## Democrats Anti-American Agenda, Part 2

Ever since the 2016 election we have seen what is the real heart of the Democrat Party and that heart is, they demand, absolutely demand, power. Power over the whole population, power to control what you do, when you do it, how you do it. We have also seen that the Democrats have no rules that they operate by but they do have rules that their opposition must operate by.

You would have to be living on another planet if you don't know that the Russians influenced our elections for the benefit of Donald Trump, even though after two years there has been zero evidence to prove that. But there have been tons of evidence that Hillary Clinton and the DNC were involved with the Russians to influence the election to their benefit. Also keep in mind that Hillary, for massive donations to one of her foundations, arraigned for Russia to buy twenty percent of our uranium. The sample was even hand carried to Russia by none other than Robert Mueller, the lead investigator of the Trump/Russian collusion. I think that is a conflict of interest but what do I know.

If the Democrats were for the people, they would put economic programs together that would benefit businesses, so businesses could grow and provide jobs for the people and help the people achieve the American dream, but no Democrat in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, except for JFK, did that. No Democrat in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century has done it yet either. They just duplicate the failed policies of the failed Democrat presidents of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, Woodrow Wilson, FDR, Jimmy Carter and Bill Clinton. The only reason Clinton had any economic success was because he had a Republican majority Congress that gave him an economic plan that worked. Keep in mind he vetoed it twice

before signing it realizing that if it worked, he would get the credit. Remember Hillary saying that she would have Bill put a budget together, *because he did so good when he was* president? That was it. It wasn't his.

Also, if the Democrats were for the people, they would make sure that the American people are protected from losing their constitutional rights. Well, therein lies the problem. It is the Democrats that have always done all they could to take those rights away. Hillary wants to be able to determine what a person can say, when he can say it. Hence, following the President's lead, Democrats have chanted on and on about "dark" money and the need for an amendment. In 2013, Senator Tom Udall (D-NM) proposed an amendment to limit political speech allowing Congress to regulate and limit fundraising and spending on Federal and State candidates. The law would prohibit the Supreme Court from declaring any legislation limiting and controlling unconstitutional spending. In other words, eviscerating the essence of the First Amendment — freedom from coercive government:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Last year, over 50 Senators, all Democrats, voted for Udall's proposed amendment. Now, Hillary Clinton supports the power of Congress and State legislators to determine who can donate to a political candidate, how much a candidate can spend, and when the money can be spent. Senator Udall's amendment also "advance(s) the fundamental principle of political equality for all." So, besides making a new amendment for political speech, it could easily lead to equity of content, value and whatever politicians and their regulators concoct. In other words, it will eviscerate the First Amendment and let elected politicians determine who can contribute, how much, and what

can be stated for or against them. Once elected, a politician would almost be totally assured of holding office for life.

[1] Hillary also want to destroy the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment: According to The Washington Post, we are supposed to forget that in the early autumn of 2015 Hillary Clinton said the Supreme Court got it "wrong on the Second Amendment." In point of fact, we weren't even supposed to hear her say this. Someone <u>leaked an audio</u> recording from a private fundraiser hosted in Greenwich Village. Better known as "the Village" in New York City, this is an upscale neighborhood on the west side of Lower Manhattan known for being stuffed with wealthy, liberal-progressives. It is a place where President Barack Obama and Hillary have gone, again and again, to raise money for their campaigns.

When Hillary said this, she was at the home of John Zaccaro, who is the widower of the late Geraldine Ferraro. Ferraro was a congresswoman and, in 1984, the Democratic Party's vice-presidential nominee. On the recording you can hear these wealthy and/or connected people applaud after Hillary says, "I was proud when my husband took [the National Rifle Association] on, and we were able to ban assault weapons, but he had to put a sunset on so 10 years later. Of course [President George W.] Bush wouldn't agree to reinstate them.... And here again, the Supreme Court is wrong on the Second Amendment. And I am going to make that case every chance I get." [2] I may be wrong but when you want to take away or at least strongly limit our 1st Amendment rights, completely remove the 2nd Amendment, that should violate your oath to uphold the Constitution.

Now, with the Democrats in control of the House, will we see them work on legislation to build the wall?, tax breaks for businesses and the average Joe?, strengthen our military to keep America protected? No! They have two things on their agenda and neither are for the benefit of the American people. First item is, impeach Trump. They have 62

indictments ready to investigate Trump on everything from his business dealings for the last thirty years and everyone he's dealt with. The second item is eliminating the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment.[3] 2016 ticked them off. The people voted for who **they** wanted not for who the establishment wanted. They don't want us to have that much power. We have seen the massive voter fraud they are willing to do to get their way. They don't want the States to have safe guards on the voting process. They want to be able to do what ever they want, the American people be damned.

The Democrats are not the Democrats of our parents. They are now the Democrat Socialists. Hitler was a socialist and he allowed the brown shirts to intimidate the population to get his way. The Democrats are using Antifa, the fascist antifascists. They think all the population is as uniformed and stupid as their voting base. We're not, and we will resist their intimidation. The only advantage that Hitler had was he was able to disarm the population before his brown shirts started pushing people around. We're not disarmed, and they don't like that. Every election from this point out will determine if we stay free. Freedom isn't free and since the Civil War we have not had to fight on our own soil to maintain our freedom. That may change real soon.

© 2018 Roger Anghis — All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Roger Anghis: <a href="mailto:roger@buildingthetruth.org">roger@buildingthetruth.org</a>

## Foot Notes

- 1. <u>Hillary Clinton desecrate the first amendment</u>
- 2. <u>Proof that Hillary does want to make the second</u> amendment meaningless
- 3. <u>Liberal democrats 2019 agenda: get Trump, attack the 2nd</u> amendment