Sen. Ted Cruz's S 306 is redefining homeschools as private schools:

"(C) PRIVATE SCHOOL.—For purposes of this section, the term 'private school' includes any home school that meets the requirements of State law applicable to such home schools, whether or not such school is deemed a private school for

purposes of State law.” (Emp. added.)

Why is Sen. Ted Cruz violating State’s Rights and the 10th Amendment by forcing states to recognize homeschools as private schools, whether they want to or not? This is a Constitutional crisis in the making. The federal government mandates are forcing states to comply in this section of his bill. Is Sen. Ted Cruz bypassing State Sovereignty and representative government here? Why would Sen. Ted Cruz, a man supposedly dedicated to the Constitution, ride roughshod over the 10th Amendment as well as Supreme Court decisions reaffirming parents’ rights over the education of their children?

Knowing this to be true, WHY would Sen. Ted Cruz change a homeschool into a private school? By changing this definition, all criteria for a private school that receives any federal funds from a Title I child would be be also be applied to homeschools. This includes abiding by standards, testing, curriculum, teacher certification, direct student services, and data collection. In other words, performance to Common Core in 45 states would then be mandated to homeschools! The extensive defining elements for “equitable direct student services” and an “approved” mandated curriculum (i.e., mental health psychobabble) are repeated throughout the ESEA legislation. (Note: There are 14 states that already define a homeschool as a private school. S 306 applies to private schools.)

In S 306, Sen. Ted Cruz neglects to recognize the accountability required in the data collection and data mining which is mandated in the Reauthorization of ESEA by the Institute for Educational Sciences, NCES/IES, for Title I. Data tracking is mandated, as well as, data mining/data trafficking in which third party contractors are able to access personally identifiable information on each student.

With a swipe of President Obama’s pen, this scenario was

enacted with the illegal E0 12866 which gutted FERPA, allowing all student and family data, including psychological dossiers, to flow to 3rd party vendors. Thus, private and homeschools will be monitored for accountability.

How will these Title I funds be distributed to parents enrolling in public, charter, private, religious, or homeschools? Checks or vouchers deposited into an Education Savings Account, ESA? Direct Deposit directly to the parent in an ESA account?

Let's propose a scenario: Parents most likely would have to sign a contract with their state's education department stating that they will provide their child with a Common Core education and state-approved subjects. Title I would kick in to demand that the child meet state-approved standards and equitable services (note the word "equity"). The department would make quarterly deposits in the ESA accounts. During each fiscal quarter, parents would be required to return all receipts for purchases to the department or use a debit card that would be used to allow only certain select purchases from the "approved" list. The department would review each purchase similar to the DC Scholarship 'Confirmation Invoice Report' to make sure parents spent money only on Common Core eligible expenses. If parents use their ESA to pay for something that is not an eligible expense the department will withhold the next quarterly deposit and a correction in the account would be made. Also, ESA enrollees must participate in norm-referenced tests requiring adherence to state Common Core standards.

Homeschool families are already asking if curricula like Seton or ABeka are going to be on the list of state-approved curriculum that can be paid for with Title I funds. This will inevitably standardize the Common Core curriculum in every private and religious school and all homeschool curriculum. It becomes obvious that there will be no true freedom of choice.

Must homeschools be accredited as a private school? ESEA legislation states that all curriculum must be approved and overseen by an ombudsman in a private school receiving funds. This eliminates true choice.

What does this mean for private and parochial schools that must accept a Title I child that forces their hand under Civil Rights discrimination protections? Title I portability eliminates the word "private" in private schools. All schools become government schools. This is not choice.

HSLDA, which supports Cruz's S 306 states on their website:

"A common point of confusion for many people about S. 306 surrounds §101 of this bill. This section provides Title 1 federal government funding through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) to brick-and-mortar private schools. However, since this bill defines homeschools as private schools only for the purposes of §201 (the Coverdell section), §101 will not allow homeschoolers to receive federal Title 1 funding."

HSLDA IS MISLEADING HOMESCHOOLERS. Homeschools would be codified in legislation as a private school. The law is the law. Instead HSLDA should be warning homeschoolers that accepting Title I means being sucked up into the Title I portability tornado. Lock your doors and secure your windows. HSLDA should be advising parents to NOT let Sen. Ted Cruz place them squarely in Title I's portability "suck zone." HSLDA should be informing parents that Title I also applies to every child labeled as failing or At-Risk of failing to meet Common Core standards of specified attitudes, values, beliefs, and dispositions.

What is a Title I At-Risk Child and how are Common Core services pushed onto private, religious, and homeschools?

Taken from page 134 in the Reauthorization of ESEA called Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA):

'(b) PLAN PROVISIONS.—To ensure that all children receive a high-quality education, and to close the achievement gap between children meeting the challenging State academic standards and those children who are not meeting such standards, each local educational agency plan shall describe—

“(1) how the local educational agency will monitor students’ progress in meeting the challenging State academic standards by—

“(A) developing and implementing a well- rounded program of instruction to meet the academic needs of all students;

“(B) identifying students who may be at risk for academic failure;”

Taken from page 164 of ESSA:

“(iii) address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, through activities which may include—

“(I) counseling, school-based mental health programs, specialized instructional support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students’ skills outside the academic subject areas;”

First of all, there is nothing academic about Common Core. S 306 does not explain the non-academic services that would be mandated for a Title I child that is deemed “At-Risk” for not meeting state standards. What are these services that the ESEA legislation specifies would be carried out by IDEA, Individual with Disabilities Education Act, known as Special Education? The specialized student support services defined in ESEA are psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, etc., who must provide these services for At-Risk students or those determined to be At-Risk of being At-Risk. The services are listed as: Multi-Tiered and SchoolWide Tiered Systems of Support, Response to Interventions, Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports, Early Intervening Services, Conflict Resolution, Restorative Justice, and Universal Design for Learning. Schools will be enticed to bill Medicaid for



these specialized services. Coding mental health services at school brand your child for life.

Sen. Ted Cruz neglected to explain the tsunami of services that kick in when a student is determined as not meeting state standards in the Title I Portability bill. In ESSA these services are defined as “direct student services” and “meaningful choice.” Any child NOT meeting the Common Core aligned state standards MUST receive interventions and specialized student support services to achieve proficiency to the degree mandated by government.

Another question: why has Sen. Ted Cruz expanded 529 plans to include all children age 0-21 to come under “qualified education expenses”? It is interesting to note that his summary only included K-12, but his legislation approves birth to age 21. Big difference! Sen. Ted Cruz’s S 306, Title I portability tornado is picking up a lot of debris.

Does expanding 529 plans for Pre-K connect to Sen. Bob Casey’s Universal Pre-K (Common Core for babies) that he and Sen. Murray have been proposing and included in ESSA? Isn’t this President Obama’s equity plan for babies? Has anyone researched the HeadStart criteria for babies in the social, emotional, behavioral domain (baby psychobabble)? Has anyone looked at Pennsylvania’s “model” Universal Pre-K for the nation? This is bad news for parents.

Why age 0-21? Does this not conform to the Common Core P-20 agenda? It appears that the Cruz “portable” funding scheme now pays for free daycare, free tuition at any school, and 2 years of free community college. Isn’t this similar to what President Obama said in his State of the Union Address, with all public funds jam-packed into an ESA that “follows the child.”

Oh, what a web we weave behind the 21st Century Womb-to-Workplace agenda!

Parents deserve to know the truth and have all the facts before them. Sen. Ted Cruz's S 306 legislation does NOT support homeschools. Sen. Ted Cruz does NOT support parents to have the freedom to choose because S 306 and ESEA give the feds all of the power over homeschools, private schools, religious schools, and public schools. ESEA and S 306 tentacles ensnare every school and every child from age 0-21 with Common Core using the "choice" carrot.

S 306 will force states to rewrite both their private school and homeschool regulations to align to federal law. And to think Sen. Ted Cruz is running for President of the United States touting the principle of subsidiarity.

UNDER THE NEW ESEA, FEDERAL BUREAUCRATS WILL CONTROL THE STANDARDS, THE CURRICULUM, THE TEACHERS, THE TESTING AND THE PSYCHOLOGICAL TREATMENT. Sen. Ted Cruz, Sen. Alexander, and Congressman Kline have circled the federal wagons. All of our children have Title I targets on their backs.

Recently Sen. Ted Cruz organized a Homeschool Coalition that he feverishly says he wants to help. But the warning cannot be clearer. Sen. Ted Cruz, intends to throw the Title I blanket over homeschools. Homeschools, Beware! Sen. Ted. Cruz does not support true free choice.

With S 306 Sen. Ted Cruz, has deliberately and deceitfully placed homeschoolers in the storm's path. The tornado is Title I portability, and it will drop what's left of homeschools and homeschoolers inside the federal government's fence.

And so Common Core falls across America and darkens independent thought and education.

2016 Anita Hoge – All Rights Reserved

---

# Trump will break up the two party stranglehold

I want to make it very clear right at the top that I am a Ted Cruz supporter. No one has been a more ardent supporter of “conservative values” than me. In fact, I have climbed a rung higher up the ladder than most. I do not identify as a “conservative.” I am a Christian. I rejected the “conservative” label years ago.

They are not the same, you know? If you have time take a moment to read my commentary from 2006 Counterfeit Salt. I take a backseat to no one in regards to my Christian-political bona fides. So don't play the “you are a sellout” or “you are not a real Christian” or “you are controlled opposition” card on me.

I live out and walk out my Christianity every day on the streets of America. I don't hide myself inside my prayer closet like so many others choose to do. Here is another commentary I wrote four years later in 2010 called Secular Conservatism. I have been publicly expressing my thoughts in near-weekly columns since 2004. What I have said in the past is available for the world to read. There is a record of where I have stood on the issues. They are all here. There are hundreds for you to read.

I pray I can get out everything I want to express in a few hundred words.

Let me say it again. I am a Ted Cruz supporter but I am not afraid of Donald Trump.

Our political system is broken. It is controlled by evil

men...evil forces actually. The Republican Party has been a "pro-life" party since the days of Reagan. We had Reagan, Bush Sr, Bush Jr, and nominees McCain and Romney and the war on the unborn has not abated one iota. Nevertheless I, and millions like me, continued to pull the "conservative" lever in the hopes that at some point the right "Republican" would do the right thing.

Meanwhile, the babies die. Planned Parenthood sells more parts than Auto Zone and EVERY moral issue that matters to me has been trampled underfoot without as much as a whimper from our "conservative Republican" representatives in Washington.

For years we believed that the Republicans would do what our pastors refuse to do...stand up, speak up, and stop the killing of precious, defenseless, innocent little boys and girls created in the image of God. Why would we expect more conviction from politicians than pastors?

At the latest "March for Life" we heard from all of the "pro-life" Republicans who haven't done a damn thing to stop the killing. Being pro-life is nothing more than a political position...like being "pro-women"...meaningless platitudes.

(I saw a bumper sticker the other day that read Abortion stops a beating heart. How stupid, I thought to myself. Of course it does. You might as well have a bumper sticker stating brushing your teeth freshens your breath. Stating a fact is not a position. If you really believe "abortion stops a beating heart" what are you gonna do about it?)

Vote Republican. Yeah right. By the way, the March for Life was the 43rd March. How's that marching working out for you? About the same way as voting "pro-life," I guess. Have the "conservatives been faithful to do what they promised?

Remember, I am a Ted Cruz fan. But I am still waiting for him to issue this statement. "On my first day in office I will issue an executive order prohibiting all abortions in America.

In 1973 we did not have the scientific advancements that we have to day and I am commissioning a panel to determine if the child inside the women is a living, human being. Until we have scientific proof we will err on the side of a baby's right to life."

Now THAT would be a pro-life President. The Republicans have played us for such fools. We got homosexual marriage on a Republican watch.

So, here is why I could vote for Trump

We are at a watershed point in the history of America. I actually believe that the battle for Western Civilization is before us. We have not seen an election like this in my lifetime.

Quick. Think what makes up the "Democrat" constituency. Blacks, union workers, low educated, poor, young college women, and Hispanics.

Republican voters consist of, Evangelicals, gun-owners, military hawks, small government, debt conscious, traditional values folks. I hate the term "values voters" because we all vote our values. The problem is we no longer have common values.

Thirty years from now, if something is not done, the flood of immigrants sweeping into this land will change the political landscape forever. The electorate will consist of not only immigrants, but of immigrants that no longer have "American" values. Let's be honest, the idea of "American" values is being undermined every day in this country. What do you think multi-cultural means? They can't even speak our language...or understand our system of government...or believe in our Constitution.

A Trump candidacy breaks up the two-party stranglehold that is designed to keep the power elite in power. He has something no

other Republican has...the ability to draw together "Americans" from both parties. Ted Cruz can not do that, although, in a perfect world, he is the type of Godly leader we need. But this is not the America we all used to know. Explosive changes are coming to this nation and we have a very short period of time to fix it. Realignment is taking place and we dare not miss this shift.

Let me share this story. One of my high school buddies is a dyed-in-the-wool union Democrat. Over breakfast the other day politics made its way onto our plate.

"Are you voting for Hillary?" I asked him.

"Hell no," he tersely responded. "I wouldn't vote for that witch. I'm voting Trump, if I vote at all."

"Trump?!" I blurted out my coffee. "You're voting for Trump...a Republican?"

"He ain't no Republican. Trump is an American. He says what I think. Trump or communism...that's the way I see it."

I try to look farther down the road than most. Ted Cruz would be the best President but he cannot break the stranglehold that the Democrats have on minorities. We are asking a segment of our society to break a multi-generational mindset of Democrat loyalty. They won't vote for Cruz because he is a Republican. It goes against everything they have believed.

But they can vote for Trump because he is an American who happens to be running as a Republican. They can vote for an American without violating their conscience.

Look down the road with me. If the America we all believe in is to survive then we must build a coalition of citizens who hold American values.

That coalition must consist of "Americans"...blacks, union workers, God-fearing folk...who share common American values.

Only Trump has the ability to bring that coalition together. Once members of both parties will break free from the "liberal/conservative" boxes that the media and power-elite have herded the voters into we will have the opportunity to reshape the electorate in such a way that a Ted Cruz Presidency might one day become a reality.

This two-card Monty political system needs to be blown up. Twenty years from now, if we are still here, this Democrat/Republican system will be a thing of the past. In its place will be a political alignment much different from the one we see today...

I am not afraid of a Trump Presidency. I am more afraid of what we will become if we remain in the sheep-pens into which the media has herded us.

Let's pray for Donald Trump. Pray the Lord opens his eyes. Pray the Lord does a miracle in his life. Even Jesus used an ass to accomplish His will.

I want Cruz...but I can live with Trump...and Trump is looking more and more like a reality. With each passing day.

Proverbs 21:1 The king's heart is in the hand of the LORD, as the rivers of water: he turneth it whithersoever he will."

"Holy Spirit please penetrate Donald Trump's heart. Do in his heart what You did in mine." May that be our prayer.

2016 Dave Daubenmire – All Rights Reserved

---

# Even Al-Jazeera knows the score

I don't know how much I can write today. I've just spent a morning shoveling several tons of Global Warming off my car.

If you can hop aboard your private jet any old time and zoom off to Davos, Switzerland, ride a limo to your luxury hotel, and spend an afternoon sipping expensive booze with your global elite buddies, you can afford to believe in Global Warming—also known as Climate Change, when it snows. You certainly aren't going to be tied up for a whole morning shoveling snow.

Now I don't know about illegal aliens doing work that Americans won't do; but I do know that Al-Jazeera, the Arabic news network, is doing work that the American media won't do.

Recently some Egyptian commentators on Al-Jazeera shared their insights into our beloved American president, Barack Obama. They were especially intrigued by the president's insistence that Climate Change/Global Warming—an imaginary problem, and hence unsolvable—is the most critical security threat facing our country today.

They made some insightful comments. "Is he crazy?" wondered one of them. "He's on drugs," suggested another. One called him "an affirmative action president." Another called him "a man who ignores the evidence of his own eyes." They didn't even like his physique, which drives some of our home-grown liberal commentators ga-ga.

They seemed to think that Islamic terrorism, as exemplified by ISIS et al, is a rather more serious threat. But they're wrong.

The most serious threat facing the civilized world today is



the leaders of the civilized world, as exemplified by our perpetually-smirking president himself.

Aside from importing masses of violent, lawless Muslims into the countries of Western Europe just in time to turn New Year's Eve in Germany into Public Rape Nite, our leaders are still piling their chips on Global Warming as their best bet to do away with nations and replace them with a global government. It's a time-honored approach: create a horrendous problem, such as national borders dissolving away and societal chaos breaking out, and then put themselves forward as the only geniuses who can possibly solve it. The Mafia have done this for a hundred years.

See, all that chaos, they say, is not the result of crazy public policies cynically employed, but merely the inevitable result of Climate Change. Just ask any of 'em.

And the way you solve it, and restore order, is to get rid of nations and impose a global government run by Obama and his friends, take control of every aspect of human life, tax everybody out the wazoo, and there you are—no more Global Warming! And no more racism, war, hate, lust, income inequality, or any other deadly sin that you can think of. Utopia at last!

When nations abandon God, they turn to idols. They worship the work of men's hands—government agencies, government-funded science, welfare checks, government-funded schools and universities. These have all the answers. Hey, would such smart people lie to you?

It's too bad we have to turn to Al-Jazeera for straight talk about our own country's overlords, but it's better than having no one to turn to at all.

We have an opportunity this year to turn a big bunch of these leaders out of office, and I pray we make the most of it. Of course they'll all stick around as lobbyists, and continue to

do as much damage as they can. But there is simply no excuse for allowing any of them to stay in office.

But any election is only one shovelful of snow scraped off the buried car.

Even as He sends the snow, God has raised up these ungodly rulers: they are His chastisement for our sins.

He will not bring back the sun and melt the snow away, He will not remove these wicked despots, these grinning hypocrites and flim-flam artists, until we turn back to Him with all our hearts.

Are we ready, yet, to do that?

2016 Lee Duigon – All Rights Reserved

---

# **Trump will skip Iowa debate on Fox**

## **SET-UP? FOX INVITES MUSLIM ADVOCATE WHO HATES TRUMP TO DEBATE**

Experience taught me a few things. One is to listen to your gut, no matter how good something sounds on paper. The second is that you're generally better off sticking with what you know. And the third is that sometimes your best investments are the ones you don't make. Donald Trump

In the end, you're measured not by how much you undertake but by what you finally accomplish. Donald Trump, *The Art of the Deal*

Mr. Trump's campaign manager, Corey Lewandowski, has announced

that Donald Trump will definitely skip Thursday night's debate in Iowa on Fox News due to a "deepening rift" with the channel.

Here is [the statement](#) made by Mr. Trump's campaign regarding the situation with Fox News and Megyn Kelly. It states that Mr. Trump knows a bad deal when he sees one.

✘ There is no negotiation. Trump said he most likely will hold a competing event during the debate and give any monies to veterans.

The Donald said he was outraged by a "wise guy" Fox press release that mocked him earlier in the day, and posted an Instagram video stating, *"Megyn Kelly's really biased against me. She knows that, I know that, everybody knows that. Do you really think she can be fair at a debate?"*

A Fox network spokesperson issued a tongue-in-cheek statement imagining Trump conducting Twitter polls in the Oval Office, and put this out, *"We learned from a secret back channel that the Ayatollah and Putin both intend to treat Donald Trump unfairly when they meet with him if he becomes president – a nefarious source tells us that Trump has his own secret plan to replace the Cabinet with his Twitter followers to see if he should even go to those meetings."*

When Trump read the statement, he shot back with a tweet, calling it a *"pathetic attempt by Fox News to try and build up ratings for the GOP Debate."* He added, *"Without me they'd have no ratings!"* (He's right!)

### **Megyn Kelly Interviews Glenn Beck**

Last night on Kelly's show, she interviewed Cruz supporter, Glenn Beck, and he spoke almost uninterrupted for 20 minutes. She went to a break, then came back and repeated few minutes of what Beck had said again. Of course she never brought up the fact that Beck urged Cruz's supporters to, *"vote for*

Bernie Sanders" if Ted doesn't win the nomination. [Here is a part of that interview.](#)

Her next guest was a pro-Trump person who was on for five minutes and continually interrupted by Kelly. Fair and balanced my backside!



Tonight, Sean Hannity's first guest was none other than Ted Cruz. Cruz stated that Mr. Trump is afraid of him and Megyn Kelly and that's why he backed out. (Oh sure Ted, we really believe that.) So, Ted says he'll debate Donald Trump anytime either on Glenn Beck's program or Mark Levin's program! Right Teddy, Mr. Trump, who knows a bad deal when he sees one, is going to let you and two of your supporters who signed that insane [National Review "Against Trump" garbage](#) lead a debate between you two. Get Real! And all three of these yahoos, Cruz, Beck, and Levin want a Constitutional Convention...birds of a destructive feather flock together. God help us if the good people don't see through this and wake up, and soon!

I will not be tuning in to the debate on Thursday, because I really have no desire to hear the same pablum from the same globalists that I've heard for 50 years. If Trump wasn't in this race, there really would have been no reason to watch any of the debates.

I've had over a hundred emails and here's a sample of what they're saying

*"I don't blame him one bit for snubbing those neocons at Faux News." and "Guess what WE'LL be watching! faux can kiss our grits!!! I can't stand Kelly anymore any way. She's a self serving low life lawyer. She has gotten so full of herself it stinks." and "I only watch Faux News to see what the liars are saying now, what a bunch of traitors." I wonder what Faux will say to their advertisers that paid BIG Bucks for high expected*

wiewership, and they didn't deliver?

Personally, this is the strangest thing I believe I've ever seen in a presidential race, but it proves what I've been saying for decades about the right being in bed with the left. You've got a bunch of so called right wingers (they're leftists in republican drag) attacking the best thing to come along in the Republican party in 5 decades and they want him destroyed. Fox News' "Fair and Balanced" is horse manure and everyone knows it.

### **Google and Foux Add Three Trump Haters to the Debate**

According to [an article on Breitbart](#), Fox News has invited three YouTube personalities to ask questions at the Jan. 28 GOP debate – including a Muslim advocate who describes Donald Trump as a bigot and visually portrayed him as being in agreement with national socialist Adolf Hitler.



Google and Fox [announced](#) Tuesday afternoon that an anti-Trump Muslim advocate, Nabela Noor, would be allowed to play a role in the debate. She was apparently chosen by Youtube, with help from the RNC and Fox. African American, [Mark Watson](#), and Latino, [Dulce Candy](#) –will also join the moderators in the debate to ask the candidates a question on an issue that matters to them and their communities.

### **Isn't this just typical of Faux News!**

Last August during the first debate, Megyn Kelly made an absolute fool of herself with her rancorous attitude toward Donald Trump. Even the questions were structured to attack the man. Everyone who tuned in to that first debate saw her in action. It has become so obvious that Fox News and Megyn Kelly are the RNC mouthpieces out to destroy Donald Trump.

As I stated [in my last article](#), about these Piranhas, they are

eating their own while the likes of Hillary Clinton and Bernie Sanders are totally ignored. It looks pretty obvious that Fox News wants a globalist as president and it doesn't matter if they're from the left or the right.

Pray for Donald Trump and our country.

---

## **America's collapse is a self-fulfilling prophecy – unless!**

“There is only one social system that reflects the sovereignty of the individual, the free market, capitalist system.” - William E. Simon, Treasury Secretary.

Over 34 years ago, in October of 1981 William E. Simon, Secretary of the Treasury, said this at the start of the Reagan reformation. “In the first 10 months in office, President Reagan has made great strides toward restoring America's economic vitality. Major cuts in federal spending, substantial tax reductions and long overdue business deregulation have been achieved against great odds. But much more remains to be done – and can only be done with the full support of the American people.”

In Simon's short book, “A Time For Action”, (ISBN: 0-425-05732-1 1980) he describes what the liberal progressive policies have done to the country over the last several decades. He derides the exploding debt, the lack of capital for business expansion and rising taxes and regulations. If only Mr. Simon could see where we are today. Unfortunately, there is no Reagan around, or the support of the American people, to “fix” it. The masses have gone daft and for all we know, they always were.

The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) just released its fiscal predictions for 2016 to 2026. The report is dismal if not apocryphal. Now the CBO hasn't been the best predictor of future trends. But if they are even half right, America, without intervention of some kind, is doomed as a sovereign nation. That statement is so important, we are going to repeat it:

“America, without intervention of some kind, is doomed as a sovereign nation.”

If no changes are made to the trajectory of government spending, the CBO predicts that annual spending will rise from \$3.7 Trillion to \$6.4 Trillion in 2026, or 23% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The growth in government spending in those 10 years will exceed the growth in GDP by several percentage points. The drivers of rising government spending, according to the CBO are, Medicare, Medicaid, Obama Care and Social Security.

But what is even more alarming is the increase in the interest on the national debt, which could exceed what is spent on national defense and other government priorities combined. The CBO predicts that the interest on the national debt will double in the next 10 years. And the national debt itself is predicted to rise 82% over the next decade. If true, the national debt will exceed \$33 Trillion by 2026. \$33 Trillion IN DEBT!

See: [This Link]

Even 34 years ago, William Simon predicted what would happen if America stayed on the same course. Reagan came along and blunted the growth in federal spending, jump-started the economy and reduced taxes and regulations. The results of his efforts were seen in the economy for at least the next 15 years. Even President Clinton finally gave in to reforming the welfare system and that helped some.

But along came Obama, a liberal, progressive, socialist, who unilaterally removed the work requirement in the Welfare laws, re-igniting the Welfare Queens and Kings of years passed. Then, with the help of a Democrat-controlled Congress, passed money-sucking, freedom-robbing Obama Care, without a single Republican vote. There was no reaching across the aisle for Obama.

Here is the simple truth for those who have difficulty understanding the Progressive mentality that has led America to the precipice of national bankruptcy. Progressives, liberals, Democrats, or socialists, whatever you want to call them, 100 years or more ago, knew that if they could use the public treasury to buy votes, or pass laws to pander to different constituency groups, they could remain in power forever. They did not and do not care that their plans would grow exponentially due to human weakness and that entitlements used to buy votes were and are unsustainable. They did not and do not care that those plans have sent America on a path from which it cannot recover because of the very human weakness of the masses that fell into the Democrat trap of government dependency .... in exchange for their votes. The Democrats purposely exploited that human weakness for their own gain, all the while promoting that they were the compassionate ones.

Hungry, totally dependent chicks in the nest, with their beaks wide open, will continue to scream to be fed by their feathered mother. Dependent humans, with their hands out stretched, will scream to the top of their lungs if someone tries to take away their government subsistence, whether earned or not. America is doomed by the welfare queen's and king's vote and those dependent on government.

If the Republicans pounded the current, dire predictions of the CBO message every day for the next year on every TV and radio channel, those living off the backs of the taxpayer wouldn't care and they would vote out anyone who proposed cutting, or passed legislation to cut entitlements. This is



illuminated very well with the rise of Bernie Sanders, a socialist, who wants to increase entitlements exponentially, while drowning American businesses and the middle class in massive tax increases. The difference between a Bernie Sanders socialist and the rest of the Democrat crowd, is a hair's breadth.

The Democrats purposely set up the "GIMEE" generations over the last 80 years or more using Progressive policies, from the New Deal and Social Security, on to President Johnson's War on Poverty, to Medicaid, Medicare and now Obama Care For the "CBO prediction of financial doom" message to have any wide spread effect, the Democrats would have to align themselves with Republicans. Since Democrats are addicted to power (they have had it for so long) they are never going to join the Republicans for the good of the country.

The Progressive, collective mindset is so imbedded in government institutions and the body politic, it will take several generations to change that mindset, if at all. This mindset is so pervasive it is said that there are 10 liberal professors for every one conservative professor in our colleges. Teachers in our public schools probably follow the same pattern since they are taught in our liberal colleges, teachers from whom our kids learn.

But that's not all. This liberal mindset gives greater priority to the collective than it does to the individual, thanks to endless Progressive propaganda. In contrast, America was founded on individual, unalienable rights. It was not founded on the collective. The collective is only found in the writings of Karl Marx and in the freedom-robbing policies of socialism and communism.

In fact, it is even worse than this. The Republicans, in order to remain a viable political party, have been moving left to join the Democrats since the days of FDR. Smaller government, individual freedom, capitalism, free markets, less taxes and

less regulations are only campaign slogans for the Republican Party. Even when Republicans control Congress they constantly side with Progressive policies like social justice and radical environmentalism, along with budget deals to fund those policies. A Republican-controlled Congress just passed an Omnibus Spending Bill that must have sent Obama into fits of euphoria. Many Republicans will side and have sided with Democrats to continue increasing CO2 emission limits to "feed" the insanity of Climate Change, alias man-caused global warming. Republicans and the Democrats don't seem to care about the cost to the economy, or even that their efforts to reduce CO2 emissions WILL NOT have any effect whatsoever on the climate.

Republicans will do nothing, while they control Congress, to rein in government agencies, like the EPA, the Bureau of Land Management, the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Agency and the Forest Service, from those agencies running rough shod over private landowners and ignoring long settled law of allotments, in furtherance of the government's aggressive policy to shove radical environmentalism down the throats of those same landowners. As a result, a war is brewing in the West. (See: "The Makings of An American West Rebellion.")

The American economy became wealthy and strong, financially and militarily because the American people were free to create, innovate and work their little behinds off in search of a better mouse trap and the rewards that came from bringing the better mouse trap to an anxious buying public. The major ingredient that funded that creativity and innovation came from available capital. As long as Americans were creating and innovating and as long as there was capital to fund that creativity and innovation, America and Americans prospered. But when government started "sucking" the capital out of the markets to fund entitlements, there was less and less capital to fund creativity and innovation. Then the government added more restrictive legislation and taxes to creativity and

innovation, which inhibited them even further. As a result, new business formation and job creation are at an all-time low.

But one of the more serious aspects of the entitlement mentality was the stripping away the individual's self-worth, personal pride, and incentive to be a productive, proud human being. Now they are just "birds" in a nest, with their "beaks" wide open, crowing to the government for their dinner, on the backs of the taxpayer. If these "birds" couldn't vote, America wouldn't be going broke today. The Progressive policy of irrational compassion has severely weakened the human fabric of America.

Unfortunately ladies and gentlemen, there is no Reagan on our horizon and it remains to be seen whether the current gaggle of Republican presidential candidates can do what Reagan did, that is if a Republican president can even be elected. And even if a Republican is elected president and the Congress remains in Republican control, if they try to rein in entitlements to save America from going bankrupt, they will be thrown out of office at the next election.

The only things that will change the course we are on is an economic collapse, or a revolution, or the Democrat Party finally seeing the light for the good of the country, or all the welfare Queens and Kings die off all at once, along with their offspring .... bringing the obliteration of their votes.

Since none of that will happen, the only other event that will change it is when "a critical mass of dedicated American citizens finally decide to resist government tyranny by acts of civil disobedience on a grand scale, punctuated by the threat of force if necessary." The recent event at the Malheur Nature Preserve in Southeastern Oregon is a very small example of that civil disobedience. We discussed this civil disobedience in a recent article entitled, "There is Only One Solution, Force Government's Hand!" The Bundy militia is doing

just that, in the true spirit of American civil disobedience.

But the peaceful protest style of civil disobedience seldom works. Several times a million men and women have descended on Washington DC to seek redress of grievances, but nothing has changed. Protests, even million-man marches, do not have the power to undo the corrupt system that has grown unchecked, in federal, state and local governments.

Because there have been so many years of government dependency, starting with the days of FDR during the Great Depression, a depression precipitated by the Federal Reserve, the votes to preserve, maintain and defend government dependency far exceeds the votes to preserve, maintain and defend the Constitution of the United States, as envisioned by the Founding Fathers. For this reason "The collapse of America is a self-fulfilling prophecy." In simple terms, you can't stop an avalanche.

For those not familiar with the phrase .... "a self-fulfilling prophecy is a prediction that directly or indirectly causes itself to become true, by the very terms of the prophecy itself, due to positive feedback between belief and behavior."

Therefore, we predict that by the year 2038, 250 years after the ink started drying on the ratified U. S. Constitution in 1788, the economic collapse of America will be complete. At that point America will either be merged into the one-world-order, or become a third-world banana republic, or a dictatorship, or an absolute democrat monarchy .... unless a critical mass of dedicated American citizens finally decide to resist government tyranny by acts of civil disobedience on a grand scale, punctuated by the threat of force if necessary. Bullies will always be in charge unless confronted by a greater force. A critical mass of dedicated Americans is that greater force.

[NOTE: The forgoing article is the opinion of the author and

is not necessarily the opinion of NewsWithViews.com, it's employees, representatives, or other contributing writers.]

2016 Ron Ewart – All Rights Reserved

---

## **GOP on suicide watch**

Almost a year ago now, in early 2015, before anyone was officially in the GOP race for the White House, there was an organic frontrunner chosen by a half-million Drudge readers, the most conservative database in America today. That natural frontrunner was Wisconsin Governor Scott Walker, who enjoyed a 47% position in the national Drudge poll of almost 500,000 of the most conservative voters in the country, with his closest competitor Sen. Ted Cruz, running a distant second at 11%...

What a difference a year can make...

Today, Walker is no longer in the race at all, Sen. Ted Cruz remains stuck in the lower double digits or single digits among conservative voters and the new frontrunner is the anti-politician business mogul Donald Trump at 36%, as we head into the Iowa caucuses with “natural born Citizen” on the front burner of the 2016 GOP nomination.

Meanwhile, the GOP leadership and their talking heads in the RNC establishment media, Levin, Beck, Hannity and Limbaugh, are taking aim at Trump in an effort to derail his campaign by any means necessary.

Of all people, it is long time Democrat Party pollster and strategist Pat Caddell who calls it right by labeling the Trump campaign an anti-career politician “insurgency” against everyone who hails from inside the Washington DC beltway, in a

recent interview with Breitbart. Where are all the brilliant GOP strategists who still have not figured this out yet?

Head Cruzbot cheerleader Glenn Beck recently went so far as to call on all Cruz supporters to “vote for Bernie Sanders” if Ted does not win the nomination, which he is not even eligible to seek. For the first time in decades, we even have Ivy League Law professors, a leftist group by profession these days, admitting that “natural born Citizen” is a “natural law term” wherein True Citizenship (aka natural born Citizen) passes from natural birth Father to their children, inalienable by any man-made law.

If the GOP could do any more to commit political suicide in the 2016 election cycle, I’m not sure what it would be... They whacked their first organic frontrunner, Walker and have spent the past several months trying to eliminate their second frontrunner, Trump... Why?

It’s all about eliminating the natural born Citizen requirement of the Oval Office... It is that simple!

The bi-partisan effort to eliminate the natural born Citizen (NBC) requirement for the Oval Office via constitutional means, failed eight times between 2003 and 2005. Despite eight separate efforts by members of both political parties to erase the NBC clause from Article II, the requirement for the offices of President and Vice President remain... Unless, they can amend the Constitution by electing another unconstitutional usurper, this time from the GOP, Cruz or Rubio.

Despite the country believing that precedence is law, the fact that Barack Obama is serving out a second unconstitutional term as a foreign usurper to the office, does nothing to amend the US Constitution. It only demonstrates that the people have no more regard for the foundations of freedom than their corrupt politicians today.

Earlier this month, the RNC had an opportunity to stand with their voters and donors in support of an Impeachment Resolution that seeks to uphold and enforce the Rule of Constitutional Law, at the RNC winter meeting in Charleston. The nine member resolutions committee voted 9-0 against the resolution, preventing the full RNC body from even having a say on the matter. Talk about obstructing justice...!

Oddly enough, despite all of the suicidal behavior from both congressional Republicans and RNC members, they still can't figure out why their base constituency is supporting an outsider like Trump... essentially thumbing their nose at RNC and GOP leadership which seems hell-bent upon committing party suicide in 2016.

The RNC is still raising campaign money, but only from big donor insiders, not from the common lifelong GOP voters. The conservative core of the GOP is looking to upset the RNC apple cart in 2016, running away from Senate insiders Rubio, Cruz and Graham, as well as former DC insiders like Gov. John Kasich of Ohio.

The message from GOP faithful could not be any clearer... They want new leadership willing to take the party and the country in a pro-American conservative pro-capitalist direction and they are sick and tired of the empty campaign promises of all who were already given a chance in congress, to stop Obama's march to socialism, and failed...

Caddell is right... Trump supporters represent an open insurgency against ALL Washington DC insiders on both sides of the aisle. GOP voters do not want any DC insiders, especially constitutionally ineligible candidates like Ted Cruz and Marco Rubio. Even Allen West was forced to point out the insanity behind the Cruz crush demonstrated by Glenn Beck and many others.

Now, the Ivy League "experts" continue to run cover for Obama

by tying NBC to “born on soil” (jus soli) alone, which eliminates Ted Cruz, but protects Barack Obama and Marco Rubio. However, one did get it almost right when he confirmed that natural born Citizen is a “natural law term” and that congress has no authority over “natural born,” but only “naturalized citizenship.”

As regular readers know, I am not in the business of endorsing candidates. But I am in the business of commenting on what is happening in the electorate today and what the truth is regarding every candidate, as well as the agenda of the political parties, even when my own party gets it horrifically wrong.

Indeed, all signs indicate that the national GOP leadership should be placed on suicide watch, because you couldn't kill off a once powerful party any better than it is being destroyed by current party leadership today.

If Hillary Clinton or God forbid, Bernie Sanders, the proud open Marxist who is currently leading in the DNC Iowa polls, becomes the next U.S. President, it will be only because the GOP cannibalized itself to death... For a change, Iowa may actually be an indicator of what is to come in the GOP nomination process this time... If even caucus goes run away from the DC insiders in search of new leadership, it will become impossible for anyone to stop Trump after that!

© 2016 JB Williams – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Let the hammer fall**

“There are three things in the world that deserve no mercy, hypocrisy, fraud, and tyranny.” –Frederick William Robertson



Last week, I highlighted the crimes of those who were sent to represent the American people. These are the people who were caught doing just the opposite of what they were elected to do. Instead of representing the people and upholding their oaths, they sought to serve themselves in many illegal ways.

From ethics violations to bribery, tax evasion, money laundering, extortion, mail fraud, voter bribing, perjury, gun trafficking, grand theft, battery, false imprisonment, larceny, sexual harassment, child pornography, racketeering, forgery, evidence tampering, and embezzling.... You name it, they did it, and were caught doing it.

These crimes are also found to be the fruit of many that are still in government today (Matthew 7:16). Even worse, everyone can see the transparency of the crimes of those who remain free to do so. Yet, for some reason or other, the American people are ok with being slapped in the face in their lawless process.

These people are engaged in lying, murder, gun running, illegal executive orders and even aiding and abetting the enemies of America, which amounts to nothing less than treason (Article 3, section 3, clause 1 of The United States Constitution).

You need to come to the realization that this government is threatening every aspect of your life, happiness, security and economic wealth.

Now, it is true that Jesus said to turn the other cheek (Matthew 5:39), but you only have two of them, the third time they are all on their own. And America, they are well beyond three. [Link to video]

Again, "There are three things in the world that deserve no mercy: hypocrisy, fraud, and tyranny."

Sadly, America is showing mercy to the guilty by leaving off

justice (Jeremiah 9:24). This is a slap in the face to those who sacrificed themselves in the magnification of the law against the crimes of tyrants (Isaiah 42:21, John 3:16, 1 John 3:4, 2 Corinthians 3:17) which in the end, established America's freedom and independence.

Therefore, mercy to a community is to bring judgment upon the heads of the wicked (Law breakers)! To do otherwise is to forsake your own mercy by observing lying vanities by acting as if they are not guilty (Jonah 2:4)

How did our founding fathers establish justice concerning those who would compromise the American cause?

There is a true story told of Major Andre. He had been caught as a spy in our country, and there was indisputable proof that he was guilty. What he had done was intended to the ruin of the American cause; and if such an act went unpunished, it would expose the army to surprise and destruction.

He should, by the law of nations, be put to death. On the other hand, there was his youth, his high attainments, his honorable connections, his brilliant hopes; all pleading that he might live, and that he might be pardoned.

In the heart of George Washington, the prompting of justice and mercy came into collision. Both could not be satisfied, and there seemed to be but one course of pursuit. His sense of justice was shown in the act by which he signed the death warrant. His feelings of compassion when he committed to justice were demonstrated as his eyes poured forth a flood of tears.

You see, Washington so loved his country that he knew if such an act went unpunished, it would only encourage the next person to do it as well, thus endangering the country. Justice had to be satisfied (James 2:13).

How every generous feeling of our nature would have been

gratified if mercy could have triumphed, and the youthful and accomplished officer could have been spared.

America, we are not to be overcome with evil, but we are to overcome evil with good (Romans 12:21). This is the fruit of what our founders established.

It is now time for the American people to set this in the correct order. A good example of what I'm talking about is this famous rise of the people to deal with the corruption in their town in Athens, Tennessee in 1946. It's also a demonstration of why the Second Amendment is so important.

That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.... –Declaration of Independence

In Scripture, it tells us that it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment" (Hebrews 9:27). It is time for the American people to arrange this meeting now!

"Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect everyone who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are ruined... –Son of Liberty Patrick Henry Speech to the Virginia Ratifying Convention, June 5, 1778

ONE THING THAT TYRANTS FORGET THAT GOD DOES NOT!

STUDY THE PAST/HISTORY TENDS TO REPEAT ITSELF!

© 2016 Bradlee Dean – All Rights Reserved

---

# Establishment piranhas in a feeding frenzy over Trump

Beck, Barton and Cruz


Ever wonder what happened to Glenn Beck? Remember, he was the guy on CNN and then on Fox News who exposed so much about what Obama was [x](#) really up to. Now it seems as though he's totally lost his footing, and his friendships with David Barton and Ted Cruz are costing him his audience. Many former Beck listeners have dropped him saying he's gone off the rails, that he needs a long vacation in a padded room.

On his show, Beck proclaimed that if Donald Trump becomes president he would be a "monster much, much worse than anything that Barack Obama could have dreamt. Trump is the same narcissistic character; he is the same 'hope and change,' make America great nonsense; he is the same 'I'll run it better,'" that President Barack Obama was eight years ago," he said. [\[Link\]](#) Really Beck? You really believe that?

On January 23, 2016, [Breitbart reported](#) that Glenn Beck administered a mock presidential "oath of office" to Senator Ted Cruz as he endorsed him on [x](#) stage—and Cruz got the oath wrong when he took it. Beck and Barton are in Iowa campaigning for Cruz. The desperation of these people is becoming absolutely comedic. Beck even told the crowd he prefers democratic socialist, Bernie Sanders, over Trump. [\[Link\]](#)

My husband commented that Beck's alcoholic years must have killed off a few too many brain cells. Again, while in Waterloo, Iowa, campaigning for Cruz, Beck made a bizarre statement. '[We Are the Lifeboat of The World': Beck Pleads Cruz Rally To Accept Even 'Marxist Atheists' Because 'They Renew Us'](#)', reported in Breitbart's latest article. Really Glenn? Then we should be extremely renewed after seven years

of Obama.

According to former radio personality, Jim Sumpter, when Beck worked at  Clear Channel, he was called to Kennebunkport by George H.W. Bush, for a private meeting. One has to wonder just what Beck was told at that meeting. One also wonders if that visit contributed to Beck's hatred for Mr. Trump. Whatever the case, it truly appears that Beck has lost credibility with the majority of his audience.

Beck's show has been failing the last two years, but now the decline is worse. In a Breitbart article, "[Top Glenn Beck Execs Leave to Start New Venture](#)," it states:

*Last year, (2014) Beck tried and failed to strike a deal with CNN. During that time, he attempted to moderate his image for television executives. He apologized for his inflammatory comments about Obama. As [Breitbart News noted](#), Beck started positioning himself toward the political center, bashing Americans who were against illegal immigration during last summer's border crisis. Trying to distance himself from politics, he also proclaimed on CNN that he would rather be [Walt Disney than Edward R. Murrow or Rush Limbaugh](#).*

In my recent article, [Rafael Cruz Declares Son Ted Cruz the 'Anointed' One](#), I discussed Rafael and Ted's Dominionist/New Apostolic Reform (NAR) convictions. Dominionist Mike Bickel, head of the NAR, and the International House of Prayer, just [endorsed Ted Cruz, and Cruz announced it himself](#).

In another article, [Ted Cruz, David Barton, and Shariah Law](#), I explained Mr. Barton's Dominionist/NAR beliefs and his very close friendship with Ted Cruz.

Here's where the rubber meets the road. Barton and Beck are extremely close friends, both living in Texas. Barton, of course is for Cruz, and now so is Beck. Unfortunately, Beck's attacks on Mr. Trump have just succeeded in alienating his

listening audience, because he's become so vitriolic with his statements.

In fact, last week Beck went on O'Reilly's program and stated as fact that Donald Trump had voted for Obama. He had found it on some crazy website. Needless to say, this week he had to go on O'Reilly's program again and apologize. His desire to destroy Mr. Trump is eating this man alive.

Cruz, Barton, and Beck are all for a Constitutional Convention, and by now most folks understand there is a very dark purpose in wanting a Con-con. The promoters state that changing the Constitution will make politicians obey it, but in reality changing it will only succeed in destroying the freedoms granted by our founders. Instead, force them to obey what we have, or vote them out! Cruz supporter, Mark Levin wants a Con-con, and the son of his fiancé is a full time staffer for Senator Cruz.

### [Megyn Kelly](#), **National Review**, and **Weekly Standard**


The hatred for Trump continues from the G0Pe at Fox News.

Megyn Kelly's January 21st, 2016, Fox News Show featured the National Review's "[Conservatives Against Trump](#)" article. Kelly had all the political piranhas in a feeding frenzy trying to destroy Mr. Trump.

Remember that the National Review was founded by William F. Buckley, who was a member of the CFR, a CIA agent, and a member of Skull and Bones. It was Buckley and his buddy, Irving Kristol, father of Bill, who destroyed old right Constitutional Conservatism and brought in the mediocre moderates who have much the same agenda as the leftists. [William F. Buckley, Pied Piper for the Establishment](#), by John McManus, documents everything I've stated, as well as referencing the sources. Today, [Rich Lowry](#) is the editor of National Review. He was appointed by Buckley in 1992.

The [Weekly Standard](#) is edited by founder, Bilderberger William Kristol, and sidekick, Fred Barnes. It has never been profitable, but has stayed in circulation by its benefactor, CFR member, Rupert Murdoch, owner of Fox News, and WSJ.

At the end of this article I'll list those who have signed on to National Review's, "Against Trump." Many of them are members of the secretive Council for National Policy, the right arm of the Council on Foreign Relations. The former is loaded with members who are Dominionists/Reconstructions.

Megyn Kelly first showed Sarah Palin endorsing Mr. Trump. By the way, Willie Robertson of Duck Dynasty has also endorsed Donald Trump. As well, Michelle Wiles – one of the Palmetto State's most influential conservative activists – is leaving the South Carolina leadership team of Ted Cruz and joining the  campaign of Donald Trump. [[Link](#)]

Megyn then introduced the editor of National Review, Rich Lowry, who is behind the push to destroy Donald Trump's candidacy. Lowry says that Trump doesn't understand the ideas and principles that make this country great, that true conservatives believe in limited government, the Constitution and liberty.

When I heard him say this, I thought to myself, "Excuse me Rich, but Donald Trump epitomizes exactly those principles. He is not GOP establishment like those going against him or even running against him!" Well, hate to tell you Lowry, but Trump is the King of Testosterone, and you're just another bug who hit the windshield. [[Link](#)]

Kelly then introduced three of the signers of Lowry's "Hate Trump" campaign. First was Dana Loesch, who is TV host of Glenn Beck's Blaze (no doubt there, she's for Cruz), then L. Brent Bozell III, chairman of the group, For America, and finally, Katy Pavlich who writes for Townhall.com and is a Fox News contributor.

If you missed this bit of anti-Trump drama, here it is for you to watch in full, if you can avoid destroying your television. It's obvious that Megyn Kelly hates Trump with a passion and that she's is not Fair or Balanced.

What I'd like to ask of all these people and the rest of the phony rightwing politicians...Where were you when we were fighting to keep Obama out of our White House? Had you put this much effort into keeping that Marxist Muslim away from DC, we would not be in the dire straits we're in now. Instead, these establishment rightwing neo-cons are trying to destroy the best hope we've had in 53 years of having a true conservative leader who loves America.

*I'll tell you where they were! In an absolutely must read article on Conservative Tree House entitled, [Reminder – Donald Trump's 2016 NRO Critics Were President Obama's 2009 Fan-Boys...](#), it states:*

*In June millions of Fox News viewers saw FOX's entire line-up of professional pundits proclaim borders shouldn't matter; border walls won't work; illegal alien amnesty was the only viable solution to decades of unenforced immigration law; and this was only in week #1 of Donald Trump's campaign.*



*Since June '15, on almost every issue those same pundits have now openly aligned themselves with GOPe agenda items like global trade, tax policy, big government spending, and advocacy to including advancement of Speaker Paul 'Omnibus' Ryan.*

*However, a sharp reader, [DrudgeAddict](#), smartly points out these same voices were the exact group who gathered together in an exclusive meeting with President Obama in 2009 to [sing his praises after the '08 election](#). Including :*



- *George Will*
- *Michael Barone*
- *David Brooks*
- *Charles Krauthammer*
- *Bill Kristol*
- *Lawrence Kudlow*
- *Rich Lowry*
- *Peggy Noonan*

The post-meeting/dinner report in [The New Yorker Magazine](#) holds the quotes told by the glowing participants of their time with President Obama. Check it out!

And what about Hillary? Why are they attacking one of our own instead of going after the corrupt and scandalous political machinations of Hillary Clinton, from Whitewater to Benghazi, from the Clinton Foundation to Clinton emails? Where are they in destroying the socialist enemies? Instead, National Review and these establishment Republicans are trying to destroy the best hope we've had in over five decades to turn back the New World Order tide in America. It's because the reality is, they are socialists at best, and probably worse than that. Trump has thrown a wrench in the entire New World Order plans, and they hate him for it. Davos is scared to death of him, and hopes he loses. [[Link](#)]

They call Mr. Trump a narcissist, when the man in our White House today is the real narcissist. Mr. Trump is confident, something we want in a president! Marcus Tullius Cicero said, *"If you have no confidence in self, you are twice defeated in the race of life. With confidence, you have won even before you have started."*

Want to see what a real Trump supporter thought of Megyn Kelly's program, and National Review? Watch this video!

**Here is the list of the Establishment Neo-Cons who have signed**

## on to National Review's "Against Trump" band wagon:

- ✘ • [Glenn Beck](#) of CNN, Fox News, the Blaze, owned by Glenn Beck & his production co., Mercury Radio Arts, fka GBTV, TheBlaze TV
- [Libertarian David Boaz](#) who also writes for National Review
- [L. Brent Bozell III](#), owns Media Research Center, his wife is the sister of William F. Buckley, and he too writes for National Review. He claims he is not a Republican.
- [Mona Charen](#) former regular commentator on CNN and author for National Review.
- [Ben Domenech](#) who blogs for editor Erick Erickson's Red State, also writes for National Review.
- [Erick Erickson](#), Red State, was also a commentator on CNN, and left to become a commentator on Fox News.
- [Steven Hayward](#), writes for Weekly Standard, National Review, NY Times, Los Angeles Daily News, Cleveland Plain Dealer, etc.
- [Mark Helprin](#), Council on Foreign Relations member, writes for [The New York Times](#), the [Washington Post](#), the [Los Angeles Times](#), the [Atlantic Monthly](#), the [New Criterion](#), the [National Review](#), and [American Heritage](#).
- Bilderberger [Bill Kristol](#), son of Trotskyite Irving Kristol.
- [Yuval Levin](#), The [New Republic](#) has dubbed Levin "the right's new Irving Kristol, God help us, just what we need, another Trotskyite (slow Marxist). Levin writes for National Review and Weekly Standard.
- Blaze employee [Dana Loesch](#), Blaze is owned by Glenn Beck.
- [Andrew McCarthy](#), another columnist for National Review.
- [Club for Growth's David McIntosh](#) who backs Cruz because both are for open borders, which Ted needs as president to pass the North American Union, which his wife helped to write at the Council on Foreign Relations.
- [Michael Medved](#), radio host, commentator.
- [Edwin Meese III](#), member of secretive Council for National Policy and sits on the board of controlled opposition,

Heritage Foundation.

- [Russell Moore](#) of the Manhattan Declaration led by CFR member and UNESCO promoter Robert George, communitarian.
- [Michael Mukasey](#) who has advised the Jeb Bush campaign, was US Attorney General for George W. Bush 2007-2009.
- [Katie Pavlich](#), National Review Washington fellow, writes for Townhall, Fox News contributor.
- [John Podhoretz](#), contributes to National Review and Weekly Standard
- R.R. Reno, editor of First Things
- [Thomas Sowell](#) who, in his 20s was a Marxist. Sadly, Tom is like the rest of them.
- and USA Today columnist and Fox News contributor, [Cal Thomas](#).

Note, most of the signees are contributors to National Review or Fox News.

### **Who is Climbing in Bed With Whom?**

Brilliant researcher, writer, and expert on heretical doctrines, Sarah Leslie, tells it plainly:


*It is disturbing that the Neo-Cons are getting in bed with the Charismatic Dominionists. [[Link](#)] For decades they've been in bed with the Dobson-ites of the world, funding and controlling much of the mainstream Religious Right. But this is a new development. The Neo-Cons and their cohorts don't like Trump, and are supporting Cruz. (Not to mention the endorsement for Cruz by Mike Bickle and Glenn Beck – both who support a “Seven mountain mandate” for Dominionism.)*

*The Neo-Cons really didn't control the Charismatic Dominionists – until now. The Neo-Cons had to make inroads into the Charismatics, some of which were impassable due to the insular nature of the elites in the New Apostolic Reformation. And part of the problem, frankly, is that the Neo-Cons are hardened rational atheist type MEN. They really*

*didn't appeal to the touchy-feely experientialism of the Charismatics.*



*But the mainstreaming that we've been warning about on the [Herescope blog](#) is finally happening. The Neo Cons are a nasty group with a bad agenda, and they tend to alienate everyone by their excessive controlling agendas. They share a common mandate with Dominionists to rule the world.*

*Many on the Religious Right do not like the Neo-Cons – there is a long history of dislike. So Trump seems to be currently appealing to a group of people who are not beholden to the Neo-Cons, may have had no  previous experience with them, or who may be the ones who have a long history of warning about their agenda.*

*The fact that the Neo-Cons are now going to bed with Bickle, Beck, and Cruz is a scary development. Neither group tolerates anything but 100% compliance with their message and mandate.*

## **Conclusion**

Remember, a false friend is far more dangerous than an open enemy. This is what we have today and frankly, it gives me the willies.

Vote Trump, and pray for him and our country.

---

# **GOP controlled congress gave Obama legislative action power on guns**

Loretta Lynch's Mind-Boggling Bend of Truth on Obama's Unilateral Gun Control

Boy, what a neat trick this is.

President Obama tells the American public he's going to bypass Congress on gun control and instead, issue some unilateral commands. One of his leading lying ladies, aide Valerie Jarrett, follows that, to paraphrase, by spinning, 'Oh, don't be silly, Obama's not really bypassing Congress – he's just issuing executive orders.' And now we've got an entirely disingenuous U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch taking to Capitol Hill to say: Obama's taking executive action – true. But it's really not really, truly executive action. Why not? Because Congress already gave him authority, via the Gun Control Act, to take these executive actions – and as such, they're not really, truly executive actions.

Well, shut the front door. Suddenly, Obama's much-hated executive actions on gun control have become legislative actions.

And the added political genius for this far-left White House? They're not just legislative actions. They're Republican legislative actions – since Congress, after all, is controlled by the GOP.

As the Grateful Dead might say, when it comes to Obama's unconstitutional seizure of powers and his team's subsequent rationalization of said seized powers: What a long, strange trip it's been.

Only scratch the "long." Obama's spin only took a few weeks.

Look at what Lynch just told members of a Senate Appropriations subcommittee, with a straight face: "The Gun Control Act lists the people who are not allowed to have

firearms, such as felons, domestic abusers and others. Congress has also required that background checks be conducted as part of sales made by federally licensed firearms dealers to make sure guns stay out of the wrong hands. ... The actions announced by the president, which focus on background checks and keeping guns out of the wrong hands, are fully consistent with the laws passed by Congress.”

By that logic, the president doesn't need a Congress at all. Think about it. What Lynch is saying is that if a law exists on a particular topic, then the president of the United States is free to run with that law in whatever direction his (or one day perhaps, her) personal agenda leads. The only standard to abide would be to show the executive action is “consistent” with the previously passed law.

Nobody knows for sure, but one count put the number of federal laws and regulations that could be criminally enforced somewhere in the vicinity of 300,000. Other estimates don't even try to count, suggesting to do so would be akin to numbering the sands of the sea. But if Lynch's view were to hold true – and if the president were constitutionally justified in taking any old previously passed law and adding to it as seeing fit – then the door seems wide open to dismiss all the members of Congress and send them home. Who needs them?

Not the president, who could then command and direct and order and dictate at will, so long as White House lawyers are able to make the case these commandments and directives are “consistent” with existing laws.

What an absurd argument. An executive order is an executive order is an executive order.

What a skewed argument. That it came from the mouth of our nation's highest law enforcement official, the one who's supposed to prop up the legal foundations of our federal government and stand firm on the side of justice and truth, is just evidence of the absolute wickedness of this current White

House and of Obama's chosen few.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

# James B. Comey and the rule of law

FBI Director James B. Comey is the one to whom over 100 FBI agents will ultimately answer concerning the investigation into Hillary Clinton's dispatch of over 1,300 emails containing classified information to and through her personal servers. He will decide whether to recommend that a bill of indictment be presented to a federal grand jury for the prosecution of Mrs. Clinton.

Director Comey is a man of integrity, vouched for by those attorneys who have worked closest with him. He is highly intelligent, beyond reproach, and believes fundamentally in the rule of law. He is neither intimidated by power nor beholden to anyone else in the exercise of his legal judgment. His entire public life has been dedicated to ensuring that those who violate the law answer for their crimes. Hillary Clinton will soon learn, if she has not already learned, that Director Comey is not one who can be brow beaten or bribed into bending the rule of law to favor a political outcome. He will see to it that justice is done.

A Republican who voted for Presidents Bush and candidate Romney, FBI Director Comey is a peculiar pick for Barack Obama. Indeed, he stands out among Obama's appointed officials based on his unwavering commitment to the rule of law and his decidedly non-partisan pursuit of justice.

Combined with his integrity, Comey's considerable experience in the prosecution of high profile cases and in the management of the entire Department of Justice makes him the ideal candidate for dispassionately determining the extent to which Hillary Clinton has violated the nation's laws. During the Bush Administration (George W.), he served as Deputy Attorney General. In that capacity, he supervised the functioning of the entire department. As Deputy Chief of the Criminal Division in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, he was on the team of government attorneys who prosecuted the Gambinos. As Managing Assistant U.S. Attorney in the Richmond Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia, he led the prosecution of those responsible for the 1996 Khobar Towers bombing. He also led the prosecution of Martha Stewart on charges of securities fraud, obstruction of justice, and false statements.

Most telling of all, Comey is famous for his refusal to certify the legality of the NSA's domestic surveillance program during the Bush Administration. Pressed to do so by the White House, he refused. Then, when the White House dispatched Chief of Staff Andrew Card and then White House Counsel (later Attorney General) Alberto Gonzalez to Attorney General John Ashcroft's bedside in order to pressure Ashcroft into certifying the program, Comey allegedly met shortly thereafter with Ashcroft to argue against certification. Ashcroft ultimately sided with Comey, who was joined in his position by then FBI Director Robert S. Mueller. Standing on principle against political pressure, Comey and Mueller were willing to resign rather than be cowed into taking an action they believed contrary to the law. Ultimately President Bush agreed to change the nature of the surveillance program to accommodate much of the internal opposition to it.

Based on this history, and given what we already know of Hillary Clinton's negligent dispatch and receipt of classified



information outside of secure official channels, it will come as no surprise if Comey, true to form, recommends that Attorney General Loretta Lynch permit the prosecution of Hillary Clinton. What we do not know is whether the Attorney General will follow Comey's lead or will bend to the pressure of Clinton's backers. In addition to the issue of personal integrity, because bending to the pressure would damage the Attorney General's reputation in the legal community and would affect her legacy in the history books, it would appear more likely than not that she will let the matter go before a Grand Jury. And then Hillary will no doubt be indicted.

© 2016 Jonathan W. Emord – All Rights Reserved

---

## **A few choice words for Mark Levin and national review's Elmer Gantry's part 1 of 2**

The unprecedented dirty attacks against Donald Trump continue by individuals who proclaim they represent the only definition of conservative. Mark Levin, referred to as 'The Great One' by Sean Hannity has referred to Trump supporters as nut jobs and kooks. 'The Great One' who has become very wealthy peddling dangerous propaganda in his book promoting a constitutional convention has been quite angry over Americans demanding adherence to the U.S. Constitution regarding presidential eligibility. So much so Levin let the world know what he thinks of me and anyone else questioning HIS candidate's eligibility: "ARE THE A-HOLES WHO PUSHED THIS CRAP FOR DAYS HAPPY NOW?" Yes, all in caps which in cyber etiquette translates to yelling at the recipient.

Levin is a lawyer and referred to as a real constitutional scholar. Really? Mark Levin can read but apparently refuses to acknowledge Ted Cruz is ineligible:

“Levin then addressed arguments that someone has to be born to two US citizens in order to be considered a natural born citizen by stating that such a rule appears nowhere in the Constitution. He added, “So, rather than being activists, and liberals, and re-writing the Constitution, and trying to change it to accommodate a candidate, that’s not what constitutional conservatives do. That’s not who we are.” From the same piece: “He further stated that attacking Cruz’s eligibility is something liberals have done, and that “using left-wing arguments against a conservative is unacceptable.”

What horse manure. Investigating ANY presidential candidate’s eligibility is hardly “using left-wing arguments against a conservative...”. Millions of Americans, including yours truly, not only questioned the constitutional eligibility of the Manchurian Candidate squatting in the Red House, Barry Soetoro aka Obama, but we’ve gone after Cruz, Rubio and prior to his dropping out, Bobby Jindal because it is the right thing to do and political parties be damned.

Levin gushes that because Cruz released his mother’s birth certificate – just like blow hard Bill O’Reilly repeatedly saying a birth announcement in a newspaper makes Barry Soetoro aka Obama eligible – so too is Cruz. Really? Then why, Mr. Levin, is Ted Cruz refusing to release documentation showing he’s a U.S. citizen, never mind a natural born citizen? I do believe Ted Cruz must prove he’s even a U.S. citizen at this point before the discussion goes any further, don’t you? [Emphasis below is mine]

The statutes governing this naturalization process state:

“A child born abroad to a U.S. citizen parent or parents may acquire U.S. citizenship at birth if certain statutory

requirements are met. The child's parents should contact the nearest U.S. embassy or consulate to apply for a Consular Report of Birth Abroad of a Citizen of the United States of America (CRBA) to document that the child is a U.S. citizen. If the U.S. embassy or consulate determines that the child acquired U.S. citizenship at birth, a consular officer will approve the CRBA application and the Department of State will issue a CRBA, also called a Form FS-240, in the child's name....

“Unfortunately, there is no evidence to suggest that the parent or parents of Ted Cruz ever filed a CRBA form with the U.S. Government in or around 1970, which is why Ted Cruz released a copy of his Canadian citizenship records and not any U.S. citizenship records. At present, all FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) requests filed in search of any U.S. citizenship documents to confirm the true official U.S. citizenship status of Ted Cruz have been denied access. All citizenship records for Ted Cruz are sealed unless and until Ted Cruz agrees to allow any such records to be released by either U.S. or Canadian agencies. As a result, there remains no authentic evidence to support the claims that Ted Cruz is either a “natural born” or “naturalized” citizen of the United States.”

Last week a new batch of drama queens took center stage in the war against Donald Trump. That would be the Elmer Gantry's at National Review and various well known personalities who all proclaim to be the quintessential definition of conservative. For those who have not had the pleasure of watching the movie, Elmer Gantry, based on a novel by Sinclair Lewis, watch it on line (small fee) or rent it from one of the many outlets. Elmer Gantry was a preacher. A con man like you've never seen. Burt Lancaster won his only Oscar for his performance and was one of the 'greats' of the big screen unlike the past couple of decades of 'actors' who can't hold a candle to actors like Glen Ford, Robert Mitchum, Steve McQueen, John Wayne Jimmy

Stewart and so many others.

## Selective Outrage: National Review Trashes Trump, Rallies Behind Ryan

“A little over three months ago, National Review endorsed Rep. Paul Ryan (R-WI) for Speaker of the House. In doing so, National Review helped place a man with a two-decade history of pushing open borders immigration policies in charge of the Republican Party’s entire legislative agenda. Ten weeks after that endorsement helped Paul Ryan secure the Speakership, Ryan proceeded to swiftly pass an omnibus spending bill that funded and expanded President Obama’s immigration agenda.

“Ryan’s bill provided funding for sanctuary cities, illegal alien resettlement, illegal alien tax credits, and visa issuances to nearly 300,000 (temporary and permanent) Muslim migrants over the next 12 months alone. The bill also funded an expansion of the highly controversial H-2B foreign worker program, which Sen. Tom Cotton (R-AR) said violated Ryan’s “promise not to bring major immigration legislation to the floor this year.”

“The H-2B foreign worker program imports low-wage laborers into the country to take American jobs in maintenance, theme parks, construction, food processing, restaurants, and hospitality— meaning that Ryan’s Speakership and immigration policies have already resulted in the GOP’s own constituency, along with workers nationwide, losing their livelihoods and ability to support their families.”

Rich Lowry is a little weasel who has been selling the ever popular ‘smaller government, less taxes’ con game for decades. Just like Mark Levin. Both of them lament Donald Trump is not their brand of conservative. The 22 individuals who joined National Review with a cacophony of opinions – most who have never held any kind of public office – rip into Donald Trump. Here’s a few of them: Glenn Beck ( who idolizes the original

white supremacist, Abe Lincoln) , David Boaz, Brent Bozell, Mona Charen, Ben Domenech, Erik Erickson, Steven F. Haywood, Mark Helprin, Bill Kristol (father was a Trotskyite), Yuval Levin, Michael Medved, Thomas Sowell, Cal Thomas; was sorry to see Andrew McCarthy on the list.

Let's look at one thing Glenn Beck said in that National Review piece: "If Donald Trump wins the Republican nomination, there will once again be no opposition to an ever-expanding government." Here's a news flash for Glenn Beck: Ever expanding government comes from those crooks, liars, cheats and thieves called the U.S. Congress – both parties. A sitting president does not have to sign any budget that contains unconstitutional spending.

Oops: Glenn Beck Calls Trump Spox a 'Leftist Radical' After Once Calling Her a 'Fearless Principled Conservative':

"Talk radio host Glenn Beck wrote a blistering denunciation of GOP frontrunner Donald Trump's campaign spokesperson Katrina Pierson, calling her "a hard core leftist." However, two years ago Beck called Pierson a "fearless principled conservative" when she was running for Congress and enjoyed the support of Texas senator Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX), whose presidential campaign Beck endorsed today in Iowa." Here's another hysterical one from Glenn: Beck: Trump's Use of Nationalism, Populism 'Dangerous Combination,' 'Makings of Adolf Hitler'

Let me give Mark Levin, Rich Lowry and the rest of those alleged conservatives a history lesson starting with Ronald Reagan.

Reagan pledged during his campaign for president to get rid of the unconstitutional Federal Department of Education; see here along with the unconstitutional SBA (Small Business Administration). He did neither. What he did do with the help of his old party in Congress, the Democratic/Communist Party USA, was run up the national debt. That was Reagan's

definition of 'smaller government'. Not to mention signing the phony 1986 immigration 'reform' bill which OPENED the flood gates for the 30+ year human invasion killing our country today. Under Ronnie's 'fiscally conservative' reign the national debt went from \$997,855,000,000 to \$2,602,437,712,041.

On September 9, 1996, while in Georgia, Bob Dole said it was the goal of the GOP to abolish the Federal Department of Education. Sounded good, but of course it never happened. Was good for votes, though.

When Bush, Jr., took office the country gave the U.S. House of Representatives who control OUR purse, a GOP majority. Since then we the people have given Republicans in both the House and Senate the majority. In all those years did those 'conservatives' and tea party blow hards stop the national debt from shooting to Mars? No. The first test for all those newly minted tea party congress critters was a vote to stop raising the debt ceiling . What did they do? They caved and voted to keep spending into oblivion, heaping trillions more debt on our children and grand children.

In all those years with a Republican in the White House and majority in both chambers did this happen?

1. Overturn all unconstitutional Executive Orders put on the books by Bill Clinton or Barry Soetoro aka Obama? No. Have they repealed thousands of job killing, unconstitutional regulations from unconstitutional cabinets and agencies? No. The GOP for decades has promoted and executed Fascism in this country. Fascism is regulating all industry and commerce in a country and that's exactly what Republicans in power have allowed to go on. Book mark and read this important piece: Corporativism in Money and banking has led America to Fascism

What Levin, Lowry and all the rest have conserved is supporting politicians who have allowed unconstitutional

agencies to pass thousands of job killing regulations and refusing to adhere to the U.S. Constitution.

2. Smaller government means abolishing unconstitutional cabinets (EPA – see here) and agencies like USAID under the State Department. The U.S. Aid for International Development has ZERO authority to steal from you and me to throw around the world because Congress never had the authority to create such an agency. Hundreds of billions of dollars in more debt slapped on our backs; our children have no future except as serfs to the debt machine.

What Levin, Lowry and all the rest have conserved is supporting politicians who have done NOTHING over the decades to stop the growth of government by actually making the federal monster smaller.

3. Get the US out of unconstitutional agreements like NAFTA (No American Factories Taking Applications). NO. Instead, scum like former Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich pushed it through with the help of Rush Limbaugh and former Speaker of the House, Johnny Wino Boehner, who got in bed with Nancy Pelosi to keep it intact. Boehner and Pelosi did the same with job killers CAFTA and GATT. The last two terms a brave Republican introduced a bill to get us out of NAFTA. Both times it died because the GOP machine is owned by mega corporations who want Americans to lose their jobs in favor of bringing in cheap labor from other countries.

What Levin, Lowry and all the rest have conserved is supporting politicians who have pushed through 'free' trade treaties that since 1995 when NAFTA was signed into law have killed more than 8 MILLION good paying full time jobs. They have conserved massive unemployment and over the past seven years spent their time blaming Obama. Yes, he has helped kill jobs but it would not be possible without the consent of the Outlaw Congress.

The Republican Party is OWNED by the anti-American workers operation called the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and mega corporations. THAT is what Levin, Lowry and their brand of conservative is conserving. Voters should remember Ted Cruz's support to betray even more Americans. Cruz is a big supporter of "free" trade. That is a fact and for that reason alone he should never become president of this country. For part two click below.

Important:

- 1 – NEW EVIDENCE: Intent of 1790 Naturalization Act
- 2 – The House That Evil Built
- 3 – FNC's Jesse Watters: National Review 'Putting Pure Conservatism Over the Country'
- 4 – This is a list of how all presidential candidates stand on the final nail in our coffin – the TPP
- 5 – Cruz and Rubio: Neither is eligible by Larry Klayman
- 6 – The Three Legged Stool Test & Analogy for Natural born Citizenship of the United States to Constitutional Standards

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone



should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

## **A few choice words for Mark Levin and national review's Elmer Gantry's part 2 of 2**

4. Abolish the head of the beast, the unconstitutional 'Federal' Reserve. No. In 2007, former Congressman Ron Paul introduced a bill to abolish that magical money machine bleeding us dry. There wasn't a single member of Congress who became a co-sponsor. Two weeks ago a bill to audit the 'Fed' was killed in committee because neither the Republicans or the Democratic/Communist Party USA want YOU to know just how those thieves – with the blessing of all those 'conservatives' in Congress – have and are destroying this country.

That is what Levin, Lowry and those of their ilk are conserving.

5. Getting the U.S. out of the United Nothing aka United Nations which has never kept peace in any nation on earth. The UN is to be the enforcement arm for world government. Hundreds of billions of dollars stolen from us to feed a corrupt private institution. Please read the entire piece: Americans Committing National Suicide, Devvy Kidd, April 4, 2002: "You can expect to see a one world government, Communist controlled, under the United Nations. You will see the United Nations run up astronomical debts which we, under the terms of

the treaty, are bound to pay.”

Four critical bills in Congress died in 2014 under a Republican majority. Four bills that should have passed if we had constitutionalists in Congress instead of the flavor of ‘conservatives’ represented by Mark Levin, Sean Hannity, Rich Lowry, Mitch McConnell, Paul Ryan and the list goes on:

H.R. 156: To provide for the withdrawal of the United States from the North American Free Trade Agreement, H.R. 75: American Sovereignty Restoration Act of 2013 – To end membership of the United States in the United Nations, H.R.129 – Latest Title: Return to Prudent Banking Act of 2013. Restore Glass-Steagall and H.R.3894 – Senior Citizens Tax Elimination Act to do away with income taxes on social security. (Links to all in the link above)

I highly encourage you to read: The fearful Master; A Second Look at the United Nations by G. Edward Griffin. It was one of the first books I read when I began my journey 25 years ago to find truth instead of the fiction sold to we the people. While the book was published in 1964, nothing has changed except how much more corrupt the UN has become and the astronomical ‘dues’ we are forced to pay for our own destruction. The book is available on line for free.

The bill to stop federal income taxes on SS was introduced by a Republican for which credit should be given. It had a whopping 2 co-sponsors. The GOP controlled House doesn’t want seniors to keep their money, but rather keep DOUBLE TAXING them:

“Seniors have already paid tax on their Social Security contributions, so taxing Social Security is double-taxing by the federal government,” Massie said. DeSantis echoed Massie’s sentiments in their joint statement: “[The bill] blows the whistle on the federal government for double-taxing the Social Security benefits of senior citizens. Individuals already pay

taxes to support Social Security, so there is no reason why these earned benefits should be taxed on the back end.”

“The GOP representatives argue the purpose of Social Security is “to provide people with financial support during retirement, not to be another source of tax revenue for the federal government.” If the bill passes, Social Security benefits would neither be taxable nor reportable on individual tax returns, thus restoring the integrity of the program.”

THAT is what ‘conservatives’ like Rick Lowry, Sean Hannity and their crowd want to conserve. Let’s not leave out AARP who did not support stopping federal income taxes on your social security. I have never belonged to AARP and never will.

