

Comey places justice in voters hands

In an unprecedented press statement concerning the investigation into national security leaks through Hillary Clinton's private email server[s] last week, F.B.I. Director James Comey placed the scales of Justice in the hands of U.S. voters.

Director Comey stood before the country and listed the crimes committed by Hillary Clinton, as discovered through an extensive and expensive F.B.I. investigation..

FACT #1 – Hillary Clinton has now been confirmed to have jeopardized national security by her mishandling of sensitive "TOP SECRET" and "SECRET" national security data via her private email server[s] during her tenure as Secretary of States under Barack Obama.

"110 e-mails in 52 e-mail chains have been determined by the owning agency to contain classified information at the time they were sent or received. Eight of those chains contained information that was Top Secret at the time they were sent; 36 chains contained Secret information at the time; and eight contained Confidential information, which is the lowest level of classification. Separate from those, about 2,000 additional e-mails were "up-classified" to make them Confidential; the information in those had not been classified at the time the e-mails were sent." – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #2 – Hillary Clinton broke security protocol in the email server[s] she was using to transmit "TOP SECRET" and "SECRET" national security information and failed to keep a clean transparent record of those emails.

"Because she was not using a government account—or even a commercial account like Gmail—there was no archiving at all of her e-mails, so it is not surprising that we discovered e-

mails that were not on Secretary Clinton's system in 2014, when she produced the 30,000 e-mails to the State Department.”
– FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #3 – Clinton attorneys did not completely and transparently deliver all related email information to FBI investigators as required by law and expected from any honorable individual entrusted with national security data.

“The lawyers doing the sorting for Secretary Clinton in 2014 did not individually read the content of all of her e-mails, as we did for those available to us; instead, they relied on header information and used search terms to try to find all work-related e-mails among the reportedly more than 60,000 total e-mails remaining on Secretary Clinton's personal system in 2014.” – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #4 – Hillary Clinton (and many others) knew or should have known, aka had a responsibility to know, that this misuse of private unsecure servers was entirely inappropriate for the transmission of countless national security documents and messages.

“There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified system was no place for that conversation. In addition to this highly sensitive information, we also found information that was properly classified as Secret by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the time it was discussed on e-mail (that is, excluding the later “up-classified” e-mails). None of these e-mails should have been on any kind of unclassified system, but their presence is especially concerning because all of these e-mails were housed on unclassified personal servers not even supported by full-time security staff, like those found at Departments and Agencies of the U.S. Government—or even with a commercial service like Gmail.” – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #5 – The breach in national security at the State Department under the Secretary Clinton was systemic in nature, of the Obama Administration's lax handling of sensitive materials concerning national security.

"Separately, it is important to say something about the marking of classified information. Only a very small number of the e-mails containing classified information bore markings indicating the presence of classified information. But even if information is not marked "classified" in an e-mail, participants who know or should know that the subject matter is classified are still obligated to protect it. While not the focus of our investigation, we also developed evidence that the security culture of the State Department in general, and with respect to use of unclassified e-mail systems in particular, was generally lacking in the kind of care for classified information found elsewhere in the government." – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #6 – The announcement by FBI Director Comey follows 3 ½ hours of FBI interrogation of Hillary Clinton over the July 4th holiday weekend, while everyone else in Washington D.C. was home on recess. There is no mention of Clinton's IT person pleading the 5th 125 times during his FBI interview. It also follows reports by numerous news agencies a day earlier, that the FBI would not bring any criminal charges against Clinton, which means, the FBI had already released that information to the press to preempt the statements to follow on the morning of July 5, 2016.

FACT #7 – Evidence confirms that Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was at best, extraordinarily careless in her handling of TOP SECRET and CLASSIFIED government information pertaining to national security.

"There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified

system was no place for that conversation. In addition to this highly sensitive information, we also found information that was properly classified as Secret by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the time it was discussed on e-mail (that is, excluding the later “up-classified” e-mails). – None of these e-mails should have been on any kind of unclassified system, but their presence is especially concerning because all of these e-mails were housed on unclassified personal servers not even supported by full-time security staff, like those found at Departments and Agencies of the U.S. Government—or even with a commercial service like Gmail.” – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

FACT #8 – And then Director Comey stated that there was no evidence of “intent” to disclose national security information.

“Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information.” – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

Finally, FACT #9 – Despite evidence of high crimes being committed, Director Comey concluded that no criminal charges should be brought against Hillary Clinton, the Democratic Party nominee for President of the United States.

“To be clear, this is not to suggest that in similar circumstances, a person who engaged in this activity would face no consequences. To the contrary, those individuals are often subject to security or administrative sanctions. But that is not what we are deciding now. As a result, although the Department of Justice makes final decisions on matters like this, we are expressing to Justice our view that no charges are appropriate in this case.” – FBI Director James Comey, July 5, 2016

Much like the Congressional hearings on Clinton’s role in the

Benghazi raid that resulted in the death of four Americans who had been begging for additional security for almost a year prior, the F.B.I. investigation discovered numerous criminal acts by Hillary Clinton, some of which rise to the level of treason and espionage. But neither federal body had the courage or decency to hold Clinton accountable for those crimes.

In the end, Director Comey did the same thing Congressional Republicans did with their numerous investigations... they discovered numerous crimes and made those crimes public through statements, just before taking no serious action against the criminals involved.

Days earlier, Bill Clinton arranged a “chance” meeting with DHS head Loretta Lynch, which is also a violation of law and standard protocol during the legal criminal investigations of his wife. No one is shocked that Bill’s wife Hillary was immediately let off the hook for her crimes in the days that followed that “chance” encounter.

But what is shocking is how many American can openly support a known criminal who breeched national security as Secretary of State, for President of the United States. Despite her decades of criminal activities, no one in government is going to hold her accountable, which places the scales of justice squarely in the hands of American voters.

Will the voters hold Hillary accountable for her many crimes?

© 2016 JB Williams – All Rights Reserved