Did "The Federalists" Create our DC Monster?



By Lex Greene

November 30, 2023

In a word, YES! But stick with me a minute to understand.

It seems no matter the many things Americans have trouble agreeing on these days, the vast majority agree on one major thing...and that's the reality that our federal government has become so criminally corrupt that it's now public enemy #1 of the American people.

Ever wonder why the so-called "experts" use the term "democracy" when referring to our system, instead of what the U.S. Constitution created and guarantees every State and American Citizen, a "republican form of government?" How many Americans even know the critical difference between the two today?

Ever wonder why so many self-proclaimed "constitutional scholars" only reference the Federalist Papers when discussing issues with our runaway government, of, by and for themselves? Why do we never hear any of these "scholars" discuss the anti-Federalist Papers?

While the vast majority of Americans now agree that we have to do something to stop our federal government from destroying our country entirely, few agree on what the real problem is, much less what to do about it. That's because few Americans alive today know anything at all about the foundations of

freedom, how our government is supposed to work, and how it became destructive of the only purpose or intent of the government.

In part, people focus upon the Federalist Papers because that's what the "experts" told them to do. Also, because the Federalists won the debate at the convention to secure adoption of the Constitution. However, the Federalists were only able to gain adoption of the Constitution by agreeing to immediately amend it, in what soon after became the Bill of Rights.

What was the real difference between the two sides of that debate?

To put it simply, the Federalists supported the idea of a strong central federal government, while the anti-Federalists favored a very limited federal government leaving most matters to be solved locally within each State of the union.

To know this, one must read the anti-Federalist Papers in contrast to the Federalist Papers. One should begin by reading anti-federalist paper <u>Brutus #1</u> believed to have been written by and argued at the convention by New York <u>politician and judge Robert Yates</u>, who also wrote under the pen name "Sydney." "Brutus" eventually wrote 16 essays on the subject, that would be published in New York-area newspapers.

It's an error to refer to the Rights of the People as "constitutional rights," or rights granted the People in the Constitution. The document mentions no rights of the people at all as that is not the intent or purpose of the document. The sole purpose and intent of the Constitution is to create the federal government, under certain specific terms and conditions, granting that governmental body, broken into three distinct branches, certain powers designed to protect the individual liberty and security of the American Citizens.

What Americans possess are Natural Rights, under the Laws of

Nature and Nature's God, as endowed by our Creator. In fact, the Natural Rights of the People are "inalienable," — "that which cannot be legally or justly alienated or transferred to another." In recent years, academia has falsely claimed that this word is synonymous with the term "unalienable," as the two words are indeed very similar. They have even replaced "inalienable" with "unalienable" in modern samplings of historical documents.

The only difference between these two words is the word "inalienable" means beyond the power and authority of government. Simply stated, that which is "endowed by our Creator" cannot be legislated away by government. This is the foundation and true meaning of freedom and liberty, absent government tyranny by any method or means.

Americans by and large, agree with the anti-federalists, a republican form of government, limited in scope and authority, to prevent that government from ever becoming despotic and tyrannical towards its own people.

"Brutus" rightfully worried about the provision giving Congress "power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers," particularly when combined with the provision making federal laws the "supreme law of the land" and the government's extensive taxing power. "[T]he authority to lay and collect taxes is the most important of any power that can be granted," he concluded. "[I]t connects with it almost all other powers, or at least will in process of time draw all other after it." He worried that, under the circumstances, the little power left to the states "must very soon be annihilated." (SOURCE)

But a few key provisions in the Constitution were designed to prevent these things from ever happening...just to name a few.

• "in furtherance thereof" meant that government only had the power to enact things that furthered the founding principles of freedom and liberty in the Constitution and Bill of Rights. Governmental actions which are not "in furtherance thereof" are by their nature, unconstitutional.

- The "General Welfare" clause was designed to prevent the government from playing favorites, picking winners and losers by creating laws designed to help one group of People at the expense of others. All Marxist styled policies are in direct violation of the General Welfare clause.
- The "enumerated powers of Congress" meant that Congress has no power beyond the powers enumerated.
- "a Republican form of government" as opposed to a pure democracy, wherein any simple majority would have the power to rule over the minority at will, referred to as "mob rule" by our Founders.

To understand the concerns of the anti-federalists today, one need look no further than the tyrannical and despotic nature of today's federal government, now the single largest employer in the world, not just in our country.

The Federalists may or may not have intended to create the monster we have in DC today, but no matter their intentions, they did indeed create this monster. But it's very clear that the anti-federalists did all they could to prevent what we see today from ever happening in the USA, including by their Bill of Rights.

Contrary to the beliefs of many today, even the <u>Bill of Rights</u> is not an enumeration of the People's Rights. Instead, it's an additional enumeration of specific restrictions upon the authority of the federal government. The Natural Rights of the People are far too open-ended and numerous to ever attempt to list them. Our Rights do not come from, nor can they be regulated by any governmental body. In fact, all governmental authority is derived from us, the People. Government has no authority we don't give it and it only holds that authority,

so long as we allow it.

Like it or not, no matter what you may have thought true before now, only the anti-Federalist view can save our Republic now. It's the anti-Federalist view that must restore the Natural Rights of the People, as well as States Rights, both of which have been destroyed by the monster in DC that was created by Federalists.

Just imagine... In 1776, 8 American men initiated what became the Declaration of Independence from British rule. It took until 1787 to adopt a constitution and until 1789 to adopt the Bill of Rights. But before the calendar ticked over to 1800, the federal government was already busy destroying the republican form of government they created. Just a few years later, British Common Law was reinstalled as our legal system and 247 years later, the USA is on the brink of total collapse.

The anti-Federalists were absolutely right! Yet, nobody even mentions them today.

I expect hate mail from all the self-titled "experts" on the matter. But remember this...

"When an honest man, honestly mistaken, comes face-to-face with undeniable and irrefutable truth, he is faced with one of two choices; he must either cease being mistaken or cease being honest."

© 2023 Lex Greene - All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Lex Greene: lexgreene23@mailfence.com