6. Republicans had eight years under a Republican president to lock down our borders. They did NOTHING except throw some money at the U.S. Border Patrol. Under Bush and a Republican controlled Congress they had the perfect opportunity to pass a bill that had already been introduced that would have without question self-deported millions and millions of illegal aliens:

A Bill: Stop All Public Welfare In Any Form For Illegal Aliens

“Our Federal Wallet Stretched To Limit By Illegal Aliens Getting Welfare’ “Even worse, Americans have seen heinous crimes committed by individuals who are here illegally.” – Senator Dirty Harry Reid, Democratic/Communist Party USA. He also said that the U.S. open door policy is being abused at the expense of honest, working citizens. August 5, 1993, Dirty Harry’s office issued the following:

“In response to increased terrorism and abuse of social programs by aliens, Sen. Harry Reid (D-Nev.) Today introduced the first and only comprehensive immigration reform bill in Congress. Currently, an alien living illegally in the United States often pays no taxes but receives unemployment, welfare, free medical care and other federal benefits. Recent terrorist

acts, including the World Trade Center bombing, have underscored the need to keep violent criminals out of the country....

“Our borders have overflowed with illegal immigrants placing tremendous burdens on our criminal justice system, schools and social programs. The Immigration and Naturalization Service needs the ability to step up enforcement. Our federal wallet is stretched to the limit by illegal aliens getting welfare, food stamps, medical care, and other benefits often without paying any taxes.”

“Safeguards like welfare and free medical care are in place to boost Americans in need of short-term assistance. These programs were not meant to entice freeloaders and scam artists from around the world. Even worse, Americans have seen heinous crimes committed by individuals who are here illegally.”

“His statements were to announce a bill he introduced back then titled the Immigration Stabilization Act [S.1351]. I’m betting it was not written by Dirty Harry, but by lawyers who know what they’re doing and the sure to come legal challenges. It covers the whole gambit of turning off the trillions spent over the past 28 years since Reagan sold us out.”

- Thousands of Americans Losing Their Jobs as Factories Shutter, Move to Mexico, January 21, 2016
- Tech companies use materials mined illegally by children in Africa

If Cruz, Rubio, Bush or any of the other candidates other than Trump get in the White House we will see more of the same regarding giving amnesty to illegals and flooding this country with more Muslims. Of course, the bill would have to get passed in the House and Senate and that will NOT happen if the same incumbents win their primaries and win in November. That’s what Lowry and his ilk of ‘conservatives’ have been conserving the past couple of decades by supporting incumbents

like Paul Ryan, Johnny Wino Boehner and all the rest.

7. Republicans had years under Bush, Jr. to kill Roe v. Wade. All those Lowry conservatives did nothing except moan, bitch and beg for campaign donations for false promises. The same applies to Obamacare. The House should have immediately introduced articles of impeachment to remove Chief Justice John Roberts from the bench for his decision on that unconstitutional nightmare. Instead, all those Lowry flavor conservatives did nothing but moan and bitch about Obama.

8. The Lowry, Hannity, et al flavor of conservatives took us into yet another unconstitutional, undeclared war in Iraq. We invaded Iraq and Afghanistan killing nearly a million innocent people all based on lies.

They all carp Donald Trump is nothing more than an entertainment clown. A businessman. Funny thing. Before Trump announced his candidacy everyone wanted to be Donald Trump's friend. They wanted his money. His show, The Apprentice (I admit I never watched) aired in 2004. During his tenure, Trump earned millions of dollars. Everyone wanted to be on his show. Now he's a leper. Donald Trump the candidate suddenly became poison. Trump has likely lost some money running for president. He has sacrificed time with his family. He has to have double protection because of serious death threats.

Donald Trump did get a nice financial boost from his father when he started in business, but his accomplishments which has earned him billions of dollars came solely from his work ethic. He loves this country and I believe will do everything he can under the Constitution to turn things around. For him, just my opinion, Donald Trump doesn't do things half way. He works to win and his own personal code of succeeding will go into the White House – unlike the criminal impostor, Obama, who has cost we the people a whopping \$70 MILLION bux for vacations and endless rounds of golf. For Trump to fail as president is something not in his make up as they say.

Trump also knows, or I think he does, is that he doesn't know everything which is why in his business world he hires the best to take care of areas out of his expertise. That's leadership. No one can know everything and if he appoints say a constitutional committee to advise him, it would be to his advantage. He also knows how to fire people when they don't perform.

So, Rich Lowry, Glenn Beck and all the rest are pimping for the GOP machine and attacking Donald Trump, I can only hope Americans continue to see through your agenda and stop supporting anything you do; magazine subscriptions, donations, whatever. Your brand of conservative is exactly why I left the Republican Party in 1996.

You people simply do not understand the rage blowing across this country against your brand of conservative. Americans, Democrats, Republicans, no party (like me), black Americans, Mexican Americans, Asian Americans – are fed up with how your brand of conservative has destroyed this country working hand in hand with Democrats. The only difference is the packaging of the product being sold. Please remember the words from one who knew the game:

“The chief problem of American political life for a long time has been how to make the two Congressional parties more national and international. The argument that the two parties should represent opposed ideals and policies, one perhaps, of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to doctrinaire and academic thinkers. Instead the two parties should be almost identical, so that the American people can “throw the rascals out” at any election without leading to any profound or extensive shifts in policy. The policies that are vital and necessary for America are no longer subjects of significant disagreement, but are disputable only in details of procedure, priority, or method ....Then it should be possible to replace it, every four years if necessary, by the other party, which will be none of these

things but WILL STILL PURSUE, with new vigor, approximately the SAME BASIC POLICIES.” Carroll Quigley in his book, Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in our Time, mentor of Bill Clinton.

I am and always have been pro-life and against the agenda of sexual deviants who are NOT born that way. I am about as morally conservative as you can be while supporting the U.S. Constitution as the law of the land. I hope more and more Americans will declare themselves constitutionalists instead of conservative. I don't know about you but I absolutely do NOT want the perverted brand of conservative sold by Rich Lowry, Mark Levin, Sean Hannity (and his “bold fresh new ideas” mantra) and the rest of that crowd.

Important:

- 1 – NEW EVIDENCE: Intent of 1790 Naturalization Act
- 2 – The House That Evil Built
- 3 – FNC's Jesse Watters: National Review 'Putting Pure Conservatism Over the Country'
- 4 – This is a list of how all presidential candidates stand on the final nail in our coffin – the TPP
- 5 – Cruz and Rubio: Neither is eligible by Larry Klayman
- 6 – The Three Legged Stool Test & Analogy for Natural born Citizenship of the United States to Constitutional Standards

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared “war” (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and

share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

## **What happened to justices of Roe v Wade?**

Friday marks the anniversary of one of the darkest days in the his-tory of our land. It will mark the 43 anniversary of the horrific opinion in which the Court claimed it was legal for a woman to hire a contract killer to murder the baby residing in her womb. Justices Burger, Douglas, and Stewart filed concurring opinions along side Blackmun who wrote the majority opinion and was joined by Marshall, Brennan and Powell. It was Murder they wrote on January 22, 1973.

Justice White filed a dissenting opinion in which Justice Rehnquist joined.

- The first to meet his maker was Douglas who died January 19, 1980 just three days shy of the seventh anniversary of that wicked opinion.
- The next was Stewart dying on December 7, 1985.
- Then the grim reaper took Marshall on January 24, 1993.
- Next was the Chief Justice, Burger dying on June 25, 1995.



- Then Brennan was removed by the hand of God on July 24, 1997.
- Next the angel of death came for Powell on August 25, 1998.
- And last was the author of that demonic opinion, Blackmun himself who died on March 4, 1999 26 years after writing that opinion. These seven men have the blood of tens of millions upon their hands, and I would not want to be in their place come judgment day.

Interesting that the last to die from that Burger court were the two who stood against the decision, standing by the dissenting opinion.

- White died April 15, 2002 the author of the dissenting opinion.
- Rehnquist died September 3, 2005 who had become the 16th Chief Justice.

I am reminded of Psalm 37:1-2 "Fret not thyself because of evildoers, neither be thou envious against the workers of iniquity. For they shall soon be cut down like the grass, and wither as the green herb."

But the Supreme Court is not alone in that blood guilt upon our land. What was tragic is that the appropriate response was not forthcoming from Congress, where the House should have impeached and the Senate removed from office all seven Justices. Nor did the Chief Executive respond justly by doing his duty in declaring that opinion null and void. And the State governments also failed because it was their duty to declare it null and void and move forward with prosecution of murder for those committing murder of the unborn children. And the people of our land also failed, they failed to elect those who would not just mouth a pro-life position, but those who would actually have ended the holocaust.

We have done too little – God help us, we are guilty of terrible crimes against the Almighty. Our land is under the

judgment of God until there is true repentance and an ending of this holocaust. Perhaps that evil in the White House is judgment, perhaps the wicked in black robes across this land are judgment, perhaps the evil legislation that continually pours forth from Congress as well as from our State Houses is also judgment, judgment from God.

The Hope for America lies not in the coming Presidential election; it lies only in humble repentance before Almighty God for our multitude of sins. Repentance is the need of the hour. And true repentance would bring a speedy end to the murder of the preborn in our land. The Word of God is clear, He stands against the murder of the innocent, He requires their blood be atoned in that land. He stands against all those who oppress and afflict the most helpless and innocent.

Learn more about your Constitution with Pastor David Whitney and the “Institute on the Constitution” and receive your free gift.

© 2016 Rev. David Whitney – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The power of the purse: the subsidy plan**

Little remembered today outside of a few scholars, James T. Young was a progressive Professor of Administration[1] for the University of Pennsylvania at the end of the nineteenth century and into the twentieth century. He wrote what would become one of the most important text books on the American Government for over fifty years. His “The New American Government and Its Works” is cited by many of the most influential teaching and scholarly organizations[2] for

decades as one of two of the most recommended books[3] for American students on the purpose of government. His work touched the lives of countless millions of Americans and even though his name is lost in the pages of time, his mantra lives on today under the guiding hands of Barack Obama.

Young's book was originally published in 1915, later revised in 1923[4] and again in 1933. In it he documents the Bills passed by Congress, the decisions handed down by the Supreme Court and the actions taken by the Executive Branch of the US government to expand the power of the Federal Government over the local and state government as well as the progressive move to change Americans view of government from one that believed citizens could best pursue happiness if government was limited to protecting the life, liberty, and property of individuals to one that the government or "the community," has "an absolute right to determine its own destiny and that of its members."

Young then goes on to explain the best way to replace the "Old" government with the "New" government is "Whenever it appears that the Constitution hinders a transfer of power from the States to Congress, those interested seek some expedient by which to evade this obstacle...". He then cites "judicial interpretation" and "commerce regulating power" as two methods and continues, "The third, and at present most promising way is the subsidy plan. Congress grants to the States a fund under its power to tax and to appropriate for the general welfare. This fund is given only on condition that the States appropriate a similar sum and that the total amount be used for a definite purpose fixed in the Federal Law. This purpose may be, and often is, entirely outside the regulating authority of Congress." This book explains the best ways to end federalism is through the power of the purse and it has been used extremely successfully for decades but has found its champion in Obama.

On April 28th, 2015, Obama interrupted his press conference

with Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe[5] to address the anarchy in Baltimore following the death of Freddie Gray at the hands of police. Obama made the following statements: "Now, the challenge for us as the federal government is, is that we don't run these police forces. I can't federalize every police force in the country and force them to retrain. But what I can do is to start working with them collaboratively so that they can begin this process of change themselves."

"And coming out of the task force that we put together, we're now working with local communities. The Department of Justice has just announced a grant program for those jurisdictions that want to purchase body cameras. We are going to be issuing grants for those jurisdictions that are prepared to start trying to implement some of the new training and data collection and other things that can make a difference. And we're going to keep on working with those local jurisdictions so that they can begin to make the changes that are necessary."

Shifting from the carrot to the stick, Obama goes on to blame local police for the problems in Philadelphia: "I think it's going to be important for organizations like the Fraternal Order of Police and other police unions and organization to acknowledge that this is not good for police. We have to own up to the fact that occasionally there are going to be problems here, just as there are in every other occupation. There are some bad politicians who are corrupt. There are folks in the business community or on Wall Street who don't do the right thing. Well, there's some police who aren't doing the right thing. And rather than close ranks, what we've seen is a number of thoughtful police chiefs and commissioners and others recognize they got to get their arms around this thing and work together with the community to solve the problem. And we're committed to facilitating that process."

"So the heads of our COPS agency that helps with community

policing, they're already out in Baltimore. Our Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Rights Division is already out in Baltimore. But we're going to be working systematically with every city and jurisdiction around the country to try to help them implement some solutions that we know work."

"We can't just leave this to the police. I think there are police departments that have to do some soul searching. I think there are some communities that have to do some soul searching. But I think we, as a country, have to do some soul searching. This is not new. It's been going on for decades. And there's a bunch of my agenda that would make a difference right now in that."

Obama could not be clearer, either fall in line with the new federal "solutions" to the local problems and get federal "grants"[6] or be monitored by the federal government for non-compliance.

But for Obama, this is just standard operating procedure as he proved in early February 2015 when the head of the Department of Homeland Security, Jeh Johnson sent out a press release to all local public safety agencies stating serious "consequences"[7] if Congress did not pass the DHS funding bill before Congress. Nestled in that Bill was the funding for Obama's unconstitutional amnesty[8] for illegal aliens here in the US.

This letter was revealed by Larimer County Sheriff Justin Smith who said the letter he received, was addressed to "all police chiefs and sheriffs around the country," was little more than a "very interesting veiled threat."

Sheriff Smith explained that he stated: "local and state public safety agencies would not receive federal grants they were counting on" if they didn't lobby Congress on behalf of the president to support his amnesty agenda.

Smith continued, "Let me get this straight, – the president

believes he has the authority to nullify federal laws that don't serve his personal agenda, but if Congress dares to exercise it's (sic) responsibility of controlling the purse strings, he will willingly hold public safety grants hostage just to get his way?"

As dangerous as these moves to further erode federalism in this country they are minor when compared to the Strong Cities, Strong Communities Initiative launched in with great fanfare by Obama in 2011.[9] The press release touted:

"Over the past two and a half years, the Obama Administration received feedback from leaders all across the country who described the kind of partnership that would be most useful to them for economic growth," said Domestic Policy Director Melody Barnes. "The result is Strong Cities, Strong Communities, an innovative new pilot that will help strengthen local communities while also delivering federal resources and assistance more effectively."

Added Senior Advisor to the President Valerie Jarrett,[10]"This is exactly the kind of federal initiative that President Obama pledged to create – one that respects the wisdom of local leadership and helps mayors and other local officials utilize federal resources more effectively. President Obama has consistently demonstrated his commitment to a robust partnership with America's cities and counties—Strong Cities, Strong Communities is yet another example of this important partnership."

How exactly will the federal government "respect the wisdom of local leadership"? By increasing "local capacity" of course, and how would they do that?

"The goal is to build capacity in local governments. Administration officials learned from their 2009 cities tour about the need to strengthen governmental capacity at the local level because budget cuts had forced cities to eliminate

economic development departments or left them thinly staffed.”

“The strong cities initiative was designed to build that local capacity in three ways: Develop a comprehensive economic strategy; work with anchor institutions such as hospitals, charities and state and local government agencies; and embed federal employees directly in city halls to work with the local partners.”[11]

This of course is only a very small part of the “Strong Cities” Plan. In October of 2015, US Attorney General released the details of the next step; The Strong Cities Network. In Lynch’s speech she states, “... connecting those localities to one another – as the Strong Cities Network is doing – is not only a powerful way to lift up our communities worldwide. It also sends a message about who we are and what we aspire to be – as an alliance of nations and as a global community.”

Who is the Strong Cities Network? It is a Non-Governmental Organization (NGO), London based “think and do tank” run by the Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD) that has the stated:

“The Strong Cities Network is the first global network of cities and other sub-national entities working together to build social cohesion and resilience to prevent violent extremism in all its forms.

“The Strong Cities Network provides a global platform to support local authorities to systematically share lessons learned, pool resources and build a community that can mobilize local action on a global scale.”[12]

An interesting side note on their website is how they actually define “violent extremism:”

“The blurred relationship between violence from the extreme right and broader trends of Islamophobia and anti-immigration sentiment poses several challenges for policy makers seeking

to address the increasing risk of violent right-wing extremism...In 2012, ISD launched a new program of work to enhance understanding of the threat from the far right, and help policy makers to develop effective responses to these violent and non-violent movements.”

So if the local and municipal effort to counter the “violent extremism” is inadequate and hasn’t developed “systematic efforts are in place to share experiences, pool resources and build a community of cities to inspire local action on a global scale,” the feds – and the UN – have to step in. Thus the groundwork is being laid for federal and international interference through bribery down to the local level. “The Strong Cities Network,” Lynch declared, “will serve as a vital tool to strengthen capacity-building and improve collaboration” – i.e., local dependence on federal and international authorities.

“Power is not a means, it is an end. One does not establish a dictatorship in order to safeguard a revolution; one makes the revolution in order to establish the dictatorship.” –George Orwell

## References:

1. [Virginia Historical Magazine](#)
2. [Journal of Political Economy](#)
3. [New York Legislative Documents, Vol 21](#)
4. [The New American Government and Its Works](#)
5. [Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Abe of Japan in Joint Press Conference](#)
6. [Federal Grants to State and Local Governments: A Historical Perspective on Contemporary Issues](#)
7. [BOMBSHELL: Obama Sends Letter Issuing Massive Threat to Sheriffs Across the Country](#)
8. [Colorado Sheriff: Executive Amnesty Is ‘Slow Way of Erasing the Border’](#)
9. [Strong Cities, Strong Communities: Bad Idea](#)



10. [Obama Administration Launches Strong Cities, Strong Communities to Support Local Development](#)
  11. [A Year-One Report Card for Obama's Strong Cities, Strong Communities Initiative](#)
  12. [Strong Cities Network – aka Global Police Force – Could Sink American Republic](#)
  13. [George Orwell Quotes](#)
- 

© 2016 – Steven Neill – All Rights Reserved

---

## **A politically incorrect view of political correctness**

Of late, some people are reacting against the mental tyranny of political correctness. It seems, however, that not even its strongest critics have a real idea of what political correctness really is. Apparently, even they have been brainwashed to the point that they have a politically correct definition of political correctness.

Nevertheless, as Sun Tzu said, only the man who knows his enemy like himself will win all the battles. So, let's take a close, critical look at the term.

According to most dictionaries, the term political correctness means:

“Conforming to a belief that language and practices which could offend political sensibilities (as in matters of sex or race) should be eliminated,” “agreeing with the idea that people should be careful to not use language or behave in a

way that could offend a particular group of people.” – Merriam Webster Dictionary.

“Demonstrating progressive ideals, especially by avoiding vocabulary that is considered offensive, discriminatory, or judgmental, especially concerning race and gender. Abbreviation: PC.” – Collins Dictionary.

“Conforming to a particular sociopolitical ideology or point of view, especially to a liberal point of view concerned with promoting tolerance and avoiding offense in matters of race, class, gender, and sexual orientation.” – American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Fifth Edition.

“Politically correct language or behavior not offensive, especially to people who have often been affected by discrimination.” – Macmillan Dictionary.

“Political correctness (or PC for short) means using words or behavior which will not offend any group of people. Most people think it is important for everyone to be treated equally, fairly and with dignity.” – Wikipedia.

Unfortunately, all of the above definitions of political correctness are politically correct. For a change, though, let’s take a look at my politically incorrect definition of political correctness – which differs considerably from the definitions currently appearing in most dictionaries.

To me, political correctness simply means not telling the truth about things damaging to a political cause some people support. Proof of this is that the person who disagrees with your view never tells you “Don’t say that, because it is not true,” but “Don’t say that because it is not politically correct.” Therefore, political correctness is not a linguistic, sociological or cultural term, much less a scientific statement, but a political one.

Let me show you some examples that prove my point:

Some people believe that saving the planet from the destruction caused by humans is a good, noble cause. Therefore, if I tell them that global warming is nothing but unscientific hogwash created to scare us to the point of voluntarily stopping driving cars and eating red meat, their immediate reaction will be to tell me that I am not politically correct.

Some people are convinced that implementing a New Gay World Order is a good cause because it will bring democracy, peace, prosperity and equality upon the whole planet. Therefore, to them, anybody opposing the NGWO is not politically correct.

Others think that armed citizens who don't share their beliefs are a threat to the implementation of the NGWO. Therefore, if you say that cars, medical doctors and prescription drugs kill more people than guns, to them this is not politically correct.

It is interesting to see, though, that in these times when university students complain about "microaggressions," nobody seems to have noticed that the term "political correctness" is the overt manifestation of a macroaggression. When somebody tells you not to use a particular term, because it is "politically incorrect," what he/she is actually doing is warning you that if you keep using it you will run into trouble – most likely you will be accused of being a racist, homophobe, white supremacist, etc. after which you will be ostracized and even lose your job.[1]

Now, what makes me a specialist in political correctness to the point that I dare to question the definitions provided by such prestigious dictionaries? Well, the fact that I lived for twenty years in Castro's Cuba, the country where the term was initially tested.[2] The only difference was that in Cuba, when you said some truths damaging to the "revolution", [3] they didn't warn you by saying "this is not politically correct," but "Don't say that because this is not political."

So, it is safe to conclude that political correctness is an invention concocted by the evil progressive, liberal, Democratic Left and the commies. Right?

Wrong!

Political correctness is not an exclusive aberrant phenomenon of the Left. There are non-written rules of political correctness among “conservative” Republicans.

For example, if you are a “conservative” Republican you should never mention World Trade Center Building 7 – the 47-story skyscraper that collapsed on its own footprint despite the fact that no plane crashed against it. Why? Because George W. Bush is a true conservative American patriot and a religious man– or so they believe – and anything that questions his narrative of the 9/11 events is not politically correct.

Moreover, you should never ask why, despite the fact 9/11 was (allegedly) the worst failure of the US intelligence and the military in their job to protect us, nobody was fired for their gross incompetence.[4] This explains why when Trump violated the rules of political correctness and mentioned that 9/11 happened during George W. Bush’s watch Jeb Bush almost had a fit.

Also, “conservative” Republicans should never mention that George W. Bush’s Patriot Act is the worst attack on the US Constitution in recent times and that the War on Terror he declared is a hoax. Telling the truth is very unpolitically correct.

Even more important, if you are a true “conservative” Republican you should never mention that it was your beloved George H.W. Bush who stated in every speech about the need to create a New World Order. In addition, you should never mention that this NWO Bush loved so much meant the abolishment of the US Constitution and the end of US sovereignty under a global government controlled by the CFR conspirators. In

addition, you are not supposed to remember the Bush family's past ties to the Nazis nor their present ties to the bin Laden family and the Saudis.

So much for the anti-political correctness of the hypocritical "conservative" Republicans.

But there is also a particular type of insidious political correctness that affects both the "liberal" Democratic Left and the "conservative" Republican Right.

For example, you should never mention that the two-party system is a hoax, much less that there is an Invisible Government of the United States. Even more important, you should never mention that the Council on Foreign Relations, the visible head of this Invisible Government you should never tell about, is the most anti-American organization in the U.S.

Even more important, you should never mention that our current military's main job is not to defend and protect the Constitution, our borders and our culture, but to protect the interests of a few transnational corporations abroad.

Currently, it has become evident that, without exception, not only most politicians, but also all mainstream media, either of the Left or Right persuasion, are politically correct. There are subjects they would never mention and, if they mention any of them, it is only to vilify the people who have dared to mention them.[5] Politicians and mainstream media stars will never dare to bite the hand that feeds them.[6]

So, what can you do to fight the scourge of political correctness? Admit that you are powerless and stick your head in the sand?

Absolutely not!

You can do something very simple: Don't play by their rules. Fight political correctness by not being politically correct.

This is exactly what Donald Trump has been doing, and nobody can deny that he has been very successful. Apparently he sensed that the American people are sick and tired of political correctness.

Of course, I understand that not being politically correct entails some grave risks –the PC crowd can destroy you socially, economically, and even can kill you. Fear is the mechanism the CFR globalist conspirators are using to implement their New Gay World Order, and political correctness is one of their most efficient tools. But there is a point when you have to draw a line in the sand and yell:

I'm mad as hell and I'm not going to take this anymore!

2016 Servando Gonzalez – All Rights Reserved

Footnotes:

1. See, Bill White, "Time to Push Back at Political Correctness," Survivopedia, January 5, 2016.

2. For a close look at my theory that Castro's Cuba is a successful test of the New Gay World Order, see my book Psychological Warfare and the New World Order: The Secret War Against the American People.

3. There was never a revolution in Cuba. What we had was a rebellion to depose a corrupt dictator and change the country back to normality. Unfortunately, Castro managed to get full control of it and changed the rebellion into his sui generis "revolution." See my book The Secret Fidel Castro: Deconstructing the Symbol.

4. Actually, there was an exception: Orlando airport Immigration agent Jose Melendez, who on August 4, 2001 stopped the 20th terrorist, a Saudi man named Mohamed al-Kahtani. As a show of appreciation for a job well done, the U.S. government harassed Melendez and finally he was fired.

5. I have the feeling that Hillary Clinton will not get prosecuted for her role in the Benghazi events. Why? Because

investigating the Benghazi events may open the door to investigate Bush and Cheney for their role in the 9/11 events, something “conservative” Republicans don’t want.

6. . The politically correct crowd survives thanks to generous funding from the most reactionary organizations – most of them under the direct control of the CFR. See, i.e., Evan Gahr, “Paymasters of the PC Brigades,” The Wall Street Journal, January 27, 1995.

Also, Lachlan Markay, “The ‘Billionaire’s Club’ Behind the Green Movement,” The Free Beacon, July 30, 2014, Also, Daniel Brandt, “Philanthropists at War,” NameBase NewsLine, No. 15, October-December 1996.

---

## **Materialism Pt. 1 of 4**

Most of my email is positive (alas, due to time constraints I am often unable to answer most of it). When I get something critical, I spend time reading it. I am not perfect. Sometimes readers catch errors or have worthwhile suggestions. Among my favorites is an email from one Terry Hayfield, sent back in 2004 in response to my initial “The Real Matrix” series. I still have the printout. It presented itself not as a criticism but as an “offer to share research.” His results differed from mine, and he argued that there was a false premise in my reasoning. He did not launch a personal attack, or attack NewsWithViews.com. He argued a rational case in a way that got my attention and led to a correspondence that continued for several years.

I contrast this with an email from someone I’ll call RB (his initials; I’ll not use his name to save him embarrassment), received the day Part 3 of “Materialism” appeared. He labeled himself: “a secular, agnostic, non-observant liberal Jew.”

This after an opening sentence not offering to share research but describing my article as “typical of NewsWithViews; utter poppycock, drivel, hogwash, bunk, tripe etc.”

Great way to win friends and influence people, dude!

But I’ve learned that debates over what is very fundamental to our thinking and our moral lives – over worldviews, that is – will sometimes invoke hostility instead of constructive dialogue. RB’s email, having begun on a bad note, went downhill from there. I wondered if he’d really read what I’d written or just scrolled up and down, saw a few words and lines he didn’t like, then took to his keyboard to bang out a long paragraph of hysterics against what he assumed I’d said.

RB “[found] it highly offensive that Christians like yourself arrogantly claim to have a monopoly on morality and virtue, and fatuously pretend that you can only be a good person if you are a believing Christian ...”

Hold the bus. Did I say Christians were good people because they were Christians? Now admittedly Part 4 was still a week or so away and so unavailable, but somehow I doubt RB’s having the whole thing in front of him would have made a difference. I never said that Christians were “good people.” In Part 4 I was explicit about their being prone to the same weaknesses and temptations as non-Christians. Even prior to that material, I had not said we have a “monopoly on morality and virtue,” whatever that is.

My argument vis-à-vis morality was that given the failure of every secular ethical theory, Christian accounts of morality are surely no worse off!

RB then went on an extended rant about sex / sexual misconduct and promiscuity / abortion / contraceptives (which I never mentioned). The sexual revolution he called “nothing but a myth” which would astonish those who lived through it, especially parents who lost communication with their children



over it. But what sketchy details RB offers about sexual peccadillos and misadventures prior to the 1960s actually lend strong support to my thesis, that we are a fallen species who cannot save ourselves. For again I'd not stated that "no one engaged in sexual misconduct and promiscuity [or that] there were no abortions or hardly any ..." What I'd noted was that now we had ethical theories in which these were all very much at home. RB continues: "Sexual promiscuity has existed all over the world for thousands of years and abortion has also been common all over the world for thousands of years. However, Christianity has also fostered an extremely harmful prudishness, puritanism and sexual repression for 2,000 years ..."

Very Freudian sounding, Freud having been a leading "secular, agnostic, liberal Jew." It's the height of political incorrectness to say it, but "secular, agnostic, liberal Jews" have an obsession with sex I've long found puzzling. Conversations I've had with them (mostly academics, admittedly) tend to veer in that direction sooner or later. Since most "gentiles" do not share this fascination, at least not as a core part of their worldview, I suppose we're "repressed." Another feature of the "secular, agnostic, liberal Jew" is their assumption they've gotten inside others' minds and psyches, divining their supposed neuroses. We're the arrogant ones? What do they propose as the cure? A sexually "liberated" culture – which is pretty much what we have in the twenty-first century, with (e.g.) Miley Cyrus performing nearly naked, is it not?

That aside, one could just offer the obvious *reductio ad absurdum* that murder has "also been common all over the world for thousands of years." Maybe we should get rid of all laws and traditions and worldviews that "repress" our hidden desires to slaughter one another in cold blood! Yeah, that'll work!

RB's next few lines are about poverty, perhaps unsurprisingly.

As I noted – again it had to wait for Part 4 – Christians have been remiss in this area and are vulnerable to criticism. I stated specifically that Jesus did not command us to care for the poor, or offer health care, only if we can make a profit doing so.

But having conceded that much, I'd like to see what "secular, agnostic, liberal Jews" are doing about poverty. Those I've known tend to support the status quo, which means mindlessly supporting the leviathan banks and the bought-and-paid-for political classes whose policies bear primary responsibility for widening inequality and worsening poverty in our time. Pot, meet kettle. I wonder how many donations RB or his buddies have made, or fundraisers conducted, to alleviate poverty in places like, e.g., Haiti. (I have, incidentally.) My response: put your money where your mouth is, or shut up!

There was more to make me wonder if English is this guy's native language: "The notion that if you are an atheist, you think there is no such thing as right and wrong and that everything should be considered permissible is abject poppycock." Did I say atheists as a group believe there is no such thing as right and wrong? I did not. Indeed, the bulk of Part 2 takes up secular efforts to elucidate right versus wrong in a material universe – efforts which make no sense if they think there is no right or wrong. My argument is that these efforts fail, often giving breathtakingly bad advice in the process. That's hugely different from saying those making them don't believe in right or wrong. (Maybe RB did not read Part 2. Not my problem.)

Finally there is that now-familiar canard about a "Christian Taliban" trying to take over the U.S., an "extremely dangerous" conspiracy that "must be stopped before they get power in America" and "some of its members are contributors to News With Views" [sic.].

I am sure my fellow NewsWithViews.com contributors will be

surprised to hear of their cultural influence! By the way, I often see this Taliban reference in atheist-leftist rants. Perhaps RB can point to Christians who practice some equivalent of Sharia law, kill apostates, mutilate women's genitals, burn villages, etc. I've never seen them. Am I blind or is he hallucinating? I don't think it's the former.

There is no Christian Taliban! The idea is absurd! As I noted in my very brief private reply to RB, there are no Christian groups with the resources, even if they had the will. Most have been effectively marginalized in the present culture of materialism, hedonism, and multiculturalism. No Christian I know of has the deep pockets of, e.g., a George Soros (another "secular, agnostic, liberal Jew") who has been bankrolling leftist causes for decades, or of those running the leviathan banks, other corporations, the political class, or the Hollywood culture where "secular, agnostic, liberal Jews" predominate whether anyone cares to admit it or not.

No doubt RB and perhaps others will interpret such remarks as "anti-semitic." This, too, has gotten to be a tiresome canard, made by some Jews in response to someone outside their orbit noting their economic and cultural power. It usually comes prior to their honoring Godwin's Law and invoking the specter of Adolf Hitler who, in RB's words, "was NOT an atheist and never renounced his Catholic faith." Take that, Catholics! By the way, do Catholics or any other Christian denominations have special organizations such as B'nai B'rith or the Anti-Defamation League to function as attack dogs to destroy the reputations of their critics? The late Joe Sobran once said, "An anti-semite used to be someone who hated Jews. Now it's someone Jews hate."

Why bother with a "Postscript" such as this? Because it offers an interesting case study. Most of the issues raised are only indirectly related to my central claim, which is that materialism as a worldview (its premises and reasoning laid out in Part 1) does not offer a viable account of the way

reality is put together, nor a moral backbone to support a large civilization.

The past hundred years show this conclusively. We've illuminated the ties between materialism and leftism, ties going back at least 250 years. Both reject original sin and instead follow, e.g., Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712 – 1778), arguably the founding father of modern progressivist leftism, holding that our institutions are to blame for moral turpitude and modern corruption (Rousseau singled out private property, the family, and unsurprisingly, the church). Both believe that the right kind of technocratic and sexual tinkering can save us and build a global, hedonist Utopia. Responses to critics of materialism and leftism tend to be either as incompetent as RB's, intellectually dishonest, or both. Leftists especially are threatened by the avalanche of evidence against their dearest assumptions – to the point where some of their number will set out with efficiency and enthusiasm to destroy the careers of scientists who offer detailed exemplars of said evidence. Thus perhaps it should be unsurprising that leftist keyboard commandos, Jewish or not, go into attack mode when some of us take aim at their false premises and absurd canards.

2016 Steven Yates – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Why Bernie Sanders could be our next president**

Don't get me wrong. I am a hat-wearing supporter of Donald Trump. Trump is doing great in the polls. As I write this, Trump might win in Iowa.

But here's the problem. Cruz might win the Republican nomination. Then he will lose to Sanders. And America will be history.

There are anti-Trump votes out there. They are presently split between Rubio, Bush, Kasich, and Christy. These moderate voters are frustrated that none of their four candidates pulls more votes than Cruz. That, of course is because these moderate four are splitting the moderate votes.

Maybe a moderate candidate will break away from the foursome in New Hampshire. If so, then anti-Trump votes will converge on that moderate candidate.

If the anti-Trump votes converge on one moderate candidate, then that candidate would be the anti-Trump candidate of choice. That moderate candidate would easily beat Cruz and remove our concern that Cruz might be our next president.

That would change the present two-way race between Trump versus Cruz, to Trump versus the moderate candidate. This would give Trump a better chance to win.

But what if no moderate candidate dominates in New Hampshire? Then anti-Trump voters will start to see Cruz as the only possible way to defeat Trump.

If all these anti-Trump votes go to Cruz, then Cruz will be our next president. This can happen whether we like it or not, and whether Cruz is ineligible or not.

Yes, Donald Trump is in a fight of his life for all of us who believe in him. He is our best and only hope to save America.

Unfortunately, many far-right conservatives, former Ron Paul supporters who would not vote for Romney, now back Cruz. They are the core problem in this election. They are idealistic about Cruz and could care less if he is ineligible. Very strange for voters who claim to support our constitution but

these people are not rational.

They are the ones who booed Trump in the last debate when Trump mentioned that Cruz should get a declaratory judgment to prove his eligibility, or risk taking down the Republican Party.

A strange thing about politics is how a strong pull by the far right can result in the election of a leftwing candidate. The far right tea party did this in Montana in 2012 when they emotionally voted Libertarian because Republican candidates "were not good enough for them."

Their Libertarian votes elected Democrat Steve Bullock as governor, Jon Tester for US Senator, and many Democrats to statewide offices. All these Democrat wins were by fewer votes than the votes for the Libertarian candidates.

Although many of these tea party voters are evangelical, they do not accept or understand the Biblical advice, "By their works we shall know them." These Montana tea party voters will not accept that they are responsible for the works of Senator Tester and Obama.

Furthermore, they just don't care. Today, these tea party folks rally around Ted Cruz and claim Donald Trump does not follow the constitution. They do not even concern themselves that Cruz may be ineligible according to our constitution. If they were smart, they would realize Donald Trump is their only hope to get what they really want for America.

What about the Cruz and Rubio eligibility issue?

If moderates converge on Cruz, the eligibility issue will not matter in the Republican nomination. The Republican establishment will happily nominate Cruz or Rubio and suffer the consequences, rather than to nominate Donald Trump. They will risk losing to Sanders rather than let Donald Trump take over the Republican Party.

Yes, the Democrats will sue if Republicans nominate either Cruz or Rubio. Then Sanders may be our next president. Hillary, as we now know, will likely be in jail. Sanders will be a stronger Democratic, or I should say socialist, candidate than Hillary because he does not have Benghazi hanging over his head.

A few readers of my article "Cruz and Rubio are eligible to be president," read the tapes in their brains before they read what I wrote. They assumed without evidence that I personally believed they were technically eligible. These readers considered my behavior a mortal sin according to their tea party religion. Some actually chastised me in their emails and comments.

Actually, I did not discuss my personal opinion. I made it clear that, as a physicist, I do not play lawyer. I do not attempt to judge which side might win if the eligibility issue ever receives a proper review in a court of law. Frankly, it's a waste of my time to play lawyer and judge because my legal opinion is irrelevant to a court of law.

What I wrote was I believe those who argue the eligible side would "prevail" in a court of law.

There is a difference between a prediction and a desire. In 2008, I desired McCain to beat Obama. I voted for McCain. At the same time, I predicted Obama would win.

Similarity, I desire that Cruz and Rubio be proven ineligible but I predict that will not happen.

My main point, as you can see emphasized in the 80 or so comments on my article, is that by law Cruz and Rubio are eligible until proven ineligible in a court of law. Since no one has yet proven them ineligible, they are legally eligible.

I encourage you who make legal arguments that Cruz and Rubio are ineligible, to act rather than talk. Internet debates

about law are useless. If you who have good arguments want to be useful, get your arguments into a court of law.

We know some people have done this. Unfortunately, only the Texas lawsuit has made the news. It will take a court longer to make a decision than it will to elect the next president. Once the votes are cast, the nomination will be concluded. We need another strategy.

Donald Trump showed his wisdom in how he handled the eligibility issue with Cruz. Trump did not claim that Cruz is ineligible. That would have started a legal debate that Trump could not win in the court of public opinion. That would have cost him votes.

Trump kept his eye on the goal: votes. Trump realized the court of public opinion is greater than a court of law that could take two years to make a decision.

Trump reversed the burden of proof. The burden of proof in a court of law is upon those who attempt to prove Cruz or Rubio guilty. This burden of proof makes it more difficult to win. Trump told Cruz he should get a declaratory judgment to prove he is eligible. That puts the burden of proof on Cruz.

How did Cruz respond? He laughed it off!

Cruz will not get a declaratory judgment. That is proof in the court of public opinion that he does not care and he is a fraud. He wants to be the first president of the North American Union. He wants to be a puppet for his money providers. He wants to bring dominionism to America.

Cruz laughed it off!

That Cruz laughed off the possibility that he "might be ineligible" is the issue that Trump supporters must push now. Forget trying to resolve the legal technicalities on internet debates.



Elections are in process. Trump is an excellent candidate. He needs our help to overcome the threat by Cruz. The best way to get Cruz on eligibility is to shout loudly that, as a lawyer, Cruz will not accept the fact that the eligibility issue is “unsettled.”

The voting public will care that Cruz’s eligibility is “unsettled.” They will care that Cruz refuses to do anything about it. They will not care about your detailed legal arguments where you attempt to prove Cruz is ineligible.

The public will not understand legal mumbo jumbo. But the public will understand that Cruz “threatens” America when his eligibility is “unsettled.”

As a candidate, Trump can lose by being too negative. He must be positive as much as possible. It’s up to us to do his negative dirty work.

Let’s review what Trump said in the debate:

“The fact is, there is a big overhang. There’s a big question mark on your head. And you can’t do that to the party. You really can’t. You can’t do that to the party. You have to have certainty. Even if it was a one percent chance, and it’s far greater than one percent... I mean, you have great constitutional lawyers that say you can’t run. If there was a—and you know I’m not bringing a suit. I promise. But the Democrats are going to bring a lawsuit, and you have to have certainty. You can’t have a question. I can agree with you or not, but you can’t have a question over your head.”

Cruz responded:

“Well, listen, I’ve spent my entire life defending the Constitution before the US Supreme Court. And I’ll tell you, I’m not going to be taking legal advice from Donald Trump. The chances of any litigation proceeding and succeeding on this are zero. And Mr. Trump is very focused on Larry Tribe. Let me

tell you who Larry Tribe is.”

Cruz evaded the question. Cruz is smart. He knows what he is doing. But his reply avoids the question. Larry Tribe is irrelevant. There are good lawyers on both sides. That makes the issue unsettled. Cruz did not prove the issue is settled. He did not prove his candidacy is not a threat to the Republican Party

We need to turn Cruz’s strength into weakness. Show the public how Cruz is too slick, too practiced, too insincere, and too artificial. He’s a good puppet for his puppet masters. His puppet masters loaned Cruz money and you better bet there are lots of strings attached.

Jerry Falwell, Jr., endorsed Trump. Phyllis Schlafly said “Trump is the last hope for America.” Sarah Palin endorsed Trump and is campaigning for Trump in Iowa. The Iowa governor told Iowans, “Cruz must be defeated.” John Wayne’s daughter endorsed Trump. John Wayne was born in Iowa.

Trump is on a roll. But to defeat the threat of Cruz we must help Trump win Iowa.

Donald Trump has the best tax plan to restore America’s economy. He has the best immigration plan to stop the destruction of America. He has the best defense plan to make America strong. He has the best Second Amendment plan to assure America’s freedom. He has the best veterans plan to assure we properly care for our veterans. He has the best trade plan to bring jobs back to America.

No candidate brings as much potential value to America as Donald Trump. No candidate but Trump brings in new voters. That is why only Trump can beat Sanders.

Perhaps most important, Donald Trump will bring back control of America to the American people. In my opinion, we lost control of America when they shot John Fitzgerald Kennedy.

Today, we see how the Washington Republican elite tries to defeat the Republican's leading candidate. No candidate but Donald Trump has the chance to beat the Republican elite and give America back to our people.

I do not understand how those who claim to be "freedom fighters" or "patriots" do not support Donald Trump.

I do not understand how those who have waited in vain for a "man on a white horse" to save America to not support Donald Trump.

I do not understand how those who correctly praised Dinesh D'Souza's and Gerald Molen's "America" do not support Donald Trump. Donald Trump is the only candidate who will truly build up America.

We must begin to build up America again. We must make America great again. We must support Donald Trump for president.

© 2016 Edwin X Berry, PhD – All Rights Reserved

---

## **How a balanced budget amendment would give the government lawful unlimited power**

Does our existing Constitution permit the federal government to spend money on whatever they want?

No! It contains precise limits on federal spending.

Federal spending is limited by the enumerated powers delegated to the federal government. If you go through the Constitution and highlight all the powers delegated to Congress and the President, you will get a complete list of the objects on which Congress is permitted to spend money. Here's the list:

- The Census (Art. I, §2, cl. 3)
- Publishing the Journals of the House and Senate (Art. I, §5, cl. 3)
- Salaries of Senators and Representatives (Art. I, § 6, cl. 1)
- Salaries of civil officers of the United States (Art. I, §6, cl. 2 & Art. II, §1, cl. 7)
- Pay the Debts (Art. I, §8, cl. 1 & Art. VI, cl.1)
- Pay tax collectors (Art. I, §8, cl.1)
- Regulate commerce with foreign Nations, among the several States, and with Indian Tribes (Art. I, §8, cl.3)
- Immigration office (Art. I, §8, cl.4)
- The mint (Art. I, §8, cl. 5)
- Attorney General to handle the small amount of authorized federal litigation involving the national government (e.g., Art. I, §8, cls. 6 & 10)
- Post offices & post roads (Art. I, §8, cl. 7)
- Patent & copyright office (Art. I, §8, cl. 8)
- Federal courts (Art. I, §8, cl. 9 & Art. III, §1)
- Military and Militia (Art. I, §8, cls. 11-16)
- Since Congress has general legislative authority over the federal enclaves listed in Art. I, §8, next to last clause, Congress has broad spending authority over the tiny geographical areas listed in this clause.
- The President's entertainment expenses for foreign dignitaries (Art. II, §3); and
- Since Congress had general legislative authority over the Western Territory before it was broken up into States, Congress could appropriate funds for the US Marshalls, federal judges, and the like for that Territory (Art. IV, §3, cl. 2).

That's what Congress is authorized by our Constitution to spend money on. Did I leave anything out? I'm not infallible; so take a few minutes and, armed with a highlighter, read carefully through the Constitution and see for yourself.

Congress is to appropriate funds to carry out this handful of delegated powers; and it is to pay the bills with receipts from taxes.[1]

Pursuant to Article I, §9, clause 7, the federal government is to periodically publish a Statement and Account of Receipts and Expenditures. Citizens could use this Statement and Account – which would be so short that everyone would have time to read it – to monitor the spending of their public servants.

So that's how our existing Constitution limits federal spending:

- If it's on the list of enumerated powers, Congress may lawfully spend money on it.
- But if it's not on the list, Congress usurps powers not delegated when it appropriates money for it.

It was unconstitutional spending and unconstitutional promises (Social Security, Medicare, etc., etc., etc.) which got us a national debt of almost \$19 trillion, plus a hundred trillion or so in unfunded liabilities.

Since the Constitution delegates to Congress only limited and narrowly defined authority to spend money; the Constitution doesn't provide for a budget.

We never had a federal budget until Congress passed the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921. By this time, the Progressives controlled both political parties and the federal government.

The Progressives wanted a federal budget because they wanted to spend money on objects which were not on the list of

delegated powers.

A balanced budget amendment (BBA) would substitute a budget for the enumerated powers, and thus would legalize the current practice where Congress spends money on whatever they or the President put in the budget.

The result of a BBA is to legalize spending which is now unconstitutional – it changes the constitutional standard for spending from whether the object is on the list of enumerated powers to a limit on the total amount of spending.

- And to add insult to injury, the limits on spending are fictitious because they can be waived whenever Congress[2] votes to waive them.

And because a BBA would permit Congress to lawfully spend money on whatever is put in the budget, the powers of the federal government would be lawfully increased to include whatever THEY decide to put in the budget.

So a BBA would fundamentally transform our Constitution from one of enumerated powers only to one of general and unlimited powers – because the federal government would then be authorized by the Constitution to exercise power over ANY object they decide to put into the budget.

You must read proposed amendments and understand how they change our Constitution before you support them.

All federal and State officials take an oath to support the federal Constitution (Art. VI, clause 3). When people in Congress appropriate funds for objects not listed in the Constitution; and when State officials accept federal funds for objects not listed, they violate their oath to support the Constitution. According to the PEW Report, federal funds provided an average of 30% of the States' revenue for FY 2013. Look up your State [HERE](#). Were those federal funds used to implement unconstitutional federal programs in your State?

Power over education, medical care, agriculture, state and local law enforcement, environment, etc., is not delegated to the federal government: those powers are reserved by the States or the People. Congress spends on objects for which it has no constitutional authority; and bribes States with federal funds to induce them to implement unconstitutional federal programs. It was the unconstitutional spending which gave us this crushing \$19 Trillion debt.

How do we go about downsizing the federal government to its constitutional limits?

We stop the unconstitutional and frivolous spending one can read about all over the internet.

We begin the shutdown of unconstitutional federal departments and agencies by selecting for immediate closure those which serve no useful purpose or cause actual harm such as the Departments of Energy, Education, Homeland Security, and the Environmental Protection Agency.[3]

Other unconstitutional federal departments and agencies must be dismantled and their functions returned to the States or The People.

An orderly phase-out is required of those unconstitutional federal programs in which Citizens were forced to participate – such as social security and Medicare – so that the rug is not pulled out from American Citizens who became dependent. The phase-out could be funded by sales of unconstitutionally held federal lands.

The federal government is obligated (Art. I, §8, cl. 11-16) to provide for service related injuries suffered by our Veterans.

The Constitution delegates to Congress the power to appropriate funds for “post Roads” (Art. I, §8, cl. 7). While there may be room for argument as to what is included within the term, “post Road”; clearly, some federal involvement in

road building is authorized by our Constitution. State dependence on federal highway funds might be reduced by eliminating or reducing federal fuel taxes, and the substitution of fuel taxes collected by individual States. And there is nothing immoral about toll roads.

Since our Constitution was written to delegate to the federal government only the few and defined powers enumerated in the Constitution, we don't have to change the Constitution to rein in federal spending. The Constitution isn't the problem – ignoring it is the problem. Let us begin to enforce the Constitution we have.

2016 Publius Huldah – All Rights Reserved

Footnotes:

1. Our original Constitution authorized only excise taxes & tariffs on imports (Art. I, §8, clause 1), with any shortfall being made up by an apportioned assessment on the States based on population (Art. I, §2, clause 3).
2. Compact for America's (CFA) version of a BBA permits spending limits to be waived whenever Congress and 26 States agree. CFA's version also authorizes Congress to impose a national sales tax and a national value added tax in addition to keeping the income tax! See THIS Paper.
3. George Washington's Cabinet had four members: Secretary of State, Secretary of War, Secretary of Treasury, and Attorney General.

---

# Why Donald Trump will become



# president of the United States

For the past 45 years, every president and all our Congresses failed the American people on massive levels. Members of Congress allowed themselves to be duped into a bogus war in Vietnam, Desert Storm, Afghanistan and Iraq.

The American people did not ask for or want those wars. Who did? Answer: the bankers, Military Industrial Complex and corporations who stood to make a profit from the deaths of so many of our soldiers.

In the past 45 years, members of Congress and five presidents never enforced our sovereign borders or our internal employment laws that prohibited transporting, housing and employing illegal aliens. Instead, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce led the way to inject as many legal and illegal immigrants into America to drive Americans out of work and lowers wages to subsistence levels.

In the past 45 years, Congress flooded our country with 100 million legal third world immigrants. Today, we face accelerating fracturing of our ethos, national cohesiveness, language and culture.

Along with the past four decades, members of Congress passed laws to offshore, out-source and in-source jobs out of American workers' hands. Congress' activities drowned us in debt with 48 million people subsisting on food stamps and welfare housing.

Along the way, members of Congress and presidents created a \$19 trillion debt that could very well collapse our entire economy. Our second president John Adams said, "There are two ways to conquer a nation: by the sword or by debt." We face massive consequences that none of the members of Congress

address, let alone solve.

Seven years ago, a totally unqualified man arrived on the scene with "Hope and Change" has his mantra. In the past seven years of Barack Obama, everything worsened as to trade agreements, racial violence, ongoing war in Afghanistan, refugees flooding into America, terrorism manifesting from Boston to San Bernardino, joblessness and educational breakdown on a level unseen in the past 50 years. Over 10 million Americans cannot secure a job. Their kids can't find a summer job because over 100,000 legal and illegal immigrants flood into our country every 30 days.

Worse, for the African-American community, 73 percent of African-American children arrive out of wedlock and into immediate welfare and poverty. Our African-American president did nothing to change their fate as to job training, jobs or solutions. Instead, he spent a lot of time on the golf course.

With our country reeling from terror attacks, joblessness and racial conflict—the usual career, corrupt politicians jumped onto the stage to make their bid for the presidency. As usual, they spouted and spout the same bunch of gobble-d-gook as their predecessors. In other words, whether republican or democrats, the American people will find themselves facing the same consequences because those same politicians of the past won't do anything to change the future toward a better America.

Enter a brash, real American business tycoon named Donald Trump. Flamboyant, outspoken, "Let's make America great again." He draws millions to his rallies and news sites who interview him.

Trump talks about what he expects to accomplish as president of the United States. No matter how many gaffs he makes or "ego" oriented statements, Americans love him because he speaks their language. He addresses their frustrations and

anger. He speaks about solving the problems by doing something like building a wall, like changing trade agreements, like enforcing our immigration laws by deporting border jumpers. He talks about renegotiating trade agreements instead of giving China our manufacturing jobs. He expects to re-work Obamacare to a viable health care system.

Trump made the obvious connection to ban all Muslim immigration until we get a handle on Muslim violence in the USA. He would stop the 200,000 Syrian refugee invasion of our country. Why? It makes common sense to protect our citizens from further Muslim violence now overwhelming Europe.

Americans cheer him. Americans stand up for him. Americans love him because he loves Americans. He's actually a red-blooded American born in America. He's a proven success and he's a proven man of excellence.

While the 'usual' talking heads can't figure out his popularity, he steams ahead because he's about to shake up the 'good ole boy' corrupt network of career politicians who haven't served America or our interests: Pelosi, Boxer, Feinstein, Schumer, McCain, Hatch, Bennet, Gardner, Rubio, Reid, Gutierrez, Levin and the rest of those men and women who live in that DC corruption cartel.

For the first time in decades, we stand a chance to renew our country. We stand a chance to renew our faith in ourselves with his energy, brashness, ego and competence. He's a citizen president.

Donald Trump earned my vote. I bet he's got yours, too.

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

# Super bowl 50: getting there a nail biter

During my entire lifetime, I competed in multiple sports from baseball, basketball and football to racquetball, tennis, volleyball, mountain bike racing, golf, badminton, triathlon, swimming, ski racing, weight lifting and windsurfing. Each sport required a certain commitment to practice, drive, tenacity and endurance. Some sports mandate teamwork and others allow you to battle one on one.

During my college years at Michigan State University, I played on the freshman football team and lettered. During my sophomore year, I played on the practice squad against Bubba Smith, Charley Thornhill, Mickey Webster, the Saul brothers, Gene Washington, Clint Jones, Al Brenner and many others who stepped into pro careers in the NFL.

After getting a severe concussion in my junior year spring practice, I hung up the pads. At 6'2" and 200 pounds, I wasn't a small guy, but Bubba stood 6'9" and 280 pounds. He pounded all of us into the dirt. I'm glad I retired from football at 21 because so many players suffer dementia and motor-nerve problems from endless "sub-concussions" that occur every practice. And much worse and more violent in the games! Personally, I suggest that all parents talk to their kids and avoid playing football. It's pretty vicious from high school and worse in college. I don't possess a clue as to how any of those monsters make it through a pro game in one piece, let alone the entire season.

Once out of college, I stuck with racquetball, tennis, windsurfing, skiing and triathlons for tremendous competition and physical delight. I vouch for the Leadville 100 Mountain Bike in Leadville, Colorado race as one of the most brutal, exhaustive and fantastic competitions I've ever experienced.

It starts at 10,152 feet to push riders over four 12,000-foot passes and one 13,000-foot pass on single wilderness dirt tracks to finish after 12 hours and 100 miles. To cross the finish line may be one of my greatest triumphs.

Like many men and women in America, we love sports. We love to play them, watch them and cheer on the home team. We feel the churning in our guts, the sweat, the exhaustion and the great delight in sports.

One of my favorite U.S. leaders, President Teddy Roosevelt said, "It is not the critic who counts; not the man who points out how the strong man stumbles, or where the doer of deeds could have done them better. The credit belongs to the man who is actually in the arena, whose face is marred by dust and sweat and blood; who strives valiantly; who errs, who comes short again and again, because there is no effort without error and shortcoming; but who does actually strive to do the deeds; who knows great enthusiasms, the great devotions; who spends himself in a worthy cause; who at the best knows in the end the triumph of high achievement, and who at the worst, if he fails, at least fails while daring greatly, so that his place shall never be with those cold and timid souls who neither know victory nor defeat."

During my lifetime, I thrived in the sports arena. In my senior citizen years, I still race triathlons, bump ski, bicycle ride, mountain climb, hut-to-hut ski, backpack, canoe and more.

Something comes over an athlete on the starting line, on the court and on the test track of life. Men and women throw their "all" into their passions from curling to rugby to badminton to surfing. Sports create an incredible aspect of living. I truly wish every American enjoyed some kind of athletic passion until the day they pass into the great unknown.

Which brings me up to Super Bowl 50. Back in college, I

watched Super Bowl I with Bart Starr of the Packers. Quick forward a lifetime later, my hometown team, the Denver Broncos just beat the Patriots last Sunday night. The game turned me into a nail-biting wreck. The game came down to the last 12 seconds and two points. Screaming fans, high blood pressure and two of the finest teams in the NFL fought down to the very last second.

Out of all of it, Tom Brady showed himself a first class athlete and gentleman. Peyton Manning of the Broncos engineered yet another chance at a Super Bowl ring in the twilight of his years. With all the “bad boys” who give sports a shameless name with drunken driving, drugs, violence and jail time—it’s nice to see high class athletes act with dignity and poise.

On February 7, 2016, our entire nation and much of the world will watch two Goliaths battle for the supreme crown in Super Bowl 50.

It’s distinctly American. It’s the energy of a free country. It’s the dynamics of the violence of the human race harnessed in the 21st century via the gladiators who live to tell the tale—as well as enjoy enormous paychecks.

While I’ll watch the game, I hope every American pursues his or her own “Super Bowl” of sports in their daily lives. I hope we eat nutritionally, exercise daily and maintain trim, healthy bodies so we can enjoy our own lives in whatever sport excites us. More so, let’s pursue good health as a way of living until our last breath.

Win, lose or draw—life affords each of us our own quests, passions and athletic joy.

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

# George Washington book ban a slippery slope of extremism

Scholastic, producers of children's reading materials – and one of the leading companies of student publications for schools around the world – just pulled a picture book about George Washington and his slaves. Why?

The reasons are ridiculous.

“A Birthday Cake for George Washington,” released earlier this month, was painted as “sentimentaliz[ing] a brutal part of American history,” the Associated Press reported. In other words, the problem was the pages showed happy slaves – a smiling Hercules and his daughter, Delia, cooking up a celebratory cake for their master and owner, Gen. Washington. And the publisher said in a statement: That image just doesn't cut it.

“The book may give a false impression of the reality of the lives of slaves and therefore should be withdrawn,” Scholastic said.

Because slaves never smiled – never, never, not under any circumstances, ever? Okay. That's a viewpoint. But this is a book for first-through-third graders. For that age, everybody smiles – including animals and inanimate objects. Some of them even dance.. Can you say Disney's Beauty and the Beast? (Imagine the outrage if the smiling slaves in “A Birthday Cake for George Washington” did that. Or, look at it the other way and imagine the outrage if the father-daughter enslaved duo were instead presented as bare-backed and downtrodden, with bloody red whip marks stretched wide across their skeletal torsos.)

Regardless, censorship in this instance is not only unfounded – they're third-graders, for crying out loud. Plenty of time

to instill their minds with the true horrors of slavery in grades four-through-12 and beyond. But, and this is true with all forms of censorship, it also presents a slippery slope.

Censor one book, what about another? That sort of thing. And in this case, the finger-pointing can indeed do a 180 and turn right back at the source, Scholastic.

What does a book about an 8-year-old boy named George who desperately wants others to see him as a girl, have in common with a cartoon-esque account of a Captain Underpants character who time travels to discover he's gay? That's right – they're both published by Scholastic.

"The world's largest publisher and distributor of children's books is heavily promoting a pro-transgender book designed for students as young as third grade," Life Site News wrote in September 2015, of "George," by Alex Gino, an author who paints himself as a 20-year activist for "queer and trans" issues.

So transgender and homosexuality for third-graders is okay; smiling slaves, not. Because ostensibly books on transgender and homosexuality promote tolerance while books on smiling slaves tap at a history most want to forget, skewed as it may be.

Got it. Except, of course, there's this one little troublesome point with that rationalization. Censorship of such blatant and agenda-driven selectiveness reeks of Nazi Germany days.

Remember Joseph Goebbels, Adolf Hitler's propaganda man?

In 1933, Goebbels drew a crowd of about 40,000 – most of whom hailed from the collegee and intellectual camps, those who thought they knew best how Germans ought to be raised and taught – for a massive book burning by bonfire, in order to, as he termed it, "clean up the debris of the past." How is that different from America's current infatuation with cleaning up the debris of our slavery past, tearing down monuments of Robert E. Lee, pressing to remove statues of Thomas Jefferson, demanding to obliterate evidence of Lee,



Jefferson Davis and Stonewall Jackson from Stone Mountain in Georgia? Now come the books – beginning with the elementary-level “A Birthday Cake for George Washington.” Beware the slippery slope; America is not Germany, but for the grace of God and the sanity of her people, could very well one day be.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

## **A tale of two countries: what you aren't being told**

Here are two factual cases involving two separate countries. The cases both begin similarly but have drastically different endings.

### Case One:

A foreign naval vessel is lumbering in international waters. It is flying a brand new 8'x5' flag, which unmistakably identifies it as a major ally of the country whose coastline is nearest the ship. The ship's markings are ten feet high on both sides. It is not a warship. It is an intelligence-gathering ship. For all intents and purposes, it is defenseless against any warship or attack aircraft having but four .50-calibre Browning machine guns without shrapnel shields as its only offensive weapons. On board are 286 souls.

Suddenly, and without provocation, the supposed “ally” nation attacks the ship with both warplanes and torpedo boats. For over an hour, the helpless ship is riddled with machine-gun fire, rockets, and torpedoes. Within moments, the ship is completely disabled. As it seems certain that the ship will sink, lifeboats are lowered, but the attacking torpedo boats

immediately riddle the lifeboats with gunfire. Helicopters from the aggressive country carrying Special Forces troops hover over the ship, which is now listing at nine degrees. Clearly, the attacking country intends that no one survive.

The ship has no engines, no rudder, and no power. As the Special Forces soldiers from the attacking country are being positioned to launch their final assault, the ship's Captain barks, "Standby to repel boarders." One sailor yells, "They've come to finish us off."

The only thing that saves that ship and those survivors that day is eight warplanes from a nearby aircraft carrier that had heard the initial "Mayday" cry from the ship. This caused the attacking country to withdraw. As it was, 34 of the ship's officers and crew are killed and only a divine miracle and superhuman, Herculean effort from the sailors in the bowels of that steel graveyard keeps that ship afloat. When the attack first began, one general from the attacking country protested to his commanding officer saying, "This is pure murder."

But what the country whose ship was attacked and whose men were killed did is most curious. It did NOTHING. In fact, the government of that country immediately declared that the attack had been a "mistake" and then proceeded to completely cover up what had happened. And to this day, the citizens of that country know almost nothing about what took place on that fateful day.

Case Two:

Two foreign military boats illegally enter the territorial waters of a nation. These boats represent a country that has declared the nation whose waters have been molested to be an enemy state. National leaders of the offending country have openly called for military action against the state—up to and including nuclear action. The offending nation is anything but an ally of the nation whose waters have been encroached.

The country whose waters have been compromised does what ANY country would do (including the offending country if the tables are reversed) if unauthorized foreign ships (especially military ships) encroach upon its territorial waters: it seizes the vessels. The country did NOT open fire on the sailors. No one was injured. After neutralizing the perceived threat and detaining the sailors, the country provides the sailors who had illegally entered their waters with a meal and then releases them and their boats unharmed.

But instead of being grateful for the way the offended nation had prevented what could have easily escalated into international hostilities, many of the leaders and media spokesmen from the country whose ships had illegally entered another nation's territorial waters immediately accuse the offended nation of being the "aggressor" and use the incident to further enflame hatred against the alleged enemy state—including the incitement of war against it.

Case One was Israel's murderous attack against the USS Liberty on June 8, 1967. Case Two was Iran's incredibly-restrained handling of the U.S. naval vessels' illegal incursion into its territorial waters not too many days ago.

Read the true story of Israel's attack against the USS Liberty [here](#).

Read the true story of the Iran incident [here](#).

The verbal attacks against Iran by U.S. politicians and dominate news media truly staggers the imagination. I think Pat Buchanan's response to FOX News' Sean Hannity was perfectly stated. After listening to Hannity's senseless rant against Iran, Pat said, "Sean, you're hysterical." Hysterical seems to be the word that best describes most of what we are hearing today.

See the Hannity/Buchanan exchange [here](#).

During a radio interview with Michael Berry, Ron Paul rightly observed, "I think there's a distortion of the facts, for instance, we have learned and have been conditioned to distrust and hate the Persians and they're going to kill us, just look at the conditioning we had for Saddam Hussein who used to be an ally and we gave him his first nuclear reactor. So, there is a lot of that that goes on, but there's no history to show that Iran are aggressive people. When was the last time they invaded a country? Over two hundred years ago."

Read the transcript [here](#).

ActivistPost.com accurately answers the question, "Is Iran a threat to the health and safety of U.S. citizens?" The report states:

"Iran has never attacked the United States, or even any of her interests overseas. In fact, they have not attacked or invaded anyone in at least 270 years. And they haven't even threatened to harm the U.S. unless of course they are attacked first. . . . Iran is not an aggressor and certainly not a national emergency threat."

The report goes on: "Even if they did [have a nuclear weapons program], why is that reason to attack them? Just having a weapon doesn't make a country a threat. Plenty of countries have nuclear weapons and we don't consider them a threat."

The report continues: "Iran will not attack the West militarily with a nuclear weapon, or even conventionally, because they know they would be inviting their immediate destruction. Iran is a sophisticated secular society, much like Iraq was before America invaded. In fact, Iran has the third largest Jewish population in the world who live in harmony with Muslims and others. In other words, they have a lot to lose to invite war with anyone, and they know that any move viewed as aggression would be met with swift and overwhelming force. The West wants the world to believe their

leadership is primitive and stupid, but they aren't.

"Over 45 U.S. bases surround Iran. These bases are in addition to the fleets of U.S. warships parked in waters near Iran. A picture is worth a thousand words. Who's the real threat here?"

See the map and the report here.

Recall that even when many Arab nations were involved in the Six Day War with Israel back in 1967 (including Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Libya, etc.), Iran did NOT participate. And what most Americans do not know is that immediately following the 9/11 attacks in the U.S. (that Iran had nothing to do with), tens of thousands of Iranian citizens held demonstrations, candlelight vigils, and moments of silence in SUPPORT of the United States. (Do the research for yourself.) Americans would be shocked to know that the vast majority of average Iranians actually hold very favorable views of the United States. The "Death to America" rallies we hear so much about actually do NOT represent the views of the vast majority of the Iranian people—no more than the copious "We Are Change" pro-Obama rallies represent the views of a majority of the American people.

Plus, the oft-quoted threat by former Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad to "wipe Israel off the map" is in reality a complete fabrication of the Western news media.

See this report here.

The Iranian Foreign Minister tried to set the record straight—albeit most in the U.S. media continue to regurgitate the myth that Iran has threatened to militarily destroy Israel.

"Iran has no intention of destroying Israel and has actually saved the Jews three times in history, but the current Israeli regime is a threat to Tehran, Iranian Foreign Minister

Mohammad Javad Zarif has said in an interview with American media.

“Speaking with NBC, Zarif slammed [sic] the Israeli prime minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, after he once again said in his Monday’s speech before the US Congress that Iran openly threatened to wipe Israel off the map.

“The Iranian FM urged Netanyahu to refresh his knowledge of history as his comments have not only ‘distort[ed] realities of today,’ but also go against the Bible and Jewish sacred texts.

“‘He even distorts his own scripture. If you read the book of Esther, you will see that it was the Iranian king who saved the Jews...’ Zarif said.

“The Iranian Minister called Netanyahu’s accusations ‘truly regrettable’ stressing that they refer to ‘an entire nation which has saved Jews three times.’

“‘It is truly, truly regrettable that bigotry gets to the point of making allegations against an entire nation which has saved Jews three times in its history: Once during that time of a prime minister who was trying to kill the Jews, and the king saved the Jews; again during the time of Cyrus the Great, where he saved the Jews from Babylon, and during the Second World War, where Iran

saved the Jews,’ he said.

“‘We’re not about the annihilation of Jews,’ Zarif stressed, reminding the channel that 20,000 Jews reside in Iran ‘in peace’ and even have their own representative in parliament.

“‘We have a history of tolerance and cooperation and living together in coexistence with our own Jewish people, and with Jews everywhere in the world. If people want to espouse fear mongering to fan such hysteria in the world, that’s to their

detriment,' Zafir said."

Here is the report.

And here is one of the most truthful and accurate assessments that I have ever read of why the U.S. is enmeshed in all of these current conflicts in the Middle East.

Had Case Two referenced above even remotely resembled Case One, we would be in the middle of World War III right now. Yet, President Lyndon Johnson and the U.S. government allowed a foreign country to commit a flagrant act of military aggression against a U.S. naval vessel—killing dozens of American sailors and Marines in the process—with no repercussions whatsoever. It even chose to cover up the incident and pretend it never happened. Why? Because the attacking country was Israel—a supposed ally of the United States and a nation that our government often uses to do much of its dirty work in the Middle East. Not to mention the fact that the Israeli lobby is the most influential and wealthiest lobby in Washington, D.C.; or the fact that the false doctrine of "Christian Zionism" (what an oxymoron) literally governs the prevailing politics among a majority of America's churches—especially the largest ones lead by politically-powerful televangelists; or that a majority of U.S. congressmen and senators are joined at the hip (and bank account) with the Israeli lobby.

In much the same way, the U.S. government has chosen to cover up the attacks that killed a U.S. ambassador and other Americans in Benghazi. Why? Because the truth surrounding Benghazi would help expose what the U.S. government is really doing in the Middle East and would implicate our government's role in facilitating terrorists.

It's time for Americans to start facing the cold, harsh reality that our federal government cares absolutely NOTHING about the lives of American citizens. It didn't give a hoot in

hades about the victims of the USS Liberty, and it didn't give a hoot in hades about Ambassador Chris Stevens and the others who died in Benghazi. But let Iran (a nation that poses absolutely no imminent threat to the United States) protect its own territorial waters (with no harm to any American citizen), and the national press corps and their neocon cohorts in Washington, D.C., are ready to start World War III.

This is all political theater, folks. It's not about protecting the United States. It's not about protecting the American people. It's all about protecting the government's hidden agendas and its own derriere.

P.S. Once again, I invite readers to view my four-message DVD entitled, "The Church And Israel." This was our most popular sermon DVD of 2015. The titles of the four messages (on one DVD) are:

- The Presentation and Rejection of The King
- "An High Priest For Ever After The Order of Melchisedec"
- Jesus: The Seed Of David, The Seed Of Abraham
- Christ's Last Words To Israel

This four sermon DVD is available.

© 2016 Chuck Baldwin – All Rights Reserved

---

## **When law breakers become the law makers**

I just returned from Tennessee where some of my friends have been working heroically trying to get the Tennessee Legislature to do their duty and uphold their Constitutional



oath.

While the airwaves are abuzz with concern about how “conservative” Donald Trump is and whether or not he can be trusted to handle the office of the Presidency the American people were just under-cut by some of those same “conservatives” in Tennessee.

Yes, my friends, Donald Trump should be the least of our worries.

Brave Tennessee State Representative Mark Pody introduced legislation in the House this month that would have told the tyrannical Federal Government and their out-of-control Supreme Court to go pound sand in regards to homosexual “marriage.” Mark Pody’s bill was the first of its kind in the nation and would have nullified the un-constitutional Obergefell decision forcing sodomy-based marriage on the citizens of Tennessee.

We are living under a powerful unelected oligarchy the likes of which this once- free nation has never seen. The Constitutional Republic under which this nation was founded was designed to keep such tyranny from occurring.

But no nation can survive when the biggest law breakers are the law-makers. This government of, by, and for the people can only survive if we, the people, demand obedience to the law by those who have been elected to serve.

America is a captured nation and those who have sworn to protect and defend our rights are negligent in that duty.

I learned again this week that Donald Trump is the least of our worries.

You can read all about the goings on in Nashville by visiting Courts Cannot Make Law. Here is what happened in a nutshell.

Representative Pody introduced House Bill 1412 which was written to permit the State of Tennessee to declare that the

Obergefell opinion was not binding in the State of Tennessee. It seems that there was already a law on the books that defined marriage as a union of one man and one woman. That law had passed with nearly 82% of the vote of the people. Nothing in the Supreme Court decision changed the law in Tennessee.

There is no Federal law on the books regarding marriage. There was one, however, until 2013, a Federal law known as The Defense of Marriage Act defined marriage as a union ONLY of one man and one woman. That law was passed in 1996 and signed by Bill Clinton. It was the "law of the land" until overturned by a Supreme Court opinion in 2013. Then, in 2015, the Supreme Court "legalized" homosexual marriage, an act that they have no legal authority to perform.

The bill in Tennessee was nothing more than an affirmation of existing law and the enforcing of both the 9th and 10th amendment rights of the citizens of Tennessee. Marriage is a State issue and out of the jurisdiction of the Federal Government.

Today, Mark Pody's bill was killed in a sub committee hearing thereby blocking the bill from making it to the floor where it was sure to pass. The committee, made up of 3 Republicans and 2 Democrats failed to deliver the three votes necessary to keep the bill alive.

One of the "conservative" members of the committee proudly announced that he was a Christian and that he did not need to get God's approval on how he would vote on the bill. This "Christian Conservative" voted to reject the bill and God's definition of marriage. The bill died by a vote of 4-1.

Donald Trump is the least of our worries.

The bill to protect traditional marriage in Tennessee was fought every step of the way by Focus on the Family associated Family Action Council of Tennessee. David Fowler the head of this "Christian" organization ran interference for his

Republican buddies to make sure that the bill would not make it to the floor. Fowler, the head of FACT did everything he could to insure that this bill would never see the light of day.

Donald Trump is the least of our worries.

For eight weeks faithful volunteers worked diligently on the ground in Tennessee to raise up church/pastor support for the bill. Getting the pastors involved in the affairs of the nation is almost an impossible task. They choose to leave earthly matters to the control of Satan's imps. Donald Trump is the least of our worries.

There was a public hearing held today regarding the bill. The room was filled with Christians as they looked in the eyes of the officials who had sworn to uphold the Constitution. Testimony was heard from witnesses called before the committee. NOT ONE witness spoke in support of homosexual marriage. The bill died with no spoken opposition from the homosexual mafia.

America is dying because our pastors will not carry the torch for Christian values. America is dying because "conservative" politicians will not stand up for the people they have sworn to represent. The marriage bill died a silent death today for lack of a second. Why would we expect elected officials to carry a torch that our Christian leadership refuses to pick up?

Did I tell you that David Fowler is available to speak to one of your "conservative" groups? Jesus warned us that "our enemies will be those of our own household."

With conservative friends like Fowler and company Trump is the least of our worries.

2016 Dave Daubenmire – All Rights Reserved

---

# Shut up, you racist

This week we celebrated Martin Luther King Day as a national holiday. You remember Rev. King. He was that poor patzer who wanted to be judged by the content of his character rather than the color of his skin. Well, you can't always get what you want.

Our nation's colleges and universities celebrated King Day by stoking the fires of racial animosity. That's part of a higher education, these days.

At Oregon State University last week, students were roped into an "Implicit Bias workshop." In this feast of tomfoolery, they were told, among other things, that ordering a cup of coffee was pretty much the moral equivalent of a white policeman shooting a black man; it is, at the very least, microaggression, not to mention implicit bias. Somehow having a cup of coffee contributes to injustice, racism, and inequality. Probably to Global Warming, too, while we're at it.

