Destroying America From Within, Part 4

The next phase we see is they begin to resist authorities and customs. With that we see a rise of crimes that are allowed under shariah. The most common is what is called 'honor killings'. Under shariah a woman is nothing more than a piece of property that the husband can do anything with whenever he wants, and the 'wife' has no right to object. In New Jersey a muslim man raped his wife and she filed charges. Keep in mind, in America a husband cannot rape his wife. The woman cannot be forced against her will but under shariah, a woman has no choice. She cannot refuse.

Liberals running interference for Islam while bashing Christianity and Judiasm, can see the results of their Sharia friendly liberal judge activism in the New Jersey case of "S.D. v. M.J.R. (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div.)" In this recent case, a judge declared Rape acceptable because of Muslim cultural traditions.

The Quran gives husbands supreme authority over a woman.

Muhammad said: "If a husband calls his wife to his bed [i.e. to have sexual relation] and she refuses and causes him to sleep in anger, the angels will curse her till morning" (Bukhari 4.54.460). He also said: "By him in Whose Hand lies my life, a woman can not carry out the right of her Lord, till she carries out the right of her husband. And if he asks her to surrender herself [to him for sexual intercourse] she should not refuse him even if she is on a camel's saddle" (Ibn Majah 1854).

But this is America and you would think that American criminal and Constitutional law would prohibit rape. Well, as Sharia slowly attempts to make inroads, pillars of justice are

beginning to crumble.

In New Jersey, a judge saw no evidence that a Muslim committed sexual assault of his wife, NOT because he didn't do it, but because he was acting on his Islamic beliefs. In denying the woman an Order of Protection, the judge stated:

"This court does not feel that, under the circumstances, that this defendant had a criminal desire to or intent to sexually assault or to sexually contact the plaintiff when he did. The court believes that he was operating under his belief that it is, as the husband, his desire to have sex when and whether he wanted to, was something that was consistent with his practices and it was something that was not prohibited." [1]

Why this judge remained on the bench is a mystery. He is sworn to uphold the laws of the United States and the Constitution of the United States and the laws and Constitution of New Jersey. What needed to happen was the husband needed to understand that this behavior is not allowed in America NO MATTER WHAT HIS RELIGION ALLOWS!

Is this type of behavior very common? More common that we would like to admit. Many are not reported by the victim because the repercussions from her family would be very brutal if not even fatal in some insatances.

Hidden among thousands of nondescript murders and cases labeled as domestic violence are a mounting number of killings motivated by a radical and dark interpretation of Islam. Honor killings and violence, which typically see men victimize wives and daughters because of behavior that has somehow insulted their faith, are among the most secretive crimes in society, say experts.

"Cases of honor killings and/or violence in the U.S. are often unreported because of the shame it can cause to the victim and the victim's family," Farhana Qazi, a former U.S. government analyst and senior fellow at the Center for Advanced Studies on Terrorism, told FoxNews.com. "Also, because victims are often young women, they may feel that reporting the crime to authorities will draw too much attention to the family committing the crime."

Even cases that appear to be honor killings, such as the Jan. 1, 2008 murder of two Irving, Texas, sisters that landed their father on the FBI's most wanted list, cannot always be conclusively linked to a religious motivation. Without hard evidence, critics say, ascribing a religious motivation to crimes committed by Muslims demeans Islam. Yet, federal authorities believe they must be able to identify "honor" as a motive for violence and even murder if they are to address a growing cultural problem.

"Honor Violence Measurement Methods," a study released earlier this year by research corporation Westat, and commissioned by the U.S. Department of Justice, identified four types of honor violence: forced marriage, honor-based domestic violence, honor killing and female genital mutilation. The report, which estimated that 23-27 honor killings per year occur in the U.S., noted that 91 percent of victims in North America are murdered for being "too Westernized," and in incidents involving daughters 18 years or younger, a father is almost always involved. And for every honor killing, there are many more instances of physical and emotional abuse, all in the name of fundamentalist Islam, say experts.[2]

Why do we have judges that toss the Constitution aside and insert shariah? I have to say that they are liberal judge who believe that our Constitution is too restrictive and that we must adopt other nations laws. This is not a new concept as Ruth Bader Ginsberg and Elena Kagan both favor using international law in our courts!

In a rare commentary by a member of the Supreme Court on Senate hearings for a potential colleague, Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg on Friday sought to correct the views of members of the Senate Judiciary Committee who recently suggested that foreign law has no place in America's legal principles. In a speech at American University in Washington (the full text is here), Ginsburg said flatly that it is "very wrong...to charge that citing foreign law is a recent heresy advanced by liberal activist judges in pursuit of their political preferences." And, without naming names among senators, she used questions and comments by lawmakers at Justice-designate Elena Kagan's nomination hearings to illustrate the error she perceived.

Arguing that "foreign and international law [have] influenced legal reasoning and judicial decision-making" from the nation's birth, Ginsburg then invited her audience at a gathering of the International Academy of Comparative Law to "flash forward with me" to the Kagan hearings. "Queries," the Justice said, "about international and foreign law were several times posed" by senators, including one who voiced "dismay" that Kagan as Harvard law dean required students to take a course in international law.

Another lawmaker, she went on, "ventured that 'nowhere did the founders say anything about using foreign law." That senator then asked Kagan to explain "why it is OK sometimes to use foreign law to interpret our Constitution, or statutes, our treaties." And still another Committee member asked "whether [judges should] ever look to foreign laws for good ideas" or "get inspiration for their decisions from foreign law."

Ginsburg included, seemingly approvingly, some of Kagan's responses, including a comment that she was in favor of "good ideas...whereever you can get them." To which, Ginsburg noted, a senator responded that "I'm troubled" that Kagan "believes we can turn to foreign law to get good ideas."[3]

If you haven't noticed yet we are suffering from the results of the American people not paying attention to who we put in office. Our jurists are ignoring their oath to uphold the

Constitution and our law makers pay no attention to the Constitution when they make laws and they don't even pay attention to the laws passed. Eric Holder only enforced the laws he felt were proper. Voting more than once was OK with him as long as it was a black voting twice or three times for another black. I could go on but we can see how and why muslims feel it's OK to ignore our customs, ignore our laws and live like they still lived in their home country.

© 2019 NWV - All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Roger Anghis: roger@buildingthetruth.org

FootNotes

- 1. https://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20100914114
 312AA9ks2r
- 2. <u>Honor killing in America DOJ report says growing problem</u> is hidden in stats
- 3. Ginsburg on Kagan and foreign law