

Dear Mike Lindell



By Lex Greene

Great pillows! Thank you...first time in years that I wake up every day without any neck or back pain...Now, to the point of my reaching out.

There is zero doubt that the 2020 elections were stolen via unprecedented levels and methods of election fraud, committed by the Biden–Harris campaign, the Democrat Party, and a laundry list of their global comrades and domestic fifth column Marxists. I am 100% confident that your investment in election integrity has resulted in massive indisputable evidence that will prove these facts beyond any honest doubt. Thank you!

The question is, who do you need to prove it to, and how?

Following your updates and comments on the matter, I understand that you have been advised by legal beagles to take your evidence directly to the [U.S. Supreme Court](#) via a [Quo Warranto](#) filing. If true, your legal beagle advisers have sent you on a suicide mission that will destroy all of the work you have done to expose 2020 fraud. Here's why...

As I'm certain you have noticed over recent years, the Federal Courts are a total disaster in terms of their understanding and reverence for Constitutional Law. The most common response from Federal Courts concerning constitutional issues, especially any attempt to expose government corruption and fraud, is "denied access, due to lack of standing." This has become the catch-all escape hatch the courts use to prevent evidence like yours, from ever being presented in their

tightly controlled courts.

NOTE: Never forget that numerous Federal and State Courts participated in the 2020 fraud.

In this case, a Quo Warranto case concerning the 2020 elections would be based upon a question to the court, essentially asking "by what authority does Joe Biden and Kamala Harris have the right to occupy the Oval Office?" A reasonable and logical question, based upon the mountain of evidence that proves they have no legitimate right whatsoever to occupy the Oval Office.

However, if you file a Quo Warranto case with the U.S. Supreme Court on the basis of your valuable evidence, one of two things will happen, neither of which is good, and your investment and work will all be for nothing.

a) The Court will again decline to accept the case, citing your work as unreliable, irrelevant and an attempt to cause the overthrow of the duly elected Biden regime. The court will refuse to weigh in, citing the 2020 certification of the election results, ruling that the election results certified for 2020 stand as is. No evidence to the contrary allowed to be presented.

(Or)

b) The Court will jump at the chance to accept your case and then they will answer your Quo Warranto question like this –"Fifty states certified the 2020 results, supported by the Electoral College vote and certified again by the US Congress, without objection. The election is over, better luck next time."

In other words, you will have slit your own throat by following the suicidal advice of legal beagles who have been losing cases like this in Federal Courts for years now. Once they walk you into this trap, intentionally or

unintentionally, there will be nothing left after that.

Further, because the U.S. Constitution reserves all power over elections as an authority of each state, via each state legislature, the Federal Courts really have no legal authority over this matter to begin with. Because elections are a state-by-state matter, so is the challenge of any state election result.

If you want to take your evidence to any court, the right court would be the State Supreme Court in which you have evidence to prove that the certified outcome of the 2020 elections in that state were rotten with fraud, resulting in an unlawful and unconstitutional outcome which must be overturned.

The better legal tool for this type of case would be a [Writ of Mandamus](#) filing with each State Supreme Court, demanding the court review all of your evidence, and issue an order requiring State Officials to decertify previous fraudulent certifications, claw back the state's Presidential Electors and any fraudulent down-ballot results, and certify the new results, correcting the fraud and holding everyone responsible for it accountable, under violations of their Oaths of Office and State election code.

State-by-state, this is the right way to overturn the fraud in a courtroom, by constitutional process in each state, resulting in the overturning of the 2020 fraud nationally. By going this route, the U.S. Supreme Court will be forced to uphold the lawful and constitutional actions of the states who have righted the wrong via proper methods.

All Supreme Courts, both Federal and State, have two types of jurisdiction, appellate(which most are familiar with) and [original](#), which few people seem to know or understand today, especially in the lawyer class. In order to go directly to a Supreme Court bypassing all lower courts, a case must be an

“original jurisdiction” case.

“Original jurisdiction is distinguishable from appellate jurisdiction, which is the power of a court to hear and enter judgment upon a case brought for review. For example, the U.S. Supreme Court’s caseload consists almost entirely appellate cases from the circuit courts of appeal.” This is because British Common Law standards are being unconstitutionally applied in our court system today, using both “procedural” and “precedence” to control access to the courts.

When a court rules on “lack of standing” without ever hearing the case, they are making a “procedural” ruling. They are saying that the case does not meet the procedural standards created by the courts or legislatures, necessary to be granted access to the court.

When regarding an “original jurisdiction” case, the high court must possess “original jurisdiction” over the matter being brought before the court. If not, then the case must be filed in a lower court and go through the lengthy and costly process of an appellate process in order to enter the high court on appeal.

When a matter pertains to a “constitutional crisis” or a “constitutional conflict,” Supreme Courts are supposed to be the first court to hear the case, bypassing all lower courts due to the severity and dangers associated with the case, rising to a level of URGENT “original jurisdiction” for the high court. No other court has any jurisdiction on original jurisdiction cases.

You will also have to make sure you can pass the bar set for “legal standing” in bringing an “original jurisdiction” case.

A case regarding the integrity of elections within a state is an original jurisdiction case for the State Supreme Court. Just make sure you approach the court in a manner which provides proof of “legal standing,” which essentially means,

the right to approach the court on the matter. SEE "[legal standing](#)" here.

In closing, the State Legislature in each State has full legal authority over elections within the state. This is the proper place to expose, fight and resolve election fraud that happened within the state. But the State Supreme Court could be utilized to "order" the legislature or other State Officials to abide by their oaths of office and do the job they were elected to do, via a Writ of Mandamus.

Especially in today's corrupt and convoluted federal court system, I would do everything possible to avoid asking Federal courts for their legal opinion about anything, especially if it puts them in a highly uncomfortable position of having to consider overturning a national election that has already been allowed to happen and stand for more than six months.

Godspeed Mr. Lindell...

© 2021 Lex Greene – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Lex Greene: NWVLexGreene@gmail.com