But how, why, does ordering a coffee constitute implicit bias? Yes, someone actually had the nerve to ask that. Probably a racist. Alas, we'll never know how or why, because the nitwit conducting the workshop couldn't find an answer. Don't you love it when you ask your professor a question, and he doesn't know?

Shut up, you racist.

The students at Elon University were treated to a visit by TV chowderhead Melissa Harris Perry, who was invited there to give a Martin Luther King commemorative speech. She did not talk about judging people by the content of their character.

You'll never guess what she did give a speech about, so I might as well tell you. She harangued the students on "ontological blackness."

Wow! Ontological blackness—what's that?

Do you really want to know? I'm sure I don't. This is the same Melissa Perry who appeared on the air once with tampon earrings—somehow it failed to start a fashion trend—to show her enthusiastic support for abortion. Given that blacks' babies are aborted at a rate of twice that of any other group's, and that the founder of Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger, publicly stated as one of her goals the eradication of black people as an "inferior race," why was there no one to call Melissa out on that? Like, if she really is so fond of black people, why is she cheerleading for the abortion mill? How does she get away with that outrageous double standard?

Shut up, you racist.

Then there's Portland Community College, P.C.C. for short. The initials could also stand for Political Correctness College, if that is not a tautology.

PCC has got those hoity-toity universities beat. They have initiated a "Whiteness History Month". It may be only a community college for those not smart enough to get into a real college, let alone a university; but it is right in the thick of the race race. Which sounds like a tautology but isn't.

This "educational project," as they call it, will show how the "construct of whiteness" leads to racial inequality. The term "construct" denotes that "whiteness" is not real. Nope, it's just something dreamed up by racists and only exists because people think it does. It's not real, but it's still this gigantic socio-political problem that has to be dealt with by highly-paid educators who get very nice pension packages. They will teach the younger generation "the learning tasks of

Critical Race Theory.”

What—you want to know what the devil Critical Race Theory is?  
Shut up, you racist.

“Whiteness History Month” is the creation of the Campus Diversity Council, dedicated to achieving “diversity” by enforcing uniformity of thought.

Have I perceived this wrongly, or are these colleges trying to make people more racist than they used to be? Do America’s educators view racial peace and harmony as some kind of calamity, to be prevented at all costs—and, where it exists, destroyed? In the name of “social justice”—trust liberals to give a bad name to “justice”—do they teach young people to hate and fear each other—based on the color of their skin?

How else would you expect pure pluperfect idiots to celebrate Martin Luther King?

The American university—where minds and morals go to die.

2016 Lee Duigon – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The making of an American west rebellion**

THE COWBOY’S PROMISE: “If you push me once, I’ll ask you what your problem is. If you push me again be prepared to defend your life! -Ron Ewart

Well ladies and gentlemen the fact is, the government has pushed the cowboy, the rancher, the farmer and the rural landowner way more than once. What happened in Southeastern

Nevada in 2014 at the Bundy Ranch and what is now happening at the Malheur Nature Preserve near the Hammond Ranch in Southeastern Oregon is because government has been pushing and pushing and pushing until the Western "Cowboy" had no other choice but to challenge government authority .... again! The Sage Brush Rebellion of the 1970's is being reborn.

In our last article entitled, "Enviro-Wacos Wont Be Happy Until the Bundy Militia is Dead" we explained why this Gestapo-like pressure is being exerted on the American West landowner by agencies of the federal government. The reason is out-of-control, unchecked, irrational national and international radical environmentalism in the form of the UN's Agenda 21, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA), with government as the enforcer, lobbied and sued constantly by radical environmentalists. To give you a flavor of how much environmentalists hate the rancher, farmer and rural landowner, read the following response to the Oregon standoff by Seattle area, city-born and raised birdwatcher, Peggy Garber: ([www.garbers.com](http://www.garbers.com)) and ([peggy@garbers.com](mailto:peggy@garbers.com))

"Just a friendly warning from the birding and wildlife photography community to the Oregon terrorists. We are watching your every move, and we have been watching you for a long time. And yes absolutely you are domestic terrorists of the worst kind, and the truth about your decades of constant poaching of protected wildlife around Malheur and other wildlife refuges, national parks, national forests and BLM lands has been well-documented. For years those of us who are wildlife photographers, birdwatchers and careers of wildlife, have been documenting the activities of you poachers and criminals around many of our nation's wildlife refuges. With our powerful cameras, and ability to move unseen in the wilderness, we have found and documented your illegal hunts, your illegal traps and all sorts of illicit activities, and are constantly feeding that information to law enforcement,

and we have finally got many of you poachers on the run and into jails. And I for one am a westerner sick to death of you welfare queens and cheats living off of BLM land, illegally gutting our wilderness and our wildlife. Wildlife photographers and wildlife/bird watchers now number some 40 million people in the USA, and feed many rural western economies with our tourism dollars, and we will not stand for your sedition.

As Oregon's Congressman Earl Blumenauer just stated, "Armed insurrection is terrorism. The situation at the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge shouldn't be allowed to fester but should be dealt with firmly, swiftly and fairly. The continued disruption to the community of Burns and occupation of a federal facility is unacceptable. Those involved should be arrested and prosecuted"

And for those of us who are also lawyers (I for example just happen to have a law degree of U of Oregon), whether the Feds prosecute you or not (and we will do all in our power to ensure they do), we will put every civil suit against you and God knows you have given us plenty to work with, so you will know once and for all that your odious actions have real consequences.

We stand now and forever with wildlife, and you seditionists and terrorists are about to find out that's there is a natural law of karma that vindictive people, who go out and poach innocent animals, will never be able to outrun or hide from.

Peggy is hardly alone in her beliefs as she so states. But Peggy has a law degree, so watch out! Note that she stands rabid-like with wildlife but she makes no mention of constitutionally protected property rights, or legal allotment rights that run with deeds to the land. Environmentally-saturated government agencies (BLM, USFW, Forest Service) have overturned, ignored, or illegally rolled over these allotments.



Peggy won't be happy until the entire West is gobbled up by the federal government and turned into her own personal wildlife refuge so she can watch birds and take pictures. It makes no difference to her that well over half the protein Americans and a good portion of non-Americans consume, is raised in the Western United States that she would like to see locked up.

And it's not just birds these radical environmentalists want to protect. We have to have carnivores, (people eaters) like wolves and grizzly bears, re-entered into the wilderness as well. It doesn't make any difference that wolves and grizzly bears decimate cattle, sheep and wild deer and elk herds, kill domestic animals and sometimes little kids. Oh, and let's not forget that these wolves and grizzly bears have to have protected habitat, millions of acres of protected habitat that infringe on private property with insanely wide buffers and no public access. If you shoot one of these meat eaters to protect yourself, you go to jail.

Then we have to save every river, stream, creek, lake, dry wash and riverbed for salmon that the Indians harvest 50% of the catch each year because of the 1974 Federal Judge Boldt decision. The government has spent and is spending billions of your tax dollars restoring fish habitat and in so doing they have violated constitutionally protected property rights with reckless abandon.

Just ask Raven Webb of Southwestern Washington State on what salmon habitat restoration of the Grays River did to her property. They flooded her land and her home and literally drove her off of it. But after they flooded her land, the offending environmental NGO's offered her 10 cents on the dollar for it. There was no eminent domain, no legal condemnation and no just compensation for her. There was just inundation and confiscation. Confiscation, by any other name, is stealing. Read her story [HERE](#).

In addition, private landowners and other taxpayers get to pay for brand new, very expensive habitat culverts under every road in the Western States so the little fishies and furry creatures can travel up or downstream, unimpeded by the White man's arrogance and his damnable roads. Can you imagine how many streams and rivers our public roads cross? The number of crossings is in the tens of thousands. Can you then imagine how much it is going to cost to replace every stream crossing with expensive habitat culverts? Nevertheless, the "law" demands it and the taxpayer gets to pay for it.

It doesn't end there. Because of a supposedly endangered two-inch fish in Southern Oregon and Northern California Rivers, the Indians, environmentalists and the government have shut off irrigation waters to one of the most fertile agricultural areas in America and put over 40,000 farmers and field hands out of work, not to mention the loss of crops and millions of dollars in lost revenue.

Now, the Indians, environmentalists and the government want to destroy dams on rivers for fish habitat and in so doing remove electricity production, flood control and irrigation that farmers, ranchers and even city folk have benefited from for over 100 years. That's not just nuts, it's criminal. Had California been building more dams for electricity production, flood control and irrigation they wouldn't be having a water shortage right now. But environmentalists won't let them build dams.

When there is no food to eat or meat to consume, or the price is so high only the rich can eat, blame the Indians, the environmentalists and the government.

But you see ladies and gentlemen, long before we run out of food and rangeland to grow protein for our very survival, or the prices get too high, there will be a rebellion in the West, as "Cowboys" punctuate their promise of "push me again and prepare to defend your life." These non-city-bred souls

are rugged, trained to the forces of nature and prepared to give their lives to protect their rights if you push them too far. They have already been pushed too far and their patience wears very thin. The itching to push back is becoming irresistible. The occupation at the Malheur Nature Preserve in Oregon is a manifestation of the itch to push back.

Several times we have written that major changes to a nation, a culture, a financial system, or commerce are triggered by a "Flash Point." Wars, revolutions, rising government tyranny, cultural, financial and political upheaval and major national disasters are examples of those flash points. Starve the people and they will rise up because they have nothing to lose. Take away rights the masses perceive to be theirs by nature or by God and the people will rise up. Over-regulate or strangle the people's lifestyle, as government is doing to rural landowners across America and the people will rise up as they are doing in Oregon. The Oregon standoff could be one of those flash points that trigger's a Western land war between landowners, the government, the Indians and radical environmentalists.

Lie to the people about important events and the people will rise up when they discover the lie. Today's government lie is radical environmentalism that includes millions of locked-up acreage, purposely manipulated climate change science and endangered species laws.

But America is wholly different than any other country. In America when the people rise up against government they have weapons ala the Second Amendment. Most in the West take the Second Amendment very seriously and are heavily armed.

Already another armed group from Idaho has told the FBI that they are going to take up armed positions around the Malheur Nature Preserve compound. Although the Bundy militia occupiers don't want them there, the fact that more armed citizens are coming to Oregon is an indication of the "brush fire" about to

erupt in the West. The previous standoffs at the Jarbidge River in Northern Nevada and the Klamath Bucket Brigade event in Southern Oregon against federal government agencies are further examples of a growing "brush fire."

Besides the organized militias in America, there are the Oath Keepers and the Three Percenters that have their eyes and ears wide open to what government is doing. They are following the Bundy and Hammond events very closely.

We warn those who would light that "brush fire", be it government, environmentalists, Indians, bird watchers, carnivore lovers, or socialists alike, you are literally playing with fire. Push too hard and you will set off a land war that will sweep across the West like a wind-driven grass fire. People must understand that when a forest fire is lit, there is no telling which way it will go. The question is, what (or who) will set it off? Could it be the takeover of Western private and public lands by the government the Indians and the environmentalists? Could it be Obama Care, illegal aliens getting amnesty, Syrian refugees, Cap and Trade, gun control, foreign terrorism, the one-world-order, radical environmentalism, endangered species law, the fraud that is climate change, the brainwashing of our kids in our public schools, or trashing the Constitution by politicians? Was Jefferson right? Does a society need a revolution every hundred years? Messy, but could it be necessary if freedom is to be defended, maintained and preserved?

Because Americans have lived under the umbrella of freedom for over 230 years, the pilot light of liberty burns in the hearts of most of us. Although slow to ignite after years of inattention, freedom's flame is rising out of the ashes of neglect and apathy. Spot fires of freedom are erupting all over the nation. Obama has lit many of those spot fires. After years of neglect, America is coming alive like never before. Trump is playing into those spot fires with great success.

It would be wise for the forces of evil who would attempt to drag us where we don't want to go, to take a step back before the raw fury of armed American power is unleashed on those without honor, who have betrayed our trust, ripped up our blue print of liberty, attempted to destroy our American heritage and are working to tear our institutions of freedom asunder. We do not advocate or condone violence but there comes a point, as Winston Churchill so aptly stated and so often repeated:

“If you will not fight for right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory is sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may even be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

The cowboys, ranchers, farmers and landowners of America, whether West or East, are right in their fight to preserve constitutional property rights. Because without property rights, no other rights are possible. The National Association of Rural Landowners (NARLO) are staunch advocates for the American rural landowner. We are celebrating our 10th year.

[NOTE: The forgoing article is the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the opinion of NewsWithViews.com, it's employees, representatives, or other contributing writers.]

2016 Ron Ewart – All Rights Reserved

---

# Profiteers of governing – and these are the ones who got caught

“Few men have the virtue to withstand the highest bidder.” - George Washington

How is it that American politicians are garnering so much wealth? During the 113th congressional session, 268 of the 534 members were millionaires.

Here is a short list of some examples of the profiteers of the governing body:

- Richard Blumenthal of Connecticut, also classmates with Bill and Hilary Clinton, is worth \$52.93 million dollars.
- Scott Peters of California is worth \$112.5 million.
- Governor Rick Scott of Texas has a net worth \$147 million.
- Michael McCaul of Texas is worth 10th congressional district also the chair of the house on homeland security is worth over \$300 million.
- John Delaney of Maryland has founded numerous companies that are now listed on the New York stock exchange, and he is the only CEO of a publicly traded company to serve in the 113th congress. His net worth is \$232 million.
- Jared Polis of Colorado, who is the first, openly sodomite parent to serve in Congress, who has a net worth of \$72.09 million.
- John Kerry, former US senator and current Secretary of State which was earned primarily throughout his quarter-century-long service, and through his wife, Theresa Heinz Kerry, heiress to the Heinz ketchup fortune and also a beneficiary to a number of trusts from the Forbes family fortune. Kerry is worth \$198.65 million.
- Mark Warner of Virginia holds a very large amount of wealth,

estimated at \$85.81 million. Warner made a lot of money during the telecom boom of the 1980s.

- Darrell Issa of California is worth \$140.55 million. Issa was the richest official in the U.S., but has been recently been dethroned by a southern Governor, Tennessee's Bill Haslam, thanks to cheap gasoline. Bill's net worth is \$2 billion dollars.

- Diane Feinstein, also from California, has a net worth \$41.78 million.

Are not these the politicians who, in many cases, are guilty of attacking, perverting, distorting, and violating constitutional law in an attempt to set a new course for the American people (Proverbs 24:21)?

How is the president worth \$7 Million dollars when his pay is \$400,000 a year? He has only been in office for 7 years!

Majority/Minority leaders make an average of \$194,400 year.

Not only was a ship owned by a member of Mitch McConnell's family busted transporting cocaine, but McConnell was also accused by Alison Lundergan of quadrupling his "net worth" on the backs of Kentuckians that can't afford it.

McConnell is the 10th richest senator, with a net worth of between \$9.2 million and \$36.5 million. How is that?

Harry Reid's net worth is between \$3 and \$10 million. How is that? Nancy Pelosi's net worth is \$26.43 million. How is that?

How is it that in many cases they enter service with little to nothing and before you know it, they are self-made millionaires?

Is it inside trading? Selling out to special interest groups? Fraud? Embezzlement?

In 2010, more than 150 lawmakers reported earning more from outside investments than from congressional salary. It is my understanding that they have been entrusted by the people and

for the people (Matthew 24:12).

It seems that many of these politicians fail to understand that government is not an auction as they sell their souls, the country along with the American people to the highest bidders (Mark 8:36).

Compare all of the above information to the average yearly salary of an American soldier, which is between \$23,424-\$88,924 per year, or to the average social security recipient \$1,335 per month.

Yet, the American people have allowed these Judas' to dictate that which is right and that which is wrong when it comes to legislation in contrast to what has been established through common law (Deuteronomy 16:19).

And what should be of interest to the American people is the course of our founding forefathers who mutually pledged their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honors (John 15:13) in direct contrast to the modern politicians who pledges to take the lives and fortunes of others to their own everlasting shame and dishonor.

There are many cases where justice was not done concerning corrupt representatives. The list goes on from A to Z of those who were sent to serve the people, who were caught illegally serving themselves.

It is for the American people to "guard with jealous attention the public liberty" and to "suspect everyone who approaches that jewel." -Patrick Henry

I am sorry to say that the American people have failed in the area of justice (Amos 5:7).

The lawless are winning only by default! Americans, for some reason or other, believe that ridiculing and mocking these criminals is enough. But the fact of the matter is that it is



not!

“Nothing will preserve it (Liberty) but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are inevitably ruined.”  
–Patrick Henry

One of the most common questions that I been receiving from radio show listeners is, “How is it that Obama and the Clintons are still out there after being exposed for scandal after scandal?” (P.S. Bill and Hilary Clinton combined net worth is \$125 million.)

I simply answer, “That these corrupt politicians represent America’s tolerance for criminals in their government. If it were not so, then why are they still there?”

© 2016 Bradlee Dean – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The occupy refuge movement**

The militia has not taken over the 187,000 acre Malheur Wildlife Refuge.

I have lived here in the high desert ranching community since Ammon Bundy was learning how to walk. I have known the Sheriff David Ward since his kids were small. They were over at my house all the time. He helped build fence on our place. His oldest son and daughter still live next door.

I have been in contact with people on the ground since before this began. Some locals brought the occupiers food the very first night of the occupation and I hear about a lot of local support. But there is a lot of irresponsible media out there saying false and foolish things, looking for conflict in order to get headlines and sometimes to promote their own agenda.

Ammon Bundy and some of the people with him are there occupying some tiny part of a huge federal wildlife refuge and have gone in some empty public buildings for shelter in order to get media attention to what they see as a serious problem.

They have already said they would be going home soon.

Was the occupation wise? That depends on what you, the people, do.

No one should be calling them "anti-government" and "aggressors" because you are just playing into the hands of provocateurs. Were they armed? Everyone in Oregon is armed especially on the East side of the Cascades. There are at least 3.4 million guns here in Oregon and only 3.8 million people. Figuring that the babies don't have guns that is probably enough for every man from the ages of 17 to 45 to be well armed.[1] People still have a right to self defense in this state.[2]

These occupiers are protesters who are complaining about what they see as Federal government abuse by some people who work at the BLM and in the Federal government.

Anyone who reads the Hammond's whole story[3] with an open mind can hardly say otherwise. Congressman Greg Walden spoke on the situation in Harney County, Oregon and he believes that the Federal Government employees often overreach their authority in the West. He is the government and you can hear what he has to say at our website.[4] To some this injustice and abuse is an issue, to others it is a news item, but to people who are the victims it is often their whole lives.

Just because a court says you're guilty does not necessarily make it so. Just because a jury gave a verdict does not make it justice or mercy.[5] If you think there is an injustice taking place you should not be afraid to throw a little tea into the harbor to get the king's attention or occupy a few empty buildings to get media attention.

In 1970 former U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder was a part of an armed takeover of the Naval Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) headquarters at Columbia University demanding it be renamed the "Malcolm X Lounge".

They are also not "outsiders" unless they are from Syria or China or some other country since this is a Federal issue which they are protesting. With no Federal experience,[6] Amanda Marshall was appointed by the president to be the U.S. Attorney for Oregon. She made a rare appeal to overturn the sentence given by the original Senior Chief Judge Hogan[7] with almost 40 years experience and actually heard the testimony in the Hammond case and worked to extend it to five years. After winning this appeal, she suddenly stepped down from her job.[8]

As an accomplished attorney in several states and author of law books, my father consistently told us about corruption high up in the court system. There are good judges and attorneys, politicians and law officers and there are bad ones. And it is the people's job to expose injustice and abuse when you see it.

The media does not take the time to find out the facts. Instead, they report the words of people like Montel Williams "put this down ... shoot to kill" and Zoe Carpenter who continue to demonize these people with phrases like, "lead an armed insurrection".

Tim Titus, a local business man, pastor and member of Harney County community actually took the time with other members of the community to go and meet with Bundy and the people occupying public buildings. Once they got passed the media camping on the road they just drove in, met with them for more than an hour and even asked to pray with them saying that they were "more than willing and hats came off all around the room." [9]

That may have been a crime in the eyes of some people. After all, praying in a public place is not considered legal anymore by some people and courts today.

Terry Lynn Barton, a forest service employee, pleaded guilty to arson charges stemming from the 2002 Hayman Fire which was the worst wildfire in Colorado's recorded history, blackened 138,000 acres, destroyed 133 homes and forced more than 8,000 people to evacuate. But she only did six-year term in prison. The Hammonds are going to have to do 10 years, pay \$400,000 dollars and the government has demanded the right to buy their ranch if they go bankrupt or have to sell. The BLM simply stipulated a 400,00 dollar fine despite the fact that a conservation agent and a Fire Specialist, Roy Hogue, had both testified there was no damage from the fire but that the "land productivity had improved; no fire suppression or rehabilitation costs existed." Read more:[10]

So why this huge fine?

Why the drive for such an abusive sentence?

To force the Hammonds to sell their home, business and land to the government. Hundreds of ranchers have already lost their homes, land and livelihood. Hundreds more all over the west not only see the danger coming but are openly threatened. Americans need to take the time to find out the whole truth.

These are men that love government, just government. They have taken the time to study the Constitution because they see their neighbors abused by the new George the III. Who is that you ask?

Justice William O. Douglas wrote, "We must realize that today's Establishment is the new George III. Whether it will continue to adhere to his tactics, we do not know. If it does, the redress, honored in tradition, is also revolution... the truth is that the vast bureaucracy now runs this country, irrespective of what party is in power." [11]

Those in position of power have been getting away with this corruption for a long time and the corruption goes farther up. Few people would even know about this case if not for people occupying the refuge.[12] Ultimately these people occupying these tiny distant public buildings in protest of a long string of injustices are looking for refuge from what they see as abuse of their neighbor. They know they are not going to get it with an armed protest and occupying a few buildings out on the desert. They want Americans to wake up and start caring about justice and mercy for one another.

They may or may not be entirely right but they are risking their lives to get your attention. What they are doing is dangerous but if the people of Harney County and Oregon and America will peaceably support their call for justice and mercy good may yet come. There is movement.

2016 shall be a notable year.[13] We need to be wise and call upon the character of the Lord. We should be patient and not aggressive against those who stand up first for justice and mercy.

Certainly there may be provocateurs among us but that is all the more reason to reach out like Tim Titus and other brave people who are coming together to seek a peaceable settlement and a just conclusion for all.[14]

We know what is going on outside and inside The Occupy Refuge Movement.[15] But more than that we know where the real solution can be found.

Unfortunately many people who want to know the truth do not want to know the whole truth and provide for it.

Join the living Network

© 2016 Brother Gregory Williams – All Rights Reserved

Footnotes:

1. Who is the Malitia
2. DO CHRISTIANS HAVE A RIGHT TO SELF DEFENSE? PART 1
3. Hammond
4. Greg Walden addresses U.S. House on situation in Harney County, OR, federal overreach in the West Time 24:19
5. Weightier matters
6. She had been a part of "child advocacy" for the Oregon Department of Justice and deputy district attorney in Coos County but no prior experience in the federal system.
7. Michael Robert Hogan had been a district court judge since 1973 served as chief judge from 1995 to 2002, and took senior status on September 24, 2011. He then retired the day he sentenced the Hamonds.
8. She was under investigation by Office of Inspector General. But claimed health reasons.
9. The Occupy Refuge Movement Tim Titus visits Occupy Movement
10. Hammond
11. Justice William O. Douglas, in his book Points of Rebellion, 1969 (page 95, page 54).
12. He has obstructed the Administration of Justice, by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary powers. He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.  
He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harrass our people, and eat out their substance.  
He has kept among us, in times of peace, Standing Armies without the Consent of our legislatures.  
He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.  
He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation: The Declaration of Independence
13. "The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before that great and notable day of the Lord come:"

Acts 2:20

14. John 3:17 For God sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through him might be saved.

15. The Occupy Refuge Movement

---

## **Societal exhaustion in our high speed world: slow it down**

You live in a high speed, high stress society. Everything ramps you up to meet deadlines, reach class on time and excel at work. You face texting, emails and Smart Phone recordings. If you're a parent, you must take the kids to soccer practice, swim meets and sports contests.

(Paddle your boat at your speed, your joy and your contentment your whole life through. If you find choppy waters, paddle toward calmer ones. If you like slow smooth glides, paddle toward a lagoon. If you like wild raging surf, paddle toward the ocean. At all times, you captain your boat.) Photography by Frosty Wooldridge

You rush to work via gridlocked traffic, high-pressure bosses and a never-ending "to do" list awaiting you at work. Later you struggle to maintain your fitness in Zumba dance or spin classes. You feel like a gerbil on the running track where you try to read a Kindle book of your favorite author.

Smart phones today offer a quad-trillion "apps" in order to keep you staring into cyberspace for ten lifetimes, but you can never catch up. All television commercials urge you to buy

the “fastest” Internet speed offered.

Nicholas Sparks made you cry your eyes out as you read “Safe Haven” or “Notebook” while pedaling a stationary bicycle. If you’re a young or married student, you face papers, tests and pop quizzes.

Added to the speed of our society, you face an exceedingly complicated and specialized society. If someone fails to fulfill his or her job description, you must work overtime to carry the load. The more responsible you become, the more stress you must endure. People at work may bug you, which increases your stress levels beyond imagination.

Add it up. What do you see? How do you feel? Where can you escape? Any chance of solving your stress levels? How do you cope with your own enervation?

If you look around America today, you see “societal exhaustion” manifesting in ever-increasing magnitude.

You may try to engage a few techniques that work for me in my own 50 hours a week work schedule.

First of all, your mind enlightens your consciousness. You choose the rapidity of your life by your awareness that you cannot continue “speeding” through your life. Take a deep breath! Let go of things that bug you and turn away from people who sap your energy. Let go of trying to be perfect for yourself or others. Let go of grievances.

Second, think about activities that deepen your being. Yoga! It allows you breathing and centering. Meditation 10 minutes a day creates a new quiet! Hot tub relaxes your muscles even if only your bathroom basin “Earthing” where you shove your feet into the dirt, sand or place them onto a rock surface. You want to re-harmonize your vibrations with the natural frequencies of the universe. Call your mind and body back to your center.



Third, volunteer your time to a worthy cause that satisfies your heart. You may teach kids art at a “free school.” You might help at an old folks home. Try teaching math, writing, language or other courses in your expertise to those less fortunate. Giving of yourself equates to love in action. Giving love makes another person feel good and you feel good.

Fourth, look forward to your transformation by imagining yourself into your highest and best. A new “you” may be birthed by stepping into your learned lessons supplied by life when you step out of the “box” that fails you at this time. Too much speed in your life may be changed to the “perfect speed.”

While the world spins out of control, you gather yourself toward becoming a happy, calm and tranquil “you.” While the great weights of the world bear down on all of us via the newspapers, radio and television—you maintain the singular ability to create your daily life.

Instead of “societal exhaustion” depleting you, turn toward your highest and best. Turn toward slow and steady. Change course from a Maserati lifestyle to the speed of a canoe. You remain the captain of your body, mind and soul—your whole life through.

Set your course toward “HAPPINESS!”

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

**Sean Penn gets mixed up in**

# the Chapo Guzman case

Hollywood actors make lots of money pretending to be other people. But movie star Sean Penn has become part of a news story himself.

In a bizarre truth-is-stranger-than-fiction scenario, Sean Penn involved himself in – and may have inadvertently led to the capture of – Mexican drug lord Chapo Guzman.

In case any of our readers weren't aware of it, Joaquin "Chapo" Guzman Loera, billionaire drug lord of Mexico's Sinaloa Cartel, has been captured for the third time.

To back up a few decades, in 1993 Guzman was captured in Guatemala, extradited to Mexico and sentenced to prison for a twenty-year term. In 2001, Chapo escaped from the Jalisco state's Puente Grande prison.

In February of 2014, Chapo was captured in Mazatlan, without a shot being fired. (See Mexican Drug Lord 'Chapo' Guzman Captured in Mazatlan, Sinaloa and Mexican Drug Lord 'El Chapo' Guzman remains in the Limelight).

Then, in July of 2015, Chapo escaped from Mexico's Federal Social Readaptation Center No. 1 "Altiplano" the famous prison near Almoloya in the state of Mexico. Chapo escaped through a mile-long tunnel, the construction of which had been going on for quite some time and right under the noses of prison authorities. That of course strongly indicates some heavy-duty collusion with some prison officials.

That escape was especially embarrassing to Mexican president Enrique Pena Nieto, who was out of the country at the time. (See Our 2015 Summer Visit to Mexico and Could Mexico's Escaped Drug Baron Chapo Guzman be Back Home?)

On January 8th, 2016, Chapo was captured by the Mexican Navy

in the city of Los Mochis, Sinaloa. This time it was a real shootout, with five of Chapo's henchmen killed and a Mexican marine wounded. Besides Guzman, six other prisoners were taken.

(Click [here](#) for a helmet cam video of part of the operation, in a house from which Chapo escaped before being captured later).

On the evening of the next day, my wife and I were watching a report of the capture on the Univision channel. And they began talking about Sean Penn. Sean Penn?

Yes, that Sean Penn, star of various movies through the year and sometime political activist. That Sean Penn.

It emerged that, back in October, Sean Penn traveled to Mexico along with Kate Castillo, dual citizen Mexican/U.S. actress currently residing in LA. They secretly met with Chapo Guzman.

Sean Penn wanted to interview Chapo for an article in Rolling Stone. They ate together, and agreed to a formal interview later. As it turned out, the formal interview was later done, not in person by Sean Penn, but by means of a BBM device.

Fast forward to January 8th, when Chapo was captured. The very next day, Sean Penn's Rolling Stone article (including the interview) was published with an update about the capture.

That might indicate that either Sean Penn or Rolling Stone either wasn't ready to publish it or was waiting to publish it. I suppose when the news of Chapo's capture came out, they figured they better publish it right away when the story was hot.

If you'd like to see Sean Penn's article and judge it for yourself, [click here](#). Sean Penn describes in detail how the meeting was set up, how he and Kate and a few others went to Mexico, how they were taken to see Chapo, and of course

various personal reflections of Sean Penn and the drug war in general. Although entitled “El Chapo Speaks”, the article really has more of Sean Penn than Chapo Guzman.

And, if you want you can Watch El Chapo’s Exclusive Interview in Its 17-Minute Entirety, just click the hyper-link. It’s a video of Chapo Guzman outside, answering Sean Penn’s questions. These questions had been sent by BBM device, translated into Spanish, and posed to Chapo by a Spanish-speaker offscreen. English subtitles are provided.

Throughout the video there are distractions: people and a dog walking in the background, the sounds of background conversation, a machine and a frequently-crowing rooster.

Ironically, Sean Penn says in his article that he didn’t want to betray Chapo’s whereabouts to authorities. But according to Mexican officials, it was precisely the visit of Sean Penn and Kate del Castillo to Mexico that helped them to locate and later capture the drug lord. Sean Penn denies this, but how can he know for sure?

2016 Allan Wall – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Sticks, stones and words may break some black student’s bones**

Sticks and stones may break my bones, but words will never hurt me. –Proverb

America is dead,[1] and the vultures are ripping apart its rotting corpse. The new vultures that recently joined the New

Age, Satanist, gay, lesbian, feminist and transgender vultures already having a feeding frenzy on America's carcass are young, extremist blacks in colleges and universities.

Their main fighting tool is not an assault rifle, but political correctness. Their fighting strategy is the misuse of democracy. Their battle plan is to conquer "safe spaces" where they can feel protected from the threat of "micro aggressions."

These young blacks in American colleges and universities apparently have a monomaniac fixation with safety. Their mantra, repeated over and over, is "safety" – they need safe spaces to feel secure. Their concept of "safe spaces" is actually places where nobody can express ideas contrary to their beliefs.

Of late, the battle of these young blacks fighting for "safe spaces" has reached new, alarming heights. Black students at Yale complained about some Halloween costumes they considered offensive. Black students at Amherst College asked the president to apologize for the college's "institutional legacy of white supremacy, colonialism, anti-black racism, anti-Latin racism, anti-Native American racism, anti-Native/indigenous racism, anti-Asian racism, anti-Middle Eastern racism, heterosexism, cissexism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, ableism, mental health stigma, and classism." [2]

In November, both the President and Chancellor of the University of Missouri system in Columbia were forced to resign by an angry mob of black students who claimed they were responsible for racist policies on campus. [3] But this is not the most egregious case of young blacks' aggression against perceived or imagined white "aggression."

Black students at Amherst College turned on its mascot, Lord Jeff – or Lord Jeffery Amherst, the 18th-century military commander whom this college town is named for. Under pressure,

Union College will modify its centuries-old motto, "Under the laws of Minerva, we all become brothers," to add the word for "sisters." Georgetown University is renaming two buildings that previously honored slaveholders. Some black students at Harvard University have demanded that administrators replace the law school's official seal, which they claim is borrowed from the family seal of a slaveholder who helped found it.[4]

Faced with growing pressure from black students, colleges across the U.S. are updating or renaming campus fixtures that have been deemed insensitive or outdated. Inspired by racially-charged protests at the University of Missouri, students have demanded changes of that type among broader calls for improved treatment of minority students.

Just recently, students at a small Pennsylvania college are demanding that administrators rename a building called "Lynch Memorial Hall" because of the racial overtones of the word "lynch." [5] Princeton students occupied the university president's office demanding that the name Woodrow Wilson – America's 28th president and former President of Princeton – be erased from campus. That included the Woodrow Wilson School of Public Policy and International Affairs, residential halls and a mural of him in the dining hall. [6] Protesters also demanded the immediate implementation of "cultural competency training" to reeducate faculty members and the introduction of mandatory courses on marginalized peoples. [7]

Students at the University of Missouri recently demanded that in two years the faculty will be at least 10 percent black. [8] Some black students are also demanding that anything related to black culture – art, literature, music, history – must be taught by blacks only, not by whites.

All of this is pure, unadulterated racism. There is no other name for it. The color of a person's skin has nothing to do with his knowledge, experience and ability to be a college professor. Even more important is the fact that these students

not only want black professors but also black professors who make them feel safe, that is, professors who fully agree with their political beliefs.

Anyway, the least one can expect is that these racist, separatist blacks may be consistent with their own ideology – lack of consistency is the mark of the opportunist and the liar. Unfortunately, inconsistency is precisely what has characterized the separatist blacks' discourse. If they really believe what they say, they should ask not only for the banning of Clyde A. Lynch, but also ask Obama to fire Attorney General Loretta Lynch and ban pictures of Che Guevara on campus – Che's real name is Ernesto Guevara Lynch.

Moreover, they should ask for the erasing of anything honoring Martin Luther King – the name Martin Luther, a guy who defied the Pope, is highly offensive to black Catholics. Even worse, any mention of the word "King," must be banned, because it is highly offensive to Americans whose ancestors fought a revolutionary war against a king.

Also, it is a shame that many black athletes are football players, a game that can be traced to early versions of rugby football and association football. Both games have their origin in varieties of football exclusively played by whites in Britain in the mid-19th century. Black football players should immediately stop playing a game created by slave traders.

Furthermore, politically correct young black extremists should stop right now using iPhones created by white yuppies in Cupertino and manufactured by yellow semi-slaves in China. They should demand that in two years Apple employees be at least 10 percent black and that iPhones be manufactured in Africa by black semi-slaves – asking Apple to stop using semi-slave workers would be an unrealistic demand.

Likewise, they should stop speaking English, the language of

the American white slave masters, and begin speaking the languages of the African black slave masters.

In addition, it is a shame that a city in Georgia whose population is mostly black is named after a mythical island inhabited by a white, blue-eyed, blonde race much admired by the Nazis – Atlantis. I guess that the name Atlanta is very offensive to most American blacks. These politically correct black extremists must demand that the city's name be changed to something like Africana.

But wait, as black American scholar Nathan Huggins has pointed out, the "identity" of black Africans is a fiction created by European whites.[8] Actually, most of the so called "Africans" in Africa don't feel themselves as belonging to a particular geographic area, much less a continent Europeans call Africa, but to a particular tribe, like Ashanti, Watusi, Zulu, Yoruba, Bambará, etc. That perhaps explains why the Black Panthers rightly refused the denomination "African-American" and preferred to call themselves blacks, as evidenced in their assertion "black is beautiful."

Back to square one.

Also, these young black extremists should stop calling Obama "black," because he is not. Though his father was black, Obama's mother was Caucasian. Therefore, he is actually a half-breed, a mulatto. And I would bet that, at the bottom of his heart, he is not too happy about being called black. In most countries mulattos feel highly offended when somebody calls them blacks. Even more important, they should stop calling Obama "African-American" because, despite claims to the contrary, Mr. Obama is not African-American.

The qualification of African-American is applied only to citizens of the United States who have origins in the black peoples of Africa. It is not an ethnographic term but a political one. As many African-American militants have pointed



out, it expresses pride in their African origins and solidarity with others of the African Diaspora, particularly the ones brought to America as slaves. As author Debra Dickerson contended, "Black, in our political and social reality, means those descended from West African slaves." [9]

But Mr. Obama's ancestors in Kenya were not brought to America as slaves. Actually, there is a remote possibility that some of his ancestors may have been among the blacks in Africa who enriched themselves by making some of their own kin slaves and selling them to the Portuguese and other Europeans. Most of these slaves were acquired through intertribal wars or kidnappings. [10]

In the mid-eighties, one of the CFR-controlled think tanks developed the idea of calling American blacks "African-Americans." [11] With full support of the CFR-controlled mainstream media and academia, the term was rapidly adopted by most brainwashed blacks. In honor to truth, however, American blacks should call themselves AMERICAN-Africans. Contrary to Brazilian and Cuban blacks, who play African musical instruments, dance African music, speak some African languages and profess some African religions, there is not much African cultural influence in American blacks. Culturally, American blacks are 95 percent white American and 5 percent black African.

Still, now that young black extremists are deeply committed to the name-changing business it would be the right time to change the name of San Francisco's Castro Street, a name highly offensive both to blacks and gays, and rename it Batista Street. Cuba's black President Fulgencio Batista, a black man of humble origins, was overthrown in 1959 by white, rich, Jesuit-educated Fidel Castro, who quickly implemented his anti-black racist policies – Cubans say that the Castro government is like Mount Everest: the higher you go the whiter it gets. A few years later the Castro government began a systematic harassing of homosexuals and even created

concentration camps where homosexuals were interned for politically correct "reeducation." [12]

Instead of wasting their tuition money waging political battles they don't fully understand – indoctrination is the antithesis of education – these young, brainwashed black students should profit by studying Korzybki's dictum "the map is not the territory." [13] Words are just signs pointing to things, not the things themselves. You can change the name "torture" and rename it "enhanced interrogation technique," but it does not change the essential inefficiency and inhumanity of the act. You can change the name "global warming" and call it "climate change," but the scientific fact that human behavior does not significantly affect the weather cannot be changed.

Now, the big question is why university and college presidents have not exerted their authority to fight back the black student's irrationality and madness? Perhaps President Woodrow Wilson offered the answer a century ago.

In 1913, the year he became President, Wilson made a confession in his book *The New Freedom*:

Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.

University presidents are fully aware that there is a big power behind the extremist black student's claim for "free spaces." They know, or at least suspect, that behind their demands there is a power they cannot oppose: the power of the Invisible Government of the US. So, they prefer to resign and

cash their generous golden parachutes than opposing such a power that can easily destroy their careers and their lives.

There may be, however, more than meets the eye in the current fight of these young black extremists in search for “safe spaces.”

An American Cultural Revolution?

In his December 18, 2015, program, talk radio host Michael Savage devoted some time to express his theory that the current trend of growing political control of black students over colleges and universities is a reenactment of Mao's Cultural Revolution in China. I think Dr. Savage is onto something.

The Cultural Revolution was launched in May 1966, after China's dictator Mao Tse-tung declared that bourgeois elements had infiltrated the government and society at large, and were attempting to restore capitalism. Mao told the masses that these “revisionists” must be removed through violent class struggle. China's youth responded to Mao's call by forming the infamous Red Guards – groups of young people fully devoted to punishing the politically incorrect citizens. Under the banner of the Cultural Revolution the Red Guards harassed and persecuted millions of people who suffered a wide range of abuses including public humiliation, loss of jobs, arbitrary imprisonment, torture and seizure of property.

Are we in America experiencing the early steps of a Chinese-style Cultural Revolution? It might be. We have to remember that, after a trip to China in 1973, David Rockefeller, one of the key ideologues at the Council on Foreign Relations, wrote a report praising Mao for the great job he had done in China – a job that included the slaughtering of over 40 million people.

In his report, “From a China Traveler,” David shamelessly wrote:

One is impressed immediately by the sense of national harmony . . . Whatever the price of the Chinese Revolution it has obviously succeeded . . . in fostering high morale and community purpose. General social and economic progress is no less impressive. . . . The enormous social advances of China have benefited greatly from the singleness of ideology and purpose. The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in history.[14]

Most of the black student's fighting for "safe spaces" would be surprised to discover that, contrary to what they may think, those absurd ideas totally alien to Americans are not of their own, but have been implanted in their feeble, impressionable minds. Contrary to what they may think, political correctness is actually the creation of a few White Old Men specializing in psychological warfare. Most likely it was developed at the Stanford Research Institute, the Hudson Institute, the RAND Corporations or other of the CFR-controlled think tanks.

So, may it be that the growing movement evolving on campuses that is giving more political power to black youth is just another carefully planned PsyOp conceived at one of the CFR-controlled think tanks? Is this another tool to carry out a new social experiment: the destruction of America as a necessary step to implement their New Gay World Order?

Well, it might be.

The November events at the University of Missouri that ended with the resignation of both the President and Chancellor began when black student Jonathan Butler went on a hunger strike to protest what he called "revolting" acts of racism at Missouri. Soon after, black members of the university football team threatened to strike for the rest of the season unless Tim Wolfe, Mizzou's president, stepped down. Soon after, Wolfe stepped down.

A few days later, though, somebody found out that Butler was not part of the exploited masses of deprived young blacks but the son of a wealthy Union Pacific Railroad executive who made \$8.4 million in 2014. It is interesting to know that, almost since the Council on Foreign Relations was created in 1921, there have been close links between the CFR and Union Pacific.

W. Averell Harriman, who joined the CFR in 1923, two years after its founding, was originally an executive with the Union Pacific Railroad. Paul Warburg, another CFR founder and director (1921-1932) was one of the Union Pacific directors. Other Union Pacific executives with close links to the CFR were Robert Lovett, William Rockefeller and Jacob Schiff.

Currently, both James H. Evans, former Chairman and CEO of Union Pacific Corporation and Andrew H. Card, member of the UPC board of directors, are also CFR members. Though Union Pacific does not appear in the current CFR list of corporate members, it is a corporate member of the Mexican Council on Foreign Relations (Consejo Mexicano de Asuntos Internacionales), a CFR-controlled organization of the many that have mushroomed in Latin America belonging to what the globalist conspirators at the Harold Pratt House now call the Council on Councils.

Surprised that an organization of old, reactionary white men is backing the activities of young, revolutionary black students? Don't be so. This is not the only case.

One of the most rabidly anti-American organizations of young Hispanics of Mexican ancestry in the U.S. is the National Council of La Raza. Its ultimate goal is "la reconquista," or reconquest, of the Southwestern U.S. by Mexico.[15]

The man behind the growth and empowerment of La Raza was Raul Yzaguirre, its president and CEO from 1974 to 2004. Yzaguirre is a proud CFR member. As expected, La Raza survives thanks to generous grants from the CFR-controlled Ford, Carnegie and

Rockefeller foundations.[16]

Coincidence? Maybe, but I don't think so. All roads lead to Rome. Of course, CFR-controlled professional conspiracy deniers will strongly oppose those who dare to publicize this fact. The CFR conspirators and their ilk hate "truthers," and they brand them as "tin hat kooks."

It is not by chance that, since immemorial times, the elders, not the youth, have been the ones in control of the tribe. The reason is obvious. Old people have lived long and have more experience about life. Even more important, they are less prone to be influenced by apparently revolutionary ideas.

In contrast, the impressionable youth are easily captured by ideas they see as just or revolutionary, without realizing that some of these ideas have been planted in their impressionable minds by reactionary white people who use them as tools to advance their evil policies – something like what is currently happening in colleges and universities in America. After knowing David Rockefeller's view of Mao's social experiment in China, I would not discard the possibility that both "revolutions," the one that almost destroyed China and the one going on in America today, had been conceived by the same people at the same place: the Council on Foreign Relations.

Nevertheless, there is a big difference between China and America. Mao's Cultural Revolution was implemented at gunpoint over a mass of unarmed citizens – Mao once said that political power comes from the barrel of a gun. Here in America, however, the only thing that may prevent a bloody Maoist-style cultural revolution is the existence of an armed, alert and politically savvy mass of citizens.

2016 Servando Gonzalez – All Rights Reserved

Footnotes:

1. America did not commit suicide, as some claim. America was assassinated. It was a plan carefully conceived by the CFR's mafia and carried out by their hit men infiltrated into the U.S. government, the mainstream press, academia and the military.
2. Roger Kimball, "The Rise of the College Crybullies. The status of victim has been weaponized at campuses across the nation, but there is at least one encouraging sign," the Wall Street Journal, November 14, 2015.
3. Elliott C. McLaughlin, "University of Missouri president and chancellor step down amid race row," CNN, November 9, 2015.
4. Collin Blinkey, "Colleges update mascots, mottos, amid pressure from students," Associated Press, November 19, 2015.
5. The building is named after Clyde A. Lynch, who was president of Lebanon Valley College from 1932 until his death in 1950.
6. Actually, Woodrow Wilson should be re-evaluated as a traitor to America. See, Servando Gonzalez, Psychological...
7. An indication that the black student's battle is not racially, but politically motivated is the fact that Condoleezza Rice, former US Secretary of State, was forced to withdraw from a campus event at Rutgers University. According to some militant students, Ms. Rice, a black woman, was a "war criminal" that supported the Iraq invasion.
8. Nathan Irving Huggins, *Black Odyssey: The African-American Ordeal in Slavery* (New York: Pantheon Books, 1990).
9. Debra Dickerson, "Colorblind," Salon.com, January 22, 2007.
10. Contrary to what is taught at U.S. government schools (the ones disingenuously called "public schools"), British, Portuguese, Dutch or Spanish slave traders rarely penetrated far beyond the coasts: they actually bought slaves already captured by other tribes. As the great African-American historian Nathan Huggins pointed out, "virtually all of the enslavement of Africans was carried out by other Africans." So, "African Americans" who claim for reparations should look at Africa first.
11. It is not by chance that Jesse Jackson, a proud member of

the Council on Foreign Relations, was the one who popularized the term “African-American”. Fortunately, however, not all American blacks were brainwashed. See, Jesse Washington, “Some Blacks Insist: I’m Not African-American,” AP, March 6, 2012. Another prominent “African-American” belonging to the CFR is Charles Rangel. By the way, none of the prominent “African-American” leaders manifested their support for Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney when she was strongly criticized and eventually sacked after suggesting that George W. Bush knew in advance of the 9/11 events.

12. See, Servando Gonzalez, *The Secret Fidel Castro: Deconstructing the Symbol* (Oakland, California: InteliBooks, 2001).

13. Polish-American independent scholar Alfred Korzybski, the creator of general semantics, coined the expression in “A Non-Aristotelian System and its Necessity for Rigour in Mathematics and Physics,” a paper he presented to the American Mathematical Society at the New Orleans, Louisiana, meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, December 28, 1931. The paper was reprinted in his 1933 book *Science and Sanity*, pp. 747–61.

14. *The New York Times*, August 10, 1973.

15. Charlie Norwood, “Exclusive: The Truth About ‘La Raza,’” *Human Events*, April 7, 2003.

16. . Joseph Fallon, “Funding Hate – Foundations and the Radical Hispanic Lobby- Part III,” *The Social Contract Press*, Vol. II, Number 1 (Fall 2000).

---

## **Hillary Clinton’s tightrope**



# walk

Hillary Clinton is teetering on a legal tight rope. She is counting on Attorney General Loretta Lynch to block the anticipated request for a Grand Jury to indict her for violation of federal laws governing the handling of classified information. Lynch, however, will become a pariah with lead investigators at the FBI and many career prosecutors at Justice if she intervenes to prevent prosecution of Hillary Clinton. The overwhelming quantity of emails containing classified information that Clinton caused to be transmitted to and from her personal email account and possible additional federal corruption charges are too great to excuse, ignore, or sweep under the rug. The evidence is indeed overwhelming and, unlike in the case of General David Patraeus (whose dereliction constituted one instance of mishandling of classified information), Hillary Clinton's dereliction involves over 1,340 separate communications. Increasingly, it looks like the central issue about to arise is not whether Hillary will be recommended for indictment by the FBI, but whether Lynch will sacrifice her own career to prevent the matter from reaching a grand jury, and whether the Democratic Party will stand by Hillary Clinton and back her presidential bid even if she is the subject of an FBI recommendation to indict or an actual indictment.

Fox News' Catherine Herridge and Pamela Browne reported this past week that the FBI investigation of Hillary Clinton embraces not only the mishandling of classified information but also public corruption charges based on influence peddling. Peter Schweizer's Clinton Cash first drew significant attention to the overlap between foreign donations to the Clinton Foundation and Hillary Clinton's actions while serving as Secretary of State. At root, FBI seeks to determine if decisions made by Hillary Clinton in her dealings with foreign businesses and leaders were in any way in response, or

pay back, for contributions lavished upon the Clinton Foundation by those same businesses and leaders or at the direction of those businesses and leaders.

It may well be that if Lynch lets a bill for indictment proceed before a federal Grand Jury, Hillary Clinton will not only be forced to answer for the mishandling of state secrets but also for unlawful influence peddling to bolster the coffers of the Clinton family foundation. The law violations are linked to a significant prison term for the former Secretary of State.

The pressure on Lynch to let the charges proceed will be intense from the FBI, and even from within Justice. Given the waning months of the Obama presidency, and the likelihood that a refusal to allow the charges to proceed would permanently damage Lynch's reputation in the legal community, there are fair odds that Lynch will let the matter proceed (and that Obama will not act to stop the prosecution). Indeed, by relying on the highly secretive and expert litigation team within the National Security Division of the Department of Justice rather than the general criminal division, Lynch may already have sealed Clinton's fate. As soon as the FBI completes its investigation and refers the matter to justice with a recommendation to prosecute, the pressure will be acute on Lynch to do the right thing and honor the rule of law over politics. Given the animosity between the Obamas and the Clintons, it is also possible that Obama will not take any extraordinary step to interfere by either pressuring Lynch to block prosecution or taking any other step that might make prosecution more difficult.

Hillary Clinton is thus teetering on a legal tight rope. If indicted before the Democratic National Convention, she may well lose the nomination to Sanders. Undoubtedly it will increase the likelihood that Sanders will be the nominee if her indictment, or even a recommendation for indictment, comes down before the convention. What if she is indicted after she

becomes the Democratic nominee? The Democratic Party would likely face considerable pressure to seek a second convention to fend off a serious independent party challenge, perhaps with Bernie Sanders running in that capacity.

Overall, any indictment of Clinton will create a new dynamic that will add impetus for greater independent support for the Republican nominee. Indeed, if the Republican is otherwise neck and neck with the Democratic nominee, a Clinton indictment could well flip the election for a Republican, particularly if the groundswell of disaffected voters now brought back into the electorate by Donald Trump remains involved to the point of voting in the general election.

But what if either through pressure from Obama or on her own initiative, Lynch blocks the prosecution of Clinton? An action of that kind might well result in a series of resignations from high level investigators and lawyers within the FBI and Justice and publicity sufficient to trigger public ire as well. Public condemnations of the failure to make Hillary account for law violations, far fewer of which have resulted in prison time or plea deals with hefty fines for dozens of others, including General Patraeus, may also be enough to give victory to the Republican nominee. It will certainly be a choice point of publicity in favor of the Republican nominee over Hillary throughout the remainder of the general election season.


The mainstream media, so willing to maintain apologias for Hillary Clinton, have yet to appreciate the adverse political avalanche that is about to befall the putative Democratic standard bearer. Burdened by shallow political support and the highest negative ratings of any candidate running (predicated on public perception that Hillary is a "liar"), Hillary Clinton is indeed teetering. An indictment or word of FBI recommendation of an indictment may be enough to cause her fall, denying her the Democratic nomination; or, if she is nominated before being indicted or recommended for indictment,

that may be enough to ensure her loss in the general election.


© 2016 Jonathan W. Emord – All Rights Reserved

---

## Rafael Cruz declares son Ted 'the anointed one'

*But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even  denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction. -2 Peter 2:1*

Ted Cruz is a silver tongued “slickster.” Why do I say that? Because he could sell every American family a [Yugo](#), and make them think they bought a Cadillac. However, Ted wasn't so slick in college, where his roommate, [Craig Mazin, now a successful screenwriter, tells what people thought of Ted back then](#). Mazin called Ted a creepy, greasy 18 year old. Obviously, between then and now, Ted Cruz has polished his act.

 The Senator also stepped in it at the last debate where he disparaged and insulted New Yorkers. The New York Daily News had a strong response in an article entitled, “[Drop Dead Ted](#).”

There is so much about Ted and his family, that it would take a book to cover it all, so here's just a bit more for you to chew on.

**Ted Gives Carly Fiorina \$500,000**

QUESTION: Cruz hired [Cambridge Analytica](#) for [psychometric data](#)

[mining](#) and paid them \$750,000. Cruz's [Keep the Promise I](#) (\$11 million PAC, June) was funded mostly by donor, Robert Mercer, owner of Cambridge Analytica. It was Cruz's Keep the Promise I PAC that gave Carly Fiorina \$500,000. Did Cruz PAC give Carly \$500,000 to help his data mining agenda with Cambridge Analytica which creates psychometric dossiers on American citizens? [Cruz Partners with Donors 'Psychographic' Firm](#).

Carly KNOWS NSA data collection to a "T." [She was chairman of the CIA's advisory board helping the NSA to develop privacy invading spy networks on American citizens](#) after 9/11. Hewlett Packard was the private business that supplied the government with the spy hardware and software. Carly knows everything.

### **Liar, Liar, Pants on Fire**

It is particularly hypocritical for Texas Ted Cruz to spin a fairy tale about he and his wife, an employee of Goldman Sachs, cashing in all their worldly possessions to fund a run for the U.S. Senate; a run founded in part on criticizing the financial sector, the banking industry, and Wall Street in particular.

As Ted Cruz tells it, the story of how he financed his upstart campaign for the U.S. Senate four years ago is an endearing example of loyalty and shared sacrifice between a married couple. "Sweetheart, I'd like us to liquidate our entire net worth, liquid net worth, and put it into the campaign," he says he told his wife, Heidi, who readily agreed. [[Link](#)] But it was a lie.

Now it is [revealed](#) that Ted Cruz and his wife secured a [significantly large loan from Mrs. Cruz's employer and Wall Street financial giant Goldman Sachs](#) to fund Ted's Senate campaign. Likely aware that even Texas voters would see the hypocrisy in taking Wall Street money while criticizing Wall Street, Cruz failed to disclose that loan and one from Citibank as required in his campaign finance reports. [[Link](#)]

✘ This picture appeared in the Cleveland Plain Dealer on Sunday, January 17th.

## **Cruz is Left of Center**

- Republican presidential candidate, Ted Cruz, says he's not in favor of sending agents out to round up undocumented immigrants to deport them. [[Link](#)]
- Cruz is a co-sponsor of [S. 306](#) that categorizes home schools as private schools and allows Title 1 federal dollars to follow the students to private schools. Fed regulations follow all fed dollars. There is NO Constitutional justification for this. It's time to take a stand against [ESEA](#) and end federal involvement in education. S. 306 does the opposite and creates a NEW entitlement and tightens the noose on home and private schooled students.
- Cruz has stated that "School Choice is the most compelling civil rights issue of the 21st century. Right Teddy, once choice is passed, all federal regulations will go with the federal tax dollars. [[Link](#)]
- Ted Cruz is pushing UN AGENDA 21 New World Order goals, This one is called the [WILDLANDS PROJECT](#)! He is coming in through a partial truth in the back of your heart – that states want their federal confiscated lands returned. He then is on your side. Then he says – states have to maintain these and if they can't THEY CAN SELL THEM. So the land will be sold to foreign entities for minerals and logging etc. We the people lose our resources and our land. This is all part of the Wildlands Project to aggregate the land into NWO globalists hands and steal it from the people. [[Link](#)]
- Cruz also spoke out in favor of a number of policies as well as two constitutional changes: a [balanced-budget amendment](#) and the [so-called Madison amendment](#) that would give states expanded powers to propose constitutional amendments. The BBA

guts our Constitution and doesn't reduce spending, it legalizes the unconstitutional spending. Cruz is all for the American Legislative Exchange Council's changes to our Constitution. [[Link](#)]

- Ted Cruz backed allowing Syrian refugees in the US as recently as February of 2015. Before the attacks in Paris, he wanted the United States to continue accepting them and said he thought it could be done safely. "We have to continue to be vigilant to make sure those coming are not affiliated with a terrorist, but we can do that," Cruz said in a interview on Fox News that was on his website and was first picked up by the [Huffington Post](#).

- What is more than interesting is the Ted Cruz fund raiser by Mary Matalin and James Carville at their home in New Orleans. [[Link](#)]

- Cruz's "Homeschoolers for Cruz" will be co-chaired by none other than Michael Farris's Home School Legal Defense Association. Farris has long been pushing a Constitutional Convention as well as his Parental Rights Amendment which would allow men to delineate in our Constitution, our God given parental rights, rather than leaving those rights between parents and God. [[Link](#)] Cruz will be very beholden to Dominionist Farris, especially since Farris has endorsed him. [[Link](#)]

- Senator Cruz is just another puppet of the establishment. What do you really expect from a Bush lawyer and advisor with a Goldman Sachs/Council on Foreign Relations Bush advisor wife, who just so happened to co-write the CFR's blueprint for the North American Union? This man even argued in his own amicus brief to the Supreme Court that [assault weapons bans in certain states are "reasonable regulations"](#) for crying out loud.

- ☒ • Remember, Senator Ted Cruz was so honored to "hang out"

with war criminal Henry Kissinger, that he posted it on his facebook page. He said, "Honored to share a few moments with Dr. Kissinger and hear his thoughts on the challenges facing our nation."

- [Saul Anuzis: Meet Ted Cruz's Hezbollah-Lovin' Michigan Campaign Chief](#) who is tight with CFR [Jihad Grover Norquist](#)—you know the guy that took money from Al-Qaeda front groups (which were raided by U.S. Customs) and got an Al-Qaeda fundraiser's son a job in the Bush White House. Norquist is also the Director of Michael Farris's [Parentalrights.org](#).

## Rafael Cruz

✘ Rafael Cruz is a pastor based in North Texas serving as the Director of Purifying Fire Ministries, ministering in the U.S., Mexico and Central America. He is his son's best campaign surrogate. He has never pastored a church, and he did not fight communism. [[Link](#)] In fact, [Cuban peers dispute Rafael's story of fighting for Castro](#). [[Link](#)]

[Purifying Fire Ministries](#) was founded by [Suzanne Hinn](#), ex-wife and [then wife again](#) of Trinity Broadcasting Network televangelist, [Benny Hinn](#). ([Watch Suzanne Hinn preach about the Holy Ghost Enema](#)). Hinn is a preacher of the [Prosperity Gospel](#) (also known as Word of Faith), a false teaching that Christians can receive massive amounts of wealth, physical healing from illness, beauty, fame, miracles, and financial prosperity by "sowing a seed" or sending money to Hinn's ministry.

Hinn's preaching deals in faux emotions and invented manifestations of the Holy Spirit at the most convenient and opportune fundraising moments. They also know where the money is today, and have hitched their wagons to the [New Apostolic Reform](#), (NAR) or [Dominionism](#).

So has Rafael Cruz. He [delivered a sermon](#) in August, 2012,



where he discusses how Christians should “take dominion over the earth.” Cruz goes on to define what that means, saying “dominion is over every area – society, education, government, economics...” That particular moment happens 1 hour and 12 minutes in, if you care to watch. It’s instructive to see him deliver that line with dictatorial passion. Rafael Cruz preaches about the anointing of priests and kings and how we should take dominion over the marketplace as kings and queens in God’s kingdom. (Ever wonder who invented Dominionist? [[Link](#)])

Joseph Mattera of Mattera International Ministries, stated in a letter, “Shekinah is a network of pastors in the Dominican Republic, Latin America and the USA founded by Apostle Rafael Cruz.” Calling Cruz an “Apostle,” is part of the NAR beliefs in that there are new present day apostles. [[Link](#)]

## **Phony Christian Right**

In [Timothy Goeglein’s 2011 book](#), he reveals that back in 1999, Ted Cruz already had high level national, political connections that helped make Bush Jr. president. Those connections were most likely developed when Ted worked as a legal aid for Supreme Court Justice William Rehnquist. Ted became close friends with [Paul Weyrich](#), the grand poobah of the secretive [Council for National Policy](#), first president of the [Heritage Foundation](#) (controlled opposition), head of Free Congress Foundation, and founder of [American Legislative Exchange Council](#).

☒ Ted’s father, Rafael, has some deep connections to the current movement known as the [New Apostolic Reformation](#). That movement is really a rebranding of what we know as the “Religious Right,” as they were called in the days of Reagan, but with a [21st century dominionist](#) twist. Rafael was a member of the [Religious Roundtable](#) in the 1980s, an activist group of Christians who were committed to engagement in politics. Ed McAteer was head of the Roundtable, and he and many other

Dominionists signed the notorious [Coalition on Revival manifesto](#). [[Link](#)]

Rafael boasts in the following interview of serving on the state board of that organization as well as stating that God has his son, Ted, destined for greatness:

Rafael Cruz indicated that his son was among the evangelical Christians who are anointed as “kings” to take control of all sectors of society, an agenda commonly referred to as the “Seven Mountains” mandate, and “bring the spoils of war to the priests”, thus helping to bring about a prophesied “great transfer of wealth”, from the “wicked” to righteous gentile believers. (Wouldn’t that be nice.)

Discussion of the now-notorious speech by Rafael Cruz has missed the fact that Ted Cruz was subsequently publicly blessed and anointed, with the laying on of hands, by prominent Dominionist pastors, in effect as a “king” in the political/governmental sphere. This was done at a special blessing ceremony at the Marriott Hotel in Des Moines, Iowa, at a July 19th-20th, 2013 rally designed to draw pastors into politics.

One of the pastors blessing and laying his hands on Cruz’s shoulders was head of the sponsoring organization the [Iowa Renewal Project – David Lane](#). Lane’s project is heavily funded by [Don Wildmon’s Dominionist organization, American Family Association](#). Another pastor laying hands on Senator Cruz was [David Barton](#), former vice chairman of the Texas GOP, who is a very close friend of Ted Cruz. [[Link](#)]

## **Conclusion**

Ted Cruz is a member of a strict fundamentalist branch of the Southern Baptist Church with deep roots in Dominionist theology. His wife, Heidi, is a Seventh Day Adventist. Here

are a few samplings of references to Cruz's beliefs.



- [Ted Cruz, Dominionism and Jesus](#)
- [Crazy Ted Cruz](#)
- [Rafael Cruz Declares Son Ted Cruz 'The Anointed One'](#)
- [Why Walid Shoebat is Against Ted Cruz](#)

If you are unsure what Christian Dominion Theology is, but would not wish to live in a modern theocracy complete with the death penalty for LGBT persons, stoning of heretics, adulterers and the like, then you need to read up on the belief system here: [Dominion Theology](#).

This is truly scary stuff for all who value liberty guaranteed by our US Constitution, particularly when Ted Cruz wants to be President of the United States. God forgives sinners when they repent, Dominionists wouldn't give them that chance.

As Christians we are to be salt and light, and we are to judge them by their fruits. Senator Cruz is a smooth talker, but when you look behind the curtain, it's the same old globalist activity we've seen for decades with an added heretical Christian doctrine.

---

## **Blood at Benghazi – it drips from Hillary's hands**

“Is there anything more shameful than the man who lacks the courage to be a coward?” – Peter Blaunder

In this case it is a woman. One of the most corrupt serial liars and deceiver ever to hold public office in the history of this country. Some call Bill and Hillary Clinton Bonnie and Clyde, some Bonnie and Clod, some Hildebeast. I usually refer to her as Hillary the Hun, but today I call her a murderer.

No doubt the morally and ethically bankrupt who support Hillary Clinton will jump up and down, proclaim what a wonderful person she is, what a great Secretary of State she was and how she stands up for women. How absolutely shameful given the known facts. But then again, Bill and Hill know where all the bodies are buried as they say in the District of Criminals. Many of her supporters today are too young to remember the Clinton years when they soiled the White House. They're too young to remember the plane crash that killed former Secretary of Commerce, Ron Brown, but I'm not.

Ron Brown and his son were under investigation for a myriad of corrupt dealings. Brown is famously quoted as saying he would not go down alone. He didn't. Not too long after he made that statement he and 34 others were killed in 1996 when the plane they were flying in crashed in Croatia. I saw the coverage live on CNN. I work at home so I've seen them all; Ruby Ridge, WACO, TWA Flight 800, OKC, 9/11. CNN's reporter on the ground at the Dubrovnik airport reported live the rescue was underway in the ocean. Within an hour, suddenly, the plane is found on the side of a mountain.

What? Right there on the boob tube is the reporter standing not too far from the helicopters taking off because the crash was in the ocean, yet an hour later it's on the side of the mountain? And, if you look at the photos, big parts of the plane wreckage looked absolutely pristine like they had been through a car wash. How is that possible if the plane crashed into the side of a mountain? How could rescuers be so wrong, or were they? With all the technical location gadgets are you kidding me? Is this a bullet hole in Ron Brown's head?

Those following the lies, corruption and downright treason coming out of Washington, DC like stinking gangrene are aware of the endless Benghazi hearings which took a wider path following the exposure of Hillary Clinton's server and emails exposing national security documents.

How many times have I read the Clinton's are 'untouchable'? Too damn many. How many times have I heard the Clinton's are the equivalent of 'political royalty' in this country? Too damn many. I am sick to death of sissy politicians who pussy foot around because the target of a cover up happens to be a former president or a former first 'lady', senator and Secretary of State; Hillary usurped that office and got away with it. She had a good teacher: Barry Soetoro aka Obama who absolutely usurped the office of president and you can lay the blame right at the feet of every member of the U.S. Congress in January 2009 and January 2013; that includes former Congressman Ron Paul, former Congresswoman Michelle Bachmann and Senator Jeff Sessions.

It took an inexcusable amount of time for former Speaker of the House, Johnny Wino Boehner, to appoint a committee to look into Benghazi. Why? Because I believe that scum bag knew about the illegal gun running going on out of Libya and Hillary knew all about it: Intel expert: New docs confirm Hillary gun-running op. Arms dealer: Obama DOJ prosecuting me to protect Hillary.

While Boehner diddled or fiddled which ever one prefers, the families of four Americans slaughtered in Benghazi were lied to and deceived by that cold, heartless \*itch. Oh, I'm sure that will shock some but it's exactly what she is and always has been.

Larry Klayman and many of us have wondered why Trey Gowdy hasn't moved faster on Benghazi and used the power of subpoena more effectively. (Admirals, generals, intel: Benghazi inquest compromised - 'I think Gowdy has been warned away or

threatened') He's allowed Hillary Rodham to run rough shod over him from day one. When he finally got her in front of the cameras she lied through her teeth and is still walking around campaigning for president.

Hillary Clinton has had her back pinned to the wall lately for lying about what she said to the families of Ambassador Stevens, Sean Smith, Tyrone S. Woods and Glen Doherty. As is her standard for lying, Hillary simply decided to slap the faces of still grieving family members: Hillary Clinton: Benghazi Families Lying About Her 'Video Protest' Claims. Truth and honesty are unknown to Hillary Clinton.

The families of those four men have been betrayed with lies from the criminal impostor in the White House and Hillary Clinton from day one, but I believe her day of reckoning with the law is finally coming. The 'ServerGate' investigation has been expanded:

- \* FBI's Clinton probe expands to public corruption track, January 11, 2016
- \* Ex-Secret Service Agent: Hillary Used Private Email Knowing it was Hacked – "Not only was the email server hacked... but the Clintons knew it was hacked and they kept using it"
- \* Act surprised: State Department Suddenly Discovers Thousands More Clinton Documents
- \* Hillary's EmailGate Goes Nuclear

Talk in Washington is the FBI will mutiny if Clinton is not indicted and the CIA will supposedly go ballistic. The Liar-in-Chief squatting in the White House is the poster boy for 'guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors' which includes Benghazi.

There's no question additional security was denied Ambassador Stevens and his team. NO QUESTION on that one. There's no question a stand down order was given. NO QUESTION.

- \* Gowdy: Witnesses have confirmed stand-down order on night of

Benghazi

\* Attkisson: 'Overwhelming Body of Evidence' Benghazi Rescue Teams Turned Back

Hillary Clinton in my opinion is guilty of causing the slaughter of four Americans. They were murdered on her watch because of her direct involvement in decision making. She provided the gun, the savages in Benghazi pulled the trigger.

I don't give a fig about the Democratic/Communist Party USA, but they must be getting into a panic about now: Unprecedented: Hillary Clinton Faces Two Separate FBI Investigations on Eve of Presidential Primary Season. "When asked about the FBI investigation into her email scandal broadening to include corruption allegations, Hillary Clinton's response was to declare the report was "absolutely not true," an "unsourced, irresponsible claim that has no basis." Rarely has someone whistled past a graveyard at such a high tone, so badly off-key."

Last week during he debate, give old Jeb! credit for the best line: Hillary Might Be 'Going Back and Forth Between the White House and the Courthouse'

But there's nothing funny about Benghazi. My heart bleeds for the families all these years. The other legal trouble for Clinton is the RICO lawsuit filed against her, the thing she's married to and the Clinton Foundation which has been nothing more than a criminal enterprise from the get-go. They are in real trouble over that one: Judge orders production of 'treasure trove' of Hillary docs

The movie, 13 Hours – The Secret Soldiers of Benghazi came out this past Friday. According to Box Office Mojo, this past weekend it was running in 4th place behind fluff. I won't be able to see it as the nearest theater showing is 40 miles away in Midland, TX so I'll have to wait for it on TV. Those who have seen the movie say no politics played, just the brutal,

raw truth about a true story. For that movie to beat out most of the competition (Joy, The Big Short, The Hateful Eight, among others) to take fourth place is amazing. We need millions across America to see that movie. '13 Hours' Review: Riveting Indictment of Obama, Hillary, and The DC Media

Donald Trump reserved one theater in Iowa to allow free showing to anyone who wanted to see the movie. If I were him I'd do the same in half a dozen cities with a heavy active duty military and veteran population: Colorado Springs, CO, near Ft. Benning, GA, below the beltway in Virginia and so forth. Do the same in six large liberal cities like Denver, Houston, Dallas and so forth. Free showing in a couple of theaters in each city for a week for active duty, vets and their families. Let active duty see what will happen to them when sickening geopolitical games mean more than their lives. Let veterans see what Hillary Clinton is directly responsible for as she runs for president.

The blood of Ambassador Stevens, Sean Smith, Tyrone S. Woods and Glen Doherty drip from the greedy hands of Hillary Rodham Clinton and no amount of lies or spin can wipe them clean.

Betrayed: The Shocking and True Story of Extortion 17 as told by a Navy Seal's Father. What about the families of our finest who were killed on that helicopter August 6, 2011? Little is heard anymore about that cover up. Not to slight the families of the 'Battle in Benghazi', but what about the families who lost loved ones on that helicopter with a stupidly insane call sign, Extortion 17? It just kills me to read the stories:

"In the dark of night, twenty-five US Special Ops Forces and a five-man flight crew on board Extortion 17, a CH-47 Chinook helicopter. Seven unidentified Afghan Commandos are allowed to join them. Ground forces have already been engaged in a three-hour exhaustive battle. Extortion 17's specially trained warriors drop into the Hot Landing Zone to help their fellow warriors. But there's a problem: the standard chopper escorts



have all been directed elsewhere. Mission directions are unclear. Worse, pre-assault fire to cover the Chinook transporting our brave fighting men is not ordered.

“On that fateful night, Extortion 17 would never touch down. Taliban fighters fired three rocket-propelled grenades (RPGs) in rapid succession. The first RPG shot below the Chinook, but the second made contact in what the military would later describe as a “one-in-a-million shot.” The shot struck a rotor blade on the aft (rear) pylon, shearing off ten and a half feet of the blade. The third shot flew above the falling chopper. Within a matter of seconds, the chopper begins to spin violently out of control and then drops vertically into a dry creek bed and is engulfed in a large fireball. There are no survivors.

“The thirty brave Americans lost that night were more than just warriors. They were husbands, fathers, brothers, and sons. Billy Vaughn’s son, Aaron Carson Vaughn, was one of them. Over the next few months as unsettling information on the tragic incident is released to the families, Billy Vaughn becomes increasingly disturbed. Billy discovers that US military forces are not being led to win battles, but have been sent on a fool’s errand to “win the hearts and minds” of other nations. He is told that the US Rules of Engagement have prevented our brave defenders from defending themselves.

“Adding insult to injury, Billy learned that a Muslim Imam was invited by our own US military leaders to “pray” over his son’s dead body. As US war heroes lay in their caskets before their last flight home, the Imam damned America’s fallen warriors as “infidels” who would burn in hell. As US military leaders observed the ceremony at Bagram Air Base, the Imam boasted over the deaths of US heroes with words such as, “The companions of heaven [Muslims] are the winners.”

God, I want to throw up. I wish every active duty soldier, veterans and Americans who actually love this country would

read that book and this one:

Call Sign Extortion 17: The Shoot-Down of SEAL Team Six: "On August 6, 2011—three months after members of Navy SEAL Team Six killed Osama Bin Laden—Taliban forces took down a United States helicopter, call sign "Extortion 17." The attack killed the Air National guard crew, seven unidentified members of the Afghan military, and seventeen members of Navy SEAL Team Six—warrior brothers from the same Team that had killed Osama Bin Laden just ninety days prior.

"Don Brown, a former U.S. Navy JAG officer stationed at the Pentagon and a former Special Assistant United States Attorney, re-creates the wartime action, tells the life stories of the elite warriors our nation lost on that day, and tears apart the official military explanation of the incident contained in the infamous Colt Report, which reveals either gross incompetence or a massive cover-up." (Forget the Bin Laden nonsense) Once again nothing but obfuscation, lying to the families and questions deliberately left unanswered by the illegitimate Obama Administration.

Muslin loving, S.C. Governor Nikki Haley took a well planned calculated shot at Donald Trump following the last batch of flatulence released by Barry Soetoro aka Obama (State of Union Address) before he finally leaves the White House by saying that in "anxious times, it can be tempting to follow the siren call of the angriest voices. We must resist that temptation."

Donald Trump did not duck her gutless statement: "I'm very angry, because our country is being run horribly, and I will gladly accept the mantle of anger." Ann Coulter had the best idea: "Trump should deport Nikki Haley."

