

# Democrats                      Anti-American Agenda, Part 1

As we begin to sash our way out of the 2018 Midterm elections, we are made aware to at least two glaring realities. First, the Democrats will do anything to win an election, legal or not, and not care how open they are about it. And second, they will do anything to undo the 2016 presidential election.

I remember very well when Hillary Clinton stated that if a person didn't accept the results of an election in America that it would be 'direct threat to our democracy' if he didn't accept the results of the 2016 election.[1] The reason she said that was because she was assured that she would win. George Soros even said that Trump may win the popular vote, but Clinton would win the Electoral College. It was "a done deal".[2] If it was a 'done deal', then the election had to be rigged. But that is just how bad of a candidate Hillary was, she couldn't even win an election that was massively rigged in her favor.

Let me quote our Founders on the type of person that we need to have in office. Thomas Jefferson stated, "***The great principles of right and wrong are legible to every reader; to pursue them requires not the aid of many counselors. The whole art of government consists in the art of being honest. Only aim to do your duty, and mankind will give you credit where you fail.***" You see that he brings up a point that the Democrats are not capable of achieving, honesty. Jefferson was very big on government that kept to its constitutional role. He didn't like the judiciary very much because he saw that they could, and probably would, become an oligarchy that would become more powerful than the other two branches combined. They have achieved that objective. When Justice Sotomayor was going through the confirmation process a video

of her, which has somehow disappeared from the internet, claiming that even though they are not supposed to make law, they do it all the time. She did say this in a 2005 Duke University panel discussion; ***“All of the legal defense funds out there, they’re looking for people with Court of Appeals experience. Because it is – Court of Appeals is where policy is made. And I know, and I know, that this is on tape, and I should never say that. Because we don’t ‘make law,’ I know. [Laughter from audience] Okay, I know. I know. I’m not promoting it, and I’m not advocating it. I’m, you know. [More laughter] Having said that, the Court of Appeals is where, before the Supreme Court makes the final decision, the law is percolating. Its interpretation, its application. [3]***

Jefferson warned on wanton interpretation of our Constitution by jurists who hold strong *party* ideals. ***“Our peculiar security is in possession of a written Constitution. Let us not make it a blank paper by construction. ... If it is, then we have no Constitution. ... [T]o consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions ... would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy. ... In questions of power, then, let no more be heard of confidence in man, but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the Constitution.”*** Understanding that our ‘Court’ determined that blacks were not real humans. Many southern states had laws on the books stating just that. ***From the late 1870s, Southern state legislatures, no longer controlled by carpetbaggers and freedmen, passed laws requiring the separation of whites from “persons of colour” in public transportation and schools. Generally, anyone of ascertainable or strongly suspected black ancestry in any degree was for that purpose a “person of colour”; the pre-Civil War distinction favouring those whose ancestry was known to be mixed—particularly the half-French “free persons of colour” in Louisiana—was abandoned. The segregation principle was extended to parks, cemeteries, theatres, and restaurants in an effort to prevent any contact between blacks and whites as equals. It was codified on local***

*and state levels and most famously with the “[separate but equal](#)” decision of the [U.S. Supreme Court in Plessy v. Ferguson \(1896\)](#).[4] Let me remind my readers that these laws were all Democrat supported laws that were supported until the 1965 Civil Rights Laws signed by President Lyndon Johnson, a racist in his own right. He just saw a new way to enslave the black population. Even Charles Barkley, the great basketball player said that blacks have been voting for Democrats for 50 years and the Democrats have done nothing for them.*

So, we can see that the ‘Court’ is not always correct in its interpretations. Keep in mind that this same court decided that the right to an abortion was discussed in the Constitution as well. In the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment they saw the right of an illegal to sneak into our country, have a baby and that baby is a US citizen. The author of this Amendment, Senator Jacob M. Howard (MI) stated concerning his bill: ***“This will not, of course, include persons born in the United States who are foreigners, aliens, who belong to the families of ambassadors or foreign ministers accredited to the Government of the United States, but will include every other class of people.”*** You have to be pretty arrogant to think that your concept of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment overrides that author’s true meaning, but Democrats are that way. Democrats do this because they know that the illegals will vote for them. They deny that, but they are liars. Remember how upset they were about the alleged ‘Russian collusion’ that got Trump elected? If they were so concerned about outside interference in our elections, they would be just as upset over the illegals that voted in the last twenty elections we’ve had, but they aren’t. ***The House of Representatives voted on [a bill \(H.Res 1071\) Wednesday which recognizes that allowing illegal immigrants the right to vote diminishes the voting power of US citizens. The bill passed by a margin of 279-72, with 69 voting “present.”](#)***

***STAT OF THE DAY: 140 Democrats refused to vote ‘YES’ on a***

***resolution condemning voting by illegal immigrants in our elections.*** [5]

As we continue to look at the actions of the Democrat party, we see that they are doing nothing for the American people. They are doing what they feel they must do to regain power and that is their only interest.

© 2018 Roger Anghis – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Roger Anghis: [roger@buildingthetruth.org](mailto:roger@buildingthetruth.org)

FootNotes

1. [Clinton said anyone who doesn't accept the results of an election is a threat to democracy](#)
2. [George Soros, Hillary Clinton electoral college](#)
3. [Supreme court nominee Sonia Sotomayor in her own words](#)
4. [www.britannica.com/event/Jim-Crow-law](http://www.britannica.com/event/Jim-Crow-law)
5. [One Republican and 140 democrats refuse](#)
6. Defining America's Exceptionalism, Roger Anghis (Westbow Press, Bloomington, IN) pp. 17-18.