The whole country should be angry about the destruction of our Republic at the hands of corrupt, gutless cowards in the Outlaw Congress. The whole country should be angry about the cover up and lying about what really happened in Benghazi and

Extortion 17 – except the majority of Democrats in the Outlaw Congress who could care less. If you watched the hearings you saw for yourself the attitude of racist scum like Rep. Elijah Cummings:

Elijah Cummings: Benghazi Panel Is An 'Abusive Effort To Derail' Hillary Clinton's Campaign

"Rep. Elijah Cummings (D-Md.), the ranking member of the House Select Committee on Benghazi, criticized his Republican colleagues for using the panel to attempt to "derail" former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign, characterizing the committee as a "fishing expedition." Clinton, who was secretary of state during the 2012 attack on the American consulate in Benghazi, Libya, faced hours of questioning Thursday from the committee, which Democrats have accused of being a blatantly political endeavor. Cummings continued with that line of criticism during his opening remarks, criticizing Republicans for using the panel and Thursday's hearing to hurt Clinton's 2016 presidential bid."

Here's a fine example of Cummings pick for president:

Hillary Just Revealed What She Did Immediately After Leaving Benghazi Hearing, And It Says It All.

"During a Friday interview on MSNBC's Rachel Maddow Show, Clinton was asked what she did after the hearing ended. "Well, I had my whole team come over to my house, and we sat around eating Indian food, and drinking wine and beer. That's what we did," Clinton said. "We were all talking about sports, TV shows. It was great, just to have that chance to, No. 1, thank them, because they did a terrific job, kind of being there behind me, and getting me ready, and then just talk about what we're going to do next," she said."

New Emails Show Hillary Slept Through Meeting Day After Benghazi Attacks: "Then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton slept in on Saturday following the Benghazi, Libya attacks,

missing a staff meeting that was being set up about intelligence issues and the Presidential Daily Brief, according to a new batch of her emails released Thursday by conservative watchdogs Judicial Watch.”

Perhaps she had another team over to her house the night before for Indian food, beer and wine.

I pray should Donald Trump become president the first thing he does is nominate Dr. Edwin Vieira (who maybe would say no) for Attorney General, leave FBI Director James Comey in and tell them he wants the truth about both events and those responsible indicted and too damn bad if their names are Obama and Clinton and who ever else is roped in no matter how many stars sit on their shoulders. No more endless hearings. Here's the evidence. Do your jobs.

The families, friends and the American people deserve the truth about Benghazi and Extortion 17 and the hell with politics. Do I sound angry enough? Good.

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to

a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

# **DHS's immigration branches ill-equipped to detect Asylum fraud**

Each year, tens of thousands of aliens in the United States apply for asylum, which provides refuge to those who have been persecuted or fear persecution on protected grounds. Asylum officers in the Department of Homeland Security's U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services and the immigration judges in Department of Justice adjudicate asylum applications.

The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) was asked to review the status of the asylum system. This report addresses: (1) what DHS and DOJ data indicate about trends in asylum claims, (2) the extent to which DHS and DOJ have designed mechanisms to prevent and detect asylum fraud, and (3) the extent to which DHS and DOJ designed and implemented processes to address any asylum fraud that has been identified.

GAO analyzed DHS and DOJ data on asylum applications for fiscal years 2010 through 2014, reviewed DHS and DOJ policies and procedures related to asylum fraud, and interviewed DHS and DOJ officials in Washington, D.C., Falls Church, VA, and in asylum offices and immigration courts across the country selected on the basis of application data and other factors.

The total number of asylum applications, including both principal applicants and their eligible dependents, filed in fiscal year 2014 (108,152) is more than double the number filed in fiscal year 2010 (47,118). As of September 2015, the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has a backlog of 106,121 principal applicants, of which 64,254 have exceeded required time frames for adjudication. USCIS plans to hire additional staff to address the backlog.

USCIS and the Department of Justice's (DOJ) Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) have limited capabilities to detect asylum fraud. First, while both USCIS and EOIR have mechanisms to investigate fraud in individual applications, neither agency has assessed fraud risks across the asylum process, in accordance with leading practices for managing fraud risks. Various cases of fraud illustrate risks that may affect the integrity of the asylum system. For example, an investigation in New York resulted in charges against 30 defendants as of March 2014 for their alleged participation in immigration fraud schemes; 829 applicants associated with the attorneys and preparers charged in the case received asylum from USCIS, and 3,709 received asylum from EOIR.

Without regular assessments of fraud risks, USCIS and EOIR lack reasonable assurance that they have implemented controls to mitigate those risks.

Second, USCIS's capability to identify patterns of fraud across asylum applications is hindered because USCIS relies on a paper-based system for asylum applications and does not electronically capture some key information that could be used to detect fraud, such as the applicant's written statement.

Asylum officers and USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security (FDNS) Directorate immigration officers told GAO that they can identify potential fraud by analyzing trends across asylum applications; however, they must rely on labor-

intensive methods to do so. Identifying and implementing additional fraud detection tools could enable USCIS to detect fraud more effectively while using resources more efficiently.

Third, FDNS has not established clear fraud detection responsibilities for its immigration officers in asylum offices; FDNS officers we spoke with at all eight asylum offices told GAO they have limited guidance with respect to fraud. FDNS standard operating procedures for fraud detection are intended to apply across USCIS, and therefore do not reflect the unique features of the asylum system.

Developing asylum-specific guidance for fraud detection, in accordance with federal internal control standards, would better position FDNS officers to understand their roles and responsibilities in the asylum process.

To address identified instances of asylum fraud, USCIS can, in some cases, terminate an individual's asylum status. USCIS terminated the asylum status of 374 people from fiscal years 2010 through 2014 for fraud. In August 2015, USCIS adopted a target of 180 days for conducting initial reviews, in which the asylum office reviews evidence and decides whether to begin termination proceedings, when the asylee has applied for adjustment to lawful permanent resident status; however, this goal applies only to a subset of asylees and pertains to initial reviews.

Further, asylees with pending termination reviews may be eligible to receive certain federal benefits. Developing timeliness goals for all pending termination reviews would help USCIS better identify the staffing resources needed to address the terminations workload.

---

# Obama taking credit for actions by Putin and Assad in Syria

While critics of the Obama administration continue their complaints about the Commander in Chief focusing his animosity on Americans who disagree with his foreign policy – or his lack of a cogent foreign policy – many Americans are realizing that Barack Obama and his minions are actually taking credit for much of the grunt work – and success – of the Russian-Iranian coalition in Syria, according to reports from an Israeli public-interest think tank.

For example, while Obama and his family enjoyed their Hawaiian Christmas holiday vacation, the American news media – echoing the Obama administration’s talking points – boasted that the Iraqi Army had managed to recapture most of the city of Ramadi, capital of the Al-Anbar province, which had been taken over by radical Islamists from the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) back in May 2015. The way the media covered the Ramadi story one would think there were U.S. boots on the ground in Iraq “kicking butt and taking names,” according to former military intelligence operative and police task force member Jonathon Piedmont.

“Of course, there were no boots on the ground, and if the attempt to take back Ramadi failed, the politicians in Washington, D.C., especially the progressives in government would have blamed the Iraqi soldiers and police officials for not being professional or tough enough or worthy of U.S. support,” Piedmont said.



The think tank, Meir Amit Information Center, reports that the city was finally retaken after weeks of the American-backed army being stuck in a quagmire and a number of failed attempts to take it over. On December 28, 2015, the Iraqi Army managed, after around seven days of fighting, to take over the government buildings in the strategically and symbolically important Ramadi.

Meir Amit quotes a spokesman for the Iraqi administration as saying, "There still remain weak pockets of resistance in vast parts of the city, but it will soon be completely cleansed. According to the Iraqi government, after the complete takeover of the city of Ramadi, the Iraqi Army will shift its efforts to retaking the [equally significant] city of Mosul."

However, while the Iraqi forces were the only boots on the ground in Ramadi and the Obama administration refrained from using air power in Ramadi for fear of "collateral damage," Russian fighter planes continued their assaults in Syria cities and town controlled by ISIS, mainly in the areas of Homs, Idlib, Latakia, Aleppo, Deir al-Zor, and Damascus. President Vladimir Putin did not seem to have a problem with the killing of so-called innocent Muslims who were being used – or allowed themselves to be used – to provide cover for the jihadists, who have often shown a propensity for murdering Muslim civilians if it suits their purposes.

The Israeli-based Meir Amit also quoted the Russian Defense Ministry spokesman as saying, "[During] the past week (Dec. 24-30, 2015), the Russian Air Force conducted more than 300 sorties, during which it carried out more than 1,000 airstrikes in Syrian territory alone.

The Russians claimed that the airstrikes targeted a training camp in Idlib that was staffed with instructors from neighboring nations who were living there, destroyed an Ahrar al-Sham command "outpost in Aleppo, hit trucks in Homs that were carrying weapons to ISIS, and destroyed three oil rigs,

two oil tankers and a large number of trucks near Deir al-Zor.”

Since initiating military counterterrorism operations, the Russian military forces have carried out 5,240 sorties, including 145 long-range sorties – a far cry from the few conducted by the United States. The achievements mentioned by Russia’s Minister of Defense included the liberation of the Kuweyres military airbase in northern Syria and the expansion of the surrounding areas that are controlled by the Syrian Army. He also mentioned an additional achievement, the disruption of ISIS’s oil exports.

On Christmas Day, according to Reuters, more “than 2,000 oil tankers have been destroyed and many infrastructure sites have been damaged. However, he believes that despite the airstrikes, oil smuggling by ISIS has not stopped and is now being carried out in small convoys at night, mainly near the Iraqi border.”

The US-led coalition seems to imitate the struggle against the Islamic State terrorist organization, Russian Deputy Foreign Minister Oleg Syromolotov told TASS News Agency on Thursday.

“It will suffice to say that US pilots that have flown in the sky over Syria and Iraq for a whole year ‘have not noticed’ convoys of fuel tanker trucks with smuggled oil that feeds the terrorists’ forces,” the Russian diplomat said. “Generally, an impression is being created that the US-led coalition is hostage to its politicized approach and is rather imitating the struggle against the IS,” said Syromolotov.

Amnesty International (AI) published a damning report about the Syrian airstrikes carried out by the Russians in Homs, Idlib and Aleppo between September and November 2015.

The AI report addresses six specific and separate incidents in which it claims that at least 200 civilians were killed and thousands more civilians were injured. The report includes

evidence allegedly proving that the Russian authorities were trying to conceal incidents in which they hit civilians, in a mosque and in a field hospital, and fired indiscriminately at populated areas. According to the report, “[T]he Russian airstrikes in Syria are liable to be regarded as war crimes.”

The report also notes that there is proof that Russia used unguided cluster bombs attacks in civilian areas (Amnesty International website, December 23, 2015).

According to Viktor Bondarev, commander of the Russian Air and Space Forces, there were no cases in which Russian pilots hit civilian targets such as hospitals, mosques or schools. He claims that these accusations are groundless, indicate a lack of credibility of the report and stem from a lack of evidence in the hands of its authors (TASS News Agency, December 27, 2015).

© 2016 NWV – All Rights Reserved

---

# **Religious pluralism is a contradiction**

The Stranger and Alien

I had the privilege this past weekend of speaking at the 2016 Turning the Tides Conference on the Persecution of Christians in America. A number of the other speakers at the Conference gave us some disturbing information regarding what could truly be called the immigration crises in our land. It got me to thinking about the essence of the problem confronting us as a country; it is that we believe and value a thing called pluralism.

Religious pluralism claims that all religions are equally valid and all are equally worthy, even equally true religions. You've seen the bumper sticker "coexist." That driver holds to this belief that all are equally true, so lets just get along.

I've seen a better bumper sticker as of late, with all the same religious symbols as the first but they make up the word "Contradict." It is true, all these belief systems contradict one another so logically they cannot all be true. "The reason that religious pluralism is on the rise is that it's politically correct. To pronounce against any religion, it is often thought, to say that a religion is false, is deeply disrespectful. Religious exclusivists, those who believe that the various religions of the world contradict each other and so that only one of them, at most, can be true, are intolerant, and intolerance is to be condemned in all its forms." [1]

This is actually a lie, however. The very idea of tolerance is that you treat someone with respect with whom you have a significant disagreement. It is not tolerance then to say contradictions are all true, that is simply indifference to the truth. "In order to tolerate a person's beliefs, therefore, one has to disagree with them. Tolerance does not involve agreeing with people; it involves disagreeing with them but treating them respectfully anyway.

Tolerance requires disagreement; one can only tolerate what one believes to be in error. Religious pluralism, which denies that any religion is in error, is therefore inconsistent with the virtue of tolerance. Religious pluralism, in committing itself to the view that no religion is false, condemns those religions that take the opposite view as false." [2]

Our current immigration policy is undergirded by this false belief that all believe systems, what are commonly called religions, are of equal validity, equally true, and are therefore of no great concern or consequence regarding who we

let into this country. Is this a wise decision? Turn to Exodus 22:20.

The Problem of Pluralism – Exodus 22:20 “He that sacrificeth unto any god, save unto the Lord only, he shall be utterly destroyed.”

- No idolatry was permitted to be practiced in public – it would constitute a flagrant rebellion against the King – Lord God Almighty, Jehovah. Here is the essence of understanding this law. At first glance our modern sensibilities are affronted with this law. What, you won't allow anyone to worship any religion which they choose to in your land? Well let's consider this more closely.

- The acknowledgement of idols in open undermines the essential foundation of a good and righteous society. I think we have abundant examples where this is showing itself in the news of our day. Did you hear about what happened this past New Years Eve in Cologne Germany?

“80 women reported sexual assaults and muggings by men on New Year's Eve.

The scale of the attacks on women at the city's central train station has shocked Germany. About 1,000 drunk and aggressive young men were involved.

City police chief Wolfgang Albers called it “a completely new dimension of crime”. The men were of Arab or North African appearance, he said.

... At least one woman was raped, and many were groped. Most of the crimes reported to police were robberies. A volunteer policewoman was among those sexually molested.

What is particularly disturbing is that the attacks appear to have been organized. Around 1,000 young men arrived in large groups, seemingly with the specific intention of carrying out

attacks on women.

A policeman who was outside Cologne station during the New Year's Eve trouble told the city's Express news website that he had detained eight suspects. "They were all asylum seekers, carrying copies of their residence certificates," he said.

The enemies of God are not to be given a platform to proselytize, to gather adherents to that belief system. Note that civil government is only given power to punish actions – the actions forbidden were to conduct a public sacrifice.

Can we allow any strangers in our midst? Those who are not of our culture and religion? Now this teaching could take us to a point where we mistreat the stranger in our midst. But that is not what scripture teaches. Exodus 22:21 teaches us that we shalt neither vex a stranger, nor oppress him.

Learn more about your Constitution with Pastor David Whitney and the "Institute on the Constitution" and receive your free gift.

© 2016 Rev. David Whitney – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Materialism Pt. 4 of 4**

"Jesus, help me find my proper place  
Jesus, help me find my proper place  
Help me in my weakness  
Cause I'm falling out of grace.  
Jesus. Jesus."

~The Velvet Underground, "Jesus" (1968)

I confess I had a difficult time choosing an open song lyric for this final segment, if only because explicit Christian

themes are rare in rock music (it does happen, however). Yet that world contains many artists who have engaged in intense self-exploration often reaching out to a spiritual reality even if by accident. Lou Reed (1942 – 2013), author of the above lyrics, is an example. He had clearly seen the seamy side of human existence including from the standpoint of a heroin addiction when he was in his early 20s. The song cited above sounds surprisingly like a prayer for someone who was not a Christian (I am assuming). Reed's music has always struck me as that of an observer and seeker, someone commenting on the dark side of human life as if from a vantage point somewhere above.

According to materialists, there is no "vantage point somewhere above," of course. There is just this world, and whatever neural synapses are firing in your brain. The New Atheism (Dawkins, et al) has reiterated Nietzsche's "God is dead" by proclaiming the impending death of Christianity.

I wouldn't hold my breath. The Soviets spent over 70 years trying to eradicate Christianity by force; the Maoists, in China, also tried to wipe it out. It is true that, e.g., church attendance is dropping on the part of millennials, a source of commentary on Christianity losing ground in the U.S. It is incompatible with the political correctness that dominates the mindset of millennial students, for sure. But Christianity is the fastest growing religion elsewhere in the world, such as (ironically) in Russia and China. Why would anyone think Christianity is going away voluntarily? What we should be thinking about is where the Christian worldview stands in the present, and what its future might be.

What is the Christian worldview? It stands, as I argue in *Four Cardinal Errors*, in sharp contrast to the materialist worldview. Here are some proposals.

- 1- God exists, as a Being who transcends space, time, and causality. The things of God, including morality, transcend

space, time, and causality. God created the world of space, time, and causality. Logos and Ethos (logicality and morality) are inseparable aspects of God's eternal nature. God's existence is a starting point, not a conclusion of our reasoning.

2- There is therefore the world of space, time, and causality – the world of human experience and of science – and whatever noumenal realm exists “beyond” these, outside possible human experience. Neither reality nor God are limited to space, time, and causality. The philosopher Ludwig Wittgenstein (1889 – 1951) would say we are pushing at the limits of language. In a sense, he was correct. But limits to human language and understanding do not limit reality. In the last analysis, God's nature as both one God and as “three persons” (the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit) are mysteries, as is how Creation was accomplished, how our free will operates, and possibly how consciousness itself works. Positivism and scientism disliked and distrusted mysteries. Materialists believed they had explained them. Rorty, who also admired Wittgenstein, believed the problems were artifacts of our insistence on “mentalistic” language. But some recent philosophers of mind – Colin McGinn (1950 – ) is an example – now sound very Kantian in concluding that consciousness has remained fundamentally mysterious despite decades of hard, patient, sustained inquiry and analysis ... because our reason just isn't structured so as to fathom its mysteries. If materialism is false, the mysteriousness of consciousness makes perfect sense! It just can't be forced-fitted into the materialist conceptual straightjacket!

3- What science does it does reasonably well, when not corrupted by politics or other sources of dollars. Again, though, science is designed to answer questions and solve problems in this world. Again as Kant showed, it cannot address metaphysical problems, any more than can reason itself. Reason, though its starting point is Logos, is human,



all too human, is finite therefore, and not designed to reach or grasp an eternal God. From what successes science has enjoyed it does not follow logically that this world, the world of space, time, and causality where science and technology operate, exhausts reality.

4- According to Christianity human beings were created in God's image. Hence the fundamental ontological and moral differences between us and the rest of the Creation. As St. Thomas Aquinas (1225 – 1274) put it, our reason is an imprint of God's eternal nature within us. Thus we have the finite capacity to acquire knowledge of the Creation, whether through science or rational insight.

5- The Christian worldview's diagnosis of the human condition is not ignorance but sin: the fact that the first humans (whether we read Genesis literally or not) turned away from God. They believed they could do better on their own, autonomously. They were wrong. Sin corrupts everything, including the quest for truth. Most thinkers have sought to avoid any frank discussion of sin. The idea flies in the face of the idea of human perfectibility, or at least of indefinite improvability by our own efforts, legacies of the Enlightenment. But any honest, empirical look at ourselves ought to suggest that we cannot save ourselves, or improve ourselves wholesale as ethical beings. We can make small improvements here and there, akin to learning to bathe; most of us tend to behave better when we are comfortable and when our stomachs are full. But morality is simply not our "default setting"; it should be obvious that even children can be hideously cruel to classmates who do not "fit in." While many of us adults doubtless mean well because we have internalized moral principles to some degree, others among us remain pretty much untouched by these niceties. We try to devise systems of rules that operate under the assumption that the desire to do good should be a primary motivator, when it usually isn't. Most of us have little interest in what does not affect us

directly, or bring us benefits. All of us have our lapses, some of which are truly breathtaking! Secularists believe we can be autonomous, but absent an external moral compass, we often just act as destroyers, of others if not ourselves, whether on the grand scale of the wars of choice in the Middle East or the small but from the victim's standpoint all-too-real one of the teenager who is bullied or cyberbullied until she commits suicide. Unless such things happen to one of our own, we drift with the herd, with the quiet secularists Peter Watson noted.

6- Christian ethics are found in the Ten Commandments, the Sermon on the Mount, and elsewhere in the Old and New Testaments. Yes, there are often problems interpreting what we perceive God's will to be, and religious communities are bound to disagree over specifics. But the problems understanding what Christianity requires of us surely pale next to the failure of secular ethical theories, and of secularism more broadly. One thing is crystal clear: Christian salvation is to be found in Jesus Christ who alone promises salvation from the consequences of sin (Romans 3:23; Romans 6:23; John 3:16; elsewhere), something we cannot do ourselves (Ephesians 2:8-9; elsewhere). Recognizing that if we try to start with ourselves we get nowhere, and that our ability to get nowhere on our own is entirely consistent with what we observe in history and society, are good places to begin one's appreciation of Christian ethics, or of the Christian worldview generally.

Christians do not get everything right, of course. The Christian does not cease to sin nor even to suffer the consequences of sin; the most he can do is confess sins, and turn away gratefully acknowledging God's forgiveness. What Christians get wrong could fill a separate article: failure in their families; failure to care for their neighbors and fellow citizens as God commands (Jesus did not say to treat the sick only if you can make a profit doing so); failure to care for the Creation itself, over which God gave humanity dominion,

which means assuming moral responsibility, not destructive plundering; and more besides.

But these human failures do not give us an argument against Christianity and for materialism, which in the end gives us no basis for condemning any of these failures other than expedient ones.

What of other faiths? some might ask. I was born in the U.S. (grew up in Atlanta), and have been surrounded by Christians for much of my life (except for time spent in universities surrounded by materialists). Suppose I'd been born in, say Baghdad. Would I not be writing my condemnations of Western materialism as part of my submission to Allah, as a devout Muslim scholar (the word Islam means submission)? Would I not be a Hindu or possibly a Buddhist, had I been born in, say, India? Or a Confucian, had I been born in Tibet?

There are no easy answers to such questions. I do not know if Christians can have the best answers to them, as those answers (obviously) presuppose Christianity and to a logical mind, will sound circular. The fact that everyone considers his/her religion to be "the right one" is a given; no one would believe in his/her faith otherwise. Other faiths stand at the center of other worldviews, of course, non-Western ones in most cases. That means (by definition) they are not widely represented in those regions of the world identified as "the West." High or low representation has no logical implications for truth or falsity, however.

Technology, a product of the West, has brought these different worldviews into the same meeting space as never before, however: cyberspace, which transcends the fact that some of us are able to travel anywhere and experience the cultural embodiments of other worldviews firsthand.

The thing to do, it seems to me, is to encourage interfaith dialogue as never before, conducted respectfully and with an

eye to seeing what is similar, and not being so eager to focus on what is different. And looking to the future rather than dwelling on the errors of the past. The world needs people both able and willing to communicate, especially with divisive and destructive personalities everywhere. We can then show how the world looks to Christians, and present what we believe is true in Christianity.

This, we must add, goes along with acting as Jesus Christ would have as act, in accordance with His words during the Sermon on the Mount and elsewhere: for example, working to ensure that impoverished peoples here and afar have food to eat, whatever their beliefs, and to help them learn those practices that will help them feed themselves. Words without deeds, after all, are idle chatter. Having attended to such matters, the most constructive thing we can do is to step aside and trust God to do His work.

2016 Steven Yates – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Ukraine still fights for freedom**

With the 88th Academy Awards ceremony in Los Angeles approaching, freedom lovers are cheering for the Netflix film, “Winter on Fire: Ukraine’s Fight for Freedom,” which is being considered for an Academy Award in the category of Best Foreign Documentary. “Winter on Fire” is an inspiring story of a former Soviet republic resisting incorporation in the new Russian empire and seeking membership in the Free World. It’s a story whose ending is still in doubt.

The trailer captures the intensity of the entire film, as a

young boy is shown putting his life on the line and calling home, telling his mom, "I love you." He did not know whether he would live or die in the face of the regime's armed thugs, and the security police beating and killing peaceful protesters.

Vladimir Putin's puppet, Viktor Yanukovich, had won election as president of Ukraine by promising close ties to the West—but he secretly negotiated a deal to bring the former Soviet republic back into Moscow's sphere of influence. The people of Ukraine were betrayed, and then revolted in a series of protests that will go down in history as the Ukrainian revolution of 2014. It is also known simply as Euromaidan, named for the pro-European tilt of the protests and the central square in Ukraine's capital, Kiev, where the demonstrations began.

The film shows people of all ages and backgrounds taking to the streets, wearing pots and pans as helmets, filling sandbags with snow and ice to make barricades to keep the regime's forces at bay. There are graphic scenes of the injured and dead. Still, the demonstrators kept coming back, day after day. The people had nothing but will and determination to be free of Russian influence. In the end, after 93 days of protest, at least 125 people were killed, 65 were missing and 1,890 had been injured.

The American director, Evgeny Afineevsky, was born in Russia but won't be returning there anytime soon because of the obvious danger to his life. He emigrated to Israel, where he was raised and educated, before coming to the United States. "I haven't been to Russia for a couple of years and I am not planning to go there at the moment," he says. "I am probably not a welcome guest there. But there are filmmakers everywhere and all of us deserve freedom of expression and freedom of speech."

Of course, the story of the anti-Soviet/Russian protests in

Ukraine does not have a completely happy ending. Putin responded with a Russian invasion of Ukraine, a struggle that continues as the Ukrainian government continues to plead for weapons for self-defense. President Obama has turned a deaf ear and blind eye to their pleas.

Putin's invasion had to be based in part on the knowledge that if a revolution could depose his crony in Ukraine, it could also happen to him. Indeed, it was an opportunity for the U.S. government, if Obama had been so inclined, not only to support Ukraine's bid for independence but to seek regime-change in Moscow. Instead, Obama continued his soft-on-Russia policy that has also led to Russian military intervention propping up the Syrian dictatorship.

Nevertheless, the protests have pushed forward a process of "de-communization" in the parts of Ukraine not under Russian occupation. Volodymyr Viatrovych, the historian who serves as director of the Ukrainian Institute of National Memory, spoke about the process last November at the Kennan Institute of the Wilson Center, outlining a series of laws that have been passed by the new Parliament and signed by new President Petro Poroshenko to eliminate communist and totalitarian influence in society. The laws honor the memory of Ukrainian freedom fighters, authorize access to the archives of the communist regime, and ban the use of both communist and Nazi symbols. As a result, the Communist Party of Ukraine has been outlawed.

Hundreds of Lenin monuments or statues have been torn down, with Viatrovych noting that Ukraine had been the "most Leninized" part of the old Soviet Union. One statue of Lenin was converted into Darth Vader. Streets, squares and state enterprises named after communist figures are being renamed as part of this process.

Beyond tearing down communist statues and removing Soviet symbols, the Ukraine Crisis Media Center has published an infographic on communism's victims, estimated at 100 million,

and asking for a "Nuremberg-2," a follow-up to the original Nuremberg tribunals that exposed the Nazis for their crimes and brought them to justice. This time, the hope is that communists will be brought to justice, including figures such as Vladimir Putin, the former Soviet KGB spy who continues to celebrate Russia's Soviet past. Another infographic demonstrates how Ukraine's neighbors have succeeded or failed to overcome their communist pasts. In Russia, for example, the Communist Party was banned in 1991, then restored, and is now a major force in the Russian parliament. It maintains friendly relations with Putin's United Russia Party.

Tragically, both Republican and Democratic administrations in the U.S. have accepted the fiction that Putin was a new and modern Russian leader. It was that flawed bipartisan approach that gave Russia Permanent Normal Trade Relations status in 2012.

The estimated number of people killed in Ukraine since the Russian invasion has now exceeded 8,000. In addition to this carnage, pro-Russian terrorists shot down a Malaysian airplane over eastern Ukraine, killing nearly 300 people.

David J. Kramer, former president of Freedom House, says, "Congress has passed several pieces of legislation by large bipartisan majorities calling for the provision of lethal arms to Ukraine, and virtually every member of the Cabinet as well as his vice-president support such a step; President Obama is the lone holdout..."

By this point, the explanation for this state of affairs is an old story. As a young man in Hawaii, Obama was mentored by a pro-Russian communist, Frank Marshall Davis, who taught him that "Red Russia" was a savior of the world's oppressed peoples. Obama mocked 2012 GOP presidential candidate Mitt Romney for calling Russia a geo-political threat.

If anything, Donald Trump, the GOP front-runner in the 2016

contest, is to the left of Obama. He told a Ukraine conference in September that their nation was invaded because “there is no respect for the United States” and “Putin does not respect our president whatsoever.” He also insisted Ukraine was a European problem. The comments were considered so weak, in terms of pledging American support for Ukraine’s freedom and independence, that Trump was named by one Ukraine website as a “Kremlin agent.” This was before AIM disclosed Trump’s business connections to the Putin regime.

Perhaps Trump can take some time off the campaign trail to watch “Winter on Fire.”

[NOTE: The forgoing article is the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the opinion of NewsWithViews.com, it’s employees, representatives, or other contributing writers.]

© 2016 Cliff Kincaid – All Rights Reserved

---

## **RNC scuttles impeachment in committee vote**

At the opening of the January Republican National Committee meeting in Charleston South Carolina Wednesday, the RNC Resolutions Committee was forced to vote on a RNC Resolution known as the Agema Resolution, supporting the proper Impeachment of Barack Hussein Obama for his many crimes of treason, tyranny and treachery against the United States and the people.

The nine member Resolutions Committee chaired by Carolyn McLarty of Oklahoma, voted unanimously to kill the Agema Resolution in committee, preventing the full body of the RNC



from ever having a vote or voice on the measure in the full meeting scheduled for Friday January 15.

Not one member of the RNC Resolutions Committee supported the Agema Resolution to impeach what is admittedly the most impeachable administration in U.S. history. Not even known acts of treason and tyranny by the Obama Administration, not the Iran deal, or even Obama's recent unilateral gun grab EO (Executive Order) just a week ago, was enough to cause the leadership of the Republican Party to support a resolution on impeachment.

The nine members of the RNC Resolutions Committee who blocked the measure from the full body are as follows...

Carolyn McLarty (Oklahoma) Chair

Melody Potter (West Virginia)

Paul Reynolds (Alabama)

Tamara Scott (Iowa)

Steve Scheffler (Iowa)

Pat Longo (Connecticut)

John Frey (Connecticut)

Rosie Tripp (New Mexico)

Linda Acherman (California)

Republicans were given the purse strings in 2010 and have not "defunded" anything since, not even Planned Parenthood. From 2009 through 2014, the Republican excuse for not impeaching Obama was "we don't control the Senate" and "we will impeach if we get control of the Senate," which the people gave them control of a year ago in the 2014 election cycle.

Since 2014, the Republican excuse for not impeaching has been, "there is no public outcry for impeachment," which is true, because Republicans had taken "impeachment off the table" under Boehner and McConnell, and clearly, it remains "off the table" under Paul Ryan, and certainly Priebus.

In an effort to show congressional Republicans support for

Republican voters and donors as well as the Rule of Constitutional Law, Dave Agema (RNC-MI) and three Co-Sponsors, Diana Orrock (RNC-NV), Curley Haughland (RNC-ND) and Marti Halverson (RNC-WY) introduced a Republican National Committee Resolution in support of Proper Impeachment at the January 2016 RNC meeting. (SEE RESOLUTION HERE)

But before the full body of the RNC would have a chance to vote on the measure, the Resolutions Committee Chaired by Carolyn McLarty (Oklahoma) would scuttle the Resolution on arrival, in a nine to nothing committee "NO" vote that would prevent the balance of the RNC from any opportunity to support the resolution.

Reasons stated for their refusal to stand with the people in defense of the US Constitution were "it could mess up our GOP nominations for 2016" and "we would see a horrific backlash from Priebus" and "it could motivate support for Hillary Clinton among democrat voters..."

In other words, for the nine members of the Resolutions Committee, everything is about mere politics, party over principle, continuous election cycles that never end, over the Rule of Law and constitutional accountability for the most impeachable administration in United States history...

Worried about a backlash from Priebus or democrat voters, the committee voted to give conservatives distraught over the destruction of our country, absolutely no reason to support Republicans in any future election, or at least, until the RNC decides to represent the GOP electorate.

Convinced that they can win elections by simply doing nothing more than pointing out how evil their opponents are, while doing nothing at all to confront that evil, the RNC Committee took it upon themselves to decide that even treason is no longer an impeachable offense in America, denying the balance of the RNC to even have a voice on the matter.

And that, my fellow life-long Republicans, is why the GOP is doomed for the ash heap of political parties. As Ronald Reagan once said about his former Democrat Party, we didn't leave our party, our party has left us...

And unless at least one House Republican summons the decency and courage to introduce impeachment on their own, soon, the once great Constitutional Republic is dead and gone... Clearly, no one in national politics stands with the people in defense of the US Constitution and Bill of Rights today...

Oh sure, plenty of empty rhetoric from Republicans... but clearly, when given the opportunity to do something real, that actually matters, they run for the hills, fearful of Priebus and Democrats, but not at all worried about any backlash from their own donors and voters...

For the record, Republicans don't need to do anything to motivate democrats to vote for Marxists like Hillary or Bernie... What they needed to do was give conservatives a reason to vote for Republicans... and they just voted unanimously not to...

© 2016 JB Williams – All Rights Reserved

---

## **True facts about the endless natural born debate**

The 2008 Obama event caused people to start learning about what a natural born Citizen (NBC) is and why that condition for the Oval Office exists in Article II – who is and who isn't a natural born Citizen and the focus of the discussion was entirely upon who can and cannot seek or occupy the White

House.

The political focus point caused two important problems... 1) overlooking the more important issues surrounding NBC, the Natural Right of every child to be born a true citizen of the country of their father, due to no process of man-made law, and 2) everything regarding politics immediately becomes open for debate due to competing agendas, the driving force behind most people's "facts" ... instead of allowing the real facts to drive the agenda.

The result has been an endless debate over NBC wherein there are now five competing definitions of those three very simple English words and people are searching the four corners of the earth, all of history and every law school to find the definition that suits their agenda...

The five competing definitions

#1 – The Original Meaning – Synonymous with the term “True Citizen” in Natural Law, a Christian bible based concept of Natural Law and Natural Rights in a patriarchal society wherein all rights pass from natural birth father to child at birth. This is the Vattel definition, and The Law of Nations is only political in the sense that it is a highly regarded treatise on the subject of Natural Law and the effects of Natural Law on nations, people and governments. (Part I – How natural-born Citizen came to appear in Article II and Part II – What the Founders meant by natural-born Citizen as a matter of history)

“As the society cannot exist and perpetuate itself otherwise than by the children of the citizens, those children naturally follow the condition of their fathers, and succeed to all their rights. The society is supposed to desire this, in consequence of what it owes to its own preservation; and it is presumed, as matter of course, that each citizen, on entering into society, reserves to his children the right of becoming

members of it. The country of the fathers is therefore that of the children; and these become true citizens merely by their tacit consent. We shall soon see whether, on their coming to the years of discretion, they may renounce their right, and what they owe to the society in which they were born. I say, that, in order to be of the country, it is necessary that a person be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he is born there of a foreigner, it will be only the place of his birth, and not his country."

#2 – The Textual meaning – the words have the meaning of their face value, based upon the common use of the words at the time the words were used, in this case ratified. natural+born+Citizen, someone who is a legal member of society, at the moment of birth, as a result of nature alone. – (If one is a legal member of society only due to an act of legislation or governmental policy, they are a citizen via act, statute or government policy, and not by nature alone. One made a legal member of society by any act of government is a "naturalized" citizen.) In this case, the textual and original meaning are fully aligned.

#3 – The "birther" definition – "The natives, or natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens." The "birther" movement chose this single sentence from Vattel because the "jus soli" (born in country) part was what they needed to challenge John McCain in 2008. The focus on John McCain is what took focus off of Barack Obama and allowed someone who is NOT a NBC to enter the White House while all eyes were on McCain, who is a NBC by any reasonable definition or intent. The U.S. Senate issued a 99-0 resolution affirming McCain, but no such resolution was sought for Obama, who cannot pass the McCain resolution statements. The "birthers" are of the opinion that even though this definition is not the original definition, and to some degree, is at odds with the original meaning and textual meaning, it none the less represents the "Founders intent" to eliminate any foreign influence from the office of Commander-in-Chief, in accordance

with John Jay's stated reasoning for proposing the requirement be added. They want no foreign influence, from the father, the mother or the place of birth. Not Vattel's definition as "birthers" claim, but not an unreasonable view either. (The definition Cruz invented to attack Trump in the last RNC Debate is used by no one except Cruz. Ted created a sixth definition to suit his agenda, an outlandish extension of the "birther" definition.)

#4 – The Trump and Rubio definition – native born is natural born. This is one of two 14th Naturalization Amendment views, in which the claim is made that one must be born on US soil, aka "native born" in order to be a natural born Citizen, without any regard for parentage. Marco Rubio was born in the USA, but to two Cuban citizens who did not become legal US citizens until years after Marco's birth. This is a 14th anchor baby, a native born citizen due only to US government policies on the naturalization of foreign children born on US soil. (Trump made a technical mistake during the exchange with Cruz because he has a poor understanding of native vs. natural born, maybe by intent.)

#5 – The Progressive definition, aka common law interpretation – (the second 14th Naturalization view) Common Law is the practice of making, amending or overriding constitutional and statute law via court precedence or scholarly opinions. We just watched this happen in real time on the term "marriage" as the high court issued a 5-4 opinion that the 14th Amendment protects the right of gays to marry, thereby altering the definition of "marriage" from what it has meant since the beginning of recorded history to what the gay community and globalists want it to mean today. This is the same practice being employed by "legal experts" on both sides of the political aisle as we speak, to eliminate the NBC requirement for the Oval Office by simply using common law precedence to redefine the term to suit. This effort ends with no distinction between natural born, native born, naturalized and

undocumented citizens from foreign lands. ALL of them will be NBCs when the “legal experts” are finished here, including “undocumented migrants and Middle East refugees.”

How else to you eliminate U.S. national sovereignty and meld the USA into the global commune, unless people from all over the world can occupy the Oval Office?

When Ted Cruz was running for the Senate in 2012, he stated to supporters at a Texas 912 campaign event that he was “NOT ELIGIBLE for the White House because (using NBC #3 above) his father was never a US citizen until 2005, in addition to being born in Canada.”

The problem isn't really that Ted was “born in Canada.” The problem is, Ted was “born Canadian.” A legal citizen of Canada from birth until he decided to run for the Oval Office in May 2014, when he renounced his birthright citizenship to Canada. Ted Cruz has NO authentic US documentation of any form of legal US citizenship. Ted is an “undocumented citizen” of the USA, no different than millions of “undocumented citizens” residing in the USA today.

Of course, by definition, a “constitutionalist” is a “constitutional originalist.” Anyone who buys “precedence” and “modern interpretations” as a method of interpreting the Constitution or Bill of Rights, is NOT a “constitutionalist.”

Now, we no more need “legal experts” to tell us what natural born Citizen means, than what daylight and dark mean. The answer to both questions are obvious and self-evident. In both cases, the words mean exactly what they suggest..

The difference between natural born Citizen and every other type of citizen under U.S. law, is as obvious as the difference between daylight and dark.

Only when one seeks to alter the original and textual meaning of the term does the term become “ambiguous” and then, open to competing interpretations and debate. Only when one is willing

to use very broad progressive interpretations of constitutional text, in order to slip their candidate through the key hole to the Oval Office, does the matter become confusing, by intent.

Whether or not RNC talkers Levin, Hannity, Kelly and Limbaugh have any honest clue what a natural born Citizen is, Obama, Cruz and Rubio, as well as many others “trained in the law” do know, which means they are not just mistaken, they are frauds actively working to subvert the Constitutional requirements for high office.

However, upholding, defending, protecting and preserving the US Constitution, the Bill of Rights and all foundational Rights of the American citizenry requires us to enforce the letter of the Constitution, based on the original meaning and Founders intent at the time of the adoption...

Anything less is an effort to undermine and subvert the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights, the result of which will be the loss of all constitutionally protected natural rights, as endowed by our Creator... and mistake of grave consequences that reach far beyond the political ambitions of both candidates and constituents.

We only have the Foundations we are willing to uphold and enforce... When the people become as corrupt as their politicians, there is no hope for America... Are we there now?

© 2016 JB Williams – All Rights Reserved

---



# Why did our sailors bend their knees to Iran in the first place?

By now, most news-watchers around the world have seen the video clips or screen grabs of the surrender of 10 U.S. sailors to Iran's armed revolutionary guard, as well as the subsequent televised apology of the American identified by Tehran's Press TV as the commander of the group.

But little has been said about the sailors' actions as they pertain to the Code of the U.S. Fighting Force. That's the doctrine that requires all members of U.S. military forces to take whatever steps necessary to oppose captors – to uphold, as it reads, the "Code of Conduct, which has evolved from the heroic lives, experiences and deeds of Americans from Revolutionary War to the Southeast Asian Conflict."

Frankly speaking, members of the U.S. military shouldn't be taking knees before their captors – shouldn't be leaning back with smiles against the walls of their places of capture – shouldn't be sitting in placid defeatism with forced hijabs or other un-American military garb upon their heads. And they definitely shouldn't be doing it while video cameras roll.

It's not just U.S. code that requires U.S. military forces, if captured, to "resist by all means available." It's not just U.S. code that states "when questioned" by captors, to give only "name, rank, service number and date of birth" and to "evade answering further questions to the utmost of my ability," including making "oral or written statements disloyal to my country and its allies or harmful to their cause."

It's America's spirit that ought to compel the same.

Seeing members of the United States military, the greatest fighting force on the face of the Earth, in a state of submission, defeat and humility before armed rag-tags is a

disgusting commentary on the sickened spirit of our country. What happened to the notion of never surrender? What happened to the surprised wakening of the sleeping giant?

Where are the George Pattons of our generation?

Surrender has no place in America's military – whether speaking of declared war or tool of propaganda. Americans. Don't. Surrender.

Gen. Jack Keane, the retired four-star general of the U.S. Army and former Vice Chief of Staff for the Army, hinted during a Fox News broadcast interview the sailors' behavior and response to Iran's aggression was going to be part of the ensuing investigation. He said, in broadcast remarks: "[The apology was] not an apology from the United States government, that's an apology from the youngster who's trying to protect his crew, and his behavior will be held accountable for in any investigation to determine whether that was justified or not."

Good. An investigation into the whole fiasco, from Iran's possible failures to uphold international laws to the U.S. sailors' actions while in custody, is certainly warranted. But really, any investigation that doesn't focus on the actions of the White House under President Obama these past years will prove second-rate. If Obama wasn't such a weak leader, if Obama didn't hold Iran as morally and politically equivalent to Israel, if Obama hadn't insisted on an nuclear deal with Tehran that much of the rest of the world saw as a dangerous cave – those U.S. sailors never would have been put in the position of taking knees before representatives of the regime.

No U.S. sailor apology would have followed.

The weakness and ineffectiveness of Obama emboldened Iran to take these sailors captive. And now these sailors' actions, whether in line with military code and the spirit of America or not – and the video, sadly, would seem to suggest "not" – are still only further evidence of the lacking respect the United States has experienced under its feckless commander-in-chief. It's Obama who deserves the most scrutiny, and the

harshest judgment.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

## **State of the Union address: bald faced fibs**

My dad told me, “Son, if you tell a lie, you must keep telling more lies to cover up your original lie. Soon, you can’t remember a lie from the truth. When that happens, you stumble into your own lies until you lose respect from friends and colleagues. Once that happens, you never regain their respect.”

My dad continued, “But if you tell the truth, it will run straight forever. You will never have to lie to cover it. The truth runs straight through until the end of your life. You will be a respected man.”

For the record, Barack Hussein Obama told many fabrications on his way to the White House. He sealed all his records so no one could discover his past. At some point, history will uncover his entire facade—starting with his fraudulent Social Security number stolen from a dead man by his grandmother who worked at a Social Security office. Once the truth comes out, historians will uncover Obama just like the final result of Lance Armstrong.

When Obama arrived at the White House, he lacked any qualifications as to economics, military experience, work record of any kind, foreign affairs, American upbringing other than drugs and freebies all the way through to Columbia and Harvard where he entered with poor grades but “affirmative

action" credentials.

In his final "State of the Union", Obama told some whoppers, but again, it comes naturally to him.

He said, "We've done all this while cutting our deficits by almost three-quarters." In reality, because he lacked any understanding of economics and trade agreements, he couldn't hire top aides to help him with correcting our debt and trade deficits. Thus, he grew our national debt from \$13 trillion to \$19 trillion. He failed Freddie Mac and Fanny Mae while millions lost their homes because of bogus mortgages and lack of finances. Obama rides a pure "fiction horse" into his final days at the White House.

Obama said, "Anyone claiming that America's economy is in decline is peddling fiction." Fact: Wages remain stagnant, household incomes continued with 45 million Americans living at or below the poverty level. Because of endless immigration, jobs go to immigrants at the lowest wages. Teens can't buy jobs whether white, black or Hispanic.

Obama said, "That's what the Affordable Care Act is all about. It's about filling the gaps in employer-based care so that when we lose a job, or go back to school, or start a new business, we'll still have coverage." Reality check: cruel joke on the American people. Obamacare cancelled insurance coverage for millions of Americans who did not change jobs. It raised deductibles and premiums to a point no one can afford them.

Obama said, "Food Stamp recipients didn't cause the financial crisis: recklessness on Wall Street did." Correction dude: you didn't do anything to change the food stamp crisis, but you did grow it. You arrived with 36 million Americans and illegal aliens subsisting on food stamps—but did nothing while their numbers grew to 48 million. All of them living on the backs of American taxpayers who still possess jobs. You didn't create

any jobs for the poor or minorities. In other words, you failed all Americans caught up in welfare and dependency so deep and so cyclical, that we've lost any respect for you.

Obama said, "Seven years ago, we made the single biggest investment in clean energy in our history. Here are the results."

Reality check: think of the fraud of Solyndra and oil fracking that contaminates our ground water beyond comprehension. At the same time, you putted on the golf course too many times to count while another 21 million more immigrants landed on our shores via legal and illegal immigration. Those immigrants nullify any gains in energy conservation or water or resources or environment.

Obama said, "No nation dares to attack us or our allies because they know that's the path to ruin." Hogwash: Muslim enemies kill our country by a thousand cuts. Boston Marathon bombers, Moore, Oklahoma beheadings, NYC beheadings, Chattanooga, Tennessee massacre, Fort Hood Muslim killings, San Bernardino massacre and another 100 foiled by our CIA because you allowed more Muslim immigrants to breach our shores.

Not only that, all of Europe stands as a Muslim war zone because that's what Muslims do: wage eternal war on the West or any other civilization they inhabit.

Obama said, "As someone who begins every day with an intelligence briefing, I know this is a dangerous time." Yeah-right buddy: Obama fails to attend most of those briefings. Obama said that ISIS featured a JV approach to terror. Tell that to Charlie Hebdo, Paris, France and San Bernardino folks.

Worst of all, he admitted in his book, "When the political winds turn ugly, I will stand with the Muslims." In other words, he stands against America and all Americans, especially our U.S. Constitution. Muslims cannot in any way support or

defend our U.S. Constitution. The Koran forbids any allegiance to anything but Sharia Law.

Obama said, "We are training, arming, and supporting forces who are steadily reclaiming territory in Iraq and Syria." Gees, where do you get the arrogance to mislead: you watched as our people suffered horrible deaths in Benghazi. You oversaw incredible gun running to ISIS fighters that will be discovered once you leave office and cannot squelch the facts. You traded five Gitmo terrorists for one U.S. traitor soldier named Bergdahl. Those five terrorists plot to kill Americans.

Obama bragged that he opened up Cuba. Okay, good move. But it remains communistic, poverty-stricken and a provocative country bent on violence and subjugation to its people.

Not mentioned in his State of the Union, he never secured our borders. Tens of thousands and into the hundreds of thousands of illegal aliens continued flowing over our borders. He watched over 60,000 children ushered across our borders without taking any action to stop it. Their impact on America: horrific as to education, housing, slums, medical care and crime.

And the drugs flooding into our country over our open borders makes Obama the laughing stock of the international community. Congress remains complicit in the drug trade that makes many a Congressional critter since 1971—rich beyond measure.

Instead of enforcing our employment laws for illegals, he committed treason by usurping our Constitution as to unlawful amnesties. He watched the greatest racial unrest of America since the 1960's but did nothing to change conditions for African-Americans as to jobs, housing and dignity.

All in all, Obama arrived in the White House without credentials, without talent, without ability, without experience and without a plan. He arrived on a silvery tongue, suppressed records of his life and promised his manifesto to

“fundamentally change America”.

Once he's gone on January 20, 2017, Americans from all walks of life will begin to restore America back to its foundation: the U.S. Constitution.

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

# Happy Anniversary, Liberty Fellowship

This Sunday, January 17, 2016, Liberty Fellowship in Kalispell, Montana, will mark its 5th Anniversary. Liberty Fellowship is an unorganized, unincorporated, and non-affiliated fellowship. And it is most definitely not a 501c3 non-profit organization—which means donations to Liberty Fellowship are NOT tax-deductible.

When my family and I first moved to Montana in October of 2010, to start this fellowship, we were told by “everyone” that such an endeavor was hopeless. I couldn't count the number of preachers who told me that we would never make it, because Christian people simply would not support a fellowship (I refuse to use the word “church,” as the definition of the word in America is mostly defined solely by the IRS—and said definition is as unscriptural as it can possibly be) because their donations would not be tax-deductible. Very adamantly they said that a fellowship that was not tax-exempt could not financially survive.

Well folks, THIS SUNDAY is the 5th Anniversary of that non-tax-exempt fellowship. The critics and naysayers were wrong. I'm sure it's true that in most “churches” the biggest reason

people give is in order to receive a deduction on their income taxes at the end of the year. You don't believe that? Just announce one Sunday that people's offerings will no longer be deductible on their tax forms at the end of the year and see how many people stick around. But that's NOT the reason people give at Liberty Fellowship.

Gee! What did Christians do for over 1,900 years of Church history when they gave their tithes and offerings and there was no IRS around to recognize it? For that matter, what do Christians around the world do today who give their tithes and offerings without there even being any such thing as a 501c3 tax-exempt status? I guess they just give because they believe in the message coming from the pulpit and want to do what's right in the sight of God. What a novel idea.

Then again, why do most Christians attend the "church" they attend? Along with receiving their precious tax-deductions: to socialize with friends; to make business contacts; to let their kids play in all of the spiritual babysitting services known as "children's programs"; to listen to warm and fuzzy feel-good speeches called "sermons"; to salve their conscience for all of their crooked, dishonest business practices during the week; and to jump up and down during the rock concert that occupies the vast majority of time in these so-called "worship services."

There is absolutely no doubt whatsoever that the vast majority of America's pastors today are the Pied Pipers of serfdom—shouting "Hallelujah" and "Praise the Lord" as they tickle the ears of these materialistically-minded and pleasure-mad sheeple that call themselves "Christians"—until both the blind guides and gullible followers fall into the ditch of tyranny.

I bet the pastor where you go to church has not said one word publicly about Obama's unlawful Executive Order further restricting the right of the American people to sell and



purchase firearms, and that turns your family physician into a snoop for the government who can have your guns removed from your home without even a smidgen of accountability. I bet he hasn't said one word of counsel or clarification about the Oregon occupation. I bet you went to this "church" all year last year and never heard your pastor give a word of instruction regarding Natural and Biblical law regarding anything that took place in our country—with the possible meek mention of something to do with abortion or gay rights. No! I bet you didn't even hear him say a word when the Supreme Court put its stamp of approval on homosexual marriage.

I realize that there are rare exceptions to the above; but they are just that: RARE.

Christian people will sit in front of a milquetoast preacher for thirty minutes on Sunday morning and hear NOTHING relevant to what's happening in our country and then go home and watch FOX News for twenty-five hours a week (in between football games, of course). And if the pastor happens to inadvertently slip out a statement that contradicts what people heard on FOX News, they will deem the pastor a heretic. For all intents and purposes, in the minds of millions of professing "Christians," FOX News is not a cable news network; it is a RELIGION. Sean Hannity and Bill O'Reilly are America's pontiffs. Their word is gospel. No wonder we are screwed up.

The voices of the watchmen and shepherds are utterly silent. Christian people are lost in an echo chamber of political cacophony with no undergirding principles of truth to guide them. Truly, they are sheep having no shepherd. The Nineteenth Century British Baptist pastor Charles Spurgeon absolutely nailed it when he said, "A time will come when instead of shepherds feeding the sheep, the church will have clowns entertaining the goats." That day is here.

Five years ago this Sunday, we launched an experiment called Liberty Fellowship. No rock music; no smoke; no light show; no

gymnasium; no “children’s pastor”; no “worship leader”; no gameroom; no espresso café; no social “ministries”; no finance committees; no “education” committees; no “church growth” committees; in fact, there are no committees period.

At Liberty Fellowship, adults and children sit and listen to a man of God preach the Word of God with a heavy dose of Natural Law and the Biblical principles of liberty woven throughout. Oh, yes, we have a song service, too. It might last 15-20 minutes—usually including a vocalist or duet singing a song. Oh, and I don’t think I’ve heard Kumbaya yet. And, no, we own no buildings and have no plans to go into debt to get one.

During the week, our people actively teach one another various practical skills. They are loving and considerate, as are their children. Many of our folks homeschool their children. Most of them have made a huge sacrifice to be here. When the service is over, the auditorium is NOT empty in five minutes. Fellowship among the people is sweet and genuine.

The average sermon at Liberty Fellowship probably lasts between an hour and fifteen minutes and an hour and a half (some are even longer)—and nobody gets restless. Can you imagine nine- and ten-year-old children (and often younger) sitting up and paying attention to their pastor deliver a 90-minute sermon in almost any “church” in America? Oh! And during the first five years of the fellowship’s existence, over 450 people have made a profession of faith in Christ—that we know of.

People have moved from all over the country just to attend Liberty Fellowship. And an online Internet audience nationwide, comprised of people who wish they had such a fellowship in the town where they live, continues to grow.

Readers may watch our live online messages [here](#).

In addition, all of our messages are archived online and may be viewed [here](#).

Last year, our Liberty Church Project reached out to scores of pastors and churches, helping them to either withdraw from the government's 501c3 non-profit status or to start brand new non-501c3 fellowships. Many of these folks are still in the early stages of planting new fellowships and are meeting together in small groups in either living rooms or public buildings. They watch our livestream messages and fellowship around the Biblical, Natural Law principles of Liberty.

Learn more about the Liberty Church Project [here](#).

As an FYI to readers, our most popular message series (far and away) preached at Liberty Fellowship last year was "The Church And Israel." The titles of the four messages (on one DVD) are:

- The Presentation and Rejection of The King
- "An High Priest For Ever After The Order of Melchisedec"
- Jesus: The Seed Of David, The Seed Of Abraham
- Christ's Last Words To Israel

I firmly believe that it does not matter to a tinker's dam who is elected President or which party controls Congress: unless America's pastors start being the watchmen on the wall that God intended them to be, and until Christian people start demanding that their pastors be watchmen on the wall, nothing is going to change in this country—and we will continue to fall into the ditch of tyranny.

© 2016 Chuck Baldwin – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Now comes a crimson tide**

"MY EYES have seen the Glory of the coming of the LORD; He is trampling out the vintage where His grapes of wrath are

stored. He has loosed the fateful lightning of His terrible swift sword..."[1]

In times past, America stood for truth, justice and freedom. As a nation, we were once great, for as a people, we were once good. We the people were good, because we the people believed in God and we understood His truth was self-evident. "America is great because she is good. If America ceases to be good, America will cease to be great."[2]

America is no longer great, unless you measure the greatness of our fall. Our inner cities are deplorable, while our nation's infrastructure crumbles around us. The ugly reality of America's fallen culture is manifesting everywhere you care to look, reflecting a truth most cannot bear to hear. Our national morality was stolen from us long ago. Now we have become a nation of depravity and so we stand together at the edge of the abyss. Most Americans still choose to close a blind eye to this reality which manifests before us in so many different ways. Yet the truth is a very stubborn thing, and it refuses to be ignored. We celebrate our lost freedoms every 4th of July, while the promises of "liberty and justice for all" have become empty slogans, a bitter reminder of all we have lost for those who still remember the greatness of our past. The republic for which these truths once stood, no longer stands at all.

As a nation, our leaders can argue whether we have passed the point of financial bankruptcy, but our moral collapse and the growing depravity of a majority of our people is beyond debate. Heaven is now a witness against this once great land; we have sowed to the wind, and we shall now reap the whirlwind, and it comes with a Crimson Tide. This nation, which at one time was blessed and protected under the Sovereign Hand of Almighty God, is now under His curse.

"This nation is filled up with its sin and my people as well. Their cup of iniquity is filled to the brim. Even now, it

overflows before me" saith the Lord. The deceitfulness of sin has brought blindness upon the people and desolation deep within the hearts of men.

Eyes now blinded,  
Hearts harden within,  
They shall now be overcome,  
And given over to their sin.

"Therefore shall the land mourn, and every one that dwells therein shall languish, with the beasts of the field, and with the fowls of heaven; yea, the fishes of the sea also shall be taken away. Yet let no man strive, nor reprove another: for thy people are as they that strive with the priest."[3]

The Day of the LORD is coming soon upon America; it hastens greatly and is nigh upon us. The Day of His wrath will soon come upon all of those who make and live by the lie; and upon all who steal, and bear false witness in the Name of the Lord. Remember that God has said, "Vengeance is mine, I will repay!"

Judgment comes and that right soon! And the judgment of God begins in His own house and it first begins with the compromising elders who are now completely corrupt. A day is coming very soon in America in which all of those who walk in pride as King Saul and all who minister in deception like the wicked High Priest Eli shall be judged in a national spectacle for all to see.

The definition of the word "spectacle" is "something exhibited for view as unusual, notable, or entertaining; especially an eye-catching or a dramatic public display; an object of curiosity or contempt. Spectacles have become an established part of the opening ceremonies for the Olympic Games."[4]

A spectacle is coming soon upon this land and the ceremony has already been planned. The leaders of the world's secret societies, who are the dark rulers of this fallen world, have chosen to initiate the dawn of their New World Order with a

spectacle all their own. According to their occult calendar, this spectacle should occur on the day of the Burning Man Feast, which is celebrated on either the first day of spring or the first day of fall.

Terrible judgments are about to be witnessed in our land, but before they come, God is going to first reveal what has been hidden in the darkness within the hearts of his people and only then will He unveil his terrible swift sword.

“The congregation of hypocrites shall be desolate, and fire shall consume the tabernacles of bribery. They conceive mischief, and bring forth vanity, and their belly prepareth deceit.”[5] “The great day of the Lord is near, it is near, and hastens greatly ... and the great men shall cry there bitterly.”[6]

The time which is upon us is similar to the time of the first coming of Jesus Christ, when corruption and deception had overtaken the nation, while the leaders were all steeped in apostasy. It is in this time, that the judgment must begin in the house of God, and it must first begin with the leaders of the people.

The first spectacle of judgment to strike our nation occurred on 9/11 but the response of the people was to harden their hearts against the correction of the Lord, and rather they chose to rebuild what God had already judged. Our leaders gathered together on Ground Zero to declare: “The bricks are fallen down, but we will rebuild.”[7]

The scriptures reveal because the people refused the correction of God, “therefore the LORD shall set up the adversaries... and join his enemies against him.”[8] The refusal of the nation and the people to turn back to the truth, after God’s initial correction, is followed by God joining their enemies together and this is what America is facing now. This is also what the church is now facing as well.

The judgment in its first stage was upon the bricks which were cast down (in our case the twin towers), the symbols of the nation's power, but in the next phase, the judgment comes upon the people, who have refused the correction of God. And when the judgment of God comes upon the people, it first begins in the house of the Lord. "For the time is come that judgment must begin at the house of God: and it first must begin with us." [9]

The scripture also reveals the judgment on the people must begin with those who have lifted themselves up as leaders: "therefore the LORD will cut off from Israel head and tail, branch and rush, in one day..." [10]

The elder is the head, and the false prophet the tail. As the judgment on the house of God begins, a large number of leaders who themselves are false prophets shall all die in one day and this event will mark the beginning of the time of the judgment of God upon the church. The Lord explains why this judgment must now come upon the apostate leaders – they were causing the people to err, and leading them to destruction. [11]

The warnings of the Lord to our nation, to its church and to the men who call themselves leaders continues even to this day ... but the warnings from heaven shall soon end and then the judgment shall begin; and it shall first begin with the elders, and it shall come upon them in a single day.

"For the leaders of this people cause them to err; and they that are led of them are destroyed... For wickedness burns as the fire: it shall devour the briers and thorns, and shall kindle in the thickets of the forest, and they shall mount up like the lifting up of smoke. Through the wrath of the LORD of hosts is the land darkened, and the people shall be as the fuel of the fire: no man shall spare his brother." [12]

## THE BURNING MAN

Sometimes the truth is unveiled like a parable... it is the

glory of God to conceal a matter and the work of kings to search it out. The year of 2016 has been ordained by the Lord to reveal what is in everyman's heart. It is also the year for the end of those who rule like King Saul and for the David's whom God has anointed to finally be revealed. Saul of course went to war with David, but Saul's war did not end well.

King Saul ruled in the 11th century BC and before his final battle, he first consulted the witch of Endor. Saul's death is reported to have occurred in the year 1010 BC. In his final years in power, Saul became progressively mad, paranoid and delusional. He chose to persecute David, while trying to convince the people that David had somehow committed treason against the king in order to justify killing him.

#### KING SAUL'S PARANOID INSANITY

Depression, anxiety and ultimately insanity are the consequences of rejecting the counsel of God. America as a nation, has rejected God's counsel, and is now literally going insane. So too, in the church, and within the remnant movement, men like King Saul, have attempted to rule in their own power, their minds thus darkened, their hearts are now only filled with pride. In the life of Saul, the scripture reveals how men actually go insane, exhibiting at first narcissistic behaviors that end in psychotic and delusional paranoia. So too the men who have lifted up themselves up as leaders among God's people yet who walk only in the mind of the flesh are now also literally going insane.

Saul had a choice to make: accept God's judgment, repent, and then step down as king, or spend the rest of his life trying to kill David. He chose the latter and it ended with his total ruin. Saul's offering was rejected by the Lord, for he had acted in disobedience, refusing to follow the commandments of the Lord. The same thing is happening today within the church, where the unclean men who rule in the place of King Saul now offer strange fire in the name of the Lord.



“And Nadab and Abihu, the sons of Aaron, took either of them his censer, and put fire therein, and put incense thereon, and offered strange fire before the LORD, which he commanded them not. And there went out fire from the LORD, and devoured them, and they died before the LORD.”[13]

The Lord has already pronounced judgment against them, for “the congregation of hypocrites shall be desolate, and fire shall consume the tabernacles of bribery. They conceive mischief, and bring forth vanity, and their belly prepareth deceit.”[14]

Satan has stirred up leaders who have been brought under his spell to gather together the congregations of the dead, while the truth of their corruption has been carefully hidden behind their lying words. A tabernacle of bribery has been lifted up in a house built only upon deceit. These are the money changers in the temple of God, and their house shall now be brought down to the ground and burned with fire before the Lord.

The old order is about to pass away. They had their time, but they failed their tests, and so they have become hardened in their ways. These elders have become stubborn in their mind of the flesh. God spoke through a jackass in times past, but that time shall be no more...

The old order, like King Saul has become jealous over the anointing that is upon the David's in their midst and so they are trying to destroy the anointed ones. The David's have all fled from their presence into the wilderness, until the end of those kings, who rule as King Saul shall come.

They could not hear the trumpet crying, “Come out of her, my people.” They do not understand why David chose to flee from their feast tables filled with vomit, to dwell alone in the wilderness, when he could have been part of a great assembly, preaching to a big crowd, earning big bucks, while sitting at

Saul's table.

King Saul wanted the anointing, but only under his terms and control, to be used for his glory and fame. But God will not allow the old order of King Saul, which is ruled by the spirit of this age, to contaminate the new kingdom he is lifting up under the banner and authority of King David.

#### NOW COMES THE CRIMSON TIDE

The spirit of the Red Horse of war has already been released into the earth ... Causing people to strive, contend, and hate one another and then kill each, first trying to destroy each others reputations. The Red Horse of War comes forth first taking peace from among men before it takes peace from among the nations.

This nation shall now be turned to over to vexation of soul. Sorrow and mourning shall overtake the people for war and famine has been decreed upon this land, and now it shall be made most desolate. The whole land shall become as brimstone, salt, and the burning of lime. This is by the commandment of the Lord.

The people have lost all sense of mercy, truth or honesty and they have no conscience that constrains what they say or do. Man has become altogether disobedient to God, for even those who call themselves Christians, now walk in total defiance of his word, under the delusion of their pride. Through their lies and deceptions, they believe they will yet prosper in the way, but God's patience and mercy upon our land has reached an end. There is too much lying, killing, stealing, and adultery, such that no act of man can now turn back the Crimson Tide.

The New Tactics of Global War: Reflections on the Changing Balance of Power in the Final Days of Peace is available trough NWV.

## Footnotes:

1. The Battle Hymn of the Republic
  2. Alexis de Tocqueville
  3. Hosea 4:1-4
  4. <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/spectacle>
  5. Job 15:33-35
  6. Zephaniah 1:14
  7. Isaiah 9:10
  8. Isaiah 9:11
  9. 1 Peter 4:17
  10. Isaiah 9:14
  11. Isaiah 9:16
  12. Isaiah 9:16-19
  13. Leviticus 10:1
  14. Job 15:33-35
- 

## Full of excuses

I didn't write this but I have had many similar conversations. I would credit the author but I don't know who wrote it because I received it as a forwarded email. It is a quick read with a profound punch. I thought it deserved wider circulation. Enjoy.

"And what would Jesus have done if He were passing a pagan temple where they were sacrificing children?" I asked,

My friend responded, "Well, I suppose He'd stop."

..."And do what?"

"Probably say something"

"Like what?"

"Probably that they should stop."

"And then what?"

"I don't know."

"What would He have done?"

"Well, He wouldn't have held a sign."

"Why?"

"Because He spoke in love."

"When He called people 'brood of vipers' and 'whitewashed tombs,' was that in love?"

"Yes. But He wouldn't have held a graphic sign."

"Why?"

"Because He spoke in love."

"Why is a graphic sign not loving?"

"Because it turns people off to Christianity."

"Why?"

"Because it's offensive and people won't approach you."

"When He called people 'brood of vipers' and 'whitewashed tombs,' was that offensive?"

"Well, yes. But that was Jesus."

"So, we shouldn't be like Jesus then?"

"No, I'm not saying that."

"What are you saying then?"

"That we should be loving and not offensive. We need to show people we're just like them."

"John 15:19 tells us that we're not to be of the world and because of that, the world will hate us."

"That's true."

"So, then why should we be like the world?"

"Well, we shouldn't."

"How should we preach the Gospel?"

"Not with signs."

"Why?"

"Jesus didn't use signs."

"Jesus didn't use a printed Bible, either. Should we only use

what He had available then?"

"Well, He could have made a sign if He wanted to."

"How?"

"With parchment."

"Where would they have gotten the ultrasound picture from?"

"Well, they didn't have any."

"So, tell me again how He would have made the sign in a way the culture would have understood what an ultrasound photo was."

"I'm just saying we shouldn't be offensive."

"When Jesus called Peter 'Satan,' was that offensive to Peter?"

"Yes, I'm sure it was."

"Was it in love?"

"Well, yes."

"Why? It was offensive?"

"Because Jesus was trying to make Peter understand he was wrong."

"So, He spoke truth in love, but offended someone?"

"Yes."

"And...?"

"OK, well, you have a point, but I still don't think it's the best way."

"How would you do it, then?"

"Well, there are organizations that do this kind of thing."

"So, if there is an organization willing to do what we are not, we should support them?"

"That's what they're there for. They're called to that."

"When Jesus said to preach the Gospel to the ends of the Earth, was that only to some people?"

"No."

"Who was it to?"

"Everyone. It's the Great Commission."

"When Jesus said that we should love our neighbor as ourselves, was that also to just some people?"

"No, that was to everyone, too."

"So, if we are to preach the Gospel to everyone everywhere and to love all our neighbors, why should we only have some people do it?"

"I'm waiting for your answer."

"I just think some people are called to certain things."

"Like what?"

"Certain areas."

"Like what?"

"Like missions."

"Like what?"

"Like going to a place where the Gospel needs preached."

"Like where?"

"I don't know, like wherever it's needed."

"And only some people are called to share the Gospel?"

"No, we all are."

"So, would it be correct to say we're all missionaries?"

"Yeah, I think so."

"Where are you a missionary to?"

"I don't know. I guess where I work"

Does the Great Commission specify where we each are called to share the Gospel?"

"No."

"Actually, it does."

"Where?"

"It says everywhere."

"Oh, well, yeah."

"So, why are you only called to your workplace?"

"I mean, I guess I'm not just called there."

"Where then?"

"Well, maybe my golf group and things like that, too. You

know, places I go.”

“Have you driven down Market Street in Akron?”

“Yeah.”

“Did you know that 3 minutes from our church building, they murder babies on Market Street?”

“Well, yeah, but that’s where you go, right?”

“Yes, that’s where I go on Saturday mornings.”

“OK, so what’s your point?”

“You’ve driven past there, right?”

“Yeah, I just said so.”

“And you just said that you are supposed to share the Gospel all the places you go, right?”

“Yeah.”

“So, why are you not sharing the Gospel there?”

“Well, I mean, you’re there, right?”

“Yes, but we started this conversation by you saying I shouldn’t be.”

“Well...yeah, I guess we did.”

“So, tell me again why I shouldn’t be there?”

“Well, I mean I see your point. But I don’t like the signs.”

“But you’re OK with my preaching there then?”

“Well, I mean, yeah. That needs done. But it needs to be in love.”

“Define love.”

“The passage in Corinthians.”

“You mean where it says, ‘Love is patient, love is kind,’ etc?”

“Yes. See? It says ‘Love is kind.’”

“It also says, ‘Love does not delight in evil but rejoices with the truth. It always protects, always trusts, always hopes, always perseveres.’”

“Yeah. I don’t see your point.”

“What does it look like for love to not delight in evil, but

rejoice in truth? Would allowing children to be murdered be 'kind'?"

"I...well, I guess that means we shouldn't do bad things, but follow the truth in the Bible."

"Like modeling Christ and how He acted, right?"

"Right."

"How about telling people about Hell and judgment?"

"No, see, that's where we drive people away."

"So, when Jesus said if an eye causes you to sin, it'd be better to gouge it out rather than go to Hell, that was wrong?"

"Was He wrong?"

"No. He's Jesus."

"And we're supposed to model Him, right?"

"Yes."

"So, again, why is speaking about judgment and Hell wrong?"

"It's not, it just shouldn't be the first thing out of our mouths."

"If a blind person was walking off a cliff, what would be the first thing out of your mouth? 'I love you and want to help' or 'You're walking off a cliff!' "

"The last one."

"Why?"

"Because it's the most important at that moment."

"So, if someone is going to murder someone else right in front of you, should we shout 'God loves you and has a wonderful plan for your life' or should we shout 'Don't murder that person!' "

"The last one again."

"Why?"

"Because they're about to murder the person!"

"So, why is it wrong for me to stand outside an abortion clinic and tell people not to murder their children?"

"So...again, why is it wrong for me to stand outside an



abortion clinic and tell people not to murder their children?"

"It's not. I just think there's a better way."

"What is it?"

"We should tell them God loves them."

"I'm going to murder someone in 10 seconds. Quick, share the entire Gospel and then prevent me from murdering. Go!"

"Um...uh, God loves you and He died on the cross. He rose again on the third day and He-"

"Stop! 10 seconds. Just murdered the person. And now you're to blame."

"Me? How am I to blame?!"

"You didn't tell me not to. I just know God loves me. He'll love me even though I murdered someone, right?"

"Well, no. I didn't say that! You can't murder someone and then ask for forgiveness."

"Why? You only told me God loves me."

"He does, but you can't murder someone!"

"You never told me that."

"You didn't give me a chance!"

"Yeah, I did. I gave you as much of a chance as anyone gives me outside an abortion mill."

"Well...I mean, that's not enough time."

"No, it's not. So, in that instance, what is most important? Explaining the entire Gospel? Or trying to convince them to stop before they murder another person?"

"Getting them to stop."

"OK, 10 seconds again. I can't hear a word you're saying because you're so far away. Convince me not to murder my child. Go!"

"What? I can't...what?"

" 7...6...5..."

"Uh, I uh...um, what? I can't talk?"

"2...1...the baby is dead. Congratulations, you did nothing."

“But how am I supposed to get you to understand if I can’t even speak to you?! That’s not fair!”

“Maybe...I don’t know, a large sign might have helped?”

“Uh...(sigh). Yeah.”

“So, tell me again why I shouldn’t preach the Gospel wherever I go, tell people not to murder their children, use a large sign, and speak words of truth that people might be offended by?”

“OK, I guess I’m seeing your point a little.”

2016 Dave Daubenmire – All Rights Reserved

---

## **How to understand crazy humanists: watch tv**

Two news stories cropped up this weekend that would reinforce any suspicion that our science, the servant of an increasingly insane society, has itself gone off the deep end.

And our popular culture, TV shows in particular, can help us understand it.

First we have scientists fitting praying mantises with tiny little 3-D glasses and then showing them movies, to try to find out to what extent the mantises can see in three dimensions.

It sounds like a joke, but it isn’t. When a mantis sees an ordinary two-dimensional film clip of tasty bugs, nothing happens. They’re not interested in showing the insects any “Star Wars” movies. But when they fit the mantis with red and blue “glasses” so it can see the film in three dimensions—the way we humans once watched “Thirteen Ghosts”—the mantis thinks

it's real and tries to catch the bugs.

And the purpose of this experiment was...? You're gonna love this: to collect knowledge that may help scientists build robots that can see in three dimensions.

Well, if robots are going to be having sex with human beings in the not too distant future, they might as well be able to see what they're getting.

The second news story tells us of a science project ongoing at the University of Minnesota to grow human organs in animals. These scientists deny they're trying to imitate the horrific mess involving monsters produced by blending animals with human beings, as told by H.G. Wells in "The Island of Dr. Moreau." It is troubling that they thought it necessary to deny it.

So there they are in their laboratory, creating freaks that cannot survive in nature, growing human brain tissue in mice—boy, are we in trouble if those escape from the laboratory—creating pigs without skeletal muscles, and generally tearing down the interspecies barriers that protect us humans from dying of distemper or some other veterinary nightmare.

They do such things because they don't believe in God or acknowledge His authority. That's where the TV show comes in, lighting up the dark corners of the humanist mind-set.

A few years ago there was a popular British TV series called "Primeval." It was about prehistoric monsters, and a few big nasties from the future, invading our world of today via "anomalies" in space and time. The interesting thing about it is its presentation of two competing world views—both humanist, both as far from Christ as it is possible to be. And not once is anything like a religious scruple, or even a fleeting Christian afterthought, even hinted at.

The “good” humanism in the show insists that all of nature is merely the result of blind chance playing out as Evolution, and expresses a quasi-religious faith in chance and Evolution as leading to the best of all possible worlds. Man must humbly stand aside and let chance and Evolution do their thing without interference.

But the bold “bad” humanism—which is really much more in tune with the Humanist Manifesto and other loopy credos of that kind—seeks to rule out chance and take hold of Evolution with both hands, to control it and to steer it to a desired outcome.

Either way, God is out of the picture and man is left as top dog in the universe. To try to portray either of these humanisms as anything but a religious alternative of Christianity is only to be simple-minded. This stuff is the Apostles’ Creed of people who don’t believe in God.

I’ll take Door No. 2 for the hands-on humanism, Monty—the kind that lets us do anything we please because there is no higher authority we have to answer to. Let’s control our evolution! Scientists are smart, so nothing can possibly go wrong.

Whether it’s movies for insects, or sex with robots, or creating pathetic monsters in the laboratory, we like it, we love it, that there’s no one Up There to say “Thou shalt not.”

Sometimes all it takes is the right TV show to make sense out of science.

2016 Lee Duigon – All Rights Reserved

---

# **Enviro-Wacos won't be happy until the Bundy Militia is dead**

"If you own rural land, the government and the environmentalists want it and intend to take it, regardless of your property rights engraved in the U. S. Constitution." -Ron Ewart

In our recent article entitled "Secession or War – American West Against the East", we described what was going on with those that run this country in the East being in direct conflict with those who live in the West. This festering conflict has led to the Bundy Ranch armed standoff in Nevada in 2014 and now the Hammond Ranch armed standoff in Southeastern Oregon by the Bundy-led militia. The reader might wonder how did we get to this point where guns became necessary to protect property rights?

Since the passage of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969, the Environmental Protection Agency in 1970 (EPA) and finally the Endangered Species Act in 1973 (ESA), a radical environmental mindset has invaded America like a rapidly metastasizing disease. This environmental disease has become so pervasive you can find it in all of government, in our public schools, our colleges, the courts, the news media and even corporate America has become a victim. Elements of this environmental disease are woven subtly into commercial advertising. The EPA, the BLM, the U. S. Fish and Wildlife, the U. S. National Forest and the U. S. Interior agencies became the unrelenting, Gestapo-like enforcement arm for NEPA and the ESA.

One year prior to the passage of the Endangered Species Act in 1972, the United Nations held an "Earth Summit" in Rio de

Janeiro from which Agenda 21 was born. Most of the world nations signed off on Agenda 21, including that great conservative president, George H. W. Bush, on behalf of the American people. In reality, Agenda 21 was and is nothing more than an outright, open declaration of war on American constitutionally protected private property rights, driven by radical environmentalism and social justice.

Then in 1976 the United Nations held a "Declaration of Human Settlements" conference in Vancouver, Canada where international environmental, land use and socialist policies were laid out and established as permanent guidelines. The following paragraph was taken directly from the policy paper coming out of the Vancouver conference.

Preamble:

Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlements, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes. Social justice, urban renewal and development, the provision of decent dwellings-and healthy conditions for the people can only be achieved if land is used in the interests of society as a whole.

The UN preamble flies in the face of American property rights and the U. S. Constitution. The UN is not America's friend but it maybe too late to do anything about it. The U. S. Government and all state and local governments have adopted this preamble and Agenda 21 either in part or in whole and they have passed laws to implement them. States, counties and cities have even adopted international building, utility and environmental codes. The one-world-order was institutionalized into American law years ago, right under our collective noses.

President Clinton established the Council on Sustainable Development in June of 1993 to further integrate Agenda 21 policies into American law. The American Planning Association has adopted many of the tenets of Agenda 21. Those tenets end up in city and county land use comprehensive plans all over America. This disease of radical environmentalism has propagated rapidly and is now completely institutionalized into the American system of law. Were you ever asked to offer an opinion on these environmental changes to law, or attend a public hearing? Hardly! Your "representatives" just did it, unilaterally. Only environmentalists and government employees came to the public hearings.

What is the result of all this environmental national and international gerrymandering? Powerful and very wealthy environmental groups have sprouted up all over America. NEPA, ESA and the EPA rules have given these environmental groups a feeding ground of federal law allowing them to sue the government every time the government violates its own laws. The government violates its own laws all the time. The environmentalists win most of the time and with each win they reap multi-million dollar awards of your tax dollars and become even wealthier. In addition, wealthy philanthropists donate millions to environmental groups. Meanwhile, freedom and property rights groups like NARLO are starved of the funds necessary to keep up their fight to preserve the Republic.

One such radical environmental group is the Center for Biological Diversity (CFBD). They have become filthy rich suing the government over the Endangered Species Act (ESA). First, they research species to find if any species are threatened or endangered according to "their" science. Then they send a list of what species they think that may be threatened or endangered to the ESA and demand they be listed. If the government doesn't list the species within the ESA regulations, CFBD sues the government. CFBD wins all the time because the courts have an environmental bias.

We have seen this bias first hand in a court case against a private property owner near Tacoma. WA. The judge openly displayed his environmental bias in the court transcripts. The man was sent to jail for 6 months and fined \$20,000 for cleaning out a ditch on his own property. When he cleaned out the ditch the ditch wasn't in a wetland. The land use authorities declared it a wetland after the fact and charged him with a crime that did not exist. The judge was intent upon making an environmental example out of this hapless landowner who had done nothing wrong.

But let's get back to the Center for Biological Diversity and the armed stand off by the Bundy militia at the Hammond Ranch in Southeastern Oregon. Shortly after the Bundy standoff commenced, the CFBD issued the following statement in their newsletter.

"The armed men who took over a federal building in southeastern Oregon are part of a long-running campaign of violence, intimidation and extremist paranoia that has festered for decades in the West over the issue of public lands – the previous standoff made in 2014 by Cliven Bundy in Nevada. Among the demands at the latest standoff is to shut down Oregon's Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, which provides crucial protections for wildlife – especially birds that migrate through the area.

Members of the men's group have said they're willing to kill and be killed if necessary.

"This is the latest in a long string of armed, right-wing thugs attempting to seize America's public lands and enact their paranoid, anti-government dream bought by guns and intimidation," said the Center for Biological Diversity's Kierán Suckling. "What's happening in Oregon is a logical outgrowth of right-wing rhetoric that demonizes even the concept of federal land – places like national parks and forests – and villainizes those who believe that publicly



owned land should be more than just a source of profit for ranchers and corporations.”

Examining these statements by CFBD reflects an extreme environmental bias and total ignorance of private property rights. Their use of “campaign of violence, intimidation and extremist paranoia” and “thugs” rhetoric subverts the principles upon which these armed patriots stand. They are constitutional principles, not some fly-by-night trivia.

Since the mid 1960’s national and international (UN) radical environmental policies have come in direct conflict with American constitutional principles. Environmental policies have won. The EPA, BLM and the US Fish and Wildlife are owned and operated, lock, stock and barrel, by environmental zealots. Local and state governments are staffed by environmentally brainwashed educated idiots from liberal colleges.

The Bundy Ranch armed standoff in 2014 and the current Hammond Ranch standoff in Oregon have been triggered by the freedom-robbing disease of radical environmentalism. Contrary to what the news is reporting, the current Bundy militia in Oregon DO NOT want to overthrow the government. They want constitutional justice for all rural landowners. Listen to what the Bundy standoff is really all about from KrisAnne Hall, a constitutional attorney, at this link.

These men and women and millions of rural Americans have seen the steady, unconscionable and unconstitutional erosion of the basic rights of property ownership in America and they have had enough. There is no alternative left for them but to draw a line in the sand.

We ask all of our readers to say what they would do if the government reached in without legal authority and took your home and your livelihood away from you, or burned your home down? What if they charged you with a trumped up crime and

threw you in jail for five years? Would you just stand by and do nothing? It is way past time for rural landowners to stand up and lay it on the line. The Bundy militia has done just that. They are the catalyst to light one big giant "brush fire" across the West. We hope they do.

The Bundy Ranch militia in Nevada in 2014 and now the armed standoff in Oregon is a direct threat to radical environmentalists and they know that if the rural landowner movement catches on, the "cat is out of the bag" and their political and financial power will start drying up, literally at the point of a gun. The Founding Fathers did not insert the Second Amendment into the Bill of Rights for hunting.

Although we do not support lawless acts, nevertheless, as a national advocate for rural landowners (NARLO) for the last 10 years, we applaud and support the Bundy militia standoff because they and we speak for rural landowners everywhere. We urge all Americans who believe in the principle causes of these brave men to send them well wishes. They need to know the people are behind them so that they can endure what is certain to come their way at the hands of the federal government, egged on by environmentalists like the CFBD. Send your well wishes addressed to Ammon Bundy, Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, 36391 Sodhouse Lane, Princeton, OR 97721. Do it today.

But the longer the Bundy militia occupy the federal building on the nature preserve in Oregon, the urgency rises for an armed engagement by an overwhelming federal military force against a puny militia. They may be "hit" before this article is in print. There are indications of a Special Ops Force headed to Oregon. Who will win is already pre-ordained. The potential for another Ruby Ridge or Waco to take place on American soil is possible, where the people, standing up for constitutional principles, are taken down by the government that is criminally, morally and constitutionally in the wrong.

If you really want to know the truth about the BLM vs. Hammond Ranch story, we strongly recommend that you listen to Greg Walden's impassioned testimony on the floor of the U. S. House of Representatives. Walden is an Oregon Republican U. S. Congressman that represents the district where the Hammond's live and he personally knows the Hammond's. Watch the Video.

Now the reader might get the idea that we are anti-environment. Just the opposite is true. The National Association of Rural Landowners' (NARLO) motto is:

"Protecting the environment is laudable.  
Trashing the Constitution to do it, is treasonous!"

The truth is, rural landowners are far better stewards of the land than ineffective, hopelessly corrupt government agencies that collude with national and international radical environmentalists to steal constitutionally protected property rights. The stories of government mis-management of federal lands are legendary and have caused billions of dollars in property and environmental damage. Need we mention EPA's release of toxic substances into a Utah river from a mine they were managing? That release polluted an entire river drainage area all the way to the Colorado River. Did anyone get fired or go to jail? Of course not. It's government.

Ladies and gentlemen, the brutal reality is that only a ground swell of national rural outrage, like the Bundy militia, will reverse the course of socialism and environmental extremism in America and return us to a Constitutional Republic. Farmers, ranchers and rural landowners can and should be the catalyst to incite that outrage because they are being required to bear the entire burden of environmental protection, while city folk get off scot-free. Rural landowners own the 'land' and the 'land' is their power, if they will use that power ..... before they lose it.

The NARLO website is a treasure trove of information for rural

landowners. If you are a rural landowner, you owe it to yourself to investigate the hundreds of articles, valuable information and tools that exist there. Tell us your story and we will devote our weekly column to it. We even have developed a sample petition to help citizens in counties and cities to demand their city or county cancel the contracts with the United Nations International Council on Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). The petition is contained in Section "A", Chapter A3 of NARLO'S Rural Landowner Handbook.

ICLEI consults with American cities and counties on how to plan for sustainable development and smart growth under the guidelines of Agenda 21 (1972 & 2012) and the Conference on Human Settlements, established in Vancouver in 1976. ICLEI is a Trojan Horse for international environmentalism and is an enemy of freedom and American constitutional property rights. Either fight this radical environmental monster or watch America slide into third world status where freedom and property rights are dictated by a King, or an Absolute Democrat Monarchy.

[NOTE: The forgoing article is the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the opinion of NewsWithViews.com, it's employees, representatives, or other contributing writers.]

2016 Ron Ewart – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Gun salesman of the decade: Barak Hussein Obama**

"With reasonable men, I will reason; with humane men I will plead; but to tyrants, I will give no quarter, nor waste arguments where they will certainly be lost." -William Lloyd

Garrison, Abolitionist

Here we go again. Barack Hussein Obama has issued another illegal executive order, which stands in direct violation of The United States Constitution, in another attempt to disarm the American people in the face of the obvious acts of tyranny.

Disarming Americans in the face of domestic terrorism, and telling Americans that this will help combat ISIS? Really? (Psalm 94:20)

The manipulating tyrant attempts to conjure up tears to garner sympathy from the ignorant only to assail Constitutional Laws, the same laws that protect the people from tyrants such as himself.

Remember America, Barry cannot go a day without thinking about the first graders that were allegedly shot and killed at Sandy Hook Elementary. Yet, no administration to date has advocated the murder of innocent children in the womb more than this criminal (Proverbs 6:17).

In other words America, "Do as I say, and don't pay attention to what I do!"

Now this administration is going so far (as you let them go) to tell the country that the US needs a "sense of urgency" when it comes to new measures against gun ownership.

President William Henry Harrison said that the plea of necessity is "that eternal argument of all conspirators."

And if that was not good enough, he even sics his dogs on law-abiding citizens when sent out Attorney General Loretta Lynch to threaten and to declare to the people, "We're watching you."

America, I say, "Let them watch!" It is time for the modern day tyrants to understand the Christian spirit of our

forefathers, ENOUGH!

Let me remind you that on May 18, 2015, it was confirmed that the Obama administration ran guns from Benghazi to Syria before the US consulate attack. This all came on the heels of his administration being uncovered for arming Mexican drug lords who killed hundreds of Mexicans through "Fast and Furious."

Barrack Hussein Obama also went on to say that he could bypass Congress, which is your voice, through your representatives. He said that the majority of the American people support his gun control efforts, which is an absolute lie.

In December, CNN took a poll in which we were told that 48% of Americans were for stricter gun control. Did they take that poll in the White House?

Who do they think that they are kidding?

The voice of the American people is heard loud and clear through gun sales.

First, former CNN news anchor Amber Lyon, an award-winning journalist, told us that she was ordered to report fake stories, delete unfriendly stories adverse to the Obama administration, and construct stories in specific manners while working for the left-wing network.

"CNN is paid by foreign and the US government for reporting on some events, and not reporting on others," said Lyon. "The Obama Administration pays for CNN content."

Secondly, on December 02, 2015, it was reported that Black Friday gun sales broke all previous records, this information coming directly from the FBI.

Fortune magazine reminds us, that on December 21, 2012, shortly after the alleged Sandy Hook incident, when the government started upping its rhetoric on restricting gun

rights, that Americans headed out to the stores and armed up in droves.

In other words, Barack Hussein Obama is the gun salesman of the last decade.

So, what Americans are being told by this lying administration fails the test of truth. In fact, the opposite is true (Isaiah 5:20).

Over the last 7 years of this, as well as the preceding administration, Americans have been under attack because of the crimes of the administrations. To parallel these crimes against the people, consider that our forefathers threw off the tyranny of King George for such activity with the cry of Thomas Jefferson, "Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God" (Romans 12:21).

One has to come to terms with the fact that some say that King George did not seem that bad, though he was. To say that, of course, shows you how far the American people have been conditioned and desensitized to tolerate the crimes of their current standing government, which has far surpassed the crimes of King George (Isaiah 59:4-15).

Though there is nothing new under the sun (Ecclesiastes 1:9), all tyrants act out in a similar fashion. In the end, you will witness that they all serve the same devil (John 8:44).

They are lawless at every given step, and the fruit of lawlessness always produces the same rotten, bitter end. This includes those representatives that no longer represent the American people.

They steal, they kill and they destroy (John 10:10; 2 Thessalonians 2:8-10).

If the American people do not address the issues at hand by dealing with crime in the institution of government, like

Jeremiah, who warned the people to repent concerning their sins before a Just and Holy God (The Book of Lamentations), then they will only bring more judgment upon themselves and their children.

So, why are Americans armed in the first place?

“The strongest reason for the people to retain the right to keep and bear arms is, as a last resort, to protect themselves against tyranny in government. –Thomas Jefferson

Unconstitutional and Illegal Executive Orders / I Will Not Comply!

© 2016 Bradlee Dean – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Is Chief Justice Roy Moore an American hero?**

The most reliable history book on record and the basis of authority for all American law, jurisprudence, and liberty challenges us with the question, “Have you not read that He Who made them at the beginning ‘made them male and female,’ and said, ‘For this reason a man shall leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh’?”

This question then creates what you might call the “Since-the-Creation-of-Humankind” definition of marriage, or the “Before-the-Foundations-of-the-Earth” definition of marriage, or the “Settled-in-Heaven-for-All-Eternity” definition of marriage.

Or you might just call it “THE” definition of marriage.



I love the reliability and authority of the Bible and so did the founders and framers of American Government.

George Washington made the conclusive assertion, "It is impossible to rightly govern a nation without God and the Bible."

Attorney, Statesman, and the famous gentleman that coined the phrase "give me liberty or give me death," Patrick Henry made the claim, "The Bible is worth all the other books which have ever been printed."

Recently, my friend and public servant Chief Justice Roy Moore was willing to make a decision based solely on law, truth and conscience.

Moore mandated, IT IS ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT: Until further decision by the Alabama Supreme Court, the existing orders of the Alabama Supreme Court that Alabama probate judges have a ministerial duty not to issue any marriage license contrary to the Alabama Sanctity of Marriage Amendment or the Alabama Marriage Protection Act remain in full force and effect.

Noah Webster defined a hero as, a man of distinguished valor, intrepidity or enterprise in danger.

Perhaps many in America consider what the Chief Justice has done is heroic. Those who feel that American philosophy and ethics are being hijacked by a post-modern secular deification of man whereby man, rather than law, inhabits the throne of justice.

Then there are undeniable some in America who despise the Chief Justice. Generally speaking this demographic includes those who claim marriage is oppression, and poorly treats those who want access to the benefit without following the rule. Reconciling that Justice can do nothing but conform to the rule of Law is insurmountable to them.

This brings about a far larger dilemma than “what is marriage?” Our world is plagued with men desperately seeking a throne where he can be liberated from the consequences of any decision that does not square with the moral laws of the universe. Men inherently seek a liberty similar to the proverbial train that wanted freedom. Sick of the oppressive force and constricting narrow path of travel, the train jumped off the tracks into an inevitable train wreck.

In order to prevent an American “train wreck”, Chief Justice Roy Moore has determined, in the words of Martin Luther King Jr., “the time is always right to do the right thing”, and I am grateful for his stand.

Learn more about your Constitution with Jake MacAulay and his “Institute on the Constitution” and receive your free gift.

2016 Jake MacAulay – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The source of law, rights, and Martin Luther King Jr.**

Please ponder, study, and memorize this statement with me.

There is a God, our rights come from Him, and the only purpose of government is to protect and secure our God-given rights.

This week Americans will be paying tribute to this century’s likely brightest orator and most dedicated man to individual liberty, Reverend Michael King Jr. (aka Martin Luther King Jr.). While I am almost certain that the good minister from Atlanta, Georgia, would not condone having a day named after him, I do not condone the worthless heaping of accolades by

any people group who coopt, blindly turn from, or outright despise the Christian minister's teachings.

Immorality, theft, vandalism, Second Amendment violations, and so-called civil rights were not the basis of this Baptist minister's philosophies. His mission was to proclaim the Gospel and his basis was God-given rights, and liberty under Law.

In his Letter from a Birmingham Jail, he stated "there are two types of laws: just and unjust." And that "one has not only a legal but a moral responsibility to obey just laws. Conversely, one has a moral responsibility to disobey unjust laws."

This smacks of the American Declaration of Independence when our founders declared the English King George was breaking the law when he "combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation... and For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences."

The word "pretended" here denotes falsehood, feigned, simulated and conversely invalid.

Pastor King's letter goes on to quote renowned theologian and early church father Augustine that "an unjust law is no law at all."

King knew the definition of law. As stated in his letter "A just law is a man made code that squares with the moral law or the law of God." This definition coincides with what the Declaration calls "the laws of nature and natures God".

At one time in our history, this was widely understood. However, in recent years, Americans have largely forgotten the fact that purported laws draw their efficacy – their validity – from adherence to these two fixed standards. Indeed, in

modern times, these two critical standards are not applied by most Americans to the actions of government because these two critical standards are not studied or even known to the people.

Americans desperately need to be reintroduced to the Supreme Law of the Universe (the Bible) and the Supreme Law of the Land (the Constitution).

These standards can't be applied to the actions of legislatures and governors and judges unless they are known, respected, and defended by the people.

This country, as great as it is, suffers from many sociopolitical diseases, one of which Dr. King coined Schizophrenia when discussing founding documents like the Declaration of Independence. "History reveals that America has been a schizophrenic personality where these two documents are concerned. On the one hand she has proudly professed the basic principles inherent in both documents. On the other hand she has sadly practiced the antithesis of these principles," said King.

He alludes that government has a tendency to praise one concept while malevolently tearing down its foundations. I pray we are not found guilty of allowing this in our own American lives, homes, communities, and halls of justice.

In the words of Declaration signer John Dickenson, "Kings or parliaments could not give the rights essential to happiness...we claim them from a higher source – from the King of kings and Lord of all the earth. They are not annexed to us by parchments and seals. They are created in us by the decrees of Providence, which establish the laws of our nature."

Learn more about your Constitution with Jake MacAulay and his "Institute on the Constitution" and receive your free gift.

2016 Jake MacAulay – All Rights Reserved

---

# Ted Cruz needs a constitutional convention to pass the nAU

*“While, then, the constituent body retains its present sound and healthful state everything will be safe. They will choose competent and faithful representatives for every department. It is only when the people become ignorant and corrupt, when they degenerate into a populace, that they are incapable of exercising the sovereignty. Usurpation is then an easy attainment, and an usurper soon found. The people themselves become the willing instruments of their own debasement and ruin.”* –James Monroe, First Inaugural Address (March 4, 1817)

Ted Cruz always tells the crowd what they want to hear, depending upon to whom he’s speaking. If you ask most Republicans who Ted Cruz is, they’ll likely tell you he’s an Evangelical Christian of strong conservative values and won’t compromise. He’s certainly spent all his time in the Senate during his attempted government shutdowns trying to convince people of that. Those Republicans would be wrong on both counts.

✘ Think he isn’t a neocon? Here he is speaking at Heritage Foundation, one of the top phony rightwing shells for the NWO.

For all his allure as an outsider, Canada-born Ted Cruz is in fact an insider playing a role similar to the one Barack Obama played back in 2008 when his handlers portrayed him as the hope and change candidate out of nowhere. Ted Cruz set out to be an elitist from the very beginning.

From [Jason Zengerle’s piece](#) in GQ Magazine:

*The elite academic circles that Cruz was now traveling in began to rub off. As a law student at Harvard, he refused to study with anyone who hadn't been an undergrad at Harvard, Princeton, or Yale. Says Damon Watson, one of Cruz's law-school roommates: "He said he didn't want anybody from 'minor Ivies' like Penn or Brown."*

Ted Cruz was even John Boehner's attorney when the latter was suing Democrat Jim McDermott over the alleged leak of an illegal tape-recording of GOP leaders. [[Link](#)]

Cruz's insider connection is also a family affair. His wife, Heidi, is a Goldman Sachs vice president in Houston, Texas, according to her [LinkedIn](#) profile. She also served as an economic advisor for the Bush administration. In 2011, a Cruz campaign spokesman portrayed Heidi as "an expert on North American trade," in other words she is savvy when it comes to globalist transnational trade deals like [NAFTA](#), the single most destructive government move against the American worker in history. Remember Heidi Cruz is also a co-author of the North American Union which totally destroys American sovereignty, and she spent five years at the CFR drafting the NAU. Link Want to hear a bunch of bunk from Ted Cruz about his wife's involvement with the CFR when he was running for the Senate? Watch the below video:

## **Gay Marriage**

In June, 2015, Ted Cruz promised on NPR that opposition to gay marriage would be "front and center" in his 2016 campaign. In July, Cruz called the decision from the Supreme Court, "[the very definition of tyranny.](#)"

However, in December, it turns out that in a closed door fundraiser, Cruz assured attendees that overturning gay marriage wouldn't be among his top priorities as President. [In this Politico article, you can listen to the secret tape from a New](#)

[York fundraiser.](#)

## **Immigration**

When it comes to immigration, Cruz has had a hard-line response, until it looked like the political winds were shifting in favor of legalization, and a pathway to legal status for immigrants already within the country. On Fox News, in 2013, he changed his stance to earnestly support that legal pathway. The original video was scrubbed from the internet. However, watch this recent interview with Bret Baier asking Cruz about his flip flopping stances on amnesty.

Cruz once proposed an immediate increase in the base H-1B cap from 65,000 to 325,000. Cruz offered the H-1B increase as an amendment in 2013 to the Senate's comprehensive immigration bill.

The pro-amnesty Club For Growth (CfG) gave Cruz \$705,657. It begs the question: Who is Cruz lying to; CFG who is for open borders, or the American people who don't want open borders? There are too many obvious contradictions. Remember too, that [CfG spent \\$3.3 million on attack ads against Donald Trump](#) because he refused to donate \$1 million to them, and for good reason.

In fact, for every hard line in the sand that Cruz has said he won't cross, he's gleefully stepped over when the politics of the moment called for it. Defunding Planned Parenthood? Not so much now. Repealing Obamacare? It's the law of the land. Stopping the Iran deal? The deal got done. The list of hard stances Cruz has earnestly taken and subsequently abandoned goes on and on, just as he earnestly supports everything that he's saying at that very moment. Like [Machine Gun bacon](#), which still isn't a thing in Texas.

If you need any further convincing of the scale of Cruz's ego,


you only have to check out the over 15 hours of B-Roll footage his campaign has made available on Youtube. The part where his mom gives him an epic eye-roll when he claims she spends hours a day in prayer over him is the best.

Another example of the legendary scale of Cruz's ego, shortly after an inappropriate cartoon lampooning Ted Cruz for trotting out his young daughters as political props was published, he sent out an email decrying the attack against his family and asked for more money to help defend his kids.

### **Ted Cruz Wants a Con-Con**

From the Convention of States website come this: Ted Cruz immediately declared his support for Mark Levin's book, *The Liberty Amendments* upon its release. He posted a link on his [Facebook page](#) and wrote, "Mark's book sparks an important discussion about how to fix the problems that face our Republic." As well, check out [Ted's article in the National Review](#) where he promotes a Convention.

Really Ted Cruz? You really believe a Constitutional Convention will fix the problems our Republic faces? Excuse me Ted, but the founders gave us everything we need. The problem is that the legislative, executive and judicial branches of our government do not want to follow what they've sworn an oath to.

 [In a conversation with Bob Menges, the South Carolina director for the Convention of States \(COS\) Project, Cruz reiterated his support for the movement.](#) Here's a list of "endorsements" from the COS website. It includes, Sean Hannity, Allen West, Mike Huckabee, Tom Coburn, Glenn Beck, Sarah Palin, Bobby Jindal, and [AMAC](#), which is heavily advertised by neo-con, Laura Ingraham. [[Link](#)] Many believe these people and organizations are true Conservatives. Some may be misguided, but I highly doubt it.



The lawyers sure aren't misguided, they easily understand exactly what they're doing. Non-lawyers like Beck and Palin may not, but they aren't the sharpest knives in the drawer anyway, and I doubt they're misguided.

Ted Cruz however, is unequalled in his hypocrisy and treachery. He knows perfectly well that we will need a new Constitution to change our status *from* a sovereign nation to a member State in the North American Union. Remember, [Ted's wife, Heidi, was on the CFR Task Force to write up the plan for the NAU](#). He absolutely knows about the Parliament which the Plan sets up over Canada, the United States, and Mexico! The Parliament will consist of fifteen members (5 from each nation) to rule over the NAU. The [North American Union would be yet another collective regional government](#), a new North American Soviet, that would clearly be the enemy of United States sovereignty.

If you do not understand the dangers of a Constitutional Convention today, with all the leftists in power on both sides of the aisle, and the faux conservatives pushing this destruction, then please avail yourself of the many articles on same by [Publius Huldah](#), the [John Birch Society](#), [Phyllis Schlafly](#), and many others, including the Father of our Constitution, [James Madison](#).

In my previous article, [Ted Cruz, Henry Kissinger and the Globalists](#), I exposed many of the power players behind Cruz. In a recent article by the New American, author Joe Wolverton tells of even more who have been hired. The following is from that article, [Ted Cruz's Closest Counselors are Neocons](#):

### **Cruz's Foreign Policy Advisor is James Woolsey**

Woolsey was a national security specialist and former Director of the CIA under the Clinton administration. He heads up many Neoconservative groups including being the Chairman of the Foundation for Defence of Democracies, and Founding Member of the Washington Institute for Near East Policy.

More than just academic advocacy of the military-industrial complex and the global deployment of American troops to force democracy on the world, Woolsey has no problem putting the noose around those who act against the growth of the government.

In a December 2013 interview with Fox News, Woolsey made the following shocking statement when asked about NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden: "I think giving him amnesty is idiotic," Woolsey said. "He should be prosecuted for treason. If convicted by a jury of his peers, he should be hanged by his neck until he is dead." [[Link](#)]

That's not just think-tank rhetoric, that's reign-of-terror-type talk.

✘ The [Partnership for a Secure America](#) Discusses Climate Change on Capitol Hill

In This Photo: Wayne Gilchrest, James Woolsey

*Former CIA Director James Woolsey (L) and former U.S. Rep. Wayne Gilchrest (R-MD) discuss climate change during a briefing on Capitol Hill in February of 2013 in Washington, D.C. The briefing was hosted by the Partnership for a Secure America to discuss a letter signed by 35 national security experts on the threat of climate change. (Note the leftists on the advisory board of PSA)*

### **Elliot Abrams Also Helps Craft Cruz's Foreign Policy**

Ted Cruz's choice of [Elliot Abrams](#) to help craft his foreign policy is disappointing. Like his colleagues on Cruz's council, Abrams is a leader in the neocon world, and he is a leader of what is perhaps the most powerful and pernicious group in the neocon network: the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR).

✘ Elliott Abrams, Speaking at CPAC, Feb., 2012

While the CFR is the most notorious of the associations of Abrams, it isn't the only one. He is also a member (or former member) of the Center for Security Policy, Hudson Institute, [National Endowment for Democracy](#), and many more.

It is likely that it is because of the membership of Abrams in the CFR that George W. Bush chose him to be his deputy national security adviser for Global Democratic Strategy, and that Ted Cruz has chosen to follow his advice on questions of foreign policy. Remember Iran-Contra? Abrams was pardoned for his involvement in same by George H.W. Bush.

In fact, it is probably the experience of all these people that compelled Ted Cruz to choose them to be his closest advisors.



The problem isn't that his inner circle is composed of men and women of vast foreign policy experience; the problem is that their experience is in **growing government, supporting surveillance, and using American troops as global peacekeepers. As constitutionalists know, each of these endeavours – pursued over and over by Cruz's chosen advisors – is unconstitutional and not at all consistent with Ted Cruz's public statements.**

Obviously, Cruz has no problem climbing in bed with the enemies of freedom to gain the presidency. He is a globalist, just as those he's chosen as advisors are globalist elitists. Those who are supporting Cruz with their monies are globalists as well. If you want a close look at who Mr. Cruz will owe, [check out this article by Coach Collins](#). All the data is available at [opensecrets.org](#).

In the next article, we'll discuss both Ted Cruz and his father, Raphael Cruz, their affiliations with the phony rightwing, and their religious beliefs.

---

# Ted Cruz, David Barton and Sharia Law

**RAFAEL EDWARD (TED) CRUZ IS NO CONSTITUTIONAL CONSERVATIVE**

*A double minded man is unstable in all his ways. -James 1:8*

✘ It is unfortunate that Christians have so little discernment, or even the desire to research the presidential candidates, and they continue to believe everything they spew. Sadly, this is why our country has ended up in such a disastrous state. Truly, the church is a very big part of the problem.

Cruz is definitely a globalist, and a very dangerous one at that. I wish our brethren could see it. In this article, we'll take a closer look at Sen. Cruz as well as his family.

## **Cruz Donors**

In an article by Coach Collins entitled, "[Cruz Donors Accused of Money Laundering, Helping Tax Cheats, Pro-Amnesty Revisionist History](#)," Collins lists the nefarious donors to Cruz's campaign and what they might want in return. Then he lists the sources for each of the donors. There is quite an ✘ amazing array of globalists who are behind Ted Cruz and buying his favors. Also see, [In Accepting Campaign Cash, Cruz is Just Like Everyone Else, Which is Exactly the Problem](#).

## **Cruz on Trade Agreements**

Cruz undoubtedly agrees with his friend, Henry Kissinger, on trade. (Kissinger was also part of the NAU Task Force like wife Heidi). On January 24, 2015, Cruz said that he is for

“fast tracking” trade agreements and supports Obama doing same. Apparently Cruz cares little about American jobs, and even less about American sovereignty, but of course, this was before he decided to run for President.

In the above video, when the interviewer asks Cruz about fast track for NAFTA, (Heidi Cruz sat on a Council on Foreign Relations task force for the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) up until 2011 when Ted announced he was running for the Senate!), Cruz answered, *“I am a strong supporter of free trade. I think free trade benefits America. I think free trade produces jobs, and economic growth here at home. I think America prospers when we trade, when we open up new markets for our exports. I think it benefits manufacturers, it benefits farmers, it benefits ranchers to open up new markets. So this is one of the few areas where I think there’s some potential for bipartisan agreement, and Washington is working together to expand free trade and to open up new markets for manufacturers and farmers and ranchers.”*

Obviously Mr. Cruz has not seen the decimation of ranchers, family farms, and manufacturing in America since the passing of NAFTA! The loss of middle class jobs is astronomical. And our trade deficit tells a whole different story than Cruz tells.

The interviewer then asked him if he would give TPA (fast track) to Obama, and Cruz answers, *“I support Fast Track, yes.”*

The entire purpose of fast-track is for Congress to surrender its power to the Executive for six years. Legislative concessions include: control over the content of legislation, the power to fully consider that legislation on the floor, the power to keep debate open until Senate cloture is invoked, and the constitutional requirement that treaties receive a two-

thirds vote. Legislation cannot even be amended.

By contrast, without fast-track, Congress retains *all* of its legislative powers, individual members retain *all* of their procedural tools, and every single line, jot, and tittle of trade text is publicly available before any congressional action is taken. [[Link](#)]

Once Cruz decided he was running for president and found out that the American people did not even want this horrid new trade deal, he backtracked on everything and actually voted against fast tracking. Can you say flip-flop? [[Link](#)], [[Link](#)], [[Link](#)]

In a procedural vote on June 23, the Senate passed fast-track once again, 60-38. More power was handed over to this dictatorial Marxist Muslim in our White House.

### **The Cruz Family**

Rafael Cruz and Eleanor Darragh Wilson, were married to others before they married in 1969. Ted Cruz, 44, has two half-sisters from his father's earlier marriage. One, Miriam Cruz, died in 2011 at age 49, in Collingdale, Pa., a Philadelphia suburb. The medical examiner ruled it an accidental death from taking a combination of prescription medicines. The other half-sister, Roxana Cruz, 52, is a medical doctor, who practices as an internist in Greenville, Texas, 45 miles northeast of Dallas. She has no comment about Ted Cruz's candidacy. [[Link](#)] Ted's parents were divorced in 1997. [[Link](#)]

### **Cruz and the Phony Religious Right**

It would be impossible for me to state all of Senator Cruz's affiliations to the phony religious right without writing a book. So let's just look at a few.

Senator Cruz excelled in school, both at Princeton and Harvard. Ever wonder why Ted Cruz had no problem with his

wife, Heidi, spending five years at the Council on Foreign Relations helping to write the North American Union? Here's the answer...

While at Princeton, Cruz came under the tutelage of Professor [Robert George](#). George is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations who poses as a conservative Christian while serving common core loving, [UNESCO](#), and the CFR's agenda. He was also a signatory of the [Manhattan Declaration](#). George met regularly with Cruz and advised him on his [senior thesis](#), an analysis of the history and meaning of the 9th and 10th amendments. [[Link](#)]

### **Senator Cruz's Close Ties to David Barton**

The September 8, 2013 [Politico story from Stephanie Simon](#) documented U.S. Senator Ted Cruz' very close ties to pseudo-historian David Barton (one of the more influential national middle-aged leaders on the Christian right). Let's take a quick look at David Barton.

✘ It is important that we understand just exactly what Barton, Rafael Cruz, and other so-called evangelicals who support Ted Cruz, actually believe. Why? Because their doctrine is considered wholly unorthodox, and it is just a bit too close to Islam for me.

Barton is an informal advisor to neo-cons, Mike Huckabee who had [endorsed](#) fellow neo-con, and Trotskyite, [Lamar Alexander](#), for his third term in the Senate, and fellow pro-Dominionist (via her close affiliations, with Francis Schaeffer and John Eidsmoe [[Link](#)]) [Michele Bachmann](#). Huckabee also believes Americans should be forced at gunpoint to listen to David Barton. He was joking, but....

Barton is also an advisor to, [Third Waver, and Alvin and Heidi Toffler](#) supporter, [Newt Gingrich](#). [[Link](#)] (Remember Gingrich thinks we need to get rid of the Constitution, and property

rights are passé.) Huckabee, Barton, and Ted Cruz are all for a Constitutional Convention. They're in good company with George Soros's leftist groups. [[Link](#)]

Barton's endorsement of Cruz was widely seen as a big boost for his upstart campaign to represent Texas in the U.S. Senate. He also campaigned for Florida Senator Marco Rubio in 2010 and has long backed Texas Governor Rick Perry. Perry's ties to the [Dominionist, New Apostolic Reform](#) were evident at his [August, 2011 prayer fest](#), (organized by [American Family Association, who are also Dominionists](#)), prior to his announcement as a presidential candidate. [[Link](#)]

Mr. Barton, whose academic degree is in Christian Education from Oral Roberts University, has been labeled a biased amateur who cherry-picks quotes from history and the Bible. Both secular critics and Christian scholars have denounced Barton as a fraud who manipulates and misrepresents history to serve his political goals. In his book, *The Jefferson Lies*, he portrayed Jefferson as an orthodox Christian. Barton is considered a fraudulent historian and has been called out by actual conservative Christians. [[Link](#)]

In a shocking interview with Jon Stewart, in May of 2011, Barton is totally comfortable with Shariah law and claims it is compatible with our Constitution. (Scroll to 8:20 in the video)

On November, 2013, David Barton sat in for Glenn Beck on his program. He spent the entire hour on the Constitution, and on an Article V call for a convention. Glenn Beck and Ted Cruz are also both supporting a Constitutional Convention.

It is not surprising that David Barton is a Cruz supporter, as he and Ted Cruz's father, Rafael Cruz, are dominionists who believe in the [Seven Mountains mandate](#). This fits well with both Barton's and Cruz's belief systems wherein a Christian theocracy should rule. [Here](#) and [here](#) are definitions of



Dominionism/Reconstructionism (D/R).

D/Rs wants a theocracy, leaders for America who are Christian Dominionists. They also want a theonomy. Critics see **theonomy** as a significant form of Dominion theology, which they **define** as a type of theocracy. **Theonomy** posits that the Biblical Law is applicable to civil law, and theonomists propose Biblical law as the standard by which the laws of nations may be measured, and to which they ought to be conformed. They also believe in the 613 Old Testament Mosaic Laws, other than a few dietary restrictions. They even believe in Old Testament stoning of law breakers/sinners, which Biblical Israel did very rarely. Reconstructionists provide the most enthusiastic constituency for stoning since the Taliban seized Kabul. Denial of future repentance and faith is quite obvious, which is what both Old and New Testaments teach.

For an overview of what D/R leaders like Dr. Gary North believe and have written, see [part 21 of Enemies on the Left, False Friends on the Right](#).

### **Pastor Kevin Swanson Wants to Execute Homosexuals**

From Coach Collins recent article, "[Ted Cruz Will Have to Answer For His Own Rev. Wright, And Dodging the Question Won't Cut It](#)"...

*On Friday, November 6, 2015 [Cruz attended a campaign rally](#) in Des Moines, Iowa, hosted by a Colorado pastor who frequently calls for putting gay people to death. The Pastor, Kevin Swanson, is well known for calling for Christians to execute homosexuals just the same as the Islamists do and Hitler's Nazi Germany did. Pastor Swanson has accused the Catholic Church of wanting to "legitimize" child molestation saying, "**The Catholic Church will never be able to legitimize pederasty.**"*

*Cruz knew exactly who Pastor Swanson is and what he is all*

*about, but denied any knowledge of the man's past statements. Swanson has been calling for the execution of gays since as far back as July of 2012. Just as Westboro Baptist Church members do, Swanson believes, "Christians should hold up signs at gay weddings holding up the Leviticus verse, instructing the **faithful** to put gays to death because what they do is an abomination." This is exactly the type of talk we hear from Islamists: "Kill those we don't like or you are now people we don't like." Catholics do not believe in murdering homosexuals so this explains why Pastor Swanson hates the Catholic Church.*



I don't know any orthodox church or synagogue who believe in killing homosexuals. As for the church, this is denying the shed blood of Christ on the cross for our sins. We have until our last breath to repent of our sins, believe in the Lord God, and receive saving grace.

### **Cruz Supporter, Farris Wilks**

As 2015 came to a close, Ted Cruz met with hundreds of [prominent faith leaders](#) (including David Barton, James Robison, and John Hagee) at the ranch of Texas tycoon, [Farris Wilks](#). Wilks supports most of the phony rightwing groups in the secretive Council for National Policy. Farris and his brother Dan have donated \$15 million to a super PAC which supports Cruz. Cameras and recording devices were not allowed at the Wilks ranch when Cruz spoke, so only God and attendees know what was said at the event. However, one might be able to get an idea of how Cruz views God's role in American politics by reviewing his associations.

False teachers are an abomination and a stench in the nostrils of Jehovah God. They are anathema. They deny the Cross of Christ and repentance.

In the next article on Senator Cruz, we'll look at where he stands on many other issues and then delve into his father's religious affiliations.

---

## **Obama tears? Watch the video; my bet's on menthol**

Watch the video. President Obama wasn't crying during his announced executive actions on gun control – he was faking.

The production opens with Obama speaking of unalienable rights and the pursuit of happiness and how those high-schoolers at Columbine and first-graders at Newtown, Connecticut, were deprived, due to lost lives from gun violence. He pauses several seconds, stares, repeats the phrase, "first-graders." Obama then stares directly into the lens – right into the eyes of the American people – as the cameraman hones in slowly for a tight, cropped shot of his face.

"And from every family who never imagined their loved one would be taken from their lives by a bullet from a gun," he continues, pausing once again.

Suddenly, Obama raises a hand, extends a finger, wipes his left eye, and the American public is transfixed at this sudden show of emotion. Is Obama crying? Is he shedding tears?

Stop video. Rewind. And look.

Obama doesn't just flick his finger at his eye. He wipes downward, across the lid, and then runs his finger along the whole bottom rim, following the line of the lashes. Then he blinks eight or so times.

But here's the part to notice at this point: His eye is dry. Completely devoid of tears; completely lacking dampness. Yet Obama's supposedly wiping away tears.

Click play.

Obama then pauses, lowers his head, and with hand cupped, thumb and forefinger bent, partially covers his mouth, once, twice – classic tells of lies – then shakes his finger for emphasis and speaks again..

“Every time I think about those kids it gets me mad,” he says, reaching up and wiping the corner of his other eye, the right one, with a quick motion.

Finally, a tear drops out of that right eye and slides down his cheek. He turns his head slightly and viola, at last, his left eye is now wet all around.

Mission accomplished. Camera’s got the shot. Time to move on to policy. Almost as fast as the tears came, they disappear, and Obama’s next words – after a mention of violence in Chicago – are scolds for those in Congress and on the streets of America to support his agenda.

“So all of us need to demand a Congress brave enough to stand up to the gun lobbies’ lies,” he says, wiping the dry space below his now-clear eyes again. “All of us need to stand up and protect our citizens. All of us need to demand governors and legislators and businesses do their part to make our communities safer – demand something better.”

He wraps with another wipe to the dry skin beneath his right eye.

Curtain fall. Exit, stage right. Bow and applause. So how’d he do it – how’d Obama manage the tearful performance?

The article “How to Cry – An Actor’s Guide to Crying and Tears” sheds some light, explaining some of the methods the professionals use to drop teardrops on demand, within 60 seconds or so. The first suggested method, tapping into “memory driven tears,” requires the actor to be “very in touch with his or her past” in order to select a prior experience that guarantees the waterworks. The second asks the actor to tap into personal fears, and dredge up tears that way.

“Both of [these] techniques ... take a lot of imagination, emotional awareness and most of all, diligent practice,” the article states.

I think we can rule out those methods for Obama.

Next recommendation: "Be in the moment," the article suggests. Given all the pauses for special effect, head dropping reflection and eye-blinking drama Obama underwent, no doubt he was feeling the moment – but was in the moment enough?

"Unfortunately," the article goes on, "there is a problem with the 'Be in the Moment' technique. It does not work in every play. What if you have to cry, but you personally don't 'feel' it?"

Given the American public has not seen Obama cry before – not after the Newtown shootings, or the Columbine murders, or the other gun-related acts of violence that he says makes him feel so despondent – it's probably a safe bet Obama wasn't feeling it as much as he humanly could. Not enough to drop real tears on demand, anyway. After all, he didn't earn the label as a cold and detached leader for nothing. And he was making a pressure-filled nationally televised appeal to take unprecedented action for something he held dear to his legacy. So this brings up the tricks of the Hollywood trade.

"Although some movie stars utilize some of the techniques mentioned above, many actors opt for an easier solution: menthol," the article concluded.

And that's where my money rests – on a dab of Vicks vapor rub or similar menthol-laced product slid along the lid and bottom of an eye. The pungent fumes, combined with Obama's practiced "Be in the Moment" rhetoric and reflections, jumpstarted the tear in his right eye; the tears from his left, fueled by the actual sting of the menthol, then fell fast and unfettered.

"A menthol tear stick and menthol tear producers are tools of the film and theater trade," the article states. "The stick version requires a sparse application under the eyes ... [and] produce[s] immediate results."

Like I said, watch the video. Pause. Rewind and play again.

---

# Time to make candidates face the real issues

The election campaigns are in full swing. Yet, have you heard a single candidate for President, or even for a lower office, mention the destruction of property rights or representative government to be a problem worth their time to address?

Our nation is being destroyed from within and candidates aren't even discussing it. Worse, voters aren't making them discuss these things, such as:

- The massive destruction of American industry by the EPA and other over-reaching government regulations – killing jobs by the thousands?
- The near complete usurpation of private property rights in communities and rural areas under the tiresome excuse of environmental protection? Who stands with the property owners as they see their American dreams shattered under the innocuous title of “community development?”
- The growing imposition of non-elected boards and regional governments that are fast replacing our elected representatives in making local community decisions – all powered by the imposition of federal grants that dictate policy, making most states and communities serfs of the federal government?

How do we make the policies of Agenda 21 a major issue in the upcoming election campaign? There's only one way! Take it directly to the candidates, in front of their own audiences!

Several months ago I prepared three vital questions that would

force candidates to focus on these issues. I then asked activists in communities across the nation to begin to pummel candidates with these questions. Make them answer. Embarrass them in front of their supporters when they couldn't answer. I said then, that this would force the candidates to begin to study the issue of property rights so they wouldn't be embarrassed again. And in that way, I argued, we could force the property rights issue into the forefront of this election.

Now, why did I believe that tactic would work? Because in the 2012 election, as the presidential candidates campaigned they were confronted with this question: "What about Agenda 21?" As the candidates struggled to answer, others from the audience would shout, "Call Tom DeWeese!" I was told this was done over and over again.

Finally, one day as I sat at my desk, the phone rang. It was one of Newt Gingrich's policy staffers. He said, "We've been told to call you. Can you tell me about Agenda 21?" We chatted for about 30 minutes and he said, "That's the best explanation I've ever heard, thank you."

About a week later the Internet lit up as Newt Gingrich appeared on the Sean Hannity radio show when he said, "I want to talk about Agenda 21." Then Gingrich mentioned Agenda 21 in one of the debates. And then he did it again in another appearance.

That's why I thought in this year's campaign we could put an organized effort together to really make it a major issue. We have so many more activists working in local communities now. We could force Agenda 21 onto the main stage of every debate and every appearance by the candidates. Finally, we would see this issue where it belongs, in the forefront of America's discussion on future policy. Finally, under such a spotlight, we could stop its devastating destruction of our way of life.

The response to my effort was a deafening silence. A

resounding thud! Nothing.

Why? What happened? Why didn't any of our dedicated Freedom activists ask the questions? I took the blame. Perhaps I didn't push the idea hard enough. Maybe my prepared questions were too detailed or clumsy.

Well, it's not too late to start the effort. The campaign is really just getting down to the serious candidates. Today, as they have gotten comfortable in delivering their prepared talking points, now is the best time to knock them out of that comfort zone.

So, again, I've prepared three questions that go to the heart of the main assault of Agenda 21.

1. The attack on property rights.
2. The creation of non-elected regional councils and governments that destroy Locally-elected governments.
3. The forced use of federal grants to fund it all.

These are the issues and the tactics that are destroying the very fabric of our nation. These are the issues that must be openly discussed if this election is to mean anything. And these questions can be asked of candidates at every level including President, Congress, and state, county and local candidates. All must understand because Agenda 21 is being imposed at every level.

Ask these questions in a public forum and watch those clueless candidates squirm. Most won't have an answer. In fact most won't even know what you are talking about. They will try to evade or put some positive spin on it. But you will know. You will understand that such candidates have no idea how to even address such issues, let alone how to fix the problem. And you will have made your point. Do this to them at enough public gatherings and I guarantee they will start to look into the issue so they aren't unprepared the next time.



It is time for our movement to take aggressive action against these slithering candidates. We need to descend on public forums. Organize. Place our people strategically around the room. And one by one begin to ask these questions. When they can't answer, have someone else ask it again, and again. Let them know they had better give these issues some thought. Let them know that we are going to be there every time they appear in public. And let them know we are going to make them look like fools in front of audiences until they decide to actually talk about issues that mean something to real Americans.

Please pass these questions on to your fellow activists and friends and let's start a revolution to force this election to finally mean something! Spread the word and make sure the candidates face these questions at every public event.

A word of caution – do not take this action alone. Organize with at least three friends. Have each choose one of these questions to ask the candidates. If you have more people to join you, then have the others prepared to do follow up questions if the candidates fail to answer.

If you have the time to read the entire statement I've provided before asking the question in bold – fine. If not, then just read the questions. And if it is better for you to ask the question in a different way, perhaps tying it to a local issue, for example, then of course, ask the question in the way that works best for you. **JUST BE SURE TO ASK THE QUESTIONS!**

Here are the Three Questions

Property Rights Question:

1. Private property rights are under assault in communities and rural areas across the nation as local, state and federal governments move to enforce new planning development programs, particularly under the labels of Sustainable Developments or "Local Visioning."

If elected, what actions will you take to protect the unrestricted right of use, enjoyment and disposal of private property by its owners?

Regional Government Question:

2. It's a growing situation that local elected representative government is being overshadowed by the establishment of non-elected boards, councils, planning commissions and regional governments. These non-elected organizations are, in effect, taking government further away from the people as they deal in backrooms, unseen and unapproachable. Yet, while not elected by the people, they are creating and enforcing policy that affects private property, tax rates and much more.

If elected, what actions will you take to oppose the creation of non-elected boards, councils and regional government boards and help restore the rightful duty of elected representative government?

Federal Grant Program Question:

3. Communities across the nation are being pressured by federal agencies such as HUD, DOT and EPA to accept grants for creation of local "sustainable" projects that affect property right diminish local control. Taking federal grant money means federal control over the use and outcome of that money, regardless of what the voters select for their own communities.

If elected, will you take action to help stop the erosion of local control by ending these federal grant programs?

Ask these questions and, make the candidates focus on these vital issues. Force the discussion on the need to restore America's property rights, resurrect locally elected representative government, and stop the federal dictatorship that comes through the grants.

In short, stand up before the candidates who seek to lead this nation, demand answers from them, and begin the process to TAKE AMERICA BACK!

© 2016 Tom DeWeese – All Rights Reserved

---

# **Private property ownership and the First American right**

“Imagine no possessions, I wonder if you can...” John Lennon wrote these words for a fantasy song to glorify his understanding of the road to peace. John may not have understood the true origins of his thoughts, but we know it as Communism. Barack Obama knows that too and is determined to make sure you understand the consequences of “no possessions.” In government-speak it’s called the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule.” (AFFH) Once it becomes a reality you will be able to fully appreciate John’s statement = imagine no possessions.” For if AFFH is allowed to stand, the concept of private property is about to die in America.

The Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule is federal enforcement of Sustainable Development Smart Growth Cities. Until now there was at least a pretense that Smart Growth development was a local process. That, of course, is what the American Planning Association (APA), Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs) and your city council have assured citizens. Now, through the revelation of AFFH, it is clear that such development is a top-down dictatorship, overseen by the department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Announced July 16, 2015 by HUD Secretary Julian Castro, the excuse for the 377 page ruling is to promote and assure

discrimination and achieve balanced and integrated living patterns for all citizens. To achieve that goal, AFFH is specifically designed to move people out of rural areas into mega cities and tightly control who may stay in reduced suburbs. Exactly as we've been warning about Smart Growth policy.

To achieve its goals, AFFH requires agencies and communities that apply for HUD grants to detail income levels, religion, color, and national origin of every single person living in every neighborhood of the community. They will then determine any imbalances and, if necessary, force a massive shift of people into such neighborhoods to achieve the desired balance. This is nothing less than social engineering!

Worse, the AFFH rule will effectively eliminate local government rule over development. Where once there was at least the pretense of local communities making their own decisions and could spend the HUD grants as they determined best for their communities, now, under AFFH, HUD will control those decisions to its satisfaction. And the local governments will be forced to comply. The result is the destruction of local representative rule. Communities must supply updates to HUD on the break down of its communities every five years to check on and assure progress.

So what does this mean to average American citizens – in plain English? It means the destruction of neighborhoods, loss of control of their own property and loss of property values. If government funded high rise apartment buildings are forced into neighborhoods of single family homes, the value of the properties will fall. It's possible that, should a neighborhood find itself in a shortage of residents representing certain ethnic backgrounds or income levels, then a homeowner trying to sell their home may find they can only sell to someone representing that imbalance. Imagine the affect that will have on the already depressed real estate market.

For those who live in ethnic neighborhoods of their own choosing, being close to family and friends that share traditions and outlooks, it means being forced into neighborhoods where they are not wanted and where they do not want to be. It means a loss of freedom of choice and loss of the right to be secure in their home. In this day of constant accusations of racism for nearly every act, does no one see the irony of the built-in racism in a regulation that assumes those of certain ethnic origin or economic level are oppressed and unhappy simply because they live in a different kind of environment from that of the enforcers? What could make them feel more lost and hopeless than to be forced into living in government controlled housing in a neighborhood where they are shunned and resented?

This past September the United Nations made a big deal out of its new 2030 Agenda as it vows to eliminate poverty by 2030. Of course the only remedy to poverty offered in any UN policy is redistribution of wealth. That means taking from those who created their wealth (wealth translates to whatever amount you may have in your pocket or bank account at the time) and give a portion to someone who has failed to create their own wealth. However, the missing ingredient in these so-called solutions is a plan to actually help people build their own wealth. Take just a small amount today to feed someone in need and tomorrow they will need more. Again and again and again.

Taking from a producer time and again will cause two results. First, the producer eventually loses their wealth. If government takes enough then the person who once had wealth will have none and will in fact need assistances themselves. Result = more poor, not less. Second, the producer will finally learn that it is a waste of time to keep trying to produce and will stop producing. Result = again, more poor, fewer opportunities. No solution to get people out of the poverty cycle. Moving them into your neighborhood will not stop poverty. It will make you poorer as your property values

decrease.

The fact is, America became the wealthiest nation on earth in a very short time precisely because of the ability of every American to own and control their own property. Ownership produces equity = that is a process to build wealth. 60% of small businesses in America were financed by the equity in the owner's private property. And eventually 60% of Americans were employed by companies that were financed in that manner. Private property ownership is the path to building wealth and eliminating poverty.

However there is no mention of such a plan in the UN's Agenda 2030. Instead we see quotes like this one from the National Audubon Society's Peter Berle: "We reject the idea of private property." Those promoting these policies tell us that private property ownership is a social injustice because not everyone owns private property. So, they plan to make it impossible for anyone to own property = just to keep us all equal.

Professor Paul Ehrlich of Stanford University explained the goal best when he said, "A massive campaign must be launched to de-develop the United States. De-development means bringing our economic system into line with the realities of ecology and the world resource situation." Ehrlich, by the way, is the father of the discredited population explosion theory that drives much of today's environmental movement.

As a result of current Smart Growth policies, federal subsidized low income housing is taking the place of single family homes, thus eliminating the ability of low income Americans to buy their own property and achieve their own wealth = what was once called the American Dream.

According to Builderonline.com, which reports on trends in the building industry, homebuilders are no longer planning to build starter homes for young families or low income buyers. They only plan to build single family homes for the rich and

federally subsidized apartment buildings for the rest of us. Why? Because the housing industry is being taken over by the federal government through plans such as AFFH. It is setting the standard for the future of housing.

In cities around the nation, such as Portland, Oregon, Boston, Massachusetts and Seattle, Washington, their Smart Growth plans are forcing them to end the availability of single family homes. In July, 2015, Seattle mayor Ed Murray and the City Council called on community leaders to develop a Housing Affordability and Living Agenda for the city. One of the main recommendations was to get rid of single family homes. Smart Growth forces an artificial line around the city outside of which no growth may take place. As the population grows, density grows. Eventually the city has no where to grow but up – into pack and stack high-rise apartment buildings. That is what has happened to Seattle. Now home owners will begin to see Eminent Domain used to take their single family home and replace it with the high-rises. It is the end of private property in Seattle.

One of the great outrages coming from the enforcement of such policy is the National Association of Realtors (NAR). This is the national organization that has set itself up as the champion of private property ownership and the idea that home ownership is the root of the American Dream. Yet, the NAR has sold its soul for a few grants and it is now a major promoter of Smart Growth policy. Every realtor in the nation should rise up against the NAR and threaten to leave it if it doesn't stop promoting Smart Growth policy. If realtors continue to be cowed by the NAR they will soon wake up to learn they will have no product (homes) to sell. The future of every realtor in the nation is at stake. They could and should be a powerful voice in stopping this destruction of property rights. But today they remain silent and ignorant of their own organization's actions, to their own peril and that of every homeowner in the nation. The NAR and its member realtors take

a walk of shame everyday that they let this outrage go forward.

However, some members of Congress are trying to stop AFFH. Representative Paul Gosar of Arizona introduced a bill in July to ban funding for AFFH. His bill passed the House 229 – 193. Then Senator Mike Lee of Utah introduced the “Local Zoning Decisions Protection Act (S.1909). His bill has six co-sponsors including Presidential candidate Marco Rubio. The plan was to get both bills passed in their respective houses, then merge them together in a conference committee and add the final version to the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development Appropriations bill (THUD). That bill was considered to be “must = pass” legislation making it more likelyy that Obama would have to sign it or see HUD shut down.

Unfortunately the plan didn’t work. House Speaker Ryan and Senate Majority Leader McConnell once again betrayed efforts to reign in the Obama juggernaut by eliminating the language from the massive trillion dollar omnibus spending bill passed in December. In fact, the final spending bill actually increased HUD’s budget by \$2.6 billion, assuring it has plenty to enforce AFFH.

However, in a conversation I had with Lee’s legislative director, he assured me that S.1909 is still alive and that the Senator is determined to stop AFFH. It is vital that Americans who see the danger in AFFH take action now to stop it. We must flood Capitol Hill with calls supporting S.1909 and express our strong opposition to AFFH.

The American Policy Center has also prepared a petition addressed to Senator Lee to encourage him to continue the fight. With thousands of signatures he can use the petition to show other members of the Senate that he has strong support for S.1909. Readers can sign the petition [here](#). If American private property rights are to be saved then we must stop AFFH!



Clearly HUD's plan to enforce the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule is a major tool for killing private property and de-developing the United States. It is the enforcement of social justice. It is pure social engineering designed to reorganize human society, just as was promised with Agenda 21.

The one growth industry coming from Agenda 21, the 2030 Agenda, and the AFFH rule is government. It is getting bigger with each new rule and grant. The obvious result of such massive growth is corruption at all levels of government. When people have no say in how their lives are being engineered government rushes in to fill the void and dictate the rules. It's a pretty hopeless feeling to stand alone against such a behemoth.

For twenty years the warnings have been issued. We warned that Agenda 21 is the reorganization of human society. That local planning is the enforcement of Agenda 21. That Smart Growth will force people off their land and into cities of stack and pack high-rise toms. That Sustainable Development will control your food and water; transportation choices; family size. And that shortages and misery are your future.

We warned that our American form of representative government will be replaced by non-elected regional councils and dictated to by a central government. That Free Enterprise will be replaced with fascist-style public private partnerships as international corporations will use their influence with government to stomp out mom and pop stores; government agents will join in group hugs with Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and corporate presidents as they carve up the goods of our once free society.

I've delivered all of these warnings for more than 20 years. And frankly I'm weary of it. I've been laughed at by elected officials and ignored by national news shows. I've been called a conspiracy theorist and a liar.

As we tried to warn Americans of these dire consequences, they have been easily diverted and maneuvered with the chosen issue of the day; totally engrossed in a presidential election that is a year away; sniping at who said what; who offended whom = Meanwhile, the real issue of the complete destruction of our society, our values and our way of life are ALL encompassed in Agenda 21. And it moves forward almost unabated, as Americans would rather think about something else.

Well America, get ready to receive your due! Barack Obama has just nationalized your home. Along with that, he has put your local government in chains and he and his central government will now make the rules in your local community. If you do nothing now then it won't matter whom you elect to city council or county commission. It won't matter how loud you scream. Imagine no possessions. I wonder if you can! Ignore this warning to take action today or you're going to learn.

Sign the petition now

2016 Tom DeWeese – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Genocide of America: a refugee immigrant invasion**

Over New Year's, the British Broadcasting Company reported that African-Syrian refugees sexually attacked 1,000 German women. Even CBS News reported the attacks this past Sunday night, January 10, 2015!

On Saturday night, a Muslim gunman screamed praises to Allah in Philadelphia as he shot 12 rounds into a police car at an intersection in praise of the Islamic State. Miraculously, the

officer survived. Whether it's San Bernardino or the Boston Marathon, Muslim refugees continue to bring jihad to America at an ever increasing rate of speed. The Koran prescribes the violence: "Convert or kill all non-believers."

What does it mean? Answer: Muslim refugees carry their culture into host countries with a vengeance. No amount of welfare, pity or aid stops cultural sexual tendencies and violence of Muslims.

Over 1,000,000 (million) refugees stormed into Germany in 2015. Hundreds of thousands more of them flooded into Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Belgium, Spain, Italy and Austria.

Because of her welcoming of those refugees, Time Magazine named German Chancellor Angela Merkel "Person of the Year". Historians may well rename her "Most Foolish Woman of the Century" when the final collapse of Germany and the rest of Europe manifests.

Which brings a sane person to consider the following points:

The United Nations' demographic experts show that third world countries add 80,000,000 (million) desperate, hungry and illiterate babies to the world annually. In reality, 57 million human beings die annually around the world from all causes. Third world mothers not only replace the 57 million deaths with 57 million new babies, but they add another 80 million more babies, net gain. Thus, 137 million new babies hit the planet every year. They need water, energy, food, housing, clothing, education, medical care and all services for living.

In reality, nothing on Earth and no entity can facilitate the viability of 137 million new babies. None of those countries enjoys educational facilities or work or food or teachers to sustain them.

Thus, over 10 million children starve to death annually.

Another 8 million adults die in poverty. Those facts grow larger every year as you see millions of desperate people migrate from Africa, Asia, Indochina and South America—into first world countries, i.e., Europe, Canada, Australia and America. All those countries maintain stable populations and have since 1970.

Notice that Japan, China and India take zero immigrants of any kind. Middle Eastern countries refuse to take them.

What does that mean? Answer: European countries absorb cultures, religions and people who lack any affinity or preparation to move into first world cultures. Once they reach Europe or America, they tap into welfare systems not available in their own countries. They continue birth rates that caused their desperate situations in their own countries. Whether it's war for water, energy or resources, third world people cannot survive in their overpopulated countries.

For example, today, Africa houses 1.1 billion people. Demographic experts project 2 billion within 34 years by 2050 and 4 billion by the end of this century. That means no one takes responsibility for their fecundity rates in those countries.

Thus, worldwide, the refugee line grows by 1 billion every 12 years and an added 3 billion by 2050.

While first world countries maintain stable populations at 2.00 children per woman, those same countries explode their populations by endless immigration.

What's it doing to the West? Answer: genocide of Western cultures and ultimately death to economic systems. This video should be seen by every American, Canadian, European and Australian.

A short 20-minute documentary on what could be called "The Great Displacement," namely the flooding of Western countries

with foreign immigrants—whereby the majority populations become the minorities in their own countries. Regardless of how one feels on the topic, this grows as the number one issue in the near future as demographics shift dramatically in The West.

### The Endgame: A Documentary on 'Western Genocide'

In the United States, the European-American population in 2015 will become a minority group as it gives way to a 51 percent Latino-Mexican-Hispanic majority by 2042—a mere 26 years from now. Canadians will become a minority in their own country sooner. Europeans already face minority status in their own countries within decades.

If America's 1965 Immigration Reform Act continues, 1.2 million legal immigrants, their children and chain migrated relatives will continue their invasion of America into the endless future. The consequences grow as to changing the culture, language, ethnic mix and ethos of America.

Not only will such a massive migration invasion change the course of history for all Americans of every race, creed and color—but it will destroy our ability to function as a viable civilization. And, in fact, America ultimately will collapse.

What's amazing about this fact: not a whisper by our leaders, the main stream press, and/or the American people because they do not understand their own bleak futures.

If you don't want a Paris, France or San Bernardino event in your community, it's time to call for a total "Immigration Shutdown Now."

Call your senators and House rep: 1 202 224 3121. Demand a stop to all immigration and stop to any Syrian immigration.

Definition of slogan: "Immigration Shutdown Now means the American people want a total shutdown on all legal and illegal

immigration. That means we want all illegal immigration stopped by arresting, prosecuting and jailing employers of illegal aliens. We deport all illegal aliens by taking their jobs away and as we catch them. We want English mandated as our national language. We demand a cessation of Muslim immigration in order to protect our culture, language and way of life. We can't save the world but we can destroy our civilization. We demand a stable population that allows everyone to live, work and thrive into the 21st century. Especially our children." FHW

That's why you need to take action. Send this series to everyone in your network. Educate them. Urge them to take action by joining these websites to become faxers of prewritten letters and phone callers. We must force Congress into an "Immigration Shutdown Now!

Share these videos all over America:

[www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org) ;  
[www.CarryingCapacity.org](http://www.CarryingCapacity.org) ; [www.thesocialcontract.com](http://www.thesocialcontract.com)

In a five minute astoundingly simple yet brilliant video, "Immigration, Poverty, and Gum Balls", Roy Beck, director of [www.numbersusa.ORG](http://www.numbersusa.ORG), graphically illustrates the impact of overpopulation. Take five minutes to see for yourself.

"Immigration by the numbers—off the chart" by Roy Beck

This 10 minute demonstration shows Americans the results of unending mass immigration on the quality of life and sustainability for future generations: in a few words, "Mind boggling!" [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org)

America: [www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org)  
; [www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org](http://www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org)

Canada: [www.immigrationwatchcanada.org](http://www.immigrationwatchcanada.org)

United Kingdom: [www.populationmatters.org](http://www.populationmatters.org)

Australia: [www.population.org.au](http://www.population.org.au) Sustainable Population  
Australia

# Rebuilding the American empire

For the last seven years, President Obama has pursued a dedicated policy of American retreat, withdrawal, and appeasement. He has reduced American prestige, power, and presence in the world, causing our age-old enemies Iran, Russia, and China to expand their respective spheres of influence, their troop presence outside of their borders, and their tests of American defenses and resolve. Obama has embraced America's foremost enemy, Iran, and has made clear to Israel and America's European and Asian allies that the United States will not lead in defense of freedom and, indeed, may not take any action to defend even its own vital interests. He has embraced America's enemies and betrayed America's allies. To the world, Obama is either a farce or a disgrace, and it is that image of foolishness, weakness, and irresolution that emboldens those who despise us to act against us.

Whether Obama's unilateral dismantlement of the American empire will continue, be abated, or be reversed is among the most important questions facing the next president. If Hillary Clinton is elected, she will maintain the largely incoherent foreign policy that she implemented as Secretary of State. She will not sacrifice welfare dollars to finance a rebuild of the American military or an expansion of American power in the world. She will not likely alter the anemic military operations Obama maintains against our terrorist foes. She will keep in place the "deal" with, the sell-out to, Iran. She will continue the path toward decline with apologies that

Obama made central to his international mission.

If a Republican becomes president, efforts will be undertaken to halt the dismantlement of the American empire, but we cannot know whether abating the decline or rebuilding the empire will be the new president's mission. Given eight years of rapid and substantial decline and dismantlement, America will be incapable of resurrecting its status as an empire unless it dedicates a significant amount of wealth, resources, and effort to that cause. To achieve that end a revitalization of the American economy and a substantial reduction in the welfare state are essential.

A president serious about restoring the American empire must first restore the American economy and constitutional republic. He must dismantle the regulatory state, cut back the welfare state, eliminate Obamacare, cut corporate and individual tax rates, and work with the states to create the most hospitable environment for capital accumulation, investment, and entrepreneurship. A substantial reduction in the size and scope of the welfare state combined with massive tax relief can liberate the private sector to grow and can provide the revenues necessary to rebuild the American empire. The first order of business would then be to expand and modernize the American military so that we may take the lead in the war against radical Islam and may have at the ready the power to oppose Russian and Chinese expansionism.

Reassertion of American power is essential if we are to avoid greater challenges from Iran, Russia, and China. Now emboldened by American weakness, those countries need to learn anew from a determined and fearless president that the United States intends to act with overwhelming force to repel aggression against our nation, its people, and its property and to take new and decisive military and economic action against our enemies. We need to rebuild American bases in Europe, reinstall short range ballistic missiles in Poland and throughout NATO in Eastern Europe, and maintain substantially



increased 24/7 military operations via coordinated special operations and air power against all those who engage in, support, finance, and provide aid and comfort to terrorists around the world.

Much is at stake in the elections this November. Indeed, the fundamental course of the nation will be determined by who is elected. If the new president is Hillary Clinton, she will preside over a continuing decline in the American empire, a lackluster American economy, a “new normal” in which terrorist acts on American soil occur again and again, a Supreme Court that turns increasingly away from the plain and intended meaning of the Constitution in favor of further grants of new legal protection for unlimited government, and an increasingly dangerous world where to be an American is to be a target. If, on the other hand, the new president is a Republican, much will depend on whether that person has the commitment, stamina, and resolve to turn rhetoric into action and to rebuild rapidly the American republic, a free enterprise economy, and America’s global empire. The world, particularly our hapless friends and our emboldened enemies, are keenly interested in the outcome of this coming election. The fate not only of the United States but of the free world largely hangs in the balance.

© 2016 Jonathan W. Emord – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The case against political correctness**

I have stated for years that if you are politically correct, you are probably completely wrong. We are seeing politician

after politician Democrats and Republicans, do everything to defend the actions of radical islamists. Many out of la-la land, Hollywood, are doing the same thing. Samuel Jackson made the comment after San Bernardino that he had hoped that it was a couple of white people that had murdered 14 people and not muslims. I guess we know who's a racist now.

Today a devout muslim attacked a Philadelphia police officer as he was driving his car. It was done almost execution style. The officer is in critical condition. The idiot that is the mayor DEMOCRAT Jim Kenny made a complete fool out of himself defending the barbaric Islamic faith. He began by expressing his well-wishes for the officer who the muslim man attempted to execute, but then spent the latter part of his statement distancing Islam from the shooter, who claimed he was carrying out the shooting for Islam. "In no way shape or form does anyone in this room believe that Islam or the teaching of Islam has anything to do with what you've seen on the screen," said Mayor Kenney. "That is abhorrent. It's just terrible and it does not represent this religion [Islam] in any way shape or form or any of its teachings," he added. "This is a criminal with a stolen gun who tried to kill one of our officers. It has nothing to do with being a Muslim or following the Islamic faith." [1] Here are a few quotes from the Quran: Quran 8:12: "Instill terror into the hearts of the unbelievers;"; Quran 2:191: "... kill the disbelievers wherever we find them ..." ; Quran 8:59: "The infidels should not think that they can get away from us. Prepare against them whatever arms and weaponry you can muster so that you may terrorize them. They are your enemy and Allah's enemy." Only a fool would make that statement about the most barbaric ideology in the world. Right after he spoke Philadelphia Police Commissioner Richard Ross stated: "the suspect said he had committed the attempted execution in the name of the Islamic State, the jihadi terrorist insurgent group that controls territory in the Middle East. "According to him [the shooter], police bend laws that are contrary to the teachings of the

Quran," said Commissioner Ross."[2]

This man was a refugee, just like the Boston bombers and one of the perpetrators of the San Bernardino killings. Obama is allowing tens of thousands of these people into America because denying them entrance is "not who we are". What we should be about is protecting the citizens of America! Obama is more concerned about muslims than Americans. We have to be prepared for the eventuality of muslim attacks in America. Judge Jeanine Pirro has a short video that is well worth watching concerning our right to protect ourselves from the vermin that Obama is allowing to come into our nation unvetted.[3] Please don't call me racist. Being against a political ideology that wants to kill me and destroy our culture and way of life isn't racist, it's patriotism, something our politicians would do good to learn.

Obama tells us that we can't judge all muslims by the actions of a few. 250-300 million is more than a few, but he is always judging all gun owners by the actions of a few. All mass shooters since 1950 have been Democrats! Maybe would need to ban Democrats. He stood up there on national television with tears flowing down his cheeks because he is so concerned about the children dying because of guns. I'm sorry but that was nothing but a planned emotional act. The tears were as fake as he is. If he was so concerned about children dying he'd shed some tears over the millions of children that had died from abortions! But abortion he shoves down our throat as 'reproductive healthcare'. I even heard Bill O'Reilly tonight say that he believed the tears were real. He's as big a fool as Geraldo Rivera. Geraldo can't tell the difference between illegal and legal immigration. He thinks they are the same. Tonight on the "Five" he was disappointed that people were speaking bad about islam because of the Philly shooting. He thinks we need more muslims on the police force. This man is delusional. Has he not heard about Fort Hood? How about the muslim during the first gulf war that threw a grenade into a

tent because he was upset that the American troops were fighting muslims. We need less muslims not more and none should be in our military nor on our police forces!

We need to realize that islam is not a ideology that is compatible with any other culture. They are required to do everything they can to initiate shariah into every nation they immigrate to. This is why we need to stop ALL immigration from Islamic countries, PERIOD. We need to address the 28 jihad camps we have in the US and by address I mean destroy them and those that defend it. Muslims are not here to coexist, they are here to conquer and dominate. Mustafa Carroll the Director of the terrorist organization CAIR in Texas states "If we are practicing muslims, we are above the law of the land." News flash for Mustafa, not in America! You may get away with it for a while but remember that just the registered hunters in three states is the largest standing army in the world. Tick them off, and you are real close to doing that, and you'll meet your 72 virgins real fast. Even our Attorney General stated after the San Bernardino killings that her "greatest fear is the incredibly disturbing rise of anti-islamic rhetoric." Really? How about the incredibly disturbing rise of slaughtered Americans on American soil BY muslims in the name of allah? That should be of much higher importance than the feelings of those that perpetrate and support the slaughters.

The unfettered stream of illegals into this country from all nations is the ground work for the destruction of America. They bring their culture, diseases, and poverty here and live off the American taxpayer. It has been reported that most of illegals are on our welfare system. Census Bureau data reveals that most U.S. families headed by illegal immigrants use taxpayer-funded welfare programs on behalf of their American-born anchor babies. Even before the recession, immigrant households with children used welfare programs at consistently higher rates than natives, according to the extensive census data collected and analyzed by a nonpartisan Washington D.C.

group dedicated to researching legal and illegal immigration in the U.S. The results, published this month in a lengthy report, are hardly surprising. Basically, the majority of households across the country benefitting from publicly-funded welfare programs are headed by immigrants, both legal and illegal. States where immigrant households with children have the highest welfare use rates are Arizona (62%), Texas, California and New York with 61% each and Pennsylvania(59%). The study focused on eight major welfare programs that cost the government \$517 billion the year they were examined. They include Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for the disabled, Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), a nutritional program known as Women, Infants and Children (WIC), food stamps, free/reduced school lunch, public housing and health insurance for the poor (Medicaid). Food assistance and Medicaid are the programs most commonly used by illegal immigrants, mainly on behalf of their American-born children who get automatic citizenship.

On the other hand, legal immigrant households take advantage of every available welfare program, according to the study, which attributes it to low education level and resulting low income. The highest rate of welfare recipients come from the Dominican Republic (82 %), Mexico and Guatemala (75%) and Ecuador (70%), according to the report, which says welfare use tends to be high for both new arrivals and established residents.[4] This has to stop. We can no longer continue to be the caretakers of the world.

Our vets are sleeping on the streets and can't get the healthcare they not only need but deserve but we house, feed and give healthcare to illegals! Insanity! Trump says Americans first and I agree. The time for political correctness has passed and reality needs to be addressed. No one seems to want to do this but Trump. Maybe that's why he is getting so much support, he doesn't do political correctness.

## Footnotes:

1. [Philly Mayor Defends Islam.](#)
  2. [Philly Mayor Defends Islam.](#)
  3. Judge Jeanine: [Time to Batten Down the Hatches.](#)
  4. [Most Illegal Immigrant Families Collect Welfare.](#)
- 

# Animals are equal to humans?

The latest blockbuster is the current Star Wars VII episode netting more than 1.3 Billion to date. While it is entertaining, we need to always be asking ourselves, what is the message which the writers are communicating. One thing is true of the dramatic arts; they are a powerful medium for communicating a message that is just below the radar of human perception. More specifically, there is a real possibility for a message to be delivered and openly received by an audience without any thought or analysis engaged in by the recipient.

People may walk out of a theater with new thoughts swirling in their mind that they have taken no time to evaluate. In fact they may believe something to be true simply because it was presented in a powerfully moving, emotionally grabbing way that overpowers all the reasoning abilities that are sitting on the intellectual sidelines. There are many messages conveyed by the Star Wars franchise, but this today, in tune with our study True Law is God's Law, I would like to mention just one. It is this question, what is man?

The powerful message of that movie series is that man is but an intelligent animal, not unlike all the other intelligent animals that populate the galaxy and are engaged in the battle

to control that galaxy. You have Chewy, injured and nursed to health by the hero, who later is injured and nursed to health by Chewy. I could cite many other examples that are used very effectively to persuade the audience that man essentially is an animal just as all the other animals.

At first blush it might seem to be an idea with little to no consequences. However, the law of God before us would greatly disagree. Turn to Exodus 22:19 "Whosoever lieth with a beast shall surely be put to death." This law deals with a subject of unnatural relations of the darkest sort.

Depraved Debauchery – Exodus 22:19 deals with the darkest form of sexual immorality. So dark we might be inclined to skip over it altogether, but the Word of God addresses this matter and so it is incumbent upon us to do so as well. Our aim is to proclaim all that the Word of God say, no more, no less. It will help to examine this extreme depravity in light of the overarching law of God for this area of life.

All sexual activity outside the bonds of Marriage is sin – Hebrews 13:4 "Marriage is honourable in all, and the bed undefiled: but whoremongers and adulterers God will judge." So it is not just bestiality the Law of God condemns, it is every sexual sin, every action that is outside the bounds of marriage.

Sexual immorality is a sin against one's own body – 1 Corinthians 6:18 "Flee fornication. Every sin that a man doeth is without the body; but he that committeth fornication sinneth against his own body." The term translated in the KJV "Fornication" is the Greek word 'porneia.' It is the overarching term which covers every form of sexual immorality, whether it be between two persons who are unmarried, or two that are married to someone other than they have engaged adultery, or two persons of the same sex, or this bottom dwelling debauchery of bestiality.

How does such debauchery ever come into a society? – Please read Romans 1:18-32. The downward spiral –

- What begins with idolatry leads to sexual anarchy – everyone flaunting the Law of God which protects the very foundations of civilization – God’s Holy Institution of marriage.

- It ends in a depraved mind – the word translated “reprobate” is the Greek word “adokimos” defined as “rejected; by implication, worthless (literally or morally):–castaway, rejected, reprobate.”[1] God has given them over to this state for the evil choices that have made and continue to make to reject all that is good and holy, righteous and true. Having rejected God’s Law, God gives them over to their benighted depravity and they become in thought, word and deed given over to their wretched sin. Now we can see this pattern working itself out on the pages of history.

The Modern idolatry of Evolution and the sin of ‘speciesism’  
“Definition of speciesism

1: prejudice or discrimination based on species; especially : discrimination against animals

2: the assumption of human superiority on which speciesism is based.”[2]

So the belief is that you are just an animal and show favoritism to your own species, homo sapiens, and it is wrong for you to treat any other animals in this world as less than you are. You are an animal equal to all other animals, no more, no less. That is one of the messages of Star Wars.

Learn more about your Constitution with Pastor David Whitney and the “Institute on the Constitution” and receive your free gift.

© 2016 Rev. David Whitney – All Rights Reserved



---

# Ted Cruz eligibility – something extraordinary happening part 1 of 2

My, my, how things have changed since the first volley of lawsuits to keep the criminal impostor in the White House, Barry Soetoro aka Barack Obama [fictitious, made up name] off the ballot. Immediately shrill squawking came from the ethically bankrupt Democrat/Communist Party USA. Why, to challenge the constitutional eligibility of a candidate was racist pure and simple. The object of scrutiny has black skin, therefore, any attempts to uphold the Constitution were being done by kooks, racists, Kool-Aid drinkers and as Yule Brenner said in the 1956 movie, *The King And I*, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera .

‘Conservative’ biggies were just as outraged as the left that anyone should question Barry’s eligibility:

Obama Citizenship Crisis & Industrial Strength Stupidity

“David Horowitz, much admired by conservatives, makes an astonishing and completely anti American statement on the issue of the law: Obama Derangement Syndrome

“The continuing efforts of a fringe group of conservatives to deny Obama his victory and to lay the basis for the claim that he is not a legitimate president are embarrassing and destructive...What difference does it make to the future of this country whether Obama was born on U.S. soil? Advocates of this destructive campaign will argue that the constitutional principle regarding the qualifications for president trumps all others. But how viable will our Constitution be if five

Supreme Court justices should decide to void 64 million ballots?"

"What difference does it make whether Obama was "born on U.S. soil?" That's not the issue and it's a shame someone like Horowitz shoots off the pie hole in his face without even knowing the correct legal argument. How viable would it be if the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the supreme law of the land? Shame on you, Mr. Horowitz, for your cavalier attitude about the law." Since Barry Obama was not eligible no one had the 'right' to vote for him, period.

The very dangerous Mark Levine (his book promoting a constitutional convention) is going ballistic over anyone challenging Ted Cruz's eligibility:

"He added that the birther issue with both Cruz and President Obama is "stupid," no one has standing to challenge it, no court would take it up, and is "just not true." Levin continued that "the liberals love this stuff."...

"Levin then said anyone who is born to a US citizen can run for president, regardless of where they were born. He then read the 5th clause of Article II, Section I of the US Constitution, and argued that children born to US citizens abroad are natural born US citizens under US law.

"He continued by pointing to arguments that child born in the US are not automatically natural born citizens under the 14th Amendment, that he and other conservatives have embraced, contrary to the "amnesty crowd, the establishment Republicans, [and] dummies on TV"...Levin then declared that Cruz's eligibility was "resolved," and questions over Cruz's eligibility are "for the kooks."

Well, Mark, liberals didn't "love this stuff" when their boy, Barry, was in the spotlight back in 2008. Levin's comment "read the 5th clause of Article II, Section I of the US Constitution, and argued that children born to US citizens

abroad are natural born US citizens under US law" is completely disingenuous:

No person except a natural born citizen, or a citizen of the United States, at the time of the adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the office of President;

neither shall any person be eligible to that office who shall not have attained to the age of thirty five years, and been fourteen Years a resident within the United States.

No where in that clause does it say children born to US citizens abroad are natural born citizens under US law. His comment regarding no one having standing to challenge eligibility deliberately skips over two things:

1. When Leo Donofrio filed his lawsuit in NJ to keep Barry Obama, Juan McCain and Róger Calero (born in Nicaragua) off the ballot, standing was not challenged although that judicial hallucination was used in most of the other lawsuits to get rid of them. There was a great deal of confusion regarding McCain because everyone assumed the hospital he was born in was on a military installation. Not so and I do encourage you to take the time to read this entire piece: A Congressional Natural Born Citizen Parts I, II & III: Who Knew What & For How Long?

"According to the birth certificate and COLB of John McCain, McCain was born in Colon Hospital, city of Colon, Panama. While the BC states at the top that it is from the "Canal Zone", the document also states that McCain was born in Colon Hospital, city of Colon. The city of Colon and the hospital were not in the Canal Zone. The common story you hear is that McCain was born in the Canal Zone, but these documents posted online do not testify to that. Furthermore, there is no official document that has ever surfaced which states that McCain was born in the Canal Zone."

Why Senator John McCain Cannot Be President: Eleven Months and

a Hundred Yards Short of Citizenship by Gabriel J. Chin,  
University of California, Davis – School of Law, August 13,  
2008

Abstract:

“Senator McCain was born in 1936 in the Canal Zone to U.S. citizen parents. The Canal Zone was territory controlled by the United States, but it was not incorporated into the Union. As requested by Senator McCain’s campaign, distinguished constitutional lawyers Laurence Tribe and Theodore Olson examined the law and issued a detailed opinion offering two reasons that Senator McCain was a natural born citizen. Neither is sound under current law.

“The Tribe-Olson Opinion suggests that the Canal Zone, then under exclusive U.S. jurisdiction, may have been covered by the Fourteenth Amendment’s grant of citizenship to “all persons born . . . in the United States.” However, in the Insular Cases, the Supreme Court held that “unincorporated territories” were not part of the United States for constitutional purposes. Accordingly, many decisions hold that persons born in unincorporated territories are not Fourteenth Amendment citizens. The Tribe-Olson Opinion also suggests that Senator McCain obtained citizenship by statute. However, the only statute in effect in 1936 did not cover the Canal Zone. Recognizing the gap, in 1937, Congress passed a citizenship law applicable only to the Canal Zone, granting Senator McCain citizenship, but eleven months too late for him to be a citizen at birth. Because Senator John McCain was not a citizen at birth, he is not a “natural born Citizen” and thus is not “eligible to the Office of President” under the Constitution.

Because of the flap in 2008 over Juan McCain, the Senate passed a resolution to accomodate a presidential candidate. S.Res.511 – A resolution recognizing that John Sidney McCain, III, is a natural born citizen. It certainly pays to have

friends in high places.

I deliberately decided to make a Part II to this column because it is historical FACTS regarding the Fourteenth Amendment and natural born as written by Leo Donofrio.

2. Mark Levin knows not a single lawsuit was decided on merits of the argument regarding what constitutes a natural born citizen. Not one single court allowed a true legal discussion of what constitutes natural born.

Cruz, naturally has come out saying it's 'settled law'. Yes, it is and you, Ted Cruz are ineligible. Cruz knew this was going to become an issue which is why he began legal proceedings to give up his dual citizenship finalized in 2014. If he didn't think his dual citizenship would be a problem, why go to all the trouble to renounce his Canadian citizenship?

"News" media giants, including FOX led the charge to discredit anyone questioning Barry's eligibility. Haaavard grad and telly clown, Bill O'Reilly, has proclaimed over the years that a birth announcement in a Hawaii newspaper was proof positive Barry was a natural born citizen. And, so all these years, Barry Obama has gotten away with usurping the office of president aided and abetted by the left and the right. And now they're deliberately doing it all over again using the same talking points from 2008 & 2012 to protect Ted Cruz.

Rep. Alan Grayson: I Will File Lawsuit Over Ted Cruz's Citizenship If He's Elected President, November 27, 2015. Grayson is a stupid, rabid Democrat. His proclamation is baloney. I can tell you exactly what a judge will say: Mr. Grayson, if you had a problem with Cruz's citizenship, you should have filed before the election. Grayson's comments in that article demonstrates he's a run of the mill dummy on the issue of natural born citizen.

Nancy Pelosi Wades Into Cruz Eligibility Dispute, Jan. 7, 2016

Like Barry Obama, Cruz has one parent who was a U.S. citizen at the time of his birth – his mother. A plethora of hot articles have flooded the Internet over the past few days. This one is a prime example of ignorance:

Why Ted Cruz Is Vulnerable on Canadian Birth Issue: “That is not because Cruz is ineligible for the presidency. In an article in the Harvard Law Review in March 2015, former Solicitors General Neal Katyal and Paul Clement made a compelling argument that the Framers of the Constitution intended “natural-born citizen”—a requirement for the presidency—to include those born to U.S. citizens abroad.”

Going back to JB Williams column regarding Ted Cruz being ineligible to run for the senate, he provides copies of critical legal documents:

“The above Canadian document is proof of Canadian Citizenship at birth for Senator Ted Cruz. The next piece of authenticated evidence released by Ted Cruz is a Canadian document proving that he remained a legal citizen of Canada until renouncing that citizenship in May of 2014, which means, he was still a legal citizen of Canada in 2012 when he ran for, was elected and took the oath of office for the US Senate.

“Again, the above authenticated evidence proves that Ted Cruz was born Canadian in 1970 and remained a legal citizen of Canada until renouncing his Canadian citizenship in May of 2014. These official documents also prove that Ted Cruz was a legal citizen of Canada in 2012, when he sought and claimed a seat in the U.S. Senate as a legal US citizen....

“Ted’s parents were at no time serving in the U.S. Armed Forces, employed by the U.S. Government or by any of the certain international organizations, during their eight years in Canada, between 1966 and 1974. Further, Ted’s father Rafael, was at no time a legal citizen of the United States prior to naturalizing in 2005, from Canada. Rafael’s known

legal citizenship status as of 1970 was Cuban, not American.

“This is an EXAMPLE of a US CRBA Form (Consular Report of Birth Abroad)

“Unfortunately, there is no evidence to suggest that the parent or parents of Ted Cruz ever filed a CRBA form with the U.S. Government in or around 1970, which is why Ted Cruz released a copy of his Canadian citizenship records and not any U.S. citizenship records. At present, all FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) requests filed in search of any U.S. citizenship documents to confirm the true official U.S. citizenship status of Ted Cruz have been denied access. All citizenship records for Ted Cruz are sealed unless and until Ted Cruz agrees to allow any such records to be released by either U.S. or Canadian agencies.

“As a result, there remains no authentic evidence to support the claims that Ted Cruz is either a “natural born” or “naturalized” citizen of the United States.”

Shall we look at that Haaavard Law Review? A Response to Neil Katyal and Paul Clement on the Meaning of a Natural Born Citizen By Mario Apuzzo, Esq. Take the time to read Mario’s response because he quite succinctly proves the authors of the aforementioned ‘law review’ piece are wrong.

As I mentioned in my last column, Mario is the attorney for a ballot eligibility case in Vermont assisted the plaintiff in preparing his filing. A lawsuit has been filed to keep ineligible candidates off the primary ballot in Florida: Michael Voeltz, registered Republican Party voter of Broward County Florida v. Senator Ted Cruz, of Texas, Senator Marco Rubio, of Florida, Secretary of State of Florida, Republican Party of Florida Executive Committee. A challenge has also been made in Illinois.

1974 Canadian Electors’ List Named Ted Cruz’s Parents

“Canadian immigration authorities declined a request by Breitbart News for additional documents, citing Canadian privacy laws. Under U.S. law, Cruz would have inherited his citizenship at birth in 1970 from his mother, provided she remained a U.S. citizen. She likely would have retained her U.S. citizenship even if she had become a naturalized Canadian citizen, though Canadian law required naturalized citizens formally to renounce all foreign allegiances until 1973.”

There's that nasty thing again: privacy laws. This is Barry Obama and the Hawaiian birth certificate all over again. I wonder if Ted will spend, as did the fraud in the White House, more than a million dollars to keep official records under wraps? The article above ends with the boiler plate stupidity about what constitutes a natural born citizen.

It's not Barry or Cruz or Rubio's birthplace, it's the citizenship of the parents at the time of the child's birth. The key word being parents, not parent. Every single article or commentary written since Donald Trump brought up the issue last week always ends with Cruz's mother is a U.S. citizen therefore Ted Cruz is a natural born citizen. Intellectual laziness or political bias does not erase historical facts.

There was a reason the 'natural born citizen' clause was grandfathered into the Constitution: To keep any president that might have foreign interests he would use to betray this country. Think Barack Hussein Obama. I don't doubt Ted Cruz and Marco Rubio love this country, but in the future do we want another Barry Obama? Since 2008, there have been a lot of articles by individuals, mostly foreign born, who want to get rid of the natural born citizen clause in the Constitution to suit their own agenda, but we can not let that happen.

In my column last week I provided links to legal analysis by two individuals who have been heavily involved in this issue that Americans should read if they want to fully understand why Cruz and Rubio are not natural born citizens:



- Leo Donofrio's Amicus Brief
- A Citizen is One Thing, But a Natural Born Citizen is Another

Something is happening that is quite extraordinary. When the issue was Democrat Barry Obama, FOX and other powerful 'conservative' mouth pieces bent over backwards to help an ineligible candidate. Now the issue is a popular GOP senator (who usurped that office), Ted Cruz, and his eligibility and those same media mouthpieces and 'conservative' legal geniuses are again bending over backwards to make sure another ineligible candidate can run for president.

Why hasn't Marco Rubio's ineligibility been brought up in all this frenzy? If the argument being put forth by all the above is that a natural born citizen means one parent is a U.S. citizen at the time of the child's birth, that sure as hell eliminates Marco Rubio. He was born in May 1971 in Miami, Florida. His parents did not become U.S. citizens until November 1975 – four years after his birth. Since neither of his parents were U.S. citizens at the time of his birth, he's out.

Why this screeching from all of the above to protect Cruz's candidacy? Because the top four GOP candidates are Trump, Cruz, Rubio and Carson. If you knock out Cruz and Rubio that leaves Donald Trump and Dr. Ben Carson – unless one figures Paul, Fiorina, Bush and the rest of the single digit candidates can be resurrected from the dead. Democrats and their lackeys in the media don't want Trump. The GOP establishment and their lackeys in the media don't want Trump. Can the jury make the inference that both sides are working feverishly to keep Cruz and Rubio in the contest hoping Donald Trump will flame out or lose the early primaries? I submit to you that's exactly what's going on.

Everything possible is being done by the GOP, with help from Megyn Kelly over at FOX, to keep Donald Trump from securing

the GOP nomination: GOP Planning 'Firewall' to Stop Trump in South Carolina. A pathetic attempt to bolster the pathetic Jeb! Bush's chances: "GOP leaders increasingly see South Carolina as their last best chance to stop Donald Trump's populist political juggernaut. On Wednesday, influential South Carolina Republican Katon Dawson issued a plea for former President George W. Bush to step into the ring in the Palmetto State's Feb. 20 primary. Bush is quite popular among South Carolina Republicans, and Dawson called his involvement a potential "game changer."

GOP "leaders" are so far out of touch with voters it defies imagination. As for a game changer, dream on. If voters wanted Jeb! he would be leading the pack.

So, what happens now? Well, we have Barry Obama who usurped the office of president and has gotten away with it. His mother was a natural born citizen, but his father was a foreign national who never applied for citizenship. Barry Obama was born with dual citizenship and ineligible to be president.

Marco Rubio was four years old when his parents became citizens. Rubio is hardly a natural BORN citizen and therefore, clearly ineligible.

Ted Cruz's mother's birth certificate shows she is a natural born citizen, but Cruz's father was a foreign national and not a U.S. citizen at the time Ted was born. Cruz believes because he renounced his Canadian citizenship at age 44 and his mother being a U.S. citizen he can be a natural born citizen.

Likely more lawsuits will be filed. Donald Trump could file a lawsuit; 'standing' would not be an issue; or shouldn't be. But, make no mistake: The stakes are as high as they can get because if Ted Cruz is not eligible then neither was the criminal fraudster in the White House in 2008 and 2012. If anyone thinks the shadow government is going to let this new

challenge regarding Ted Cruz blow that whole thing out of the water, they are sadly mistaken. Ted can continue to 'cruz' along hoping he would be Trump's pick for VP. But, since he's ineligible for the presidency he's also ineligible to be VP.

Should Donald Trump dive in or continue steamrolling his competition? Baring some catastrophe, if he stays on course and doesn't fall into the trap of filing a lawsuit, he will be the nominee regardless of what GOP "leaders" want. As I said in a past column, big hay is being made Cruz is ahead in Iowa.

So what? Santorum won in 2012 and Mike Huckabee in 2008. Both flamed out and never made it to the White House. The same fate was dished out to John Kerry, Al Gore[bechev] and others. Predictions are Trump will take New Hampshire and South Carolina. If that happens, Cruz will likely continue spending millions to catch Trump for the next set of primaries.

We shall see if the lawsuits that have been filed move forward like Leo Donofrio's did only to be kicked to the curb by a deceitful batch of disgraceful "justices" on the U.S. Supreme Court. I sincerely hope they do and that there is just one judge, one, who believes in the supreme law of the land.

As for the darling of the right, Mark Levin, referring to people like me as kooks, shame on you. Questioning the constitutional eligibility for president or even members of Congress is not kooky. It's our duty and obligation to make sure the Constitution is upheld. For part two click below.

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The

Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meeters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Ted Cruz eligibility – something extraordinary happening part 2 of 2**

The following was written by Leo Donofrio. It is an excerpt from his longer analysis [here](#).

### **NATURAL BORN CITIZEN DEFINED THROUGH HISTORY**

I could understand rabid attacks if the legal theory I was relying upon had been thoroughly discredited by a Supreme Court decision or by statute, or even by historical texts, but it's quite the opposite. Beside 200 years of Presidential precedent, the great weight of authority supports the argument that Obama is not a natural born Citizen.

I understand the countering argument and I've welcomed debate of both sides of the issue in comments to this blog. But most

of the published arguments on the natural born Citizen issue are recently published law review articles which haven't done a very good job of presenting the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

## THE FRAMERS OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT

Despite popular belief, the 14th Amendment does not convey the status of "natural born Citizen" in its text. It just conveys the status of "Citizen". And it's very clear that in the pre-amendment Constitution, the Framers made a distinction between a "Citizen" and a "natural born Citizen". The requirement to be a Senator or Representative is "Citizen", but the requirement to be President is "natural born Citizen".

From the 14th Amendment:

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and the State wherein they reside."

But even as to this conveyance of citizenship, those who were responsible for drafting the 14th Amendment made it clear that – to them – the meaning of "subject to the jurisdiction thereof" meant subject only to the jurisdiction thereof.

Dr. John Fonte, Senior Fellow of The Hudson Institute had this to say about the issue at a Congressional hearing on dual citizenship from September 29, 2005:

The authors in the legislative history, the authors of that language, Senator Lyman Trumbull said, "When we talk about 'subject to the jurisdiction of the United States,' it means complete jurisdiction, not owing allegiance to anybody else." Senator Jacob Howard said that it's "a full and complete jurisdiction."

This illustrates that Congress recently discussed the issue, and they can't claim they were unaware. But we don't have to

take Dr. Fonte's word for it. The following discussion by the various 14th Amendment Framers took place on the Senate floor. I took it from P.A. Madison's research at <http://www.14thamendment.us>(use his link for footnotes):

It is clear the framers of the Fourteenth Amendment had no intention of freely giving away American citizenship to just anyone simply because they may have been born on American soil. Again, we are fortunate enough to have on the record the highest authority tell us, Sen. Lyman Trumbull, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee... and the one who inserted the phrase:

[T]he provision is, that 'all persons born in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens.' That means 'subject to the complete jurisdiction thereof.' What do we mean by 'complete jurisdiction thereof?' Not owing allegiance to anybody else. That is what it means.

Then Madison quotes Sen. Howard, another Framer, concurring with Trumbull:

Sen. Howard concurs with Trumbull's construction:

Mr. HOWARD: I concur entirely with the honorable Senator from Illinois [Trumbull], in holding that the word "jurisdiction," as here employed, ought to be construed so as to imply a full and complete jurisdiction on the part of the United States, whether exercised by Congress, by the executive, or by the judicial department; that is to say, the same jurisdiction in extent and quality as applies to every citizen of the United States now.[3]

Mr. Madison continues with even more proof of what the 14th Amendment Framers meant:

Sen. Johnson, speaking on the Senate floor, offers his comments and understanding of the proposed new amendment to the constitution:

[Now], all this amendment [citizenship clause] provides is, that all persons born in the United States and not subject to some foreign Power—for that, no doubt, is the meaning of the committee who have brought the matter before us—shall be considered as citizens of the United States. That would seem to be not only a wise but a necessary provision. If there are to be citizens of the United States there should be some certain definition of what citizenship is, what has created the character of citizen as between himself and the United States, and the amendment says that citizenship may depend upon birth, and I know of no better way to give rise to citizenship than the fact of birth within the territory of the United States, born to parents who at the time were subject to the authority of the United States.[4]

No doubt in the Senate as to what the citizenship clause means as further evidenced by Sen. W. Williams:

In one sense, all persons born within the geographical limits of the United States are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States...All persons living within a judicial district may be said, in one sense, to be subject to the jurisdiction of the court in that district, but they are not in every sense subject to the jurisdiction of the court until they are brought, by proper process, within the reach of the power of the court. I understand the words here, 'subject to the jurisdiction of the United States,' to mean fully and completely subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.[5]

Madison saves for last the greatest authority on the issue:

Rep. John Bingham of Ohio, considered the father of the Fourteenth Amendment, confirms the understanding and construction the framers used in regards to birthright and jurisdiction while speaking on civil rights of citizens in the House on March 9, 1866:

[I] find no fault with the introductory clause [S 61 Bill], which is simply declaratory of what is written in the Constitution, that every human being born within the jurisdiction of the United States of parents not owing allegiance to any foreign sovereignty is, in the language of your Constitution itself, a natural born citizen...[6]

It's important to note this statement was issued by Bingham only months before the 14th Amendment was proposed.

In conclusion, I would like to thank reader "John Boy" for pointing to Justice Scalia's opinion in District of Columbia Et Al. v. Heller. In that case, Justice Scalia took into consideration a certain historical legal reference:

The common references to those "fit to bear arms" in congressional discussions about the militia are matched by use of the same phrase in the few nonmilitary federal contexts where the concept would be relevant... Other legal sources frequently used "bear arms" in nonmilitary contexts.[10]

Now look at "footnote 10?:"

E. de Vattel, The Law of Nations, or, Principles of the Law of Nature 144 (1792) ("Since custom has allowed persons of rank and gentlemen of the army to bear arms in time of peace, strict care should be taken that none but these should be allowed to wear swords");

Since Justice Scalia cited to this legal textbook in March of 2008, it's not outrageous to think he might also refer to "The Laws of Nations" on the natural born Citizen issue?

I'll leave you now with the relevant textbook definition of natural born citizen. The following was published in 1758. This definition, added to all of the above, certainly establishes a rational legal basis to hold that Barack Obama is not a natural born Citizen. And more than that, it puts the burden on those who deny it to don the tin foil hat of despair



and bring forthwith to the table of honest debate their own bed of authority to lie in:

§ 212. Citizens and natives.

The citizens are the members of the civil society; bound to this society by certain duties, and subject to its authority, they equally participate in its advantages. The natives, or natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens.

As the society cannot exist and perpetuate itself otherwise than by the children of the citizens, those children naturally follow the condition of their fathers, and succeed to all their rights. The society is supposed to desire this, in consequence of what it owes to its own preservation; and it is presumed, as matter of course, that each citizen, on entering into society, reserves to his children the right of becoming members of it. The country of the fathers is therefore that of the children; and these become true citizens merely by their tacit consent. We shall soon see whether, on their coming to the years of discretion, they may renounce their right, and what they owe to the society in which they were born. I say, that, in order to be of the country, it is necessary that a person be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he is born there of a foreigner, it will be only the place of his birth, and not his country. For part one click below.

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and

share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

## Materialism Pt. 3 of 4

“Turn off your mind, relax and float downstream  
It is not dying, it is not dying.  
Lay down all thought, surrender to the void  
It is shining, it is shining.  
That you may see, the meaning of within  
It is being, it is being.  
That love is all, and love is everyone  
It is knowing, it is knowing.”

~The Beatles, “Tomorrow Never Knows” (1966)

The upshot, so far, is that in our moral lives in a material world, everything is up for grabs.

Reactions to this varied. One was the turn to mind-altering drugs, led by such writers as Aldous Huxley (1894 – 1963) whose *The Doors of Perception* (1954) was the source of a different 1960s rock group's name, and of course Timothy Leary (“Tune in, turn on, drop out”). Transcendent reality may not exist in the material world but can be found in your head! The 1960s hippies began to “drop acid” (LSD, lysergic acid

diethylamide). Some would claim to "see God." Acid rock was its musical expression, proclaiming mystical revelations of peace and love. Others, of course, experienced sometimes terrifying hallucinations caused by the drug's radical altering of their perceptions. I recall from my graduate student days a past user telling me how he'd seen his stereo grow a mouth, as the music coming from his speakers took the form of two arms reaching his way as it tried to eat him. People with latent personality disorders, or just the anxiety-prone, were especially susceptible to bad experiences with LSD. Some users ended up with psychoses, or simply "burned out" from repeated usages with permanent brain damage: "acid casualties." All of which makes the reality-is-in-your-head route a risky one to travel down!

Many of that generation's parents, however, had turned away from the problem, leaving them vulnerable to criticisms of them as morally shallow, having sold their souls to the corporate system. "If it feels good, do it" is a phrase associated with the hippies, but there was a sense in which the prevailing ethos was closer to this sort of phrase than their elders cared to admit. Convenience reigned. This was true in business, in government, in academia. If it's convenient, do it. Consider abortion, which had become an issue well before Roe v. Wade (1973). Sexual license (also a problem in some communities before the liberation movements of the 1960s) led to unwanted pregnancies; simple as that. Despite the prattling about those cases when "the mother's life is in danger," over 99% of abortions are abortions of convenience. Abortion's legal acceptability has led to the killing of over 50 million unborn babies and counting. I will not torture readers with the bizarre rationalizations feminist philosophy professors have produced (it is hard to call them philosophers with a straight face), except to note that the linguistic sleight of hand used has been intended to deprive the unborn and sometimes even the newly born of moral standing, and hence any claim on life that others are

obligated to respect. The Nazis and other totalitarians did the same thing, removing those to be eliminated from the moral community.

But then again, if Benedict, Dewey, Rorty, and others are correct, then the only moral standing anyone has is what their society, or the state, gives them. What the state and social approval give, the state and social approval can take away, whether its targets are Jews, Gypsies, homosexuals, etc., under the Nazis; those who resisted collectivized farming under Stalin; or the unborn in our own culture. It is possible, by this reading, that a future Christian civilization might regard this last as one of the largest and most insidious holocausts of all.

The tendency, as we have seen, has been to evade the issues, or to simply stop thinking about them. Many theologians would succumb fully to the "death of God" by the 1960s, even as their children were "finding Him" in recreational chemistry. Secularization was one of the manifestations of materialism having captured Western culture. Harvey Cox (1929 – ), one of the leaders of the "death of God" movement, wrote in his *The Secular City* (1965) that secularization "bypasses and undercuts religion and goes on to other things... The world looks less and less to religious rules and rituals for its morality or its meanings."

I recently finished one of the most comprehensive accounts I have seen of the modern, secular attempt "to live after the death of God": *The Age of Atheists: How We Have Sought to Live since the Death of God* (2014) by British intellectual historian Peter Watson (1943 – ). Watson's account ranges across philosophy, art, poetry, literature, and science – or, more exactly, science-promotion, as he includes evangelical atheists such as Richard Dawkins, Daniel Dennett, and Sam Harris, which is materialism promotion in my sense of that term. Watson is a reasonably honest thinker, and those who maintain (as I do) that materialism has no hope of providing

society with a sound moral foundation and direction will find support for their views in his work. So despite the title and themes (and tediousness at times), the book merits study. At the end, Watson does not endorse mere science-promotion but rather seeks to explain why many credible authors, writers, poets and artists have found the "scientific worldview" too narrow. His answer isn't especially satisfying.

It comes down to the idea that, given God's absence, the "central sane activity" (title of the book's meandering closing chapter) is "sheer wondering inquiry," and a grasping for those lonely moments of meaningfulness and life-affirmation. Different authors have given them different names. Abraham Maslow, for example, called them "peak experiences"; James Joyce spoke of "epiphanies"; Malroux, of "temporary refuges"; Yeats, of "brief moments of ecstatic affirmation"; Ibsen, of "flashes of spiritual value." These moments, Watson insists, can be had in loving relationships, the satisfaction of various desires, the experience of hearing an especially moving piece of music or seeing a work of art or reading poetry, or in any number of other ways including just the mundane satisfaction of a job well done.

Even if you are a secularist, are you really satisfied with this?

Study them closely, and you see that these experiences, real though they may be, are private and personal; one comes away sensing the difficulty the writers have in communicating their content to others. They are more the stuff of poetry than philosophy. They are, however, pleasant – momentary "highs" – and we are inching our way back to the possibility that psychoactive drugs can be used to trigger such experiences artificially and expand them indefinitely if the results are satisfying enough to outweigh the dangers.

All this seems like denial to me. Of the obvious. By turning away from the larger picture, the one both Nietzsche and

Russell were courageous enough to face, to focus on those nice little particulars we experience or arrange for ourselves, whether in our private lives or by using recreational chemicals, we evade the important consequence of materialism:

That once you've removed God and transcendence from your worldview, there are no binding moral values, binding in the sense of being definitive and authoritative, and suggesting a lasting, inescapable, personal penalty for their violation. There is only state authority, popularity, physical pleasure, and these ephemeral on-top-of-the-world moments – all of which end in death, which the materialist understands as the permanent extinction of consciousness and personality. You cease to exist as completely as the nonexistence that preceded your conception. Presumably after those final anxious moments before you wink out, you won't be worried about it.

Watson correctly observes that many people in secular society seem to have no problem with this. They have either rejected "religion" without further thought, or simply grew up without it. He writes:

"We need to remind ourselves ... that many people – and perhaps the quieter souls among us – see no problem in God being dead. For them his death is no source of anxiety or perplexity... [S]uch individuals are not "metaphysical types" and seek no "deep" meaning in existence. They just get on with their lives, making ends meet, living from day to day and season to season, enjoying themselves where they can, untroubled by matters that so perplex their neighbors. They have no great expectations that the big questions will ever be settled, so devote no time to their elucidation. In some ways, they are the most secular people of all and perhaps the most content" (The Age of Atheists, pp. 532-33).

Such folks blend smoothly into the majority, the masses of humanity in advanced civilization, meeting its demands on them, and no more thinking independently today than the third

or so who were content with British rule in the 1770s and another third who didn't care so long as they had food on the table. If asked, they will say they have no time for such matters as these. They will vote for mainstream candidates without question, and only start asking questions when their supposed leaders send their kids off to die in foreign wars as cannon fodder, if even then. They are first veilers. While many are nice people and good at what they do, should we trust their collective judgment with matters as far from everyday experience, and as important, as whether or not one should believe in God as the source of moral valuation?

2016 Steven Yates – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Lie Czar Valerie Jarrett spins: Obama's not bypassing congress**

Valerie Jarrett, who's seemed to have jumped into the role of Lie Czar for this week's White House public relations sell – supplanting perhaps Susan Rice, of “blame Benghazi on a video fame” – donned her anti-truth shield and took to national TV to showcase her skills and tell the watching public: My boss, President Obama, isn't really bypassing Congress by issuing executive orders for gun control.

That little thing called executive order? Don't mind that. Them's just words.

“Let's be specific,” she said, in a broadcast interview in the lead-up to Obama's issuance of his not-bypassing-Congress-but-still-coming-unilaterally order. “The president is not circumventing Congress.”

And with that, the straight-faced Jarrett skewered through the definition of executive order itself – as if the American public were that stupid.

Why is this administration so bent on bending the truth?

Scratch that. That answer's obvious – to grab power and control at all costs. But understanding the motive doesn't make it any less maddening. Perhaps the better question is: Why are there so many in this White House with such careless regard for the truth?

Wordplay is an art with these guys, so much so that entire websites have been created to keep track of Team Obama's spin. Remember White House press secretary Jay Carney? Well, he's got his own "9 Top Lies" website. Another of the old gang, Stephanie Cutter, former campaign spin-meister for then-candidate Obama, even sparked her own hashtag – #FireLiarStef. What a proud moment for the elder Mrs. Cutter that must have been. Obama alone has several websites dedicated to tracking his lies, most notably by the Pulitzer Prize-winning PolitiFact, a site that can hardly be pointed to as right-wing propaganda but that nonetheless found double-digit cause to ding the president over the years (don't forget to scroll to the next pages at the bottom of the link). And we're still trying to sift through the lies and deceptions and cover-ups and so forth of Obama's first administration. Think Hillary Clinton and her whole "what difference at this point does [the truth] make" moment. Think again the previously mentioned former national security adviser Susan's Rice sad and despicable parrot squawks of You Tube videos sparking terrorism, followed by her equally sad and despicable characterization of U.S. Army deserter Bowe Bergdahl as "honorable."

It's been a busy couple of administrations. Unfortunately, it shows no signs of letting up. Obama isn't going to grow a conscience any time soon. His hand-picks aren't going to fly the proverbial straight arrows. His White House assemblage isn't going to suddenly right its tipped moral compass. And most specifically, Jarrett isn't going to admit her



shamelessly presented shameful spin on words is all fallacy, aimed at confounding an already confounded public.

More of the same – that’s all we can expect from this president and this White House these next 12 months.

So what’s a fed-up patriot to do? Take heart. Fisher Ames, a Founding Father with considerable oratory skills, is said to have remarked: “Our liberty depends on our education, our laws and our habits – it is founded on morals and religion, whose authority reigns in the heart, and on the influence all these produce on public opinion before that opinion governs rulers.”

And with that in mind: The election’s coming.

The president’s leaving, and with him, his team of skilled skewers of truth. It’s not that the incoming commander-in-chief, either Democrat or Republican, will be perfect followers of the “I will not tell a lie” way of thinking. But chances are, the voters are so fed up with the easy lying this administration does, the next White House chief to be elected won’t be half as bad – meaning, not HHillary – and the change on Capitol Hill won’t be one of just mouths, rhetoric and politicking, but also heart, morals and religion.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Some more federal abuses in Oregon**

I’ve been listening for many hours to T.V. and radio “common taters” and should the protesters at the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge Headquarters use the first amendment of free speech and non-violent demonstrations like Martin Luther King and his “civil rights” movement or should they use the Second

Amendment and bring their guns? Polls support county, state and/or local control of Oregon's Natural Resource land and not mentioned in all the news sources is that both the Bundy and Hammond ranches are possibly sitting on precious metals which the BLM desperately wants.

#### NEVER LET A SERIOUS CRISIS GO TO WASTE

Do you find it coincidental that President Obama has once again used the incident in Oregon to promote his unconstitutional anti-gun executive orders and with tears flowing down his face plans to hire hundreds more federal agents to catch the bad guys by unaccountable bureaucrats? Readers will recall President Obama's chief of Staff, Rahm Emanuel, who made a habit of bullying legislators in the House gym showers, uttering the increasingly famous dictum in 2011: "Never let a serious crisis go to waste." [1] In other words, a wise leader should take advantage of any dire situation to promote his agenda.

#### COMPROMISED MEDIA

In today's hobgoblin along with a compromised established media to bend the truth, we are confronted with one fear after another "coincidence." While there are many stories to be told, I suppose the San Bernardino is the last but another was the Sandy Hook school shooting. All this chatter is worthless because we no longer have a Constitutional form of government that was devised by our Founders so we could have checks and balances. That was all well and good providing the public school system taught civics so the people would remain informed of THEIR RIGHTS and avoid being "politically correct.". However, civics is no longer emphasized making it easy for the Power Elite to dumb down people so they will just panic when disaster hits and the use of crises is an important technique the Power elite uses to accomplish its goals.

#### REPUBLICAN RICHARD NIXON REPLACES CONSTITUTION

Yes, our Constitutional Republic was replaced by REPUBLICAN Richard Nixon with his unconstitutional Executive Order 11647 in February 1987 with United Nations Regional Administrative government that turned our country into a democracy (a dictatorship) which allows the infiltration of unelected subversives into all the now unconstitutional governmental agencies created since then – the Bureau of Land Management being one of them that is strongly influenced and controlled by the left-wing New Age environmental Pollyannas, who worship the creation instead of the Creator, and are out to destroy Capitalism.

These Regional administrative traitors never accept defeat so they come back again and again as they've done with the Hammonds who have refused to sell their land to the BLM. Read the whole story here.

In Ruby Ridge, Idaho a sharp shooter killed Vicki Weaver as she held her baby in her arms. At the Branch Davidian in Waco, Texas, President Clinton and his Attorney General Janet Reno sent the military to burn down the facility where 86 humans, including 17 little children lived with an "unscantioned" religious belief. Therefore, in order to avoid similar events in Oregon, Dwight Hammond, Jr. 73, and his son, Steven, 46, chose to turn themselves in for a second term in prison (double jeopardy) for mistakenly burning 127 BLM acres. And the Harney County sheriff told Bundy and his followers to peacefully return to their families in Utah. They refused even when Oregon's governor asked them to leave. One of their stipulations was to have the Hammonds released from prison.

#### FORESTS BURN – NO ACCOUNTABILITY

Year after year out west where government controls nearly all the land, we watch our forests burn due to lack of proper management by the BLM without accountability but now the Hammonds go to prison for a second time being accused this time of being "terrorists" under the Federal Anti-Terrorism

Death Penalty Act of 1996 – an act that carries a minimum sentence of five years in prison and a maximum sentence of death. This is why well-spoken Ammon Bundy from Utah and his followers, some toting guns, came to Oregon to protest the usurpation of unelected government goons. The Hammonds today – who tomorrow?

## UNSANCTIONED RELIGIOUS BELIEFS

Reno described a cultist as “one who has a strong belief in the Bible and the Second Coming of Christ who frequently attends Bible Studies and who has a high level of financial giving to a Christian cause, who home schools their children, has a strong belief in the Second Amendment, distrusts big government and accumulates survival food therefore justifying the assassination at the Branch Davidian.[2]

## CHRISTIANITY A THREAT TO NATIONAL SECURITY

Obama sees Christians as a threat to National Security. At George Washington University in October 2015, Obama’s assistant attorney general for national security, John Carlin, revealed that the Department of Justice was creating a brand new position just to monitor them. The position, Domestic Terrorism Counsel, was created to combat the “real and present threat “ of domestic terrorism which they consider is the Family Research Council and the American Family Association according to false information fed to them by the Southern Poverty Law Center.

Of course, shortly after Ruby Ridge and Waco, Tim McVeigh, who claimed no Christian affiliations, was alleged to have bombed the Murrah Building in Oklahoma City on 4/19/1995 for which he was eventually put to death in June 2011. He was accused of committing the worst terrorist act on American soil until 9/11 but Clinton and Reno? In his 2007 book HOLIER THAN THOU by Alan Stang said President Clinton appointed career drunk Jan ‘the man’ Reno, who served as Attorney General between arrests

for molesting teenage girls and it was Jan who incinerated those victims at Waco. "Guilty as sin, free as a bird. America is a great Country" said Bill Ayers after he and his gang of revolutionaries bombed a building killing a policeman.[3] And what is a current happening in Oklahoma city? A Satanic black Mass.

## THE QUESTION OF MORALITY

North Carolina passed a ban on gay marriage in 2012 but in Oregon, it's full speed ahead. Martin Luther King's "Civil Rights" movement for lawful demonstrations has been hijacked by the most rebellious, immoral and depraved segment of our society. The acceptance of homosexuality and the elevation of that aberration to normality began in the 1960s by using the mass media as a cunning strategy, especially entertainment and other establishment-controlled institutions to re-educate the public in favor of sodomy.

## CHRISTIANS CAUGHT IN THE WEB

Today bumptious public sector workers persecute and harasses innocent people like in the I.R.S. but then we have the State of Oregon where Marion County Judge Vance Day and business owner, Melissa Klein become victims of "intolerance." A few years ago it was OK for businesses to post a sign that read, "No shirts, no shoes, no service," and in July 1996 the Oregonian newspaper refused to publish an announcement of two lesbians who wanted to marry but Mellissa and Aaron Klein from Sweet Cakes by Melissa in Oregon recently paid a \$135,000 fine plus interest to the Oregon Bureau of Labor for refusing to bake a wedding cake for a lesbian couple two years earlier claiming it violated their Christian faith. Melissa had been more than happy to sell Laurel & Rachel Bowman-Cryer bakery goods but she apparently was unaware of a new law passed in 2007 that was created to protect the rights of gays, lesbians, bisexuals and the transgender people in employment, housing and public accommodations again hijacking MLK Civil Rights

that was to protect people of color. The lesbian couple claimed "emotional suffering" because of her refusal to bake the wedding cake so the Bureau of Labor became their advocate and did their dirty work – raising money for homosexual causes. But supporters of Melissa raised a half million with the balance now in a trust fund.

#### OREGON JUDGE WON'T MARRY GAYS

In the case against Oregon Circuit Court Judge Vance Day, he was having to defend himself against charges that he violated State Code of Judicial Conduct that ranged from insignificant to trivial. Among the things that the Judicial Fitness Commission considered violations of state ethics rules included handing his business card to a soccer referee who had asked for it; hanging displays of memorabilia honoring the service of American military veterans on the walls outside his office; and even hanging pictures of former presidents of the United States; however, the most significant was his decision to excuse himself from performing marriages after U.S. District Judge Michael McShane's 2014 ruling that effectively legalized gay marriage in Oregon. Presiding at weddings is something that state court judges are permitted to do, but it is not required, and it is not part of a judge's core responsibilities. Perhaps another reason for all these alleged "violations" was because Day was a former chair of the Oregon GOP in this one-party progressive, socialist Democrat state. All this costs money so Day had to open a Defense Fund. The current status is unknown at this writing.

This 2007 legislation passed with the blessing of Democrat John Kitzhaber, a Democrat Senate president and the speaker of the House, Democrat Jeff Merkley, now in Congress. And after Oregon's former governor John Kitzhaber resigned in January 2014, Oregon now has a self-proclaimed transgender Kate Brown as governor. It's been a year and Kitzhaber and his live-in girlfriend First Lady Cylvia are "guilty as hell but free as a bird. Isn't America a great place if you are a Democrat?"

## SAGEBRUSH REBELLION AND A PRAYER FOR THE HAMMONDS

What is going on in Eastern Oregon is not new. The July 1983 READER'S DIGEST wrote that during a campaign stop in Salt Lake City, Ronald Reagan made a fateful commitment to a group of Western ranchers. "I am one who supports the sagebrush rebellion," he declared, "Count me in as a rebel." In a bizarre sequence of events that commitment allied President Reagan with extremist groups of anti-environmentalists, that led directly to his troubles with the unconstitutional Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

President Reagan called upon James Watt to serve as Secretary of Interior causing disarray in the environmental movement. I had a bumper sticker on my car which said, "I know Watts right" and while driving through liberal college-town Eugene, Oregon a car tried to run me off the road. Watt was doing a tremendous job of reigning in the "greenies" so they began to discuss strategies among themselves in an all-out publicity campaign to remove Watt from office and it got really ugly. Jim Watt quoted Psalm 62 when he was going through his persecution in 1982-83. "I stand silently before the Lord, waiting for Him to rescue me..." A good prayer for the Hammonds.

## ONCE AGAIN MEDIA CAUSES DIVISIONS

In a later 3/22/1984 article in our Salem, Oregon statesman Journal Watt said he had "the finest record ever put together by any administration." He repeatedly blamed the national media for most of his problems during his three years in President Reagan's cabinet. "I've not been in trouble because of what I've said but because of what people attributed to me," he said.

The Sagebrush Rebellion was led by Western cattle and sheep men who grazed their herds on Texas-size domain of arid, government-owned rangeland run by the Interior Department, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) that claimed over the years

the pounding of too many hoofs, its pastures had been turned into dust bowls during dry months and a sea of mud when it rained. In the 1970s conservation groups won a series of court orders and Congressional battles designed to force BLM to crack down on overgrazing. The Sagebrush Rebellion was the ranchers' response: A counter attack to break BLM once and for all by getting Congress to turn its vast domain over to the states, which of course, never happened as set forth in the recent uprising in Harney County.

I also have seen first -hand government abuses. I was born and raised in wheat country in Eastern Washington. A number of years ago another one of those unconstitutional governmental agencies told the wheat farmers, who grow our food, they were to plow under a certain amount of acreage and plant sagebrush!!!As a small child, I can remember people clearing the land of sagebrush so they could plant crops. Go figure...Yes, indeed, the world is full of government sycophants with millionaire retirements who cannot tell their children they've done an honest day of work.

2016 Betty Freauf – All Rights Reserved

Footnotes:

1. The Obama Diaries by Laura Ingraham © 2010 (P.277)
2. Sept. 1995 Oregon Observer
3. Aug. 2014 The Schwarz Report

---

## **The path to understanding Pt.**



# 12

## THE SAME OLD BEAT, STILL ON TRACK

What the title of this article is referring to is this thing of our continually being confronted, over and over, with the contrived and manipulated series of crises.

What we have been currently going through, and are about to have forced upon us by the Obama Administration, is the Trans-pacific Partnership (TPP). It will be followed by the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership.(TTIP). This will be done with help from the traitorous Republican leadership, along with the rank and file members.

These two so-called “free trade” proposals are being pushed, supposedly, or ostensibly, as a cure for our economic woes. The beginning of this insanity initially began with another “free trade” fiasco by the name of NAFTA. It too was also contrived. NAFTA was supposed to bring us an unimaginable amount of prosperity, but remember what happened – it was just the opposite, wasn't it? Our jobs are gone, manufacturing is gone, and the trade deficit is huge.

The result was the beginning of an economic crises. So, now, since we have this crises, with people being out of work, then something has to be done. Everyone can see that. What is their Hegelian Dialectic answer is to this mess, is that we must bring forth another “free trade” agreement to get us out of this crises. However, they're selling this pig in a poke by stating that it must be much stronger than the one before that resulted in us being in this fix. The previous one, NAFTA, GATT, etc., was just too weak.

I'm being satirical here – I hope you can see that, yet it's true!

Congress has been sucked into supporting this so-called “free trade” scheme. How? By all the hype about how it's going to

boost our economy, including the fear tactic that is being spread by the Obama Administration, along with practically all the Republican leadership.

The way it plays out is as follows: The New York Times reported that "U.S. Allies see the Trans-Pacific Partnership as a check on China." What hogwash!

Congressional aides have claimed that the TPP would stop Chinese economic aggression in the Pacific. And, neoconservative groups, i.e., Neo-Cons – Trotskyites, are promoting the same idea.

This is a sample of what has resulted in the buildup to promote the passage of the TPP among conservatives in the U.S. Congress and, seemingly, throughout most of the American business community.

It's all about trade, they say. Nothing is ever said about the loss of sovereignty. This, of course, tells me they are well aware that it (LOSS OF SOVEREIGNTY) will happen. Since they never mention anything about this, that means it is purposely being omitted from the dialog, and they don't want it being discussed.

This, of course, reveals that the promoters of, and subscribers to, this scheme are in collusion with the forces which have as its/their goals, the complete destruction of all fundamental American principles. This, of course, coincides with what Obama has declared as his goal, "TO FUNDAMENTALLY TRANSFORM AMERICA." However, he may claim it as his goal, but the agenda for it was being followed before he came along, or was even heard of. He only had the baton handed to him to possibly bring it to fruition.

Even though it is being touted as a means to deter China from its economic aggression, according to what I have seen in my limited research, the plan is to bring China in later. So it's just a scare tactic to suck conservatives in who don't know

anything, and haven't the desire to learn or research.

In addition to the so-called "free trade" agenda which is being pushed by the Obama Administration, and supported by the Republican leadership including all the contenders for the Republican nomination with exception of Trump, we now have this refugee crises which is a threat to our domestic security.

There is no doubt in my mind that it too has been contrived.

It plays into Obama's goal of "Fundamentally Transforming America." This is what he said he was going to do, and everything he has done, or proposed, has been toward that goal.

Consider this: If the "free trade" fiasco becomes law, it will practically put an end to our sovereignty as an independent nation. We will be nearly all the way into the European Union which will put us about one step away from Total World Government. This will practically destroy all freedom from the earth.

Now, if that isn't enough, just think about all these illegals, plus the untold thousands of refugees, which we know will be saturated with future terrorists, to be turned loose on the people at some future date. And couple that with the possibility that this president and these Congress criminals all have the desire to totally disarm us, resulting in our inability to protect our lives and property.

Next in the drama is, if our local law enforcement is being controlled by the Federal Government, which has been in the plans for some time now – then all our foundations for protection of Life, liberty, and property will be no more. This brings to mind a quotation from the Bible, "IF THE FOUNDATIONS BE DESTROYED – WHAT WILL THE RIGHTEOUS DO? Moreover, what will any of us do – righteous or not?"

All of this fits in with the Left's' Modus Operandi (Method of Operation), which they advance through a series of crises. If one exists, they use it to bring about change; if one doesn't exist, then they create one. Then, through their control of the media they denounce it; while at the same time they ostensibly, or supposedly, appear to be with the people. When the people get stirred up enough to begin clamoring for a solution to the crises, then those who started it will come forth with the solution.

That very solution was what they had in mind at the beginning which was calculated to bring about more change, but always in the direction desired by the left. This always leads to a subsequent crisis, and the process starts all over again.

Call your Congressman, and Senators now; tell them to oppose all so-called "free trade" legislation as well as any support for the refugees being resettled here. Also, tell them to oppose any attempts to control the internet, for in order for us to work against all this, we need the internet. I know we've called before and many believe it does no good, but if enough of us raise Cain and close down their rotten phone lines, then perhaps we can at least stop some of this for the time being. C'mon America, we are supposed to be land of the free, home of the brave. It's about damned time we get up and show them that's what we want and what we're willing to fight for!

© 2016 J.W. Bryan – All Rights Reserved

---

**We begin a new year –**

# consumed

What consumes you? Stay with me and think about what I'm asking. Where is your focus? What are your goals? What drives you? Each person would probably have a different answer depending on their personal circumstances or concerns. Thoughts and feelings can consume us, either positively or negatively, depending on how we feel at any given moment. There are many of us who are consumed with worry and stress. The economy, the ever-growing threat of terrorism, the decline of family and relationships, the upcoming elections...I could go on and on but you get my point; we are a people filled with anxiety.

There are many of us who are consumed with anger and unforgiveness. We read headlines designed to inflame us; we watch cable news shows designed to inflame us; and we listen to speeches by political pundits which are designed to inflame us. We are obsessed with a burning indignation for anyone who disagrees or who believes differently than we do.

There are many of us who are consumed with fear. We fear being labeled-whether it be politically incorrect, intolerant, bigoted, or an extremist if we take any type of stand for ourselves or our beliefs. We watch as the Middle East implodes (or explodes, depending upon your point of view) and we are fearful that the fate that so many have suffered at the hand of evil awaits us. We fear that our country will never recover from the slippery slope of sin and debauchery lovingly embraced by so many. We cry out as we watch our cultural and traditional mores pushed headfirst into an abyss of total darkness with seemingly no point of return.

There are many of us consumed with depression. We feel hopeless and helpless in the face of our worries, anger, and fear. We have gradually descended into a black pit of desperation searching frantically for some type of relief

while praying for a glimmer of light. Or, we knowingly accept the deception that there is nothing we can do to change the course of events or circumstances that we find ourselves in—either individually or collectively as a nation.

I am telling you now that there is hope. Fear and anxiety stems from uncertainty.

I want to share with you my most recent “AHA!” moment that shook me to my core. I was praying. For me. My concerns, my anxieties, my fears, it was all about me. My prayers could not have been more self-centered. In the midst of my selfishness, I had this thought, “How dare I?”.

How dare I worry about what the future holds— much less tomorrow? Want to talk about an uncertain future? There are people throughout this world who are daily persecuted and martyred for their faith. These are people with the same feelings and emotions we are all given who have never backed down from their faith in the promise of eternal life with Jesus Christ. Do you not think that they must live every single day with the uncertainty of their tomorrows? Will the new morning bring torture, captivity, or an agonizing death? Yet, they persevere in their faith because of their hope in Jesus Christ. Christians in Syria are called “people of the grass” because that’s all they can find to eat. When is the last time you ate grass? Do you have a bed to rest upon, a home to call your own? Think of the nightmarish reality that so many families must face as they flee the only home they have ever known or risk slavery and certain death for refusing to renounce their faith.

You may say it’s coming but, as of today, no one has held a knife to my throat and told me to convert or die. Thank you, Jesus.

Don’t get me wrong. We are told in 1 Peter 5:7 to “cast all your anxiety upon Him, because He cares for you”. Please go to

your Heavenly Father who loves you and who has promised that He will never leave you or forsake you. Give Him your fears and worries. Be aware... there will be times when we will find ourselves snatching our cares out of His hands just as quickly as they were released to Him (usually takes me about five minutes!). Give them back!

Today, I want to challenge you as I challenge myself to trust God fully in all things. Let's learn to examine our anxieties, our feelings of futility, and our fears in the light of God's Word. If we are going to be consumed, let's be consumed by "...a hope that does not disappoint". Let's be consumed by "the peace of God, which passeth all understanding". Let's be consumed by Him, the one and only great "I Am".

© 2016 Lydia Goodman – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Right, left, middle – when it comes to politicians and money- most are dirty**

Anyone who knows me knows I cannot stand Hillary Clinton. Nothing personal – it's not because she is a Democrat, white or a woman, but because she is a liar, cheat and manipulator and I could go on and on and on.

But in my pay to playbook, right is right and wrong is wrong and when you have super PAC big boys throwing money around at Presidential candidates especially if you have done your research, you really have to wonder.

I also have written in my playbook that I am sick to death of

POLITICIANS along with the LOBBYISTS running this country, deliberately ignoring the wishes of the American people and the Constitution. I never can forget George Washington's "Farewell Address", (he was such a smart man) where he leaves a legacy of great opinions and paths to take and not take in leading this country. The sad thing is today, if we follow our elected officials and their paths, the whole country would be nothing but liars, cheats and deceivers, not just those elected to office.

Washington warned that "political parties" would be the ruination of the country because they in fact pit one faction of the country against the other putting in place a divide that might never be fixed. This divide would be fueled by the "egos of men" (women were not in government then) and the "divide" would be mostly with the "people" not the elected/politicians. Washington was so very right!

The Left has been doing some pretty hard hitting against Trump, but then so has the Right. Are they afraid of him because he isn't a "normal" politician, isn't afraid to "tell it like it is", he can't be bought and doesn't need their money? Probably all of those things and more. What I know for sure is unlike our elected, Trump's life has been an open book most of his life – but this is not a campaign piece for Trump, but to show how deceptive and deceitful our politicians are today. Even Louie Gohmert has let America down.

The [Right is throwing some very big money around](#) supporting candidates that are either not eligible or not fit to sit in the White House chair any more than Obama or Hillary. They are also feeding a PAC to try and keep Hillary out and it is how they play the game that is so amazing.

I am so happy there are groups out there of investigative journalists who not only cannot be bought, but are not afraid to tell the truth. The Center for Public Integrity is one of those groups. They just broke the story about super Pac's



Future45 and her sister nonprofit 45Committee who are “focused on holding Secretary Clinton accountable by making certain that the American public has the full breadth of information on Secretary Clinton’s failures.”

Actually I think the American people are pretty up on just who and what Hillary is especially those who follow the truth. Most of her supporters just happen to be Democrats and they have to support the party no matter what or they are women who believe it is time we had a woman president no matter what kind of a person she is. Her record certainly speaks for itself. But then “what difference does it make”?

Benghazi is enough to curl the hair on the back of any parent’s neck especially if their son or daughter is on active duty. It comes down to more than just the lack of accepting responsibility for your actions. What difference does it make? We are putting our military in Leavenworth for carrying out actions of war and if it is your loved one – one hell of a lot of difference.

So lady why not just stand up and admit you, Obama and military factions had set up this gun running gig and it went bad? Why is it you seem to always find a greater self-satisfaction in lying and deception? Those Americans out there supporting Hillary and Obama don’t you understand it might be your son or daughter next time?

In today’s news media there is nothing that gets hidden for an eternity and this idea the government has the right to keep the truth from the American people for “National Security” reasons is a big bunch of bunk. When politicians or the CIA, FBI or any other federal agency screams “National Security” they are covering their own butts – they are not protecting the American people or America.

Which brings to mind something Americans seem to forget and that is “[Extortion 17](#)”. We lost 30 Americans (Navy Seals, Army

& AF) who were jammed into an old Chinook helicopter only to be betrayed and shot down by a rocket-propelled grenade on August 6, 2011 in Tagi Valley, Wardak Province, Afghanistan. Parents, legal minds and military officials all agree “something stinks in Denmark” with this one and everything from beginning to end has been classified as “National Security” especially to the parents.

They know there was a leak to the Taliban and that the helicopter’s landing zone was not properly vetted for threats nor protected by gunships, while commanders criticized the mission as too rushed. Too rushed? And as if this wasn’t enough for the families to bear:

- *You retrieve their bodies and send them home in SEALED coffins to their parents not knowing if the coffin supposedly holding their son is theirs or not*
- *The PRESIDENT of the U.S. HIRES a Muslim IMAM to officiate at their service and he “damns” these dead Americans in front of the world*
- *Biden had already betrayed the Seals involved by telling the world it was them that killed Bin Laden*
- *But how could they have killed Bin Laden when he died in December, 2001 from kidney failure in New York City?*
- *Was the original Bin Laden deal nothing more than to make Obama look good before his re-election and Extortion 17 to make sure they never leaked the truth?*

We are talking about our government leaders here! Just more of the same on any given day!

Back to the Republican Party and their money! Long time republicans know the party is not now what it used to be. They only difference between the R and D party’s is their name and symbol. They stand in front of the cameras arguing different sides of an issue and then go out and have dinner together or make a trip to Europe or the Mid-East paid for by Turkey’s Fethullah Gulen or the Koch Brothers or any other given lobbyist group.

Our best example of the coziness between the two sides is George H.W. Bush and Bill Clinton. I wonder if the Bush boys get jealous when Clinton tells the press G.H.W. is like a father to him or if they embrace him as a brother in crime.

They play both sides of the road. Koch Brothers make me ill, throwing their money at candidates like water and then one sits on the Board of the Aspen Institute – the George Soros group and then tries to tell the world they are conservative and the good guys. Some of their actions are pretty dirty to include polluting the St. John's River in Florida for the past 10+ years from their Georgia-Pacific mill toxic waste. Once again the politician's gave them the permission to be able to do this, but they all knew what they were doing.

Koch Brothers are one of the newest to infiltrate our children's education system with whatever their agenda is – who knows? It only takes money these days!

The games they play leave an average person with their head spinning most of the time. Take the \$500,000 [Ted Cruz's PAC](#) "Keep the Promise 1" which gave to Carly Fiorina's PAC "Carly for America" back in June when Carly was still not allowed to debate with the big boys. And it is unheard of for one candidate running against another to donate to their opponent's campaign. We aren't talking "chunk change" here – we are talking about "a half a million \$".

But then when it comes to Cruz although he always seems to say the right things at the right time, he is a George W. Bush boy (his mentor) just like Jeb Bush has been Rubio's mentor since he first got his feet wet.

Cruz has the same way of not answering important questions just like Rubio. Cruz has shown me he also has a deceitful side because he is NOT an American citizen and is displaying exactly what our founders were afraid would happen if a president was not a "natural born citizen". In fact what

country is Cruz a citizen of?

“Conservatives”, especially Republicans have spent the last 7 years complaining about how the “deceiver” Obama got into office and he is not a citizen of this country. But what is the difference between Obama and Cruz – party – color – religion?

[Cruz](#) has known he was still walking around this country as a Canadian citizen and it wasn't until 2012 when he was running for the U.S. Senate the Dallas Dailey News broke the story and in 2013 Cruz dug out his Canadian birth certificate to show the world. What was that if not a snub to the American people? Where was his American birth certificate?

If he has a U.S. certificate he has never produced it because he can't. He only just gave up his Canadian citizenship in June, 2014 so now he isn't a citizen **anywhere**. No one has been able to find nor has Cruz shown that he has even filed for naturalization.

Look at it this way – Cruz by the Constitution is not even eligible to be a U.S. Senator and could have prior to 2014, if he had chosen to, at the same time voted in Canada and ran for the Parliament.

The whole reason the president must be a Natural Born Citizen is because our framers had a history full of foreign kings imposing foreign law and foreign favor upon the people and they knew how dangerous foreign influence was to Liberty. George Washington spent a great bit of effort trying to drive this understanding home in his [Farewell Address of 1796](#):

“Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence (I conjure you to believe me, fellow-citizens) the jealousy of a free people ought to be constantly awake, since history and experience prove that foreign influence is one of the most baneful foes of republican government.”

A person who is born of just one parent who is a citizen of the United States is a citizen by birth, but not a **Natural Born Citizen**. Someone cannot hold or have held dual citizenship with a foreign country and be a Natural Born Citizen. The fact that we are confused by this qualification or perhaps even wish to alter this qualification shows a lack of education or the willingness to follow along in the deceit.

Now is the time to CLEAN our country up – not ADD to the TRASH.

Take [Rubio](#) – he fits right in with Cruz since his parents did come to this country in May, 1956 and Rubio was born in 1971, but Rubio's parents applied for "naturalization papers" on 9/9/75 **over 19 years** AFTER having arrived on our shores.

Rubio claims he is a citizen because the city of Miami had declared the Rubio's were **residents** of the city and they had signed a document for permanent residence. Rubio likes to say "I am and have been a bona fide **resident of the state of Florida** since the 27th day of May, 1956."

Maybe the Florida sun has scorched Rubio's brain but all of that was 15 years before he was born and you cannot be a citizen of anything before even conception. There is a difference America to his father being a RESIDENT of Florida, a NATURALIZED AMERICAN or NATIVE born.

Look at Rubio's big donor's – he is not being called the "Million \$\$ Boy" for nothing! One of his long time donors is the "Sugar Daddy" [Fanjul family](#) which controls one of the world's biggest sugar empires. They are well known for having perfected the neat trick of using US government subsidies to bribe the US government. Known as the "[First Family of Corporate Welfare](#)" they are almost single handedly destroying the Florida Everglades. But if you have money and love Jeb you are going to love Rubio.

Look at these candidates – all of their voting records. That

and who they take money from should be of the utmost importance to you. Jeb Bush is NOT – I repeat NOT the best governor Florida has ever had as he wants you to believe. Enough said – [read!](#)

As Americans it is time we work our darnedest to undo all the damage these elected people have done to our country over the years. It is our responsibility to take control of our country and not give it over to gun bashers, terrorists, foreigners or anyone else with evil intentions. The politicians are never going to impose term limits on themselves so it is up to us to follow their voting records, who is funding them and hold them accountable for any deceitful actions or corruption. Votes on legislation counts too – did your congressman or senator vote for the EVIL ESEA “Every Student Succeeds Act”? Did you take the time to voice your opinion regarding that legislation, TPA, TPP or the Iran Deal?



Saying “Oh they don’t pay attention to what I think is a cop out – they do keep track and they will tell you “I haven’t had enough calls or e-mails for or against any given bill”. Use the power of your voice along with your hand at the ballot box.

AMERICA has always been supposed to be the “land of the people” not those who want to rule it.

Oh and the colors: **Green** for the money the politicians can be bought with and **Yellow** because they have no backbone to stand up against the corruption

NOTE: Rep. Ted Yoho (R-FL) says it is NOT too late to present a “letter of IMPEACHMENT”. Call his office 1-202-225-5744 and also thank him

---

# Coming to America: one invader every 27 seconds, unless you wake-up

Over the years we have been reporting on illegal immigration.

We have shown you that Agenda 21/2030 calls for NO Borders.

It requires and demands that the UN be the end all and be all for its One World Governance. All policies must comply with the UN. One just has to look at the actions of Obama and Bush to see where their orders are coming from. They come from unelected boards and regional councils created right out the UN play book. The ultimate goal is to strip America of its wealth and natural resources mainly by the use of "Trade Agreements", to make the US Constitution subservient to the UN Charter, to eliminate private property in the name of fairness and to divert America's economy to third world countries in the name of eliminating hunger and poverty.

In the last 70 years since its inception, the UN has been the recipient of Trillions from the US. Yet we still have disease, hunger and poverty in third world countries. No one ever asks WHY? Perhaps if the UN communists and the variety of dictators – the real recipient of the trillions were not stealing their people would have money. How do I know? Again, I will ask – Where are the Billions that Americans donated for the Haitian people due to the earthquake? I know it was given to the Bush / Clinton coalition; but somehow it never got to the Haitian people. It is time to stop the money train like those "just donate \$19.95 for starving children in Africa." commercials. Wonder why after 40 years of donations we see the same

commercials over and over? Just look at the salaries and overhead of those organizations. They want your money.

Illegal immigration, refugees, open borders are just code for MONEY, POWER CONTROL. How do I know? They tell us. We just don't listen, we don't believe they mean what they say. This is a breakdown of one of the groups bleeding the America people. The International Resettlement Committee, headed by David Miliband, currently paying many religious charities to accept refugees while Americans can not put food on their tables and veterans sleep in tents and cars.

Wait...that David Miliband is not THE David Miliband, failed Labour Party leader in England? Tell me NO, it's not possible:

It is possible and now David Miliband has a new gig, the Refugee Resettlement Head of the UN. How much does he make for working 37.5 hours a week?

Aside from his bloated salary what did he just say: Resettlement contractor David Miliband admits your anger over Syrian refugees is a threat to "global governance". Finally the truth.

What does David want? Sustainable developments so people can be managed and controlled. Who will head this Global Governance? Why the UN of course.

David's, International Rescue Committee (IRC) is really a lobby group that "awards" good officials for doing their bidding. George Soros and John McCain are some of the recipients. IRC also lobbied the Gang of Eight – this includes Marco Rubio. [Link]

Just to make things easier, the IRC has an office in DC.

Why are Americans ignorant of the theft by the UN NGO's? The Media and School.

The media who refuses to report anything they are not told to



report  
and

Worst of all: the schools who now teach the government is the answer. Americans are now taught: Do not resist. Do not question the government. You must comply. You must be PC.

So following Cloward and Piven: In order to destroy capitalism overload the system, Americans sit silent while hundreds of thousands of illegals and refugees who will not assimilate, take their money, resources and freedom.

Or have you stopped being silent? Do you finally realize that if we lose our FREEDOM, Americans will join the rest of the third world in poverty.

Illegal immigration and refugee programs are not about helping anyone. IT IS ABOUT DESTROYING THE WEST. DESTROYING AMERICA. while creating a global regime who will dominate the world. Money, Power, Control

Will you sit and watch or will you learn and act.

Sweden got the message

Switzerland got the message: The military General leader told the people, buy more guns to protect yourself.

The UK, Czech President and French PM all said this is not about refugees, this is an invasion.

What happens in America:

The Regime spends billions restricting Americans while the border is open letting invaders in.

The Regime wants gun control of Americans making protecting yourself harder, while the border is open letting invaders in.

The Regime wants background checks, already ruled as unconstitutional while the borders are open letting invaders

in. [Link]

Americans are called terrorists while protecting their land from an unconstitutional BLM while the border remains open letting invaders in.

The Regime focuses on the climate diversion while the borders are open letting invaders in. [Link]

Americans are called terrorists while protecting their land from an unconstitutional BLM who got the land with an unconstitutional Executive Order while the border remains open letting invaders in.

In the end, Americans will pay with their lives, money and country while the border remains open letting invaders in.

What can you do?

Talk to friends and neighbors

Go to town meetings and demand your legislators take a stand.

Meet with your sheriff. Ask: Who will you protect?

Only support a candidate who will CLOSE THE BORDER and protect Americans not the invaders.

Forward this to your family, friends, students, facebook, twitter and YOUR LEGISLATOR and candidates.

Join us: [centerforimpeachment.com](http://centerforimpeachment.com), [cacfl.us](http://cacfl.us)

© 2016 Karen Schoen – All Rights Reserved

---

# California's monarch butterfly path to extinction: round-up

In 2015, I bicycled down the West Coast of California as a part of one of the world's epic cycling journeys from Canada to Mexico.

Every curve on Route 101 featured endless rock islands anywhere from 50 to 200 yards out into the Pacific Ocean. Some big, some small! The road carved over the terrain like a giant Anaconda with no end in sight. Each time the highway neared the ocean; I enjoyed those multifaceted islands featuring an array of seabirds perched on them whose waste turned the rocks white. Some birds flew in groups barely skimming the ocean. Others stood on the beaches alone or in bunches. Whales flapped their tails beyond the raging surf. Always, a sense of life thriving with life! Endless waves crashed over the rocks creating spectacular liquid fury. Chameleon skies painted a changing landscape against the Pacific's blue waters. With all the dramatic scenes before me, the journey remained enthralling, fascinating, gripping and visually spellbinding.

But when I arrived at Pismo Beach, California—a place I cycled through in 1995 to see countless trees dragging their branches onto the ground from billions of Monarch butterflies hanging on for their hibernation—I witnessed 1/10th the number of butterflies hanging from the trees.

According to Science: State of the Universe, January 29, 2015, Monsanto's Roundup weed killer destroyed so much of the butterfly's food base—milkweed—that it caused an avalanche of decline. Monarchs declined by 90 percent in the past 20 years.

Ironically, while I spent the night in a yard across from a little league baseball park in Crescent City, California. In

the morning, I watched a man spray Roundup for an hour all over the park. Every 'weed' suffered a huge dose of spray. When I confronted him about it by asking him, "What weed killer are you using?"

He responded, "Roundup."

I said, "Are you aware that 90 percent of Monarch butterflies have died off because of Roundup?"

He got angry, "What do you want me to do, burn the weeds off this field with gasoline?"

I replied, "Why not have your teams come a little early and pick all the weeds by hand. It's their park and their lives. Show them how to be ecologically responsible."

"Well," he grumbled. "I'll see what I can do."

Not until I reached Pismo Beach did I realize the horror Roundup created. Which brings me to this question: how will any creatures of the insect world survive with California's chemical onslaught by 38 million residents who spray their lawns, parks and gardens with Roundup? What happens when California adds somewhere between 10 and 20 million more people—all of the spraying Monsanto's Roundup?

At some point, we must ask our selves if we value the Natural World enough to take a stand by outlawing the use of chemicals.

Additionally, we must make good choices to force farmers to stop poisoning the planet with chemicals. You may accomplish that goal by using your buying dollars. You may choose organically grown foods. You can avoid genetically modified foods by demanding labeling. [www.JustLabelIt.org](http://www.JustLabelIt.org)

In 2015, in Boulder, Colorado, the city council outlawed the sale and use of Roundup and other herbicides in the confines of the city limits. The State of Vermont voted to label all

GMOs.

You may accomplish the same victories by your actions at the community level. You choose with your dollars and your votes. The worst decision you can make: to think that you can do so little that you do nothing at all.

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Burns, Oregon, is not Bundy Ranch**

Let me be clear: the situation in Oregon does not remotely compare to the events that took place at the Bundy Ranch in Nevada. In Nevada, the federal Bureau of Land Management (BLM) was the aggressor, which included a very real threat of violence against the Bundy family. The Bundy family appealed to their neighbors and friends for help. And help rightly arrived. The legal nuances of the Bundy situation notwithstanding, BLM gave the appearance of preparing another Waco incident that just could not be tolerated. Over 80 innocent Americans, including elderly men and women and small children, were murdered by our federal government during that unconscionable raid. There must NEVER be another Waco in this country.

The decision of Ammon Bundy (Cliven Bundy's son)—and the men who are with him—to mount an armed takeover of the remote, empty Malheur National Wildlife Refuge building in Harney County outside Burns, Oregon, is unwise, careless, and downright foolish. There is no just cause for such action.

Previous to the move to take over the federal building, a

peaceful protest in support of the Hammond family had taken place in Burns. This protest was commendable and well-conducted. Hundreds of local residents took part in that peaceful protest. The local community of Burns was very sympathetic to the plight of the Hammonds and rightly angered by the federal government's treatment of them.

Dwight Hammond, Jr. and his son Steven had been arrested, tried, and convicted of arson for the burning of federal land that adjoins Hammond land. The Hammonds say they were burning their land for agricultural purposes and the fire inadvertently spread to federal land. The feds say the Hammonds burned the land to cover up poaching. The two men were found guilty by a jury and sentenced to five years in prison. A district court judge found the sentences to be excessive (and therefore unconstitutional) and sentenced the men to less time; but the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals overruled the lesser sentence and the five year prison term was reinstated.

Even if the prosecutor's version of the story is true, a five-year prison sentence for such a crime is overkill beyond description—the Ninth Circuit decision notwithstanding. There are thousands of people who have been convicted of various forms of manslaughter who have not served that many years in prison. People in Burns are justified in being angry at the sentence handed to their friends, the Hammonds.

But the truth is, the conflict between the federal government and ranchers, farmers, and miners in the western states has been ongoing in earnest since at least the 1970s. And in this writer's opinion, the people of the western states are completely justified in being angry at the way the federal government continues to encroach upon the liberties and properties of the people of these states. In truth, it is long past due that the governors and State legislatures of these states grow some man stuff and start reclaiming so-called federal land. And while they are doing that, they should tell

the BLM to go back to Washington, D.C.—or go to hades for that matter—and get their hind ends out of their states. If State governments and county sheriffs in the West would do what is right—and would start protecting the liberties and properties of the citizens within their states from these federal abuses—most, if not all, of these conflicts would go away.

So, the peaceful protest in Burns was certainly justified. And as a result, the momentum for reclamation of State sovereignty and individual liberty was further enhanced. People all over America—especially in the West—are growing increasingly impatient with overbearing, bullying federal agencies such as BLM.

But immediately following the successful protest, Ammon Bundy and several other men decided to take aggressive action and mounted an armed takeover of the remote federal facility about fifty miles south of Burns, which was empty for the holidays. By taking this action, these men gave up the moral high ground and, in essence, snatched defeat from the jaws of victory.

In the first place, the Hammond family publicly repudiated the actions of these men and chose to give themselves up to officials to serve out the sentence that had been handed them. There are great disagreements about whether the Hammonds' motives in burning the land were innocent or malicious. And, as noted, there is room for much debate regarding if the crime (if it was a crime) truly warranted the sentence they received. Regardless, the Hammonds chose to accept their sentence and reject any attempt (especially one involving a show of force) to interfere. This fact alone settles the matter.

Citizens coming together to peacefully protest a perceived injustice is as American as mom and apple pie. But a group of citizens acting as a mob and, with a show of force, taking over a public (or private) facility when there is no threat to life is just plain wrong—anger with BLM notwithstanding. As my

mother often told me, "Two wrongs do not make a right." Indeed.

Some have tried to compare the takeover of the federal buildings near Burns to Lexington and Concord. But the comparison just doesn't exist.

Our colonial forebears endured "a long train of abuses" (Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence) for decades. Even the Boston Massacre in 1770 did not trigger an armed response from the colonists. The battles of Lexington and Concord took place when British troops marched on the Massachusetts villages in an attempt at mass gun confiscation. There is nothing of the sort going on in Burns, Oregon.

Neither was there a threat of violence against innocent men, women, and children at Burns as was the case at Bundy Ranch. In truth, these men in Oregon are acting as aggressors, not as defenders. Bundyville was a justified act of self-defense; Burns, Oregon, is not.

I was at Bundy Ranch. I publicly supported the efforts of the men who went to Nevada in the defense of the Bundy family in this column, from the platform of Liberty Fellowship, and in numerous interviews with the media. I even had the honor of bringing a Bible sermon to the brave men at Bundyville—which also included Nevada public officials, by the way. In that address, I strongly cautioned all of them to make sure that our actions were always pure and right in eyes of just law—and especially in the eyes of a Just and Holy God. I invite readers to watch the video of my address at Bundy Ranch here.

Make no mistake about it: if our federal government (or any other government) attempts to confiscate our firearms as did British troops in 1775, a Natural state of war against the American people will have been declared at that moment, and I will be at the front of the line in calling for armed resistance. Burns, Oregon, is not remotely close to that.



There is absolutely NO COMPARISON between the current situation in Oregon and Lexington and Concord.

In the next place, I personally believe that government agent provocateurs infiltrated and agitated these men into taking this action, thereby giving the federal government the excuse it needs to justify Obama's Executive Order enacting stricter rules on gun purchases. In my opinion, both of these events happening during the exact same week is NOT a coincidence.

I am very familiar with people who are on the ground in Oregon, and I can tell you that at least two of the men involved in the armed takeover of the federal facility near Burns were also agitators and provocateurs at Bundy Ranch. Fortunately, at Bundyville, those men were plainly instructed to leave the area before they were able to inflict any significant damage. Although, I can tell you that it was only due to the cool heads and calm spirits of the good men at Bundy Ranch that kept those agitators from potential violence and resultant loss of life. Unfortunately, those same men are now in Oregon. If these men are not government provocateurs, they are certainly helping the government with a lot of free work.

Whether my supposition is true or not, it doesn't justify the individual decisions of Ammon Bundy and his followers to act in this manner. If I could talk to them, I would encourage them in the strongest terms possible to peacefully walk away from this situation. All this does is fuel the anti-gun hysteria of already hysterical anti-gun zealots in and out of Washington, D.C., and also serves to allow the anti-gun media to further demonize proponents of the Second Amendment and constitutionally-ordained militia.

By taking the action they did, Ammon Bundy and the others are helping to reverse the pro-freedom, pro-Second Amendment momentum and to provide an excuse for gun-grabbers like Barack Obama and Nancy Pelosi to justify more anti-gun legislation.

In other words, Ammon and his followers are actually assisting the very people they claim to be resisting.

Speaking of Obama's gun grab, we can all thank House Speaker Paul Ryan and his fellow Republicans such as Montana congressman Ryan Zinke for Obama's Executive Order further restricting the purchase of firearms. It was Ryan's \$1.1 trillion Omnibus bill that fully funded Obama's executive decision.

See this report here.

Furthermore, while bemoaning the President's decision, Republican House members have said absolutely NOTHING about defunding Obama's Executive Order, which is in their power to do, and which would completely take away the means for the executive branch to enforce the order.

See this report here.

There is no justification for what Ammon Bundy and his followers have done in Burns, Oregon—all other factors notwithstanding.

At the same time, our federal government needs to be careful not to overreact to this situation by resorting to a Waco-style assault against these men. People all over America are growing weary of their own "train of abuses" from Washington, D.C. They will not sit still for another Waco. These men are isolated in a remote wilderness area and pose no risk to innocent life. Hopefully, federal officials will use patience and restraint and allow this situation to defuse peacefully. Better yet, the Feds should completely stay out of the situation and let the sheriff of Harney County handle it. I do not trust this administration any more than we could trust the administrations of George H.W. Bush and Bill Clinton who authorized the raids at Ruby Ridge, Idaho, and Waco, Texas. I ask all readers of this column to join together in prayer for divine intervention and a peaceful, non-violent resolution of

this matter.

P.S. To help people understand the importance of Natural and divine law relative to these crucial issues, I have a DVD containing four messages on the subject. The titles of these messages are:

“Biblical Evidence For Natural Law”

“Christ’s Law Of The Sword”

“The Law Of Necessity”

“Liberty In Law”

In light of the fact that so many freedom-minded patriots seem unable to understand the difference between Bundy Ranch and Burns, Oregon—and given the volatile nature of the times in which we live—it is absolutely critical that we understand the difference between just and unjust resistance. The fact that the vast majority of our pastors no longer teach these Biblical principles contributes mightily to the ignorance now rampant among us.

If we do not have the blessing of Heaven upon our attitudes and actions, there will be no positive result—no matter how good our intentions might be. I believe the events in Oregon demand that people familiarize themselves with these immutable principles.

Order the four-message DVD entitled “Liberty And Law”.

© 2016 Chuck Baldwin – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Beware of Abu Chris Christie**

*For such men are false apostles, deceitful workmen, disguising themselves as apostles of Christ. And no wonder, for even*

*Satan disguises himself as an angel of light. So it is no surprise if his servants, also, disguise themselves as servants of righteousness. Their end will correspond to their deeds.* II – Corinthians 11:13-15

*Everyone has a right to be stupid. Politicians just abuse the privilege.* – Anonymous

**Christopher James “Chris” Christie** (born September 6, 1962) is a member of the [Republican Party](#) who has served as the [55th Governor of New Jersey](#) since January 2010. He is a moderate leftist Republican, not a Constitutional conservative. Christie earned a [J.D.](#) at [Seton Hall University School of Law](#). He is married to Mary Pat Foster, who is currently a managing director at the Wall Street investment firm [Angelo, Gordon & Co.](#)

Governor Christie has not yet declared his candidacy for President in 2016, but said he would announce a decision by late May or early June.

So, let’s take a look at the New Jersey governor’s stance on issues. Is he really a conservative, or is he a closet democrat?

#### Fort Lee Lane Closure Scandal (Bridgewater)

The Fort Lee lane closure scandal is a U.S. political scandal in which a staff member and political appointees of Governor Christie collaborated to create traffic jams in [Fort Lee, New Jersey](#) by closing lanes at the [toll plaza](#) to the [George Washington Bridge](#). Local officials, emergency services, and the public were not notified of the lane closures, which Fort Lee declared a threat to public safety. The resulting back-ups and gridlock on local streets only ended when the two lanes were reopened on Friday, September 13, 2013 by an order from [Port Authority](#) Executive Director, [Patrick Foye](#) (D). He said that the “hasty and ill-informed decision” could have

endangered lives and violated federal and state laws.

The incident was investigated from a few possible motives. The prevailing theory is that the lane closures were retribution against Fort Lee's Mayor [Mark Sokolich](#) (D) for not endorsing Christie in the [2013 gubernatorial election](#). [[Link](#)]

The former Port Authority official who personally oversaw the lane closings at the George Washington Bridge, central to the scandal which swirled around Governor Christie, said that "evidence exists" that the governor knew about the closings when they were happening.

A lawyer for the former official, David Wildstein, wrote a letter describing the move to shut the lanes as "the Christie administration's order" and said "evidence exists as well tying Mr. Christie to having knowledge of the lane closures, during the period when the lanes were closed, contrary to what the governor stated publicly in a two-hour press conference" after the scandal broke. [[Link](#)]

Christie claimed he knew nothing about the lane closures, and didn't authorize them. He ordered an internal probe be conducted by law firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. The firm cleared Christie of wrongdoing in their report. The report was criticized for not being able to interview key participants and for reading like a legal brief for Christie's defense. Investigations of the affair by [United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey](#) and the [New Jersey Legislature](#) are ongoing.


### **Beware of Abu Christie**

Christie's Bridgegate is nothing however, compared to his cultivation of relationships with Islamists who have connections to known terrorist groups. The governor has a problem, a very big problem, specifically an Islam problem, and it should well keep him from attaining any higher office. Why? Because he consistently sides with Islamist forces

against those who worry about safeguarding American security and civilization. Let's take a look at those allegiances. New Jersey has the second largest Muslim population of any state, after Michigan, so is it any wonder Christie cultivates these friendships? [[Link](#)]

In 2008, when Christie was serving as US attorney for New Jersey, he [embraced](#) and kissed Mohammed Qatanani, imam of the Islamic Center of Passaic County (ICPC), and praised him as “*a man of great goodwill.*” He did this after Qatanani had [publicly ranted](#) against Jews and in support of funding Hamas, a U.S. government-designated terror organization, and on the eve of his deportation hearing for not hiding an Israeli conviction for membership in Hamas. And, in a June 2007 sermon at the ICPC, Imam Qatanani condemned Christians to “eternal hellfire.” He is an advocate of Islamic blasphemy laws that criminalize criticism of Islam. In addition, Christie designated a top aide, Assistant U.S. Attorney Charles McKenna, to testify as a character witness for Qatanani.

Investigative journalist, Daniel Greenfield, reports that, “despite the fact that [Mohammed Qatanani](#) was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood, the organization that is behind both al-Qaeda and Hamas, despite his own guilty plea [to being a member of Hamas](#), and despite the fact that even in the United States, [he had defended a charity](#) that provided funds to children of suicide bombers (this is done as an incentive to reassure terrorists that if they die their families will be taken care of), Qatanani was not deported.”

 Imam Mohammed Qatanani greets his supporters outside the Peter Rodino Federal Office Building in Newark last June after testifying in his deportation trial. Photo by Walter Ruby

To top it off, Governor Christie has derided anyone who perceives [shariah law](#) as a threat in the U.S. despite the fact that 23 states have already used shariah as a factor in their

deliberations. In 2009, a New Jersey judge referenced shariah when he refused a temporary restraining order for a divorced Muslim woman who had been raped and assaulted by her ex-husband, maintaining that Islamic doctrine requires wives to comply with all of their husband's sexual demands. Under current New Jersey law, non-consensual sex between married persons is considered rape. (Fortunately, the decision was overturned 13 months later).

**In 2010**, when New Jersey Transit employee, Derek Fenton, [burned three pages](#) of the Koran at a 9/11 ceremony, his employer got Christie's approval to fire him. Christie endorsed Fenton's termination saying, *"That kind of intolerance is something I think is unacceptable. So I don't have any problem with him being fired."* The American Civil Liberties Union [successfully represented](#) Fenton to get his job back.


In 2011, Christie appointed an Islamist, [Sohail Mohammed](#), to the New Jersey state superior court. Mohammed has a very jaded record. He serves as general counsel to the American Muslim Union, ([which has stated](#) that a "Zionist Commando Orchestrated The 9-11 Terrorist Attacks"). He was [defending](#) Palestinian Islamic Jihad operative Sami Al-Arian (his indictment, Mohammed said, was "nothing but a witch-hunt"), and helping Qatanani's legal defense. Mohammed established himself not just as the Islamists' lawyer, but as one of them.

Chris Christie was New Jersey's Attorney General during the investigation of the murder (and "neck slashing") of the [Armanious family, Coptic Christians massacred in Jersey City in 2005](#). A cover-up of the facts in this case is alleged.

That same year, Sohail Mohammed called for a "bias crime" investigation of the Coptic community for its anti-Muslim sentiment following the slaying of a Coptic family in Jersey City. As an American lawyer, no doubt familiar with the

Constitution and the First Amendment, Mohammed doubtless knew that his request constituted a threat to the Coptic community's freedom of speech by attempting to muffle their vocal suspicions of Muslim involvement in the crime.

When members of New Jersey's Senate Judiciary Committee asked Mohammed appropriately tough questions about his enthusiasm for Islam's archaic law code, Shariah, [Christie ridiculed](#) the lawmakers, *"Sharia law has nothing to do with this appointment of Mohammed at all. It's crazy. It's crazy. . . . So, this Sharia law business is crap. It's just crazy. And I'm tired of dealing with the crazies. I mean, you know, it's just unnecessary to be accusing this guy of things just because of his religious background."* For this outburst, unsurprisingly, the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) [thanked and applauded](#) Christie.

 Governor Chris Christie attends the Swearing-In Ceremony for Sohail Mohammed as New Jersey Superior Court Judge at the Passaic County Courthouse in Paterson, N.J. on Tuesday, July 26, 2011.

CAIR excoriated many of its critics in its 2013 'Islamophobia' report, but the group also had a ['Best List' of Muslim-sympathizers which included New Jersey Governor Chris Christie](#). Here is the [full report](#). Other inductees on the "best list" are Senator Dick Durbin (D-IL), Rais Bhulyan, CNN's Anderson Cooper, Rep. Loretta Sanchez (D-CA), Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D-TX), Rep. Yvette Clark (D-NY), Rep. Cedric Richmond (D-LA), Rep. Bennie Thompson (D-MS), Rep. Laura Richardson (D-CA), *Wired* magazine's Spencer Ackerman, and former Congressman Hansen Clarke (D-MI). Notice the rest are all Democrats.

In 2012, Governor Christie called for an investigation into the NYPD's counterterrorism procedures as he objected to their conducting surveillance of mosques and a Muslim student group known as a front for the Muslim Brotherhood, the **Muslim**



**Student Association (MSA).** In 2010, Christie publicly proclaimed support for the mosque at the graveyard of 9/11.

### **Senator Jeffrey Chiesa**

Christie appointed Attorney General Jeffrey Chiesa to the Senate following the 2013 death of [Senator Frank Lautenberg](#). Chiesa, served until October 30, 2013, a successor having been chosen in a special election; not a candidate for election to the unexpired portion of the term, has led the Christie Administration's outreach to Islamist radicals.

**Clarion Project** – In November, 2012, the [Clarion Project](#) broke the story that [four Islamists belong to Attorney General Chiesa's Muslim Outreach Committee](#). One member, Imam Mohammad Qatanani, has a long and close relationship with New Jersey Governor Christie.



*Imam Mohammad Qatanani, who deportation is sought by the DHS for not disclosing on his green card application that he was arrested and convicted by Israel in 1993, for his involvement with Hamas.*

*Ahmed Shedeed, a fervent supporter of the Muslim Brotherhood and President of the Islamic Center of Jersey City, a mosque with a history of Islamist leadership. Its website (which was recently removed because of a 2014 fire in the mosque) contained disturbing statements about jihad, the West, wife beating and polygamy.*

*Mohammed Younes, the President of the American Muslim Union, a group with Islamist leadership and close ties to Qatanani's mosque, which was founded by a Hamas fundraiser.*

*Imam Abdul Basit of the New Brunswick Islamic Center, a mosque founded by a radical cleric. In July, it held a Brotherhood-linked seminar featuring multiple extremist*

*speakers.*

There is far more one could expose regarding Governor Christie, but suffice to say, his snuggling with all the Islamists is enough to eliminate him from the 2016 GOP presidential race.

---

## **The technology of immortality**

We can't decide whether to make ourselves obsolete or make ourselves immortal, or both redundant and immortal. How do you even begin to choose? But we do seem pretty sure that we can achieve these goals.

Yes, Ian Malcolm, you hit the nail on the head, way back in "Jurassic Park": we're so busy trying to see whether we could do something, we never pause to ask whether we should do it. That has never changed.

Obsolete? Redundant? Well, why not? Facebook tycoon Mark Zuckerberg has vowed to build a robot that will take care of his house, mind the baby, and help him run his business. Have any of you ever told your wife or husband, "You can be replaced by a machine"? Maybe that's not such an idle threat anymore.

At the same time, another so-and-so in hi-tech fantasyland has announced a plan to freeze human brains before the owner dies; and then, later, thaw 'em out and transplant them into a "bionic body". Y'mean you wind up in a robot body, like Robo-Cop? Or in a genetically-engineered, perfect, young, strong, incredibly beautiful human body? Didn't Edgar Rice Burroughs already do this, in "The Master Mind of Mars", back in 1927?

Whatever it means, they're not quite ready yet to do the deed. They estimate they'll need another thirty years to iron all the bugs out. But when the scheme is well and thoroughly baked, supposedly we're looking at a 500-year lifespan—maybe even, if they can really fine-tune it, no more death at all.

Imagine keeping Nancy Pelosi around for another 700 years.

Robotic slaves and practical immortality will be for everybody, right? Not just for the super-rich and famous. Otherwise there might be a problem with folks who can't afford it. I mean, if you think the 99% is ticked off now, wait'll they find out that they have to die but the 1% don't. There'll be some hard feelings over that, I shouldn't wonder.

But if Mr. Zuckerberg's robo-nanny really can do just as good a job of child care as public school or television can, think how liberating it would be. No more messy diapers. Mom and Dad will be free to compose symphonies, paint great pictures, or write cowboy poetry.

Or not.

See, if everyone's immortal, if social justice can be stretched to the point where everybody's brain gets the old Birdseye treatment...

And meanwhile your domestic robot does all the cooking, all the cleaning, and gently shovels food into your mouth when you say "Feed me..."

Under these idyllic circumstances, who's going to have children? Immortals don't need to keep the species going; they are the species. After all, brain-freezing and transplantation is likely to be expensive. How would we manage it for billions of people?

No, we won't need babies anymore—not if our brainsicles are allowing us to live forever. Planets get too crowded if

immortals keep having babies. You could look it up. (Where, I don't know, it's not my problem.) Imagine how many babies one couple could make, if they kept at it for 500 years. It'd be like the rabbits in Australia.

But Science will find a way, you can count on that. People who are smart enough to invent robot nannies and freeze brains so they can be re-installed elsewhere ought to be able to solve even these challenging problems that I've mentioned. Would Science lie to you? And then we really will be as gods. The Serpent in the Garden wasn't conning us: we really and truly will be as gods.

Well, some more than others, at least.

2016 Lee Duigon – All Rights Reserved

---

## **We must outlaw knives, baseball bats and cars**

Every time a gun is used in an accidental shooting, a crime, a murder, or terrorist attack in the United States, whether it be a handgun, rifle, AK-47, M-15, or machine pistol, the Democrats and especially President Obama, immediately call for more gun control, magazine limits, or outright confiscation of guns from lawful Americans, in spite of an all-powerful constitutional amendment and U. S. Supreme Court decisions that give authority to all legal citizens to own firearms. Each single event becomes a news story that runs for days, if not weeks or months. The liberal news media gleefully promotes and champions the Democrat's plea. It makes no difference to the Democrats that most of the crimes, lone wolf, or terrorist attacks would not have been stopped by more gun control.

Humans, by their very nature, tend to be violent in certain circumstances. So let's put gun violence in perspective with other forms of human violence.

Just recently, a homeless black woman in her twenties, with an infant in the back seat of her car, took to the sidewalk in Las Vegas and killed one person and seriously injured over 30 other people. Apparently, she did it on purpose. So she used her car as a weapon to kill.

Not too long before the Las Vegas incident, there was another similar case at a University of Oklahoma Homecoming parade where a woman purposely drove her car into the crowds of people watching the parade. Four people were killed, including an infant and at least 33 people were injured, some quite seriously.

Then there is the case of the drunk teenager who wiped out, no killed, four people and the corrupt court came up with a new legal term "affluenza" to get rich people off from heinous crimes. How many people are killed by cars operated by drunk drivers? According to the CDC, 10,076 people were killed in 2014 by alcohol-impaired drivers. Alcohol related deaths with cars account for almost one third of all traffic deaths. God knows how many are killed by the now legalized marijuana in four states.

These are just recent cases that rapidly disappeared from the news cycle. There are many more we could relate where a car is accidentally or purposely used to kill people.

Terrorists have not only used airplanes to kill people in America and other parts of the world, but they have also used cars, knives and explosives. Just ask an Israeli.

In the United States cars are heavily regulated in their manufacture and operation and people require licenses to drive them. Road signage and traffic controls are everywhere. Nevertheless, it is abundantly clear that cars are deadly

weapons and kill people. From the Democrat's rationale, there should be more car control and maybe even there should be a new law to confiscate cars from dangerous people, or maybe cars should be taken away from everyone because they are so deadly. But alas, the Democrats are and have been silent on the issue of deaths by car. Only deaths by guns activate their adrenalin.

According to the FBI for homicides in 2011, 8,583 people died by firearms. In 2013 32,719 people died from car crashes and over 2,000,000 were injured. In that same year of 2013, 1,694 people died by knife stab wounds and 496 people died by blunt objects like baseball bats and hammers. 728 people died by the use of hands and feet. If deaths or injuries were the rationale to pass laws, it is obvious that government should pass laws outlawing guns, cars, baseball bats, knives, hands, feet and even swimming pools.

But there is a much larger issue here that transcends outlawing knives, guns and cars. From the observations of this author and many others who use their intellect instead of their emotions, it is clear that Democrats are the party of excessive controls, regulation and "TAKE AWAYS." Democrats want to take away our guns. They want to take away our money for welfare, social justice, environmental protection, legal and illegal immigration and climate change to control us, regulate us and buy votes. But they don't want to spend any money on maintaining a strong military. There are so few votes in the military industrial complex.

Democrats now control or excessively regulate our land, our food, our water, our money, our energy, our cars, our guns, our businesses, our schools, our health care and every other aspect of our lives. Except for a few instances in the last 100 years, Democrats have unilaterally controlled the American political process, along with the help of the unions, public schools, academia and the news media. Democrats have taken over America like the Communist Party tried to take over the

movie industry in the mid 1940's. But the Democrats managed to take over the movie industry instead. One man's Democrat is another man's Communist or Socialist. The movie industry is a powerful tool for propaganda, mind control and political money for Democrats and have been used extensively for such Democrat purposes.

Apparently, Democrats don't think that Americans are smart enough to take care of themselves and yet the Democrats have shown us that they aren't even capable of managing anything they touch, including domestic and foreign policy. Under Democrat control, states and cities have, or are going broke. Under Democrats, the national debt has risen exponentially to the point that it may be virtually impossible to ever pay back. Under Democrats, the economy is barely functioning, with tepid growth at best due to Democrat-sponsored massive regulations.

Under this Democrat President, the national debt has almost doubled since he took office seven years ago. Think about it. Obama, a Democrat, has doubled the national debt in seven years that it took over 200 years to build. Under Democrats and especially this Obama Democrat President, our position in the world has dropped precipitously. Our enemies don't fear us and our friends don't trust us.

Of course, the only reason that people would vote for a Democrat is because Democrats TAKE AWAY money from everyone else, money Democrats have no right to, and unconstitutionally hand it over to those who vote for Democrats. Those voters are now in the majority and by keeping the Democrats in power, they allow Democrats to control us, regulate us and TAKE AWAY our money and other things from us, you know, like our freedom. The hard truth is, Democrats want you to pay for their compassion so that Democrats can buy votes to stay in power.

The Democrats have been so successful over the last 100 years

they even have the Republicans joining them so that Republicans can remain a viable political party but devoid of principle and honor. The current Republican House and Senate leaders are no different than the Democrats and in fact are aiding and abetting the Democrats and this President.

The single answer to this Democrat-Republican control dilemma can only come from the people themselves and the people show no signs of wanting to change anything. Except for a few million of us, most of the people like it just the way it is with their hands deep in the public till.

One day they will be forced to pay a horrendous price and that price might very well come in the form of mass starvation, slavery, or may be even conquered by a foreign power. The Biblical phrase that says the meek shall inherit the earth is a myth. The meek are nothing but collateral, slaves and conscripts for the powerful and always have been. Only the courageous and the strong, in mind, spirit and body, will prevail in the long run. The strong always carry the weak on their backs, either out of compassion, or out of necessity.

Outlawing knives, baseball bats and cars, as we suggested in the title of this article, will not change anything, whether the Democrats do it, or the Republicans do it. Nor will more gun controls change anything. Americans will still be heavily regulated and controlled by an Absolute Democrat Monarchy and American sovereignty and freedom are, have been and will continue to be the losers.

If you like this article, you can read hundreds more like it on our website. The articles are arranged by title and date.

Tell us what you think at [comment@narlo.org](mailto:comment@narlo.org).

[NOTE: The forgoing article is the opinion of the author and is not necessarily the opinion of NewsWithViews.com, it's employees, representatives, or other contributing writers.]



# When the walls come tumbling down

“And ye shall have no power to stand before your enemies.”  
–Leviticus 26:37

Last week, we saw the face of another Judas betraying the American people as Paul Ryan not only passed a \$1 trillion omnibus bill fully funding Barack Obama’s (Deuteronomy 28:36) illegal Muslim immigration plans. Paul Ryan stated, “The job of U.S. lawmakers is to put yourself in shoes of foreign citizens” (Luke 22:48).

Why are a majority of American representatives aiding the enemy at every given turn? Most people thought that it was only this administration that was blatantly and “transparently” aiding and abetting the enemy, both within and without (Deuteronomy 32:25).

It is clear that these people in Washington are working to make way for American enemies. How can this be?

Let’s look to Europe and other nations that are being plundered by their own (Numbers 32:23) governments with the help of the “apathetic” and “tolerant” good people while foreign enemies are sent to plunder and destroy. What’s worse is that these people are paying (through illegal reallocation of taxes) for their own demise.

The first thing these political “useful idiots” do is begin to desensitize and demoralize the people (2 Peter 2:18) with the

help of the education system, Hollywood and the entertainment industries. This all comes, of course, with the consent of those who depart wickedly from their God (Psalm 18:21).

“Blessed is the man that endureth temptation: for when he is tried, he shall receive the crown of life, which the Lord hath promised to them that love him. Let no man say when he is tempted, I am tempted of God: for God cannot be tempted with evil, neither tempteth he any man: But every man is tempted, when he is drawn away of his own lust, and enticed. Then when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth sin: and sin, when it is finished, bringeth forth death. Do not err, my beloved brethren.” -James 1:12-16

Once they see that the people are given over to their lusts and are then given unto the state, they then begin to pass illegal and unlawful legislation against the people. In America, we are now told that we are a democracy, which has given our sovereignty over to the enemy of our souls (John 10:10), to the corrupt state and away from a Just and Holy God (Psalm 145:17).

- 1962 – Corrupt Governments begin to commit oligarchy by teaching the young people not to pray and seek the face of God and that their allegiance is to the “say so” of the courts, not to God, who established the institution of government (Exodus 18:21).
- 1973 – Corrupt governments then begin to implement sanctions for the murdering of the innocent in the womb by calling it a choice (word play)
- 2015 – Corrupt Government attempt to redefine Gods design concerning marriage and tell the people that they can marry anyone that they want to. Genesis 5:2, Matthew 19:15
- Corrupt Government then begins to create unconstitutional wars at the expense of the blood of your sons and daughters while lining their pockets along with the military industrial complex.

In simple terms, these lawless individuals are allowed to lead

people in an exhibition and demonstration to refuse God's instructions (Proverbs 1:22-33), doing that which the people let them get away with, and then create a hell for everyone to live in (Psalm 9:17).

In the end, everyone ends up doing what they will, just as the first text of the Satanic Bible exhorts! And, surely they will fall to God's judgment (Psalm 7:11), instead of doing what they should have when they had time to do it.

"And it shall come to pass, that as the LORD rejoiced over you to do you good, and to multiply you; so the LORD will rejoice over you to destroy you, and to bring you to nought; and ye shall be plucked from off the land whither thou goest to possess it." -Deuteronomy 28:63

Concerning corrupt, government representatives: People across the globe need to understand that these political useful idiots are not the type that can be helped. They are the type that must be stopped, impeached, and indicted to the fullest extent of the law (Psalm 94:15)!

If America, or any other country, wants to believe that they can advocate that which God condemns and survive has their eyes closed to the history of mankind, as well as the present state in which we are in!

© 2016 Bradlee Dean – All Rights Reserved

---

**President is not a king,  
states must make sure of it**

Our nation's first president, George Washington, under the

newly formed Constitution in 1789, found himself in an uncomfortable position. As the nation's first Chief Executive and Commander-in-Chief he knew the delicate ground between strength and tyranny. Fearing any comparison to the monarchical government from which America had just been liberated, Washington took care to avoid any physical or symbolic references to European monarchs. When the Senate proposed that he be called by the official title "His Highness the President of the United States of America and the Protector of Their Liberties", an abashed Washington opted for the more modest address of "Mr. President".

How far we have come in America. Since Washington's modesty there has been no implication that the president of these United States has ever been handed the unilateral authority of kings, monarchs, or dictators.

How unfortunate the present Senate, and all of Congress for that matter, has refused to stop recent presidents from self-nominations to this title.

President Obama's use of executive orders, whether it be immigration or the people's right to bear arms, have been entirely un-Constitutional, which is to say lawless – and by definition, criminal. With no cognizance of Constitutional authority or the will of the people he was elected to protect, the self-nominated monarch announced on Monday his new executive actions on gun control while a newly released Gallup Poll shows that "guns/gun control" ranked 19th out of 23 top problems facing the country last year.

Even if gun control is a concern, the federal government has no authority to speak to this issue because of the God-given right to self defense, the preservation of life, and the law of the land stating unequivocally "The right of the people to keep and bear arms SHALL NOT be infringed".

Many kings and monarchs of antiquity suffered from the

dangerous Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) in which a person is excessively preoccupied with personal adequacy, power, prestige and vanity, mentally unable to see the destructive damage they are causing to themselves and others. This is not a disorder that should be left unchecked in our leaders.

Understand, elected state leaders, your duty is to interpose between your citizens and a central government bent on tyranny. Simply put, you must stake a claim. You cannot remain silent, because silence implies consent.

George Washington believed, "When People shall have become incapable of governing themselves and fit for a master, it is of little consequence from what quarter he comes."

The Hebrew prophet Isaiah resolved, "When God's judgments are in the earth, the inhabitants of the world will learn righteousness."

Democrat or Republican, state officials and citizens alike must learn and resist tyranny in all of its forms.

Learn more about your Constitution with Jake MacAulay and his "Institute on the Constitution" and receive your free gift.

2016 Jake MacAulay – All Rights Reserved

---

**New England police benevolent association endorses Donald**

# Trump

During a Republican presidential campaign bash in Portsmouth, New Hampshire, a key primary state for both the GOP and Democratic Party, the 5,000-member New England Police Benevolent Association, that represents rank-and-file police officers from the Granite State, as well as Massachusetts, announced the PBA's "proud endorsement of Donald Trump."

Officials from the police officers association said during the Dec. 10, 2015 event, that they believe they have endorsed "the candidate who best serves our membership."

Speaking to the powerful and influential law enforcement group the man known as "The Donald" promised that upon being elected as Commander in Chief and being inaugurated in January 2017, one of his very first actions will be to sign an executive order.

"Anybody killing a policeman, a policewoman, a police officer, anybody killing a police officer: Death penalty is going to happen, okay?" he told the cheering crowd. "The police and the law enforcement in this country – I will never ever let them down, just remember that."

Federal criminal procedure law already has a provision giving the federal government the power to execute cop-killers at the federal level. Former police lieutenant and a director of corporate security, Thomas Wollinsky, told the Conservative Base that he's heard numerous law enforcement officials praise Trump and criticize the majority of Democrats. "Trump reminds us of people like Reagan and Giuliani who didn't pander to the enemies of cops the way far too many politicians do today," said Wollinsky.

"They still use the tired, old narrative of racist cops oppressing blacks in order to garner votes and gain power over the blacks who are in the end the primary victims of lawless

and violent individuals,” Wollinsky added.

While the cops and their supporters applauded and cheered during his announcement to exact retribution for killing officers, the media jumped into action to question Trump about how his capital punishment executive order would work in the states that have banned the death penalty. According to last count, 19 states have out-and-out prohibited death sentences, and a growing number of states that still have a death penalty do not utilize it.

But in U.S. states that still have a death penalty provision, killing an officer is often already considered an “aggravating factor” in deciding whether a defendant will be eligible for capital punishment.

Also addressing Obama’s latest unilateral move to punish local cops – which many believe actually punishes Americans – Trump accused President Obama of working to scale back access to so-called military equipment, vehicles and weapons in the wake of unrest in Ferguson, Missouri and other locations.

As many expected, he called Obama’s recent executive order to take back the donated military equipment away from local police agencies “a tremendous mistake.”

PBA Executive Director Jerry Flynn said, “Listen, our message very clear: It’s what is the next president of the United States going to do to unite this country in an effort to save police officers? Because it’s open season on police officers.”

According the press coverage of the numerous presidential campaigns in New Hampshire, Trump’s popularity in the Granite State has never been higher, as the candidate has climbed to 27 percent in the polls following his declaration that all Muslims be barred from entering the United States.

Although the news media – a group that possesses a disproportionate number of left-wing ideologues – has

attempted to portray the American people's distrust of Muslim refugees, who are from nations saturated with radical Islamists, most Americans say the federal government must first get its immigration system fixed.

"The immigration system in this country is broken... its' kaput! Today, it's estimated that there are about four or five million people living in the U.S. with expired passports. There is an abysmal vetting system that is so ineffective even people who are investigated pass without their earlier 'crimes and indiscretions' being revealed," said former police detective Iris Aquino.

The Thursday night event didn't come-off without its share of street drama – or as Bill Ayers and his followers called "Guerilla Theater"– outside the hotel. Newspapers claimed that there were hundreds of protesters gathered to denounce both Trump's anti-Islam remarks and the police union's endorsement of a campaign increasingly aligned with white supremacist beliefs.

One newspaper claims there has been a major spike in physical and verbal attacks across the U.S. against Muslims and those perceived to be Muslim.

© 2016 NWV – All Rights Reserved

---

## **How to fight radical Islam**

The President, and those few who support his slow mo, hands tied behind our back, approach to combatting terrorism, often present a profoundly illogical and ultimately self-destructive justification for their halting approach to the war. They start from the premise that it is the United States' fault



that radical Islamists target Americans. They proceed from that false premise to the conclusion that if we do more than attempt to contain the menace we will stir up an even greater hornet's nest in the Middle East, inciting even more terrorist enthusiasts to take us down.

They therefore prefer a defensive posture where we treat acts of terror as crimes justifying a policing action. That defeatist illogic is at the core of Obama's belief structure and inaction. It is ultimately a creed that assures that terrorist acts become the "new normal" on American soil. For the majority of Americans who are not willing to accept that "new normal," we have a very difficult task to undertake. We have got to find ways to overcome Presidential opposition to total war within the remaining year of Obama's presidency or the seeds of terror now sown in American soil will produce a thousand horrific blooms.

The notion that the United States is at fault for the rise of radical Islam is in error. Radical Islam is the by-product of clerics and supposed clerics in the Muslim community who are offended at the existence of Western civilization, who view all forms of Western culture as satanic, and who think it their divine duty to bring about an apocalypse in which jihad is waged culminating in a final battle between the true believers in their radical creed and all of the Western world. They despise us not simply because we went to war in the Middle East but because we are comprised of people who do not subscribe to their radical brand of Islam, refuse to replace Western law with the Sharia law, and refuse to replace our governments with a Caliphate of their making. Because we refuse to be blind followers of an Islamic theocratic dictatorship, we, like more moderate Muslims, like Europeans, and even Russians, are to be destroyed.

The notion that the United States must not be too aggressive in combatting Radical Islam because if we are that will merely stir up more terrorist opposition is profoundly illogical.

There is but one direction for our dedicated enemy, to destroy us. When your enemy admits of no compromise and is intent on destroying you regardless of the cost, there is only one way to stop the menace and that is to locate and kill all involved in, conspiring to commit, financing, and aiding and abetting the terrorist cause. Nothing short of a total war dedicated to the complete destruction of terrorism will succeed in ending this menace. Anything short of that total war will allow lingering elements to remain, which will ensure more persistent acts of terror.

By imposing very limiting rules of engagement on American forces, by refusing to seek a declaration of war against identified terrorist organizations and those who provide those organizations' agents aid and comfort; by refusing to commit troops on the ground in the form of special forces in a relentless, 24 hour a day campaign of destruction against terrorist cells, operatives, financiers and supporters outside of the United States; by appeasing the primary state sponsor of terrorism against the United States and its allies, the Islamic Republic of Iran; and by refusing to identify the true enemy, radical Islam, this President has ensured that the requisite effort needed to secure the United States and destroy the enemy will not be employed.

It is precisely because of his insistence on that halting approach to battle that this President endeavors to assuage American fears of terror through utterance of political pablum, by suggesting that the "new normal" is public acceptance of a degree of terror within our country, by suggesting that his actions are sufficient without need for change, that his actions are containing terrorism, and that other issues of importance to him, climate change, are far more important to the survival of America. The American people, however, are not impressed by a president who refuses to be a commander-in-chief and maintains political theater when military leadership is required. They refuse to become

willing lambs to the slaughter, so this President can preserve a legacy based on a liberal domestic agenda.

The irreducible obligation of the President of the United States when the nation is under attack is to serve as a commander-in-chief and employ all means necessary to destroy the enemy, end the threat, and restore protection for life, liberty, and property. Obama has utterly failed to perform those roles. He refuses to serve as commander-in-chief, and he thereby ensures the proliferation of terrorism abroad and within the United States.

What then can Americans do over the coming year to defend themselves? It is up to each American citizen to avail themselves of their Second Amendment rights and become armed. Hundreds of thousands are doing just that. Gun sales are skyrocketing. If terrorists show up at your child's school, the concert you are attending, the subway you are boarding, the sporting event you are attending, or the public gathering where your family has assembled, you must know in advance that steps have been taken to cut down the terrorist before he or she takes a life. Each of us has to be ready to act decisively to eliminate a person who is in the act of committing terror.

Each state should commence a program to train its citizens in self-defense but also in identifying and reporting to authorities the telltale signs of terrorist plotting, arming, and equipping. We need to be ever mindful of those who by their actions reveal probable cause of terrorism, and the police must investigate each such instance and act swiftly when probable cause is present to obtain warrants and perform searches and seizures.

Moreover, it is also the obligation of each state governor to employ the national guard to provide added security at all locations where people publicly assemble, to call upon off duty and retired military and police to bear arms and serve as an added layer of protection standing at the ready in all

locations where people publicly assemble.

Each school should have armed and trained personnel capable of providing a rapid and devastating response if an act of terror is underway. Each state should pass legislation barring entry to any person who seeks to take up domicile in the state and hails originally from a country where terrorist training and recruitment is known to take place. Those individuals should be barred from entry unless and until they are thoroughly vetted by state authorities and can be proven to have maintained cordial relations with Americans within their former homeland; to have had no connections with anyone who sponsors, supports, aids or abets terror; and to have a present intention to become fully integrated into American society and culture and become an American citizen. Eternal vigilance remains the price of liberty, more so now than ever before.

© 2016 Jonathan W. Emord – All Rights Reserved

---

## **New year, same Obama: there's gun control to demand**

New Year, new executive order. That's how President Obama's ringing in 2016 – with a robust toast to his own power and hubris, and simultaneous glass tink and eye wink at the Second Amendment.

By the middle of January, Obama will have made his move, most political watchers say. And what a move it will be. As Bloomberg Business reported: “Obama has let it be known from his holiday retreat in Hawaii, through unidentified advisers, that soon after New Years' Day, he plans to follow through on

plans to expand the definition of who's 'in the business' of selling firearms – and who's thus required to perform background checks.”

That means if you want to sell your gun to your neighbor, under Obama's new order, you'll need to first pass an executive-mandated background check from the federal authorities. And I say executive-mandated because the policy won't be congressionally approved. This so-called closing of the gun show loophole – where dealers who sell commercially are currently subjected to the federal background checks' process, but not those who sell from their own personal collections' is Obama's pet, through and through.

It's yet another presidential bypass of Congress, the duly elected, the electorate, and by extension, the Constitution. Call it the Obama Special – the pen and phone approach to governance – the modern day way of legislating in America.

How long must we suffer? Obama's bully politics are birthed of a nation that's turned from God – and when God doesn't lead, look out. Government will. And it'll be with heavy hands. That's what we're experiencing in rapid fashion under this current administration. Can't pass immigration reform? Call in the executive order. Can't get Congress to agree on climate change policy? No problem-o. Pick up the pen and push environmental regulations and dictates for the federal government to follow and businesses to abide. Then press the EPA to ram through those same executive desires. Can't move reforms on Capitol Hill to control police and halt perceived biased policing against blacks? Call on the Justice Department to initiate a plan that basically federalizes civilian, community police departments via a carrot-stick, funding-for-data-collection dictate.

It's amazing what a president can accomplish these days.

That's why Sen. Rand Paul's legislation limiting the president from passing executive orders that curb the Second Amendment is so interesting, not to mention timely. His bill, the Separation of Powers Restoration and Second Amendment Protection Act, S. 2434, not only relegates such executive

orders on gun control to “advisory” status, meaning no action can occur unless Congress first considers and approves it. It also allows those who are negatively affected by any executive action against guns to file a civil lawsuit “to challenge the validity of [the] executive action,” the text of the bill states.

It’s currently on fast-track status, with a hoped-for Senate hearing right after the holiday recess. And Paul introduced it with this fanfare: “In the United States, we do not have a king, but we do have a Constitution. We also have a Second Amendment and I will fight tooth and nail to protect it.”

Indeed he will. In fact, indeed he has. Paul introduced the same legislation in 2013, as S. 82. And guess what happened? After Sens. Mike Lee and John Boozman signed on as cosponsors – the only two to do so – the bill headed for the Senate for a first reading, then a second reading, and then death due to inaction.

So Paul’s pulled the draft out of his desk for another try. Well, good for him. Thumbs-up and gold stars all around, as well as a heartfelt hope the bill will actually pass this time.

But when any politician, well-meaning or otherwise, tries to tell the American public that the United States does not have a king, the proper response is to laugh. Why? It’s wisdom in motion. One need only look to Obama to see how far our Constitution has shifted – and how long-gone our notion of a constrained executive branch has drifted.

© 2016 Cheryl Chumley – All Rights Reserved

---

# Gun control and the no-fly list Pt. 1

## GUN CONTROL AND THE NO-FLY LIST

In the political realm, as elsewhere, evil never sleeps. And apparently there is no enormity which the present rogue régime in the Disgrace of Columbia, and equally rogue régimes in certain States, are not capable of, and not intent upon, committing with the expectation that sheepish Americans will remain somnolent and submissive until it is too late for them to recognize the danger and set about resisting it. The latest piece of "in-your-face" effrontery is an extension of these régimes' never-ending push for systematic "gun control" aimed at the thoroughgoing disarmament of Americans—the goal so pithily and provocatively expressed in Senator Dianne Feinstein's words: "Mr. and Mrs. America, turn them all in." In his recent televised address following the mass shooting in San Bernardino, California, the present resident in the White House, Barack Obama, asked "What could possibly be the argument for allowing a terrorist suspect to buy a semiautomatic weapon?" and urged that "Congress should act to make sure no one on a no-fly list is able to buy a gun." Shortly thereafter, Governor Dannel Malloy of Connecticut announced that he would sign an "executive order" directing the Connecticut State Police, not only to prevent individuals on "the no-fly list" from buying firearms or ammunition in the future, but also to revoke those individuals' permits for firearms they already possess. These actions are open to the obvious questions: "What is Mr. Obama's definition of a 'terrorist'?", "Under what theory of constitutional due process can a mere 'suspect' be denied a right explicitly guaranteed by the Constitution?", and "How can a mere 'executive order' override the Second Amendment?" But, assuming for the purposes of argument that in some conceivable circumstances an individual suspected of "terrorism" could be

denied “the right \* \* \* to keep and bear Arms” (as, for example, because he were under arrest preliminary to being arraigned under a constitutionally valid criminal charge), what could possibly be the justification for employing a “bill of attainder” to deny that right to all “suspects” whom some nameless, faceless bureaucrats had included in some “list”, based on perhaps utterly fanciful definitions of “terrorism” known only to them? For the undeniable constitutional fact is that “the no-fly list” (and any other “list” of that genre) is an unconstitutional “Bill of Attainder”.

In general, an “attainder” is an act which extinguishes some or all of an individual’s civil rights. A “bill of attainder” is a legislative act which imposes a sentence of death upon an individual without any conviction in the ordinary course of judicial proceedings. And a “bill of pains of penalties” is a legislative act which imposes a sentence less severe than death upon an individual without any conviction in the ordinary course of judicial proceedings. In Article III, Section 3, Clause 2, the Constitution allows for an “Attainder” in only one instance: “The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no Attainder of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted.” But in Article III, Section 3, Clause 1, the Constitution requires that “[n]o person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court.” So an “Attainder of Treason” cannot come about through a “bill of attainder”, because it requires a prior conviction based upon extraordinary evidence in the course of ordinary judicial proceedings. Otherwise, the Constitution absolutely outlaws all “Bill[s] of Attainder”, whether issued by Congress or the States. As to Congress, Article I, Section 9, Clause 3 provides that “[n]o Bill of Attainder \* \* \* shall be passed.” As to the States, Article I, Section 10, Clause 1 provides that “[n]o State shall \* \* \* pass any Bill of Attainder[.]” These prohibitions apply to



both “bills of attainder” and “bills of pains and penalties”. See *Ex parte Garland*, 74 U.S. (4 Wallace) 333 (1867); *Cummings v. Missouri*, 71 U.S. (4 Wallace) 277 (1867); *United States v. Lovett*, 328 U.S. 303 (1946); *United States v. Brown*, 381 U.S. 437 (1965).

As I have explained in detail in previous articles for NewsWithViews—to wit, “Death Squads” and “Where Is the Outrage?”, which dealt with “official assassinations” of individuals on the Obama régime’s supremely secretive “hit list”—no public official in any branch of the General Government may enact, enforce, or otherwise give effect to any “Bill of Attainder” (or “bill of pains and penalties”). To complete the analysis, it is easy enough to prove that no public official in any State may enact or enforce a “Bill of Attainder”, whether that “Bill” purports to derive from the State herself or from the General Government. As already noted, Article I, Section 10, Clause 1 of the Constitution prohibits all “Bill[s] of Attainder” emanating from a State: “No State shall \* \* \* pass any Bill of Attainder[.]”. To be sure, a State is not the political jurisdiction which has “pass[ed]” “the no-fly list”. But (as in Connecticut) a State might attempt to enforce that “list” against individuals who sought to acquire, or who already possessed, firearms. Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment provides, however, that “[n]o State shall \* \* \* enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States”. “[A]ny law”, not just a purported “law” of the State. According to rogue officials in the General Government, “the no-fly list” is an actual “law” or an official action “with the force of law”. The prohibition against “Bill[s] of Attainder” is one of the constitutional “immunities of citizens of the United States”. Therefore, no State may “enforce” “the no-fly list” for any purpose.

Of course, “the no-fly list” does not explicitly describe itself as a “Bill of Attainder”. In constitutional analysis,

though, mere labels mean nothing. See, e.g., *Riley v. National Federation of the Blind of North Carolina, Inc.*, 487 U.S. 781, 795-796 (1988); *Bigelow v. Virginia*, 421 U.S. 809, 826 (1975); *New York Times Company v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 268-269 (1964); *NAACP v. Button*, 371 U.S. 415, 429 (1963). Substance, not form, controls. "The no-fly list" is plainly an unconstitutional "Bill of Attainder", because inclusion of an individual automatically denies him the ability to travel by airplane, without any judicial determination that such a disability is justified by some plainly constitutional law. Oh, I know that some apologists argue that flying on commercial airlines is supposedly not a "right", but instead is a "privilege" which somehow can be extinguished at public officials' discretion. This is a specious contention. The right to travel, even by air, has both constitutional and statutory foundations. Compare, e.g., *Crandall v. Nevada*, 73 U.S. 35 (1868), with 49 U.S.C. § 40103. The airlines are common carriers, highly regulated by law, to the services of which all Americans have a claim in common law and various statutes. And the freedom of average Americans to contract with the airlines for passage is part of both parties' constitutional "liberty" and "property" protected by the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. To be sure, "freedom of contract" can in some instances be subjected to constitutional regulations, as (for example) by exertion of Congress's power under Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution "[t]o regulate Commerce with foreign nations, and among the several States". But no power of Congress may be exercised through a "Bill of Attainder". In any event, the hypothetical "right/privilege distinction" has no bearing whatsoever on the matter at issue here, which is the invocation of "the no-fly list" for the purpose of denying individuals an explicit constitutional right: namely, "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms", whether that be to purchase "Arms" in the first instance or simply to retain possession of "Arms" previously acquired by whatever lawful means.

Use of "the no-fly list" as a basis for disqualifying an individual from the purchase or possession of a firearm is quite different from the use, say, of criminal records in a typical "background check" performed by a firearms dealer as the precondition for a sale. Individuals on lists of criminal convictions maintained by the FBI and various State law-enforcement agencies have been indicted, tried, and convicted of serious infractions of the law in the normal course of judicial process. One may debate whether or not the commission of a particular crime by a particular individual is a constitutionally sound basis for denial to him of "the right \* \* \* to keep and bear Arms" (or denials of the right to vote or to hold public office, which often are disabilities that stem from a criminal conviction). But the principle is valid in at least some cases. In contrast, an individual on "the no-fly list" has not been indicted, tried, or convicted of anything. He may be suspected of something—but, even then, the degree of suspicion is not sufficient to warrant his arrest. So the principle involved in "the no-fly list" is invalid in all cases. Criminal records are not "Bill[s] of Attainder", because a particular legal disability (say, denial of the right to purchase or possess a firearm) arises from the prior presumably justifiable criminal conviction, not from the later listing of the individual as having been convicted . Whereas "the no-fly list" is a "Bill of Attainder", because whatever legal disabilities it rationalizes arise merely from an individual's inclusion in that "list", coupled with a vague implicit prediction that he might misbehave in the future, but with no need for any prior, or subsequent, conviction in a court of law for actual criminal misbehavior.

One need not be the victim of paranoia, only the possessor of a modicum of political insight and foresight, to conclude that the proposal by Mr. Obama that Congress should enact a new species of "gun control" based upon "the no-fly list", together with the nearly simultaneous announcement by the Governor of Connecticut that he will impose "gun control" in

that State perforce of “the no-fly list” through the fiat of an “executive order”, are parts of an integrated complot to test the waters of public opinion in order to determine if Americans will sit silent and still for such a scheme. This is a variant of the well known Leninist tactic of “salami slicing”: here, by installing the most obvious, pervasive, and obnoxious form of “gun control”—actual prohibition of purchase and possession of “Arms”—slowly and steadily, individual by individual, State by State, and then nationwide only after most Americans have been sufficiently “softened up”. And one can rest assured that, if the Governor of Connecticut succeeds in using an “executive order” to apply “the no-fly list” to purchases and possession of firearms in that State, then all too soon Mr. Obama will announce that he, too, can employ an “executive order” for that purpose throughout the United States, without the need for any new statute from Congress.

Perhaps it is merely accidental, albeit ironic, that “gun-control” fanatics have selected Connecticut—which calls herself “the Constitution State”—as their “test bed” for this operation, simply because the upper echelons of that State’s governmental apparatus happen to be infested with home-grown Stalinists and other totalitarians. Or, more ominously, perhaps their choice of “the Constitution State” is intended to demonstrate their belief that they can get away with anything, no matter how plainly contradictory of the Constitution it may be, because common Americans (especially in Connecticut) are just too stupid and cowardly to do anything about it.

Now, in my NewsWithViews commentaries cited above, I have written about “official assassinations” and “Bill[s] of Attainder”—without, I have noticed, any significant result. This may be because vanishingly few Americans imagine that they may become the victims of such an atrocity. As far as they are concerned, such a fate is likely to be visited only upon little brown people in far-away lands, who probably

deserve it anyway, because they have the audacity to object to interference by rogue American officials in the internal affairs of what they foolishly imagine are their very own countries, when everyone knows that American officials have an overarching license to interfere in the internal affairs of any country, even to the extent of overthrowing its government, massacring its citizens, destroying its infrastructure, and poisoning its lands with depleted uranium.

But I suggest that a program aimed at the total domestic disarmament of America tomorrow would be arguably worse than the one which allows “official assassinations” today, because no one can imagine that such assassinations might ever be conducted against the general populace throughout the United States, or even that the present resident of the White House would dare openly to claim a prerogative to kill just anyone and everyone whom his minions had inscribed on some “list” of proscribed individuals.

The total domestic disarmament of America, in contrast, aims at no less than the assassination of “a free State” for everyone within the United States—because just about everyone could be, and in the predictable course of events no doubt would become, a target. Once the “gun-control” fanatics finally succeeded in disarming all, or even most, Americans, the number of political murders and other enormities could, and would, be raised to whatever level the tyrants wanted, without fear of effective (or perhaps any) resistance on the victims’ part—just as has occurred during the last century in country after country in which systematic “gun control” has been imposed. For part two click below.

2016 Edwin Vieira, Jr. – All Rights Reserved

---

# Gun control and the no-fly list Pt. 2

Moreover, the salami-slicing tactic of gradually insinuating “gun control” throughout America by the attainer of individuals is not limited to the use of the present “no-fly list”. That is merely the first slice, and certainly one too thin for achieving the ultimate purpose of the exercise. In the nature of things, once the principle has been established, “gun control” by attainer can and will employ any and every “list”, based upon any and every imaginable theory of ineligibility—whether the listed individuals are denounced as “terrorists”, or “extremists”, or “subversives”, or “dissidents”, or by some other opprobrious epithet (including, no doubt, anyone who dares to deny the supposed power of “the government” to employ the tactic of “listing” itself). Everyone with access to the Internet knows that today’s “homeland-security” bureaucrats at every level of the federal system, and the subversive private organizations with which they regularly interact, entertain all sorts of truly crackpot notions as to who qualifies as an “extremist”, or a potential “domestic terrorist”, or a “home-grown terrorist”—including those Americans who identify themselves as “patriots” (because they love their country), as “constitutionalists” (because they believe in the rule of law), or as opponents of a “new world order” (because they defend the Declaration of Independence). Everyone is entitled, as well, to suspect that the “homeland-security” establishment is even now compiling extensive “lists” of Americans whom some bureaucrats and private organizations want to shoe-horn into such categories. Rogue politicians and bureaucrats may deny that these “lists” exist. But no sensible individual believes any such imposture, in light of the long-standing false denials by the FBI and the TSA that “the no-fly list” existed. See Laura K. Donohue, *The Cost of Counterterrorism: Power, Politics, and Liberty*

(Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2008), at 254.

In addition, one can expect that “gun-control” fanatics will run to the red lines their engines of deceitful propaganda and hysterical agitation, not simply (as they always have done in the past) to demonize as a run-of-the-mill “extremist” anyone who supports “the right \* \* \* to keep and bear Arms”, but also to denounce as an extraordinarily clear and present danger to society everyone who holds “fundamentalist” views about the Second Amendment, who manifests “intolerance” of “gun control”, or who expresses “hatred” for “gun controllers”—and to demand that such people be denied that right precisely because of their zealous promotion of it and their uncompromising opposition to its detractors. In a stupendous display of ideological jiu jitsu, the big “mainstream media” and their allies across the Internet will transform an individual’s support for “the right of the people to keep and bear Arms” into an excuse for denying that very individual that very right for that very reason. And this tsunami of “politically correct” invective will rationalize the creation of what amounts to “no-gun lists” for suspected “domestic terrorists”, to be enforced through “executive orders” according to the precedents soon to be set by Connecticut’s Governor Malloy and others of his ilk. All of which is already beginning to move forward in high gear (just as if it had been planned well ahead of time).

Interestingly enough, the ACLU has, with some success, been attacking “the no-fly list” in the General Government’s courts. Unfortunately, its approach to the problem has been faulty. In an Internet article from the ACLU entitled “Until the No Fly List Is Fixed, It Shouldn’t Be Used to Restrict People’s Freedoms” (7 December 2015), Hina Shamsi, the Director of the ACLU’s National Security Project, reports that the organization is litigating a case in which it demands that the General Government provide individuals with notice of

their inclusion in "the no-fly list", a statement of the reasons for that inclusion, and an opportunity for a hearing on the matter before a neutral decision-maker. The self-evident confusion here, however, is that the courts enjoy no power to "fix" a "Bill of Attainder" by applying ex post some remedial processes in order to mitigate its rigors while still allowing its existence and operation to continue. Rather, the duty of the courts is to strike down in law and render ineffective in fact each and every "Bill of Attainder" in its entirety right then and there. The Constitution's prohibitions of "Bill[s] of Attainder" do not say that a "Bill" is permissible if it (or some court reviewing it) provides notice, reasons, and a hearing for a listed individual. The Constitution absolutely prohibits all "Bill[s] of Attainder", no matter what purported procedural "safeguards" they may originally contain or may have grafted onto them in the course of litigation. The reason for this is obvious: The harms which a "Bill of Attainder" causes—namely, the supposed legal disabilities it imposes on the individuals it lists—occur as soon as the "Bill" comes into existence. The rights of listed individuals are lost or otherwise compromised at that moment, according to the very definition of a "Bill of Attainder". True enough, procedural "safeguards" might allow for those rights to be regained at a later date, but always at substantial costs in time, effort, and expense imposed on the targets of the "Bill". Moreover, as the ACLU's own litigation demonstrates, the burden of seeking to set up procedural "safeguards", so that the effect of a "Bill of Attainder" is not as bad as it might otherwise be, always rests squarely on the victims' shoulders. This is an intolerable imposition, inasmuch as, being absolutely unconstitutional, a "Bill of Attainder" is utterly void ab initio. A "Bill of Attainder" can no more be transformed into a constitutional creation by a court's application of ex post procedural "safeguards" than Frankenstein's Monster can be transformed into Miss America by a make-up artist's generous application of lipstick, rouge, and eye-liner.



Reliance on the ACLU's strategy would have especially perverse effects in a situation in which "the no-fly list" were employed, as Governor Malloy threatens to employ it, for the purpose of stripping individuals of the possession of firearms they already own. Consider the following scenario: Having discovered that Jones is included in "the no-fly list", the Connecticut State Police descend on his home, armed with some jury-rigged administrative process based upon Malloy's "executive order", which purports to empower them to seize Jones' firearms and ammunition sine die. If he is not shot to death by a gun-crazy SWAT team executing the raid, Jones must then initiate some sort of judicial proceeding in order to recover his property. While he is doing so (if his financial situation enables him to hire a competent attorney), the police destroy or otherwise dispose of his firearms and ammunition as supposed "contraband" or "forfeited" property (perhaps by turning those items over to some rogue agency of the General Government, which then black-markets the material to Mexican drug cartels or to "moderate" jihadi terrorists in the Middle East). So, even if Jones eventually does prevail in court, the most he can obtain from the official malefactors of the State of Connecticut is monetary damages, not his firearms. In overall effect, he will be completely disarmed until he can purchase new arms—which, in the case of so-called "assault rifles", Connecticut's new law (recently upheld on typically specious grounds by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit) makes difficult. So, at least for a while—and perhaps for quite a while at that—Jones' "right \* \* \* to keep and bear Arms" will be palpably "infringed". That this scenario could be extended throughout the State of Connecticut (and any other State, for that matter), limited only by how extensive were the various "lists" rogue agencies of the General Government had compiled, shows how dangerous to "the security of a free State" the situation could become.

Of course, patriots need not worry about the involvement of

the ACLU in such a situation, because that organization is unlikely to challenge rogue public officials' use of "the no-fly list" (or any other "list" of that genre) to disarm common Americans. As Hina Shamsi reports in the article cited above, according to the ACLU "[t]here is no constitutional bar to reasonable regulation of guns, and the No Fly List could serve as one tool for it, but only with major reform." In this, she seems to be following sotto voce Justice Breyer's anti-constitutional dissenting opinion in *District of Columbia v. Heller*. Contrary to both her and Justice Breyer, though, there most assuredly is a "constitutional bar to reasonable regulation of guns", as the two of them understand "reasonable regulation"—that is, any "regulation of guns" which rogue public officials deem "reasonable" (including, one supposes, outright confiscation). The Second Amendment declares what constitutes the only "reasonable regulation of guns": namely, that "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed", where the term "Arms" includes any and every type of "Arms" and related accoutrements which could serve any conceivable purpose in "[a] well regulated Militia". And "the No Fly List could [not] serve as [any] tool for [the reasonable regulation of guns]", because "the no-fly list" is a "Bill of Attainder", which is absolutely unconstitutional and void, no matter what sort of "major reform" might arguably be applied to it.

But what about the National Rifle Association in this brouhaha? Disappointingly, although not unpredictably, the NRA approaches this problem from the same wrong direction as the ACLU. In an Internet article from POLITICO entitled "Administration keeps up media barrage on terror fight" (8 December 2015), Josh Gerstein quotes an NRA spokeswoman as saying that "[t]he NRA's only objective is to ensure that law-abiding American citizens who are wrongly on the list are afforded their constitutional right to due process." If this reference to "due process" means that "the no-fly list" should be declared an unconstitutional "Bill of Attainder", root and

branch and at one fell swoop, well and good. But it probably means "due process" only in the sense the ACLU understands "due process" in this situation: namely, as requiring notice, reasons, and a hearing which might serve to remove individuals from the "list" in the course of litigation, on a tedious and uncertain case-by-case basis.

So, what should be done? If litigation simply had to be pursued, the logical parties to initiate it would be firearms dealers in Connecticut, who would file suit as soon as Governor Malloy issued his threatened "executive order". The theory of their case would be straightforward: The dealers are licensed by the General Government (specifically, by the BATFE). Although the products of governmental regulations (the constitutionality of which need not be explored here), their licenses constitute valuable "property", entitled to constitutional protection. These licenses grant statutory rights to the dealers to enter into contracts with citizens for the purchase and sale of firearms and ammunition. The dealers and their customers also have constitutional "liberty" and "property" rights of contract recognized by the Constitution. All of these rights, whatever their sources, are "civil rights" under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3), and 1988(b) and (c). The employment by public officials in Connecticut of "the no-fly list" (or any other such "list") in order to preclude the dealers from selling arms to an entire class of individuals, none of whom has ever been judicially determined to be lawfully disabled from purchasing firearms or ammunition, is unconstitutional on its face, under both Article I, Section 9, Clause 3 of the Constitution and Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment thereto, and for that reason deprives the dealers of their "civil rights", along with the economic benefits which would accrue to them from their unrestricted exercise and enjoyment of those rights. Those deprivations entitle them (in judicial jargon, afford them "standing") to sue Malloy, the Connecticut State Police, and any other public officials involved in the use of "the no-fly

list”, seeking a declaratory judgement, injunctive relief, monetary damages, and attorneys’ fees.

To be sure, a suit of this sort would inevitably encounter practical difficulties—not the least of which would be the various claims of “official immunity” the defendants would interpose. Nonetheless, perhaps such a strategy will appeal to the NRA, which, in the manner of a compulsive gambler, apparently cannot restrain itself from betting the Second Amendment’s farm, time and again, on yet another spin of the roulette wheel of litigation.

Yet the NRA would be wise to recall that in roulette the odds always strongly favor the house, even if the croupier does not apply a greasy finger to the wheel. But when it comes to “the right of the people to keep and bear Arms”, are contemporary judges as honest as the croupiers in the average casino? After all, on the basis of its past performances, who can trust the General Government’s Judiciary in general—especially within the Second Circuit? Or, for that matter, who can trust the Supreme Court in particular, which is but a single Justice’s vote away from endorsing Justice Breyer’s “reasonable regulation” theory of the Second Amendment?

Of course, there is another route by which to secure the benefits of the Second Amendment with respect, not just to individuals’ rights to self-defense (upon which the NRA is fixated), but also to “the security of a free State” for this country as a whole (which is the Amendment’s true goal). Having written more than enough about that elsewhere, I shall refrain from repeating myself here.

2016 Edwin Vieira, Jr. – All Rights Reserved

---

# Muslim terror festering in America: exposing Obama's Islamic operatives

As we head into 2016, Islam remains on course to dominate the United States of America. While somewhere between five and seven million Muslims inhabit our country today, they expect to gain 20 to 30 million of them by 2050—at current legal immigration rates.

If you recall 30 years ago, economists and academics called Lebanon the “Switzerland of the Mediterranean.” Unfortunately, it began its quest to “multiculturalize”—and succeeded. Today, Lebanon runs the gamut of Islamic terror attacks, multiple cultures, multiple languages, ruined economy and shredded civil society.

In France over the New Year's night celebration, Muslims torched 804 cars in various forms of jihad against the French. (Source)

While Muslim numbers statistically remain minimum in the USA, they push at every opportunity to bring Sharia Law into being in our country, i.e., Garland, Texas; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Detroit, Michigan where their numbers explode. They remain pernicious in their quest.

America's number one Muslim, Barack Hussein Obama, features in excess of 12 Islamic aides in the White House. Each carries on “soft or stealth” jihad against America.

Artif Alikhan, Mohammed Elbiary, Rashad Hussein, Salam al-Marayatii, Imam Muhammad Magid and Eboo Patel advise Barack on a daily basis.

If you remember back in 2007, Obama promised to “fundamentally

change America.”

This video proves with documents and facts how Muslim operatives wage their jihad against America. There are more of them, but this video chills any American with the facts by top ranked American federal agents.

This video should go viral: it's a playbook for overthrowing our country: EXPOSING OBAMA'S MUSLIM OPERATIVES

After you watch the video, you may want to vomit or see your counselor or call your representatives. We face serious threats from Obama in his last year office.

Whether you appreciate Islam's march across Europe and think it won't begin to dominate here, you would be sadly mistaken. It's not a religion solely. It commands total domination of economic, banking, religious and daily life through Sharia Law. It's malicious and degrading to all of humanity. It's violent beyond anyone's understanding.

“Islam isn't in America to be equal to any faith, but to become dominant. The Qur'an should be the highest authority in America, and Islam the only accepted religion on Earth.” Omar Ahmed, director of Council on American Islamic Relations.

At its core, it provokes violence toward anyone who does not understand its doctrines. Its Sharia Law proves itself the most violent, angry and sickening set of rules every written down on paper on this planet.

“How dreadful are the curses which Mohammedanism lays on its votaries! Besides the fanatical frenzy, which is as dangerous in a man as hydrophobia in a dog, there is this fearful fatalistic apathy. Improvident habits, slovenly systems of agriculture, sluggish methods of commerce, and insecurity of property exist wherever the followers of the Prophet rule or live. A degraded sensualism deprives this life of its grace and refinement; the next of its dignity and sanctity. The fact

that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property – either as a child, a wife, or a concubine – must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power among men.”  
– (Winston Churchill / 1874-1865)

As you can see from these great historians, no one writes a good word about Islam.

“I studied the Koran a great deal ... I came away from that study with the conviction that by and large there have been few religions in the world as deadly to men as that of Muhammad. As far as I can see, it is the principal cause of the decadence so visible today in the Muslim world, and, though less absurd than the polytheism of old, its social and political tendencies are in my opinion infinitely more to be feared, and I therefore regard it as a form of decadence rather than a form of progress in relation to paganism itself.” Alexis de Tocqueville

Please forward that video to all your networks. Americans flat out don't possess a clue as to Obama's power to destroy our country in the coming 12 months. Whereas France suffered 804 torched cars over the New Year's, Muslim can't wait to torch the United States of America.

If you don't want a Paris, France or San Bernardino event in your community, it's time to call for a total “Immigration Shutdown Now.”

Call your senators and House rep: 1 202 224 3121. Demand a stop to all immigration and stop to any Syrian immigration.

Definition of slogan: “Immigration Shutdown Now means the American people want a total shutdown on all legal and illegal immigration. That means we want all illegal immigration stopped by arresting, prosecuting and jailing employers of illegal aliens. We deport all illegal aliens by taking their jobs away and as we catch them. We want English mandated as

our national language. We demand a cessation of Muslim immigration in order to protect our culture, language and way of life. We can't save the world but we can destroy our civilization. We demand a stable population that allows everyone to live, work and thrive into the 21st century. Especially our children." FHW

That's why you need to take action. Send this series to everyone in your network. Educate them. Urge them to take action by joining these websites to become faxers of prewritten letters and phone callers. We must force Congress into an "Immigration Shutdown Now!

Share these videos all over America:

[www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org) ;  
[www.CarryingCapacity.org](http://www.CarryingCapacity.org) ; [www.thesocialcontract.com](http://www.thesocialcontract.com)

In a five minute astoundingly simple yet brilliant video, "Immigration, Poverty, and Gum Balls", Roy Beck, director of [www.numbersusa.ORG](http://www.numbersusa.ORG), graphically illustrates the impact of overpopulation. Take five minutes to see for yourself.

"Immigration by the numbers—off the chart" by Roy Beck

This 10 minute demonstration shows Americans the results of unending mass immigration on the quality of life and sustainability for future generations: in a few words, "Mind boggling!" [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org)

America: [www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org)  
; [www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org](http://www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org)

Canada: [www.immigrationwatchcanada.org](http://www.immigrationwatchcanada.org)

United Kingdom: [www.populationmatters.org](http://www.populationmatters.org)

Australia: [www.population.org.au](http://www.population.org.au) Sustainable Population  
Australia

© 2016 Frosty Wooldridge – All Rights Reserved



---

# Ballot access lawsuit filed: Rubio and Cruz

A lawsuit was filed last month to keep constitutionally ineligible candidates, Marco Rubio, Ted Cruz and former Louisiana Governor Bobby Jindal off the ballot in Vermont. Jindal dropped out of the race in November 2015 because nothing he said appealed to anyone, not to mention his horrific bungling as Governor of Louisiana:

- How Bobby Jindal Wrecked Louisiana, February 6, 2015
- How Bobby Jindal is leaving a budget mess for Louisiana's next governor, February 12, 2015

There's no need to rehash the entire history of all the lawsuits to keep the criminal impostor currently occupying the White House off the ballot in 2008 & 2012. A habitual liar who committed fraud against the people of this country with help from friends like Comrade Nancy Pelosi.

A fraud allowed to stand because of GUTLESS cowards sitting on the bench both at the state level and the U.S. Supreme Court. "We're evading that one". Clarence Thomas during a congressional hearing regarding presidential eligibility. Everyone, including Thomas, got a big laugh out of such a sickening admission that the U.S. Supreme Court was playing with the Constitution and bending over because of Barry Soetoro's (Obama) skin color.

All the horror and misery heaped on this country since Marxist, Muslim Obama illegally took office could have been prevented in January 2009 by Republicans in the Outlaw Congress. But not one of them, not Ron Paul, Rep. Steve King [IA] or Sen. Jeff Sessions stood up for the Constitution. By

not challenging Soetoro's eligibility and stopping the electoral college vote every damn one of them is responsible for the destruction to this country by Barack Hussein Obama. Oh how he and that slug he's married to must laugh themselves silly everyday for their good fortune. \$70.5 MILLION dollars spent on vacations so far. [Get educated, read book: The Evolution and Destruction of the Original Electoral College]

We had a repeat of such cowardice in January 2013 by those constitution loving conservatives in the Outlaw Congress. Why? Because to find Soetoro ineligible would mean every bill he signed into "law" was null and void. Every treaty and every action he's taken from troops dying for NOTHING in Iraq and Afghanistan to his favorite hobby, Executive Orders. It would mean "Justices" Elena Kagan (Kagan, Ginsburg acted 'unethically and unlawfully') and Sonia Sotomayor, who is a crook at the very least, are sitting on the Supreme Court illegally since old Barry is not legally the president. The very popular, Sen. Jeff Sessions, knew the big problems with Sotomayor and swept it under the rug:

- GOP Senators Ignore Sotomayor's Criminal Activities, July 20, 2009
- Sotomayor's Confirmation Vote Rescheduled – Here's Why, July 22, 2009
- Justice Sotomayor: Tax Evasion, Perjury – What Did Obama Know & When?, April 23, 2012

All executed by an individual who usurped the office of president. You can't tell me a whole lot of Republicans in the House didn't know by 2012 Soetoro was ineligible. They let him get away with it in 2008 because of his skin color and sacrificed OUR country giving that stinking Marxist free reign to stomp in our faces. The worst from Obama is yet to come.

Most of the lawsuits to stop Obama were tossed by cowardly judges using the judicial hallucination called 'standing'. If you would like to understand what happened, this is the most

comprehensive analysis.

Millions of us had high hopes regarding lawsuits filed by Van R. Irion of the Liberty Legal Foundation in the State of Georgia. Please see this factual accounting. Kicked to the curb. Millions of us also had high hopes the Alabama Supreme Court would rent a bucket of guts that would finally bring this massive fraud to an end. That decision took almost a year. A decision defendants in the lawsuit below will surely use:

Alabama Supreme Court upholds decision to toss 'birther' lawsuit, Chief Justice Roy Moore dissents, March 21, 2014

"The Supreme Court majority upholding the lower court decision did not write an opinion, but justices Bolin and Bryan both wrote concurring opinions. Moore wrote a dissent, arguing the Alabama Secretary of State has a duty to investigate a presidential candidate's qualifications. Bolin wrote that it was desirable to check the qualifications of each candidate and the Alabama Legislature should authorize certain investigative and related powers to the Secretary of State's office to conduct such checks in the future, but he said for now, the office did not have the authority to engage in such checks." Do take the time to read the article as Chief Justice Roy Moore's opinion is very important. Here is the full decision.

A Secretary of State has no obligation to determine whether or not a candidate is eligible for the office they're running for! Really? Not to do so is not only dereliction of duty, but absurd when you think about it.

I am not a lawyer, but I've been so blessed to have dear friends who are constitutional attorneys. They have taught me much. I predicted the very winnable *Rodearmel v Clinton* case would be thrown out of court because, and to this day I cannot fathom why not, Judicial Watch did not go after Clinton using

the Quo Warranto remedy. Hillary Clinton usurped the office of Secretary of State, no question.

I predicted the recall effort against another crook, Sen. Robert Menendez would fail. It did: Sen. Menendez Recall Killed by NJ Supreme Court

My coverage of a new case regarding eligibility will no doubt bring more hate mail. Every time I've written a column focusing on why Ted Cruz and Marco Rubio are constitutionally ineligible my email box is flooded with profanity laced emails from their supporters who say they don't care anymore. Cruz supporters yell at me in emails I'm nothing but a 'birther troll'. The most important thing is to get one of them in the White House – particularly Ted Cruz. Desperation is NOT acceptable as justification for further shredding the U.S. Constitution.

Do read: Cruz Says 'If Obama Can Do It, So Can I' – One point about that excellent column – Cruz won't talk about his eligibility, but he is following Obama's deceitful game book: Ted Cruz Cracks to Press: 'I Am Secretly a Citizen of Ethiopia', August 23, 2013

H. Brooke Paige vs State of Vermont Secretary of State James Condos is the new filing. The complaint is here; 45 pages. This lawsuit is pro se (plaintiff has no attorney), but Paige did a very good job of putting his argument together along with supporting exhibits. Paige is collecting petition signatures to get on the primary ballot. I'm guessing to get around the phony 'standing' issue.

You can be sure two things are going to happen in Paige's case: (1) Standing will be challenged and (2) like so many other decisions by gutless cowards wearing black robes, the argument will be made it's not the job of a Secretary of State to verify eligibility. Really? I guess anyone can simply send in a form and get on a ballot for any office no matter who

they are or even if they meet requirements for that office. Unfortunately no one challenged Cruz here in Texas. This one got by most of us: Ted Cruz is in the U.S. Senate Illegally?

On page 14 of his complaint, Paige cites four candidates who were allowed on the ballot in 2012 who had ZERO eligibility qualifications. Nothing shocks me anymore, but that one sure did. Which brings me around to the very beginning of challenging eligibility of the fraud in the White House.

Leo Donofrio filed the first challenge in October 2008 against Nina Mitchell Wells, New Jersey Secretary of State. Leo is a lawyer, although he no longer practices. After what was done to him he decided to suspend his license and get on with life. The deliberate and malicious shenanigans pulled by U.S. Supreme Court clerks should have gotten them fired at the very least, but of course, no action was ever taken.

Clarence Thomas distributed Leo's case for conference, but as Thomas so jovially said, "We're evading that one". It costs a ton of money, not to mention time, to make a submission to the U.S. Supreme Court. They should have simply put a notice on the official U.S. Supreme Court web site back in December 2008: Don't waste your money. We will not take on Obama's eligibility because his skin is black and we're afraid there might be riots. That's what it all boiled down to back then.

The Supreme Court wasn't the only dirty dealer: JustiaGate: 'Natural Born' Supreme Court Citations Disappear, December 14, 2011

"Did Justia.com deliberately aid Barack Obama in 2008 by helping to hide the one legal case that might prevent him from legally qualifying for the presidency? On October 20, 2011, New Jersey attorney Leo Donofrio accused online legal research behemoth Justia.com of surgically redacting important information from their publication of 25 U.S. Supreme Court opinions which cite *Minor v. Happersett*, an 1874 decision

which arguably contains language that appears to disqualify anyone from presidential eligibility who wasn't born in the country to parents who were citizens."

Sickening. The article above gives a blow by blow account of more blatant dishonesty – all to protect a malignant narcissist and one of the most accomplished liars ever to occupy the White House next to Bill & Hill Clinton – Barry Soetoro aka Obama.

Leo had all of his filings on his web site, but I don't see them anymore. However, his site is a treasure trove on this legal issue, historical facts on this legal issue and much more. While writing this column I went back to December 2008 and was once again amazed by Leo's work. I know, it's a massive amount of information that is 'old history'. But, in order to get full understanding of something like this which is history, you have to go back to the beginning.

Early in this column I mentioned a case in the State of Georgia. Leo submitted an Amicus Brief for that case, January 23, 2012. It is thorough and succinctly sums up the entire argument whether or not Barry Soetoro was constitutionally eligible to run for president. The clear answer is NO. Here is that brief. Even though I knew most of what's in it, I read it. Approximately 55 pages; the rest is exhibits.

Which brings us back to this new lawsuit. Ted Cruz and Marco Rubio clearly are not constitutionally eligible to run despite the opinion of those who willfully choose to ignore the facts. I know both have received a substantial number of polite snail mail letters as well as emails telling them they are not eligible and why. Not one person I know has received any response including yours truly. I must say Marco Rubio is quite puppet like. He's very good at robotically rattling off his spiel, but I don't believe he's very intelligent. But, he's smart enough to know at this point in time he's not eligible.

Ted Cruz, on the other hand, is no dummy. Princeton, Harvard Law Review, Harvard Law grad, former Solicitor General for the State of Texas among other achievements. He's a championship debater which accounts for his smooth and practiced delivery during the GOP debates. But, Cruz is very ambitious which is one of the reasons I don't like or trust him. I know, he's very popular with millions of Republicans. What I don't respect is someone who has to know by now he's not eligible to run for president thumbing his nose at the U.S. Constitution for personal gain. Like it or not, it's what I believe because Ted Cruz is just too smart not to understand what Leo Donofrio wrote in the Amicus Brief I cited above.

Since both Cruz and Rubio are not going to man up and drop out, there is something you can do to clip their wings. The GOP convention is July 18-21, 2016 in Cleveland, Ohio. A friend of mine was able to secure me a pass to attend the 2008 GOP Convention in St. Paul, MN. The first couple of days delegates spent a lot of time in meetings behind closed doors. Watching Saint Sarah Palin on stage was not pleasant for me as I have never liked her; she sure as hell was not qualified for VP who is one heart beat away from the Oval Office. I am going to try to cover Cleveland if I can secure a pass and find the money.

The purpose of that convention is to nominate the party's choice for president. That is done by delegates. If you want Donald Trump to win the nomination he is going to need every delegate possible. Keeping Cruz and Rubio from getting the nomination requires delegates to vote against him.

NOW is the time to try and become a delegate so you can attend the convention. Otherwise, the GOP elites are going to use every dirty trick in the book as they did against Ron Paul in 2008 to keep Trump from winning the nomination. Money is betting Rubio since he's a player and it appears the cardboard cut-out, Jeb! couldn't win a race for city council. If the majority of delegates are run of the mill party hacks you can

expect the 'establishment' to shove their candidate down your throat so you can hold your nose and vote this November. Aren't Republicans fed up with that game yet?

How do you become a delegate? This site deals with 2012, but has contact information for every state in the Union for GOP leaders. Hold your nose if you have to, but if you can, make the effort.

As for Paige's lawsuit, will he prevail? Everyone knows the massive implications if Cruz and Rubio were to be kept off the ballot in any state: The criminal impostor in the White House should also have been kept off the ballot in any state in 2008 and 2012. Since Obama should not have been on the ballot, no one had the 'right' to vote for him. Is there a single judge in the State of Vermont with the courage to rule for the truth?

I guess we'll see if Paige's lawsuit is thrown out or allowed to proceed. Paige was assisted by constitutional attorney Mario Apuzzo in putting together his complaint. That is great; Mario's web site is item 1 below. He has written extensively on this issue and been involved in earlier lawsuits. While I hate to say it, I predict the lawsuit will be thrown out. I would be ecstatic to be wrong, believe me.

Important reads:

1 – A Citizen is One Thing, But a Natural Born Citizen is Another

2 – Is Being Born a Citizen (Citizen at/by Birth) of the United States of Sufficient Citizenship Status to be President of the United States and Commander in Chief of Our Military? The Founders and Framers Emphatically Decided ... No, It Was Not!

3 – The U.S. Supreme Court Should Be Impeached

4 – Finally: Federal Judge Slams 'Standing'

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The



cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved

---

## **America 2016: echoes of Nazi Germany, Star Wars force, and awakening**

America has entered into some time machine and is playing with technologies and multi-dimensional realities that it does not understand. It's as if we have activated live streaming from the fourth dimension, which has brought back the ghosts of the past into our present reality. The fleeting images of Nazi Germany keep entering a kind of collective consciousness in

the American soul with major motion pictures like Star Wars, with what look like Nazi storm troopers in white body armor gathering at a massive Hitler rally with a giant symbol that looks like a Swastika. Countless other movies and television shows churn out a 24/7 cycle of cop shows, surveillance shows, military and "special ops" and spy movies, so that all you see is a stroboscopic bombardment of images of guns, surveillance technology, large black Suburban SUVs with dark tinted windows, terrorists, militarized police, bomb plots, and suitcase nukes.

The Hunger Games movies, including the latest, Mockingjay, whose title is a subliminal wink to the CIA's Operation Mockingbird, which involves planting information, themes, and messages into films, entertainment, music, and television, shows Nazi motifs very similar to the latest Star Wars movie. What we have is the militarization of American consciousness and the revving up of a "will to power" to confront ISIS and the hordes of Hell getting ready for their orgy of slaughter, death, and destruction across Europe and America. In the book Mass Awakening I outline the dynamics of both evil and good mass awakenings.

Storm clouds from Hell are blowing over the soil of the America first planted by Native Americans, Pilgrims, and Puritans and then toiled upon by slaves from Africa brought here by "Christian" plantation owners. Digital American generations blinded to reality by their social media, cell phones, and iPods cannot see what the Indians, Pilgrims, and slaves knew: what you say, think, and do matters. Deceived by their unseen puppet masters they think that they are they are gods of their own universe and the center of the world. When multiple nukes go off on this precious American soil and human bodies light up like the Christmas trees they have censored from the public square, they are going to have what they call in the American secular corporate world a "come to Jesus meeting."

2016 will be the most pivotal year in the history of America. In 2016 America will either experience some form of Great Awakening or America as we know it will die. We are one mass terrorist event away from a police state. The seismic pressures upon America are about to erupt with volcanic force, and as they do America as a free nation will be plunged into chaos and totalitarianism.

The seductive, magnetic power of this Nazi nightmare pulls upon each of us, and if we yield to it we will enter destruction. Is our destiny to be inevitably pulled into the chaos with no way out or do we have some degree of choice? The newly released movie, *The Force Awakens*, sends out a duality of messages. George Lucas, the film's creator, was clearly depicting a mystical force with its roots in Hinduism, Shamanism, Taoism, and witchcraft. The "Force," according to Lucas, is neither good nor bad; it is a duality. It is the Yin and the Yang in Taoism. While acknowledging the intent of Lucas, it is possible to lift the words and change the narrative of the film. This is not a blending or synthesis of New Age and Biblical thought. It is simply a technique of communication, borrowing a term from popular culture or "jacking" the narrative. Just as when in corporate culture they talk about a "come to Jesus" meeting, it has nothing to do with Jesus Christ; it is simply using revivalist language to communicate.

Thus, the term "the force awakens," although intended to be mystical, can be used to illustrate the spiritual concept of a Biblical revival or "Great Awakening." There is a power that can be accessed that is more powerful than nuclear weapons, terrorists, the schemes of the banking cartels, shadow governments, social engineering, and the seduction of totalitarianism. What power is this?

The teachings of Jesus Christ, the Apostles, and the Old Testament were never meant to be the tidy, impotent and two-dimensional machinations of bean counters, bureaucrats and

feminized and frightened preachers, whose greatest fear is to actually think outside the box of religious delusion. Although the Infinite Personal Living God of the Universe is pure and holy, the greatest perversion is not sexual in nature; it is the perversion of the Power, Majesty, Presence and Glory of God. It is the deliberate twisting of God into something He is not, which is this mundane and powerless American synthetic religion, which is the spiritual equivalent of GMO food.

The Apostle Paul said "For the kingdom of God is not a matter of talk, but power." Paul was talking about power of God, which comes from the word *dunamis*, which means the true explosive force of God which awakens during a revival or a Great Awakening. It is capable of pouring out the wrath of God on Planet Earth, and yet can be as tender and innocent as the baby Jesus in a manger. In our modern culture the "keepers of the flame," the ones charged with the duty of making sure the power of God is released upon a nation, no longer remember how to activate the switch. Thus in the time of America's crisis, there is a paralysis in American Christianity.

However, when we revisit The First Great Awakening in America that took place just before the American Revolution, we see men who knew how to throw the switch of the power of God on a nation. The father of the First Great Awakening, Jonathan Edwards, was in distinct contrast to the majority of Christian leaders in America today. Although there are significant and notable exceptions, as a whole the Church leadership in America has produced a powerless Christianity with the intellectual force of the Cartoon Channel. According to Kenneth Minkema, the executive director of Yale's Jonathan Edwards Center, in an interview by Jim Shelton in the New Haven Register News, May 15, 2010, "Jonathan Edwards combined the strict Calvinist beliefs of his puritan forebears with a cutting-edge knowledge of physics, history, psychology and other disciplines." In Jonathan Edwards' life, we see the cosmic trigger for a Great Awakening. First, Edwards preached

a completely non-politically correct sermon called "Sinners in the Hands of An Angry God," with a monotone voice. But when Edwards preached people literally shook and trembled with the power of God. Secondly, Jonathan Edwards was a brilliant philosopher and intellectual who understood the beginnings of atomic physics, psychology, history, and culture. Men like Edwards demonstrate how the power of God can be released into a nation, when spiritual power is merged with the intellectual power of reason and knowledge.

Contemporary Christianity, for the most part, cannot find the cosmic trigger to pull down the power of God out of Heaven, even though Jesus Christ said in the Lord's Prayer "Thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven." In addition, although there are exceptions, the intellectual force that should be developed in any true leader must involve the marriage of the Spirit of God, the Word of God, and a deep knowledge of history, philosophy, culture, science, and myriad other disciplines. It was this combination that allowed Edwards' message to set the 13 original colonies on fire with the power of God and resulted in the birth of America.

Charles Finney, a lawyer and father of the Second Great Awakening, would vigorously and intellectually confront the Universalist religion of the wealthy New England elite. Although Finney's theology was somewhat different from the Calvinist theology of Jonathan Edwards, Finney openly repented of his involvement in Freemasonry, which ignited revival among the Baptist leaders, many who were secretly involved in Freemasonry. The power of God began to erupt across America and was responsible for freeing the slaves. Edwards and Finney were not products of this "Cartoon Channel" Christianity prevalent today in America. Their messages called down power from on high on the Earth and shook America!

Conservatives, Republicans, Libertarians, and even Democrats make a fatal strategic error as they attempt to lead Americans in a new direction. Men and women are spiritual beings and

they are won over by the power, passion, energy, and ultimately "spiritual force" of the leaders of a movement. Like it or not, the leaders of the radical left in the 1960s were energized by a spiritual force which produced passion and transformation although they never acknowledged the spiritual nature of this force. A spiritual force embodied by Martin Luther King, Jr. energized the power of the Civil Rights Movement. Throughout history it has always been powerful spiritual forces that have brought about transformation. Some of these spiritual forces are evil and some are good. For example, evil spiritual forces raised Lenin and Hitler up. The human soul was designed by God to be filled with passion, and that passion will be driven by good or evil. There are very powerful spiritual forces that operate in this world and these forces can change the direction of destinies and history. The Bible identifies these forces and describes how the power of God can be released in a nation. In addition, there are occult and scientific forces that can also be released. Carl Jung pointed to the "collective unconscious," and Nicola Tesla learned to tap into unlimited energy that was so powerful that industrialists and governments have attempted to keep it secret to this day. Tesla not only personally tapped into information from the fourth dimension, but he invented technology based on the information.

What has been called "The Pentecostal Movement" represented a global release of spiritual power that has impacted over a billion people. In 1906 what was known as the Azusa Street Revival began under the leadership of an African-American preacher named William Joseph Seymour in a small church in Los Angeles. The Pentecostal Movement exploded from Azusa Street, emphasizing holiness, what is called the Baptism of the Holy Spirit, miracles, and speaking in tongues. Later the Pentecostal Movement morphed into what was called the Charismatic Movement and Jesus Movement. While old time Pentecostals referred to the Holy Spirit as the "Holy Ghost," the Charismatic Movement eventually preferred the softer term,

“Spirit Filled.” These Christian movements, along with the more Evangelical-style Christians, who shunned things like “tongues,” eventually became the base of the Republican Party. Savvy Politicians like George H.W. Bush, one of the most powerful Freemasons in the world (he also belonged to the sinister Skull and Bones society and attended Bohemian Grove meetings and was a vocal proponent of the New World Order) strategically mobilized “born-again” Christians to support his presidential election and the Republican Party. Interestingly enough, as Dr. Thomas Horn points out:

“For our purposes, the connection between Freemasonry, Skull and Bones (which George W. Bush is also a member of), and the knowledge that ‘Moriah Conquering Wind’ is a title by which the occult insiders identify themselves, is notable. Moriah is an ancient term and its connection to “divine wind” as a vehicle for God and angelic war is important to Jewish Apocalyptic and mystical literature as well as to mainstream religious and esoteric minded peoples for different reasons.”

Even George H.W. Bush, former head of the CIA, and apparently a very pragmatic and rational man, acknowledges the reality of powerful supernatural forces, as in “Moriah Conquering Wind.” But “born-again” Christians, who had their roots in supernatural revival, allowed themselves to become the unpaid mistresses of the Republican Party, with almost nothing to show for it. The Pentecostal Movements and Charismatic Movements have never made the profound and lasting impact on America that the Great Awakenings of Edwards and Finney produced. During the First Great Awakening, not only a powerful spiritual revival was released, but a robust intellectualism and statesmanship, which allowed for the most important principles in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. The belief that it was the Creator who gave us certain inalienable rights such as “Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness” and things like freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and freedom of religion, among other rights is

completely unique in human history!

But the power of a true Biblical revival and a genuine Third Great Awakening was short circuited in Pentecostal and Charismatic Christianity because, like the Evangelical Movement at large, they rejected intellectualism, art, creativity, history, philosophy, science, and geopolitics, and the results are all around us. Atheists, skeptics, agnostics, and people from other religions look upon the American Christianity (as it is practiced today) and see its lack of any connection with reality, and so it has a waning impact upon the culture.

Americans...including a majority of those who call themselves "Christians"...are sinking into a kind of cultural quicksand. A fog has covered America and in the darkness of this fog a secretive elite, which we discuss in the book *The Babylon Code* has constructed an architecture of evil which is openly planning the destruction of America. There is only one force on Earth strong enough to withstand it, and it is not the "force" in Star Wars, or pre-packaged sound-bytes from most politicians, who are nothing more than the lackeys of the kings and queens of this new global feudal state.

The force that must be released, and the only force powerful enough to change the direction of history, is genuine power from on high, the kind that produced the First and Second Great Awakening. It was the release of this spiritual energy, which changed the landscape of America.

It is the power of revival wedded with intelligence, knowledge, and the ability to think deeply and strategically. Make no mistake about it; the power of this revival and the movement that will arise from it is being summoned now. At a certain point, America will see a tipping point and then the game changer. The question is: what part will you play in the most important time in America's history? Make no mistake about it, each of us will be directly responsible for the



future that comes and you and I, along with our children, will live in it.

Are you so naive to think it can't happen here? It is already happening here my friend and there is not much time left to change it!

© 2016 Paul McGuire – All Rights Reserved

---

## **The importance of the next presidential election**

One thing that I have noticed over the last 35-40 years is the apathy of the voters in America. When growing up my father was adamant about me knowing current events and especially the good and bad things about the political candidates. He was an immigrant, legal by the way, and America was his new home. He was proud of that more than I can describe in words. He came from a place where socialism reigns supreme and that nation is now in chaos because it is broke, as all socialist countries will end up because socialism will never work, has never worked.

America is coming to a point in the road where we will have to either go down that road and end up on the trash heap of history the same as the USSR or we will take a stand and revert back to the nation our Founders designed and return to capitalism that is the only foundation for a prosperous and strong nation. This was proved beyond a doubt from the founding of America until even today. No nation has prospered like America and given more freedom to its people than America. But we are losing both at an exponential rate because we are allowing the philosophy of socialism and secular

humanism.

The Democrats, ever since the Kennedy administration, have taken a road that is leading us to the same destination that the USSR ended up at, national bankruptcy. The Obama administration has accelerated this journey exponentially in the last seven years. As of January of 2015 we have seen a major downward trend in America's economy and status in the world. It has all been because of the economic policies of the Democrats. Many say that we need to get back to Clinton's economic policies, which he takes all the credit for, but what not many people know is those policies were not his, they were the policies of the Republican controlled Congress and he vetoed them twice before he finally signed them. Sadly to say the Democrats have not had anyone with common sense in economics since JFK.

Since Obama has taken office we have seen 6.5 million people quit the work force. This is how Obama claims the unemployment is down. These people still are not employed but they have given up looking for jobs any more so they are no longer counted as unemployed. The federal debt is up 55%! He said he would reduce it but he has spent more than all 43 Presidents before him. The cost of gas is up 79%. He claims that America's oil production is up because of his policies but they are only up because of the private sector which he can't control. Oil production on federal lands, which are resources that belong to the American people not the federal government, is down about 40% at the requirement of Obama. The number of Americans in poverty has risen 23% under Obama, food stamp recipients have increased an unbelievable 46%! These are not figures that show a strong economy nor do they show leadership. They are figures that point to an abject failure on this administration's ability to understand the workings of a nation economy. This is what happens when we elect a person that has never had to work a day in his life, never ran a business, and never had to make a payroll. He only has 6% of

his cabinet that have ever run a business. Reagan's cabinet had 63% of successful businessmen.

I learned a long time ago that we have to vote person not Party but today there is no one running in the Democrat Party that has any sense about economics. Hillary Clinton has no more experience in economics than Obama. Bernie Sanders doesn't even understand that even if he taxed every person and business at 100% he still couldn't pay for what he is promising. Not many in the Republican field are any better but we do have a couple governors that have run the economies of States successfully and a businessman that knows all aspects of economics as well as dealing with foreign entities. This is more talent than most of the Democrats combined.

This is why this election is so important to America. One Party is offering to continue down the road we are on which will do nothing but turn America into a third world nation . The other Party at least has some competent people with good experience that can help slow down the bleeding that we are doing economically and the only hope we have to begin to turn this nation around. But it isn't just economics that has been destroyed in the last seven years. The character of the man in the White House has degraded that office like no other in history. How long can we stand as a nation when we allow our children to be murdered in the womb? Granted he did not start that. It began with Richard Nixon but Obama has almost made it mandatory and is trying to force all people to pay for this ungodly genocide. Only a heartless fool would try to force a group of Catholic nuns like the Little Sisters of the Poor to pay for birth control and abortion drugs[1], but that is Obama. He rejects a Christians point of view on this subject but has exempted muslims from Obamacare and performing jobs they were hired to do based on their religious beliefs.[2] It seems that only islam is a protected religion in America today. Christian bakers have to violate their faith and provide goods and services for something Christians find

abominable but muslims don't have to deliver beer[3], handle bacon[4], alcohol[5], carry service dogs in cabs[6] and a list of other things because it is against their faith.

Obama has also destroyed our reputation around the world. We are seen as weak and spineless. Our allies don't trust us and our enemies don't fear us. Obama has decimated our military to the point that it is weaker than pre-WWII! We need a person that will return our military to its strength that Reagan built it up to and have the resolve to retaliate when a third world bully nation tries to do something we crush them with speed and force that no other nation would dare to mess with America.

This is what Reagan meant by 'Peace through strength.' Trump has eluded to bring America back to its once #1 place in the world for military power. If we don't re-establish America as a world military power we will continue to see San Bernardino's, 911's and many other situations that will keep terror and fear in the hearts of Americans.

We need to pay close attention to who we place in places of authority. We must chose people who have enough experience to handle the position they being put into. Neither Obama nor Hillary Clinton have been qualified for the positions that they have held. Both have lied to the American people time and time again. Both have degraded their positions for their own political gain. As a nation we can't continue to have unqualified people in leadership. It is going to take a lot of work to correct the disaster that Obama and this Congress has created. We have to remember that Congress has a 9-12% approval rating but we return 90+% of them back to DC hoping they'll solve the problems that they created. That's the definition of insanity. All the life long career politicians need to leave and get new people in. People who will actually solve the problems we have and return America to the greatness that the Founders gave us.

**Footnotes:**

1. [Little sisters of the poor.](#)
  2. [Muslims Exempt from Obacare](#)
  3. [Target Shifts Muslims who won't ring up pork](#)
  4. [EEOC wins discrimination case for Muslims fired for not delivering beer.](#)
  5. [Muslim clerk alcohol: Muslim clerk refuses to sell alcohol, pork.](#)
  6. [Muslim Taxi Drivers vs. Seeing-Eye Dogs.](#)
- 

## **Necromancy, Islam and pagan influence defiling America**

The debate in our land intensifies on the issue of immigration. For example, questions are asked: who should we let in, should we exclude some or not, and should we be protected from those of certain belief systems whom might intend to harm us. A week before Thanksgiving in Turkey this year, Obama said regarding whom we let into our country, "it is good to remember that the United States does not have a religious test..." He went on to denounce any calls for a religious test for refugees and immigrants, saying: "That's shameful. That's not American. That's not who we are."

Then on Pearl Harbor Day aboard the USS Yorktown in Mount Pleasant, S.C. "Donald Trump took his plan for tightening the nation's borders to a new level– and drew condemnation from Republican opponents as well as Democrats – by calling for "a

total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States.” He said the ban should apply “until our country’s representatives can figure out what is going on” with regard to the attitudes of Muslims toward Americans and terrorism. ‘Until we are able to determine and understand this problem and the dangerous threat it poses, our country cannot be the victims of horrendous attacks by people that believe only in Jihad, and have no sense of reason or respect for human life.’ Much of the crowd gave the policy a... standing ovation.”[2]

Which one is right? Does the Word of God speak to this issue. Now this command we are examining this week in our series “True Law is God’s Law” might seem to have nothing directly to do with immigration, but I hope to show you this morning that the principles involved in the application of this law very directly relate to this same issue.

The Treatment of Those who are at War Against God – Exodus 22:18 “Thou shalt not suffer a witch to live.” I have to say that of all of God’s Law which stands in our era this is one that has sustained the most direct and consistent attack; unending ridicule and slander have been heaped upon it. Much fodder has been made out of the Salem witch trials, which if you understand the law of God there were massive violations of the principles of justice in those trials. Yet the pro-witch propagandists would have us believe that Salem was the accurate application of Exodus 22:18. It was not.

There has been a wholesale and retail marketing of the evil of witchcraft in all its forms in our day. Way back with the Wizard of Oz there was the good witch Glenda who was opposed to the wicked witch of the West. There have been countless positive messages about witches, wizards, fortunetellers, sorcerers, shamans, and mediums ad infinitum. What we need to understand is that all such individuals have aligned themselves with Satan in a war against the One True God. This law deals with the treatment of those who have declared war upon God.

To understand the Command in the larger context, turn to Deuteronomy 18:9-15. Let's look at these nine forbidden practices:

- he that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, (this is child sacrifice that was practiced by many of the pagan religions where they would literally burn their child to death.

- he that useth divination, (divination is a methodology usually employing some physical object in order to uncover answers to any question you might ask of the spirit world, that is receiving answers from the demons who are part of the Satanic kingdom of darkness).

- he that is an observer of times, this refers to Astrology, that is predictions by the stars, planets or moon; horoscopes; are referred to as 'observing times.' "Although the Bible does not go into great detail about astrology, it certainly makes its position clear. We should never trust in astrological beliefs or predictions. Our lives are not determined by the stars or movement of planets.

- he that an enchanter,

- he that is a witch,

- he that is a charmer, (these three are all expressions of similar practices, "occult magic or divination are a manifestation of demonic powers or the result of demon possession (Acts 16:16). Of course, the power of Satan and his demons is extremely limited compared to God's power. Those who follow the path of the magic arts are on the wrong path – a road that leads away from God, not toward Him.") [3]

- he that is a consulter with familiar spirits,

- he that is a wizard,

- he that is a necromancer. These three are the practice of mediums.

Learn more about your Constitution with Pastor David Whitney

and the “Institute on the Constitution” and receive your free gift.

© 2016 Rev. David Whitney – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Truth or 2016 consequences**

As I think back over the past year – the past 7 years, to be more precise – we have lost the most important commodity possible: Truth.

Veritas. Truth. It’s been missing in action for a long time.

One symptom of lost truth is what Republican Presidential candidates refer to as “political correctness.” The only reason political correctness exists is so people can avoid truth. It is why we have no meaningful laws in our courtrooms. It is behind much of the post traumatic stress suffered by so many of our soldiers. They have no idea about government corruption until they serve their country and find the government cares more about the lives of the enemy than its own warriors and veterans.

A few months ago, I wrote an article stating the political establishment has no idea how badly it had damaged America by making it apparent the government cannot be trusted to act in the public, rather than the political, interest. The anger we see towards the political establishment in the current election is a mere reflection of that anger – and it is only the tip of an iceberg.

Political correctness has brought the American public to the brink of revolt (which is a bad idea... the other side controls all of the weapons of war). The loss of truth has caused a



social upheaval that has wreaked havoc in the hearts of people worldwide and does harm far beyond our shores. What is political correctness if not accepting as truth various opinions your common sense tells you are not true? That is what Donald Trump (University of Pennsylvania) sees so clearly and what he very capably tells the American public. He has common sense and a lack of fear of the crowd from Yale... the skull and bonesmen.

Knowing what we know about the Skull and Bones club at Yale, why would we ever even think of electing to office anyone who graduated from that school? (By the way, only two Presidential candidates are Yale grads: Dr. Ben Carson and Hillary Clinton... and neither were skull and bonesmen).

What do we know about Yale graduates?

We know Prescott Bush was a Yale skull and bonesman... class of 1917. We know E. Roland Harriman was part of the same class as Bush – 1917. We know Averill Harriman was Roland's brother and we know Prescott Bush and Averill formed an American investment bank and that they funded the Nazis during World War II. Though their banks were taken from them because of the treason they perpetrated against us, neither was prosecuted – and their losses from the confiscation of their property weren't really losses because the money was returned to them. The lack of prosecution is a big red flag that these two men were not operating independently.

Some people say the treachery against America started with F. Trubee Davison who graduated from Yale a year after Bush and Harriman... 1918. Yes, Trubee was also a skull and bonesman. He became Assistant U.S. Secretary of War, a State Representative in New York, and, after World War II, the first Personnel Director at the newly-formed CIA. Prescott Bush, a former OSS chief, was heavily involved in structuring the CIA and Prescott's son, George Herbert Walker Bush, became the Agency's 11th Director just prior to becoming Vice President

of the United States.

Ronald Reagan rejected G.H.W. Bush (a Yale grad and skull and bonesman – so is his son, George W.) as his running mate in the 1980 election. The same Republican National Committee that is working so hard today to make you want Jeb Bush or Marco Rubio (neither went to Yale) and to reject electable conservative candidates insisted Reagan accept Bush or do without funds to take the Presidency from Jimmy Carter.

Within months of becoming President of the United States, an assassination attempt badly disabled Reagan. Bill O'Reilly documents this well-researched fact in his book, Killing Reagan. Many of the decisions pushed through the Congress came from Bush, not Reagan. Yes. I refer specifically to the Contra dirty laundry, guns for oil, guns for drugs, the drug routes and air landing sites, etc.. Bill Clinton is a good friend of G.H.W. Bush and the family. Ollie North, now with Fox News, worked for G.H.W. Bush, not President Reagan.

The loss of truth did not begin with the Obama administration. It merely became more apparent during the past 7 years. It began long ago, largely with the Rothschild era, but it has been carried on enthusiastically by those who think of themselves as progressive. It boggles the brain that so many people who think they are intellectually superior to the average American can watch the social order being dragged back to the cave post haste and think their ideas and ideals are "progressive." What they are doing could not be more regressive!

Being educated has little to do with common sense. Being knowledgeable is quite different from being informed and/or educated. Knowledge requires hands-on experience implementing information and seeing whether it benefits or harms the objective to be achieved. One cannot gain wisdom from ideas and philosophy about what ought to work. That comes only from experience... and from there common sense derives.

We have let them steal our common sense. We didn't fight very hard to keep it.

When people motivated by power close in on their objective of exercising total world power, they become careless in their arrogance. What pleasure does power offer, after all, if one must wear it with humility... quietly like a shadow sneaking through the darkness? Power brings wealth, but wealth can be boring. There are only so many things you can buy, so many trips you can take, so many cruises on which you can embark... eventually a wealthy ego wants to be recognized for achievements it probably did little to achieve. That is what has happened during the past 7 years. Arrogance now reigns supreme.

Does listening to truth-based common sense make you a racist or a homophobe or a religious zealot? No. There is nothing racist or homophobic or religious about the truth and that is the greatest lesson we should all learn from 2015.

Is political correctness everyone else's fault? No. It is the fault of people who are afraid of being thought racist or homophobic or religious fanatics. When your common sense tells you that you are not a homophobe, stand up at your next school board meeting and object to teachers who want your third graders to be told how wonderful the homosexual lifestyle is. Those who think you are homophobic or anti-religious or racist in the obvious face of opposing logic are the ones with a problem, not you. Do your research and object on reasons of common sense, not opinion.

Here are some things you need to keep in mind about truth (which is merely a set of facts that provides evidence of the existence of truth):

1. To find truth you must have a deep and abiding desire for it and when you find it, test it (gain experience) to verify it.

2. Recognize that many things are not what they seem to be. Others want to convince you that what they say is true so you will support their message of untruth. They will use deceit to achieve that goal. Trust but verify.

3. Question your own assumptions and premises as strongly as you question those of others who disagree with you.

4. Truth is like an onion. It is often buried beneath several layers. The deeper it is buried, the more important it is to strip away that which covers it. Those who have dark secrets cover their lies with layers of deception. The greater the deception, the deeper is the cover. That should explain why so many political candidates convince people they will support conservative causes, then vote liberal or neo-conservative (RINOs). Too few layers of the truth onion were peeled back.

5. Truth has nothing to do with "feelings" or "emotions." Just because something feels right or good doesn't make it true. Base your views on facts and objective reality. In this day and age of misinformation and disinformation, it is critical to look at ALL facts, not just those that support your opinions.

6. Require all people (including yourself) to be accountable for their lies and opinions because people are hurt when untruths are used to achieve an objective. Being accountable doesn't mean saying "I'm sorry." It means paying for and correcting problems lies create. It's called "justice."

7. Challenge the motives of those in positions of power – that includes politicians, teachers, nurses, policemen, doctors, pharmaceutical companies, etc. The powerless are often attracted to positions of power (though the great majority choose their careers for the right reasons). When they do something that doesn't make sense, question it. Never fear asking your doctor to read you the side effects of any prescribed medication, for example.

8. Welcome dissent. Debate and responding to criticism sharpens your mind. Don't argue; rather, discuss. Passion is okay; anger is not.

9. To be humble does not mean lying down and letting others

use you as a doormat. It means keeping an open mind. An expert who closes his/her mind to changes in their area of expertise loses the status of "expert." Be clear in defining the words you use.

If more of us would just stop letting fear motivate us, political correctness would be quickly sent to the woodshed.

It is time for us to give common sense the respect it is due. Remember, though, that common sense is based on a perception of truth That is why returning to a truth-based society is so important. Without truth, there is no sane concept of common sense. Without truth and common sense, we cannot get rid of political correctness.

Why fight if you can live without fighting?

If you don't fight (and I do not refer here to a lawless revolt against authority), you'll lose your freedom and live life as a slave. If that's what you want for you and your kids, okay... but don't complain about the chains that come with lost freedom. You asked for it.

2016 Marilyn M. Barnewall – All Rights Reserved

---

## **Materialism Pt. 2 of 4**

"Same old song, just a drop of water in an endless sea  
All we do crumbles to the ground although we refuse to see.  
Dust in the wind  
All we are is dust in the wind."  
~Kansas, "Dust in the Wind" (1977)

I've always enjoyed progressive rock, even if it raises my Christian friends' brows sometimes. Much of it is well done,

and sounds like some thought went into it. As implied by my referencing Madonna at the outset, popular music is often a good guide to the zeitgeist of a culture. Many singers/songwriters are sensitive to this in ways academics are not. Our cultural worldview, as I've emphasized, is fundamentally materialist, and even those uninterested in the philosophical specifics laid out in Part One will find themselves immersed in its consequences, one of which is the exclusive preoccupation with material goods amidst ethical ambiguity. One of the questions underwriting this ambiguity was best put by one of the first philosophy professors I was a teaching assistant for, back in the early 1980s. Are there any absolute values? was the question she posed in class. Must we rest content with the relativism of the anthropologists?

The German philosopher Immanuel Kant (1724 – 1804) believed we could deduce absolute duties from Pure Reason, and they would apply to all rational beings. He called his main principle the categorical imperative: always act as if the maxim or principle guiding your action could apply to everyone (I am paraphrasing, of course). Always tell the truth out of respect for the truth and respect for others as moral agents. Always keep your promises out of the same respect. Honor contracts. What is morally wrong is making exceptions for oneself, or treating oneself as a special case. Morality is universal or it is useless. Kant had problems, however, when universal duties appeared to conflict, as they sometimes did.

Great Britain's John Stuart Mill (1806 – 1873), a Utilitarian, argued that morality is a matter of following the greatest happiness principle: your action is ethical if it creates a greater balance of happiness over unhappiness in the world, where happiness tends to mean pleasures of various sorts (those of the mind, such as scientific knowledge or appreciation of the arts, take precedence over those of the body, involving sensuality and appetites). This kind of position logically permits the sacrifice of some if it brings

about enough knowledge and social benefits for the rest to enjoy a greater balance of happiness. And by the way, these are not idle games played by intellectuals locked away in academic cubicles. Mill's ideas were widely studied and absorbed into governing bodies throughout the English-speaking world. They came to affect policy decisions in a variety of arenas, and were furthered by people who barely even heard of Mill himself. The sacrifice of dozens of black men in Macon Co., Ala., during the Tuskegee syphilis experiment is consistent with utilitarian thought! The public health community got away with this for decades! Also compatible with utilitarianism is every decision to send the children of the masses to fight wars of choice!

So is it the case that, as Russian novelist Fyodor Dostoevsky's (1821 – 1881) character Ivan Karamazov put it, "If God does not exist, then everything is permitted"? Twentieth century secular ethics has been a struggle against this wretched conclusion, as well as against the relativism of anthropologists such as Benedict. Thus far, the results are less than promising!

A few major thinkers of the later twentieth century weighed in with fresh proposals. Among the best known is John Rawls (1921 – 2002), who pursued a theory of social justice as fairness. He sought to identify rules that would be adopted by rational persons from behind a veil of ignorance: that is, from an ideal vantage point where the adopter does not know his race or class standing or other particulars. What principles would be most worth embracing by the rational and fair-minded? Rawls's answer: every person should have basic liberties no government can take away, to the extent compatible with equal liberties for all (the liberty principle); "offices and positions" should be open to all persons regardless of race and sex (an equality of opportunity principle); inequalities, to be acceptable, must work to the advantage of the worst off (the difference principle).

Rawls's critics noted that his original position (behind the veil of ignorance) works under the assumption that most people are risk averse. They would not want to risk the results of principles that left disadvantaged groups to fend for themselves, as they might be in one such group. Saying this is a bit strange, however, and others wondered if the thought experiment was realistic. Can anyone actually imagine themselves behind a "veil of ignorance"? It certainly doesn't comport with the identity-politics that has come about since Rawls wrote his major work *A Theory of Justice* (1971). Rawls did not see any connection between morality and justice on the one hand and metaphysics or worldviews on the other. The idea that these areas can be divorced from one another is part of secular ethics in the material world.

One of Rawls's Harvard colleagues, Robert Nozick (1938 – 2002), developed an individualist ethic, as have other notable libertarian philosophers such as Tibor R. Machan (1939 – ), some influenced by Ayn Rand (1905 – 1982). They focused instead on negative rights of individuals, rights to be left alone in ways that imply no duties to others except to leave them alone. These they contrasted with supposed positive rights to specific goods someone is obligated to supply, which led to collectivism. Their view was that all individuals have the right to act freely, pursue their own goals, and keep the fruits of their labors (private property) so long as they do not interfere with the same negative rights of others. All should deal voluntarily with one another in the free market. According to the non-aggression principle, central in the libertarian ethos, what is forbidden is physical aggression or coercion against others.

This view appeals to defenders of freedom and Constitutionally limited government, obviously, since to the libertarian government is the primary aggressor against individuals' rights, to be kept very small (minarchism, what Nozick called the night watchman state) or eliminated (anarchocapitalism),



therefore. The downside is that individuals rendered helpless or infirm, e.g., by illness or infirmity late in life, would have no inherent right to care, as that would be a positive right. For libertarian purists, even social security is the collectivized and forcible taking from some and giving to others. Negative rights do not do you much good, however, if all they come down to is a "right" to starve, or to die helpless. Families are considered responsible for helping their own, but reality is that in industrial civilization family members have had to spread everywhere in search of work, often leaving elderly parents behind.

Nothing in libertarianism forbids a person from acting on his own to help, e.g., Alzheimer's patients who are alone. This is hardly reassuring, though. An ethic of purely negative rights seems neither realistic nor humane. Libertarians assumed, moreover, that free market dynamics plus what Nozick's night watchman state would be sufficient to control corporate greed or prevent the dominance of the state by corporations acting in consort as they hungered after power. History suggests that this is wrong, that the locus of power is not government per se but networked corporate leviathans who can buy political loyalty. One need only read John Perkins's (1945 - ) Confessions of an Economic Hit Man (2004) to see the role corporations have played in controlling governments and bringing about a wide variety of regime changes and cultural catastrophes against those who resisted.

While all these various notions have all received great discussion and debate, no one position has emerged as dominant. Richard Rorty (1931 - 2007), arguably the last major philosopher of the twentieth century (and possibly the last major philosopher the West will produce), put it like this in his Consequences of Pragmatism (1982): again to paraphrase, in the actual world, people have the rights and obligations society says they have, no more and no less. We are back to the anthropological view. Society, neither Rorty nor they quite tell us, devolves upon authority, especially those with

the capacity to enforce their will on others, or to use language in ways ensuring psychological conditioning and de facto control. One of Rorty's favorite philosophers was educationist John Dewey (1859 – 1952). Dewey, who had studied under Wundtian G. Stanley Hall whom we mentioned earlier, had also seen merit in behaviorism.

All of the philosophers we have considered were atheists except for Kant who believed society benefited from a general belief in God, although from a philosophical standpoint Kant decoupled God from morality. Later philosophers just built on this separation. Kant did not believe our reason was capable of solving the problem of whether or not God exists; its categories, Kant called them, limited its possibilities.

But we cannot really evade the choice: believe in God and His commands, or not? To not choose is to be an operational atheist, acting as if God does not exist while going along with what is fashionable, ethically speaking.

Rorty's implicit answer to Dostoevsky is: "If God doesn't exist, then everything is permitted that your fellows allow, the state permits, or that you can get away with." The infamous "eleventh commandment": thou shalt not get caught. If your culture has not convinced you that you shouldn't lie, cheat, steal, or go on stage and perform nearly naked (think Miley Cyrus!), then so much the worse for your culture! Any ethical objections to the idea that corporations may do as they please and call it "the free market at work" turn out to be toothless.

Next week part three.

2016 Steven Yates – All Rights Reserved

---

# American pie in 2016

A long, long, time ago  
I can still remember how  
that music used to set me free

Long before our past was rewritten  
Americans sang the songs of hope,  
Faith and Love to the God above,  
And they even made some of the people cry

And I knew if I had my chance  
that I could restore that sacred dance  
And it would set the people free

But next February will make you shiver  
As every judgment that God delivers  
will bring bad news on your doorstep  
Americans won't take one more step

I can remember how I cried  
When I read about his ruined bride  
The Spirit of God touched me deep inside  
The day the righteous music died

So now its time, to say Goodbye  
Bye, bye to Miss America Pie  
You can drive your Chevy to the levee  
But the churches are all dry  
And the pastors are just good ole boys  
Getting drunk on their whiskey and rye  
Singin' this will be the year that I die  
This'll be the year that I die

Did you ever read the book of love?  
The one God wrote from above?  
Do you know that the Bible is the only truth?  
So don't be fooled by the Christian rock

For satanic music can't ever save your mortal soul

Well, I know that some of you are in love with him  
Cause I saw you dancing in the gym  
You both kicked off your shoes  
And now you'll learn the reason  
All of his lovers have to sing the blues

I was a holy teenage Jesus freak  
And the only thing I would ever seek  
Was to hear his voice so clearly in my ear

As I walked in His love I learned of his fear  
But I knew the truth in my heart even way back then  
the American church had run out of luck on  
the day the righteous music died  
So I started singin'  
Bye, bye Miss American Pie  
You can drive your Chevy to the levee  
But the American churches are all dry  
And the pastors are all just good ole boys  
Getting drunk on their whiskey and rye  
Singin' this will be the year that I die  
This'll be the year that I die

In the coming years  
you will all be on your own  
Best to leave the broken down cities soon  
For they are not a home  
But that's not how it used to be ...

But now you must find your way  
through a desolate wilderness  
but always know you're not alone  
for if you remain true to him,  
He'll always walk close with you.

But now the jester sings for your king and queen  
In a coat he borrowed from James Dean

In a voice that came right out of hell  
Yet He promised you, all will be well

While we were looking for the America dream  
The jester stole our freedom and our Christian crown  
And so the courtroom in heaven has now adjourned  
The final verdict will now be returned

And while our children are taught from a book by Marx  
The false teachers prophesy in the park  
And the children of Belial sing dirges in the dark  
For this is the year in which America died,  
Yes this is the year they will remember America died...  
So lets all start singin'

Bye, bye Miss American Pie  
You can drive your Chevy to the levee  
But the churches are all dry  
And all of the pastors are all just good ole boys  
They might as well drink their whiskey and rye  
For this will be the year that they all die  
This'll be the year that they all die

Helter skelter is coming soon  
Even though we once walked the moon  
And in the heat of next summer's swelter  
Americans will watch as their cities burn ...

But Americans don't even have a fallout shelter  
Eight miles high will be the blast  
And then the fallout will be falling fast  
turning our land so foul that it will kill the grass  
As the pretenders try another forward pass

While the jester on the sidelines, he just laughs  
With his face disguised, he wears a pastor's robe,  
Now that all of the Charasmagic spells are cast  
But nobody even cared they won't last ...  
The spirit of God forsook that show

So many, many years ago,  
on the day the holy music died

Now the half-time air has sweet perfume  
While patriots play their marching tune  
but the day of reckoning is still coming soon

And as all the people get up to dance  
They are all shocked to learn  
they will never get another chance  
'Cause as the men of God tried to take the field  
The false worship band refused to yield  
Do you recall what was revealed  
The day the righteous music died?  
Oh, and now we're all in the same place  
Our generation is so lost in space  
With no time left to even try again

So America must now pay for all her sin  
As sorrow fills her eyes, they slowly dim  
The Jester's promises were all just lies  
We will soon learn we traded our birthright  
For a cheap disguise, but never mind

So come on, Jack be nimble, Jack be quick  
The witches are burned by their candlestick  
'Cause this fallen nation we once knew  
is now the devil's only friend

Oh and as I watched him prancing on the stage  
My hands were clenched in fists of rage  
Only an angels born in Hell  
would dare to invoke Satan's spell

As the flames climbed higher into the night  
They light the sacrificial rite  
And I saw Satan laughing with delight  
The day the righteous music died

I met a girl who once sang the blues  
I asked her for some happy news  
She just smiled and as she turned away  
I thought I heard her try to say  
I have to raise a remnant for my King.

So I went back down to the sacred store  
Where I'd heard the righteous music  
many years before but the man there said  
the sacred music will no longer play

And in the streets of America  
the children will scream  
The lovers shall all cry  
while the poets still dream

But not one word of truth is spoken  
For the church bells are all now broken  
America threw away God's Holy Word  
The seeds of truth all stolen by the birds

Now in Babylon there is never a holy night  
While the people pretend that it's all right  
And now Jesus' name cannot even be spoken  
For our holy vows have all been broken

And America thought we were just playing a game,  
And that somehow we could choose all this sin,  
And follow the devil and yet only win

Now America's churches all empty out  
They will breathe their last sigh without a shout  
For the word of God is no longer welcome here  
And our nation has forgotten his holy fear.

Now prosperity is their only thought  
As the lies of the Joker have all been bought  
And in our land they only curse his name  
And in their sin, they have no shame

And all the people are fast sleep  
With spells so strong they can't be woken  
Yet one day they find all is broken  
So now everyone is so confused  
Ever since the day the music died.

And the one man who I admire most  
The Father's son, who came filled with the Holy Ghost  
He has prepared a last train and it's headed for the coast  
To save his remnant on that day,  
the day the righteous music died  
Songwriter: MCLEAN, DON

Revised Lyrics written on December 31, 2015  
On the eve of the year in which the music in America will  
finally die.

The New Tactics of Global War: Reflections on the Changing  
Balance of Power in the Final Days of Peace is available  
through NWV.

2016 Benjamin Baruch – All Rights Reserved

---

## **America's wish list for 2016**

As 2016 roars into life, you probably wrote a list of things you hope to accomplish in your personal life in the coming year. If you didn't write it down, you probably made a few promises to yourself. The key: you must take action to make your life productive, purposeful and successful.

The same goes for our country. We need a vision, a plan and a course of action. I hope most Americans feel the need for positive change. Examine these wishes for the New Year.



For 2016, after the seven-year train-wreck Barack Obama engineered against our country, I hope Barack Hussein Obama quickly fades into our rear view mirrors. He proved himself contemptuous of the American people of all colors. Obama usurped our U.S. Constitution by illegally breaking its mandates. He took the law into his own hands with his executive amnesty of millions of illegal aliens who violated our laws and continue to violate our laws.

He jumped our food stamp dependents from 36 million to 48 million because his mantra for "hope and change" didn't include creating jobs for whites, blacks or Hispanics. During his White House years, he did nothing to change the job pictures for most African-Americans who suffered unemployment. He led no one out of poverty, but he plunged millions of Americans into the poor house.

When you realize that he oversaw 73 percent of African-American children arrived out of wedlock and into welfare poverty—but he did nothing—you wonder how did he fool so many for so long with his lack of leadership.

Obama jumped the federal deficit from \$13 trillion to \$19 trillion. It shows you how incompetent his reign of governing, especially since he played so much golf, instead of steering our country toward prosperity.

As to violence, he never once changed the 6,000 annual black on black killings in America. But he did create the greatest racial unrest we've seen since the 60s. As to violence, he oversaw incredible Muslim violence against Americans here in America by importing millions of Muslims that equated to the Boston Marathon Bombings, Chattanooga, Tennessee killings and on to the San Bernardino massacre.

Along the way, set in motion the importation of 200,000 Muslim Syrian refugees against the will of the majority of the American people, who eventually, if not stopped, those Muslims

expect to rain down more terror upon our country. This Muslim president expects to carry out as much violence as possible by seeding our country with his fellow Muslims.

“Islam isn’t in America to be equal to any faith, but to become dominant. The Qur’an should be the highest authority in America, and Islam the only accepted religion on Earth.” Omar Ahmed, director of Council on American Islamic Relations.

Which leads me to my highest wish for 2016. If you look at presidential candidates on both sides of the aisle, you see the same old tired faces of career corrupt politicians. They lie so much they think it’s normal. Hillary Clinton and Marco Rubio—if either of them carried the White House, you would see zero change of improvement in America. You would see the same old open borders and immigrants flooding into our country by the millions annually. You would see the same old “free trade” killing our middle class Americans by stealing jobs.

You would see endless and cyclical welfare keeping blacks, poor whites and Hispanics subsisting on food stamps rather than the dignity of working a job. You would see Obamacare crashing onto the rocks of bankruptcy.

That’s why my wish lists includes a man who will fundamentally take America back to her successful roots: our U.S. Constitution and the rule of law.

Whether you like him or not, Donald Trump speaks to the America’s future. Not platitudes and bromides! Yes, he’s brash and yes, he gets the job done. He doesn’t blow smoke up your pants like Obama’s “hope and change” idiocy seven years ago that amounted to total incompetence.

“Let’s make America great again” beats anything Obama ever said or did. Let Trump’s ego work for you. He’s a proven leader. He knows how to succeed. When he talks, he follows up with action and results. He’s All-American. I want an American president born in this country by American parents and who

grew up in this country—and love America. I want a president who cares about our citizens' welfare rather than those arriving illegally. I want a president without 13 Muslim aides in the White House working for the overthrow of America.

Obama's top aide Valerie Jarrett said this in 1977 in the yearbook at Stanford University: "I'm an Iranian by birth and my Islamic faith. I am also an American citizen and I seek to help change America to be a more Islamic country. My faith guides me and I feel like it is going well in the transition of using freedom of religion in America against itself."

For certain, I want our laws enforced such as arresting, prosecuting and jailing employers of illegal aliens. Take away jobs from illegal aliens and offer them at a living wage to Americans. The illegals will pack up and go home on their own dime without jobs to sustain them illegally in our country. I want new "fair trade" laws that bring manufacturing back to America. I want our kids given quality educations with English as the standard of excellence in the classroom. I want all legal and illegal immigration stopped. I especially want all Muslim immigration stopped so my kids and our neighbors don't become collateral damage like San Bernardino or Paris, France.

That's my 2016 wish list. What would you add to it? What do you want for your country? What do you want for your kids?

If you vote the same old career, corrupt politicians into office, you're going to see the same old results from both sides of the political aisle. Happy New Year 2016.

If you don't want a Paris, France or San Bernardino event in your community, it's time to call for a total "Immigration Shutdown Now."

Call your senators and House rep: 1 202 224 3121. Demand a stop to all immigration and stop to any Syrian immigration.

Definition of slogan: "Immigration Shutdown Now means the

American people want a total shutdown on all legal and illegal immigration. That means we want all illegal immigration stopped by arresting, prosecuting and jailing employers of illegal aliens. We deport all illegal aliens by taking their jobs away and as we catch them. We want English mandated as our national language. We demand a cessation of Muslim immigration in order to protect our culture, language and way of life. We can't save the world but we can destroy our civilization. We demand a stable population that allows everyone to live, work and thrive into the 21st century. Especially our children." FHW

That's why you need to take action. Send this series to everyone in your network. Educate them. Urge them to take action by joining these websites to become faxers of prewritten letters and phone callers. We must force Congress into an "Immigration Shutdown Now!

Share these videos all over America:

[www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org) ;  
[www.CarryingCapacity.org](http://www.CarryingCapacity.org) ; [www.thesocialcontract.com](http://www.thesocialcontract.com)

In a five minute astoundingly simple yet brilliant video, "Immigration, Poverty, and Gum Balls", Roy Beck, director of [www.numbersusa.ORG](http://www.numbersusa.ORG), graphically illustrates the impact of overpopulation. Take five minutes to see for yourself.

"Immigration by the numbers—off the chart" by Roy Beck

This 10 minute demonstration shows Americans the results of unending mass immigration on the quality of life and sustainability for future generations: in a few words, "Mind boggling!" [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org)

America: [www.CapsWeb.org](http://www.CapsWeb.org) ; [www.NumbersUSA.org](http://www.NumbersUSA.org) ; [www.Fairus.org](http://www.Fairus.org)  
; [www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org](http://www.CarryingCapacityNetwork.org)

Canada: [www.immigrationwatchcanada.org](http://www.immigrationwatchcanada.org)

United Kingdom: [www.populationmatters.org](http://www.populationmatters.org)

Australia: [www.population.org.au](http://www.population.org.au) Sustainable Population

# CIA supporting the Islamic indoctrination of our children

“Is it not a crime to take a perfectly healthy, normal human child, train him or her up as an animal, destroy the special intellectual gifts which God gave that human being, so that he or she will live the life of a stunted mental cripple, unable to enjoy a good book, a philosophical conversation, or a sense of what it means to be a child of God? –Dr. Samuel Blumenfeld 2002

Our Children Do Become What They Are Taught! This is why it is so important to pay attention to what is being FED to our children and who is behind it.

A declassified US intelligence report from the Pentagon that was released earlier this year, reveals that Western support for Syria’s rebels **aided and abetted** the rise of the ‘Islamic State’. The report included high-level confirmation that the US-led strategy in Syria contributed directly to the rise of the Islamic State (IS).

The document shows that in coordination with the Gulf states and **Turkey**, the West intentionally sponsored violent Islamist groups to destabilize Assad, and that these “supporting powers” desired the emergence of a “[Salafist Principality](#)” in Syria to “isolate the Syrian regime.”

We have not been given a choice in this war – Assad or ISIS!

Despite anticipating that Western, Gulf state and Turkish support for the “Syrian opposition”—which included al-Qaeda in Iraq—could lead to the emergence of an ‘Islamic State’ in Iraq and Syria (ISIS), the document provides no indication of any decision to reverse the policy of support to the Syrian rebels.

Among the batch of documents obtained by Judicial Watch through a federal lawsuit, released earlier this week, a US Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) [document](#) then classified as “secret,” is dated 12th August 2012.

Some outlets have reported the US intelligence community’s internal prediction of the rise of ISIS. Yet none have accurately acknowledged the disturbing details exposing how the West knowingly fostered a sectarian, al-Qaeda-driven rebellion in Syria.

Noting that “the Salafist”, the Muslim Brotherhood, and AQI al-Qaeda in Iraq are the major forces driving the insurgency in Syria,” the document states that “the West, Gulf countries, and Turkey support the opposition,” while Russia, China and Iran “support the Assad regime.”

In a section titled ‘The Future Assumptions of the Crisis,’ the DIA report predicts that while Assad’s regime will survive, retaining control over Syrian territory, the crisis will continue to escalate “into proxy war.”

The document also recommends the creation of “**safe havens** under international sheltering, similar to what transpired in Libya when Benghazi was chosen as the command center for the temporary government.”

According to Brad Hoff, a former US Marine who served during the early years of the Iraq War and as a 9/11 first responder at the Marine Corps Headquarters Battalion in Quantico from

2000 to 2004, the just released Pentagon [report](#) for the first time provides stunning affirmation that: *“US intelligence predicted the rise of the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL or ISIS), but instead of clearly delineating the group as an enemy, the report envisions the terror group as a US strategic asset.”*

In the past several weeks 2,000 fighters, 250 vehicles and hundreds of tons of ammo has been sent from Turkey to Islamic terrorists in Syria giving Britain cause to enter the war. US Rep. Tulsi Gabbard’s warning to the American people about the Obama regimes actions said: *“Russia’s installation of their anti-aircraft missile-defense system increases that possibility of – whether it’s intentional or even an accidental event – where one side may shoot down the other side’s plane, and that’s really where the potential is for this devastating nuclear war.”*

Now Putin has ordered Russia’s Ilyushin-80 giant command and control aircraft designated for use during nuclear war (otherwise known as the “Doomsday plane”) to prepare for worldwide war operations within a fortnight after military intelligence analysts discovered a “beyond staggering” plot by **United States and Turkish** government factions to bring down Turkey’s President Recep Erdogan and replace him with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) “designated figurehead” **Fethullah Gulen**—while at the same time utilizing Islamic State terrorists as “leverage”.

As if this information is not enough, another recently released document shows how the CIA is attempting to control the flip of the Turkish government and the [Middle East oil](#) while supporting Fethullah Gulen and his Charter schools here in America.

The CIA with full knowledge of who and what Gulen is and the purpose of those schools is in supporting their attempt to rule over Turkey while the Gulen goal is to re-instate the

Ottoman Empire while indoctrinating American children to build up their numbers.

“CIA’s Graham Fuller: One of the early advocates of using Muslim Brotherhood & Gülen Cemaat to advance US foreign policy.”

WITH OUR TAX DOLLARS AND THE INDOCTRINATION OF OUR CHILDREN!

What is wrong with our parents that they put their children into schools they know nothing about the leaders except it is a “Charter” school. With charters failing at an amazing rate, you would think they would think twice about putting their children in these schools. But, no....

So what does Putin ordering his Ilyushin-80 giant command and control aircraft designated for use during nuclear war as the “Doomsday plane” to prepare for worldwide war operations have to do with **Turkish Gulen Charter schools?**

Military intelligence analysts discovered a “beyond staggering” plot by United States and Turkish government factions to bring down Turkey’s President Recep Erdogan and replace him with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) “designated figurehead” Fethullah Gulen—while at the same time utilizing Islamic State terrorists as “leverage”.

This plot to overthrow the current government of Turkey by the CIA was first started in 2008 by the US military-intelligence establishment supported think tank [American Enterprise Institute](#) (AEI) whose board members are a “who’s who” of American military connected individuals, including former US Vice President Richard Cheney, and whose research papers advocated the United States creating what they call a “[moderate Muslim](#)” majority in the Middle East to battle Islamic extremists and whose target nation for this action was Turkey.

Aiding the CIA in this goal is of course none other than the



leader of the Gulen Charter schools who has been hiding in the Pocono Mountains since 1999 – Fethullah Gulen. The CIA will tell you he came here for “health reasons” but in truth he ran from his government and went into exile when he was accused of attempting to undermine Turkey’s secular regime in order to institute an Islamic state. He obtained his long time visa with the help of former [CIA officials](#).

2015 – Gulen also recently ran afoul again of Turkey’s president, Recip Erdogan. Erdogan accused Gulenists of operating a “parallel state,” and he reportedly lobbied President Obama last month to extradite Gulen back to Turkey. With the current CIA information, we know that is NOT going to happen.

These schools first started appearing the same year Gulen first appeared in 1999 with 17 of the original 165 schools no longer [open](#). Currently, there are 148 Gulen Charter schools operating in the U.S. in 27 different states. Texas (48) and Ohio (17) have the most schools with California and Florida following with 12 and 11 schools respectfully.

Under the leadership of Sheik Mohammad Fethullah Gülen the goal has always been to re-instate the Ottoman Empire by indoctrinating and recruiting American children, especially high school age, to follow their agenda.

In 2013 there was a split between Turkey’s Sunni Muslim Brotherhood Islamist leader, Premier Erdogan and Fethullah Gülen, after Erdogan banned non-state run preparatory schools. Both have the same goal of restoring the Ottoman Empire.

The FBI who has done several investigations of these schools across the country, has resisted in granting permanent residency to Gulen and according to [secret leaked cables](#), parts of the American government have long believed that Gülen “is a ‘**radical Islamist**’ whose moderate message cloaks a more sinister and radical agenda.”

After years of investigating him the FBI and the Department of Homeland Security, due to his guardian angels in the State Department and the CIA, are prevented from bringing an indictment against him, so they try to kick him out of the US. But once again Gulen's CIA angels step in and portray Gulen as a scholar, despite the fact that Gulen doesn't even have a high-school diploma and never went beyond the 5th grade.

[Ohio](#) state audits of these schools found thousands of public dollars "illegally expended" to finance the U.S. citizenship process for Turkish employees – some fresh out of college with no classroom experience and broken English. [Employees](#) are forced to give back a portion of each paycheck to fund the Foundation. – TY CIA!

AND YET GULEN IS ALLOWED TO OBTAIN MILLIONS IN TAXPAYER \$\$ WITH THE PRIMARY GOAL OF INDOCTRINATING OUR CHILDREN INTO ISLAM!

This report shows that shortly after the CIA got Gulen into the U.S., President Erdogan established in Turkey the Justice and Development Party in 2001 using a moderate Muslim platform to create change throughout the entire Middle East using part of Gulen's massive wealth estimated to be between \$22-50 billion.

Gulen also owns and operates over three hundred [Madrasas](#) around the world, including Pakistan, Central Asia, and the Caucasus. While Gulen's suspicious and secretive Madrasas have been shut down and or restrained in countries such as Russia, Uzbekistan, and Turkmenistan, based on these governments' justified suspicions that his schools had more than just education on their agendas, his rapidly and secretively expanding charter school empire here in the US has gone quite unnoticed and unacknowledged by legislators – however the CIA is well aware of what is happening.

The Gulen schools are one of the largest users of the H-1B

visa program bringing in teachers from Turkey claiming America does not have intelligent enough teachers in math and science to teach our students.

Collectively this is called the Gulen "Movement" and a large share of the schools are overseen by their own "for-profit" management company [Charter Educational Services & Resources](#) formerly Grace Institute for Educational Research and Resources .

The CIA coming up with this less than brilliant idea, believed they could use Gulen and abuse the religion in America as a cover to advance their design of global control. This dual arrangement was then brokered by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton between Erdogan and Gulen factions in Turkey to split the illegal oil wealth captured by Islamic State terrorists in Iraq and Syria—and which Hillary Clinton has been paid by Gulen, to both her family's foundation and presidential campaign, an estimated \$1 million.

To help support its diverse interests, Gulen movement supporters in the U.S. have in recent years begun to donate heavily to numerous political campaigns. Gulenists have also paid for hundreds of Turkish ["cultural" trips](#) for members of Congress from both sides of the political aisle.

In 2013, Prime Minister Erdogan discovered the CIA-Gulen plot against himself and Turkey which he publically labeled as an "international conspiracy" vowing revenge on Gulen and threatening Francis Ricciardone, the US ambassador to Turkey, with expulsion.

Fearing their "moderate Muslim" project would be destroyed, the CIA then transferred out of Turkey "selected" military and intelligence officers, before Erdogan could arrest them, to Iraq—and where on [8 April 2013](#) they declared themselves to be the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL/ISIS/Daesh/Islamic State).

So where does this leave our children with essentially stupid people (CIA) who believed they could use GULEN and they could abuse religion as a cover to advance their design for global control. Gulen [has his own agenda](#) and they are not the same.



December 1, 2015 Obama announced they were sending more American troops to Iraq to protect their Islamic State allies – BUT – Iraq’s Prime Minister, Haider al-Abadi, said they were [not welcome](#) and their powerful [Shiite militia leaders](#) vowed to kill the moment they set foot on Iraqi soil.

So what can we see as our Muslim president’s goal? We have evidence that over 14K Islamic refugees have vanished from [Sweden](#), but in fact have been [transported](#) to the U.S. More than 100 radical Islamic charter schools owned and operated by “King of Madrasas” Gulen in the United States set up by the brainchild of the CIA, a maze of dubious NGO’s—including George Soros’ Center for American Progress NGO which joined with Gulen, and which after this “beyond staggering” plot was discovered this past week caused Putin to label George Soros as threat to national security.

For a clearer picture America, these 14K refugees are to be placed in regions occupied by the Gulen Charter schools. Did our various state governors know of this plot? Why would our legislator’s support this use of our children to Muslim indoctrination?

Information in part came from original documents linked and the following:

- 1- [The Flanagan Experiments](#),
- 2- [Boling Frogs Post](#)
- 3- [Gulen Charter Schools](#)
- 4- [2011 Report](#)
- 5- [2014 Report](#)
- 6- [2015 Fethullah Gulen a Man of Peace and Love](#)

# News With Views Editor Weds British Film Star Yutte Stensgaard



By NWV Senior Political News Writer, Jim Kouri

## UPDATED VERSION

NewsWithViews.com editor Paul Walter, born in [Slovenia](#), [[Link 2](#)] (approximately 50 kilometers from where our First Lady Melania Trump was born) and former British film star and Danish model Yutte Stensgaard were married in a private ceremony in Grants Pass, Oregon, on June 25, 2012. The ceremony was performed by Rabbi Roy Masters at his private residence.

A former celebrated Scandinavian model, Yutte Stensgaard (born: Jytte Stensgaard) moved from Denmark to Great Britain

in 1963 to pursue a film career. Changing her name to the easier to pronounce "Yutte" Stensgaard, she made her debut in the British movie *"The Girl With a Pistol"* in 1968, which was filmed in Spain and starred British actor Richard Johnson ("Khartoum").



She then went on to appear in various British movies, mainly of the comedy, spy and horror genre, most famously the lead role in [Lust for a Vampire](#) (1971), as well as several television guest roles. The most notably was opposite Tony Curtis in the series "The Persuaders."

✘ Although Danish by birth, Yutte is considered a British actress since she worked exclusively on U.K. film and television projects. Critics believed she was a beauty the likes of which has never been seen since, and Yutte was often compared to the American icon Sharon Tate, who was considered a major loss for Hollywood when she was victimized by the murderous Charles Manson Family.

Stensgaard's fondest memory of her film career is her being labeled a Hammer Girl, named after the British film company that created some of today's most revered horror classics with the inimitable actors Christopher Lee – Britain's answer to Bela Lugosi – and Peter Cushing.

As a Danish-born actress, Yutte Stensgaard is listed as number 16 on a IMDb.com list of the "Most Beautiful Non-American Actresses," ahead of beauties such as Joan Collins, Barbara Carrera, and "Bond Girl" Carole Bouquet. As a horror-film star she ranks [number 20](#), ahead of Jane Fonda, Megan Fox, Kate Beckinsale and Jennifer Connelly.

## HAMMER GIRL



Hammer Films was a motion picture production studio that was based in the United Kingdom. Although founded in 1934, the company became profitable in the mid-1950s through the 1970s thanks to its series of Gothic horror movies.

Hammer also released science fiction, thrillers, and even comedies and television shows for the British Broadcasting Company (BBC)

During its most successful years, Hammer dominated the horror film market, enjoying worldwide distribution and considerable financial success

Besides stars such as Christopher Lee and Peter Cushing, Hammer also featured actors such as Oliver Reed ("Gladiator"), Oscar-winner Bette Davis, and Herbert Lom of the Pink Panther series

☒ But it was the Hammer Girls – some of the world's most beautiful women – who arguably drew millions of audience members to theaters playing Hammer productions

Prominently Included in the list of Hammer Girls is the name Yutte Stensgaard, who was on numerous "best-of" lists. Her starring role in "*Lust of the Vampire*" is considered legendary and the film itself is considered a cult classic.

A Born Again Christian, she moved to the United States and became a successful National Account Director for [Premiere Radio Networks](#), one of the largest radio networks in the US and home to the likes of Rush Limbaugh and Glenn Beck, owns several pieces of property and is an active supporter of the Republican Party.

Yutte is also pursuing her career as an artistic photographer and plans to hold an exhibition of her photos in the future. [Follow Yutte on her Facebook page.](#)

**UPDATE:**

[Anyone wishing to have Yutte Stensgaard's picture autographed, please send your picture of Yutte to be autographed in a self addressed envelope with a \$30.00 check to:

Yutte Stensgaard Walter  
P.O. Box 990  
Spring Branch, Texas, 78070  
USA

Yutte will autograph the picture and return it in your self addressed envelope.]

© 2013 NWV – All Rights Reserved

Contact E-Mail: [feedback@newswithviews.com](mailto:feedback@newswithviews.com)