

Dissecting TRUE Birthright Citizenship



By Lex Greene

February 15, 2025

Yes, I'm writing on the matter again, which should tell you just how critical this issue is to your future!

The matter of birthright citizenship has been around as long as humans have existed on earth. But government efforts to control everyone and everything, including every natural right of the people, have greatly convoluted the subject over many years, until people have trouble figuring out, as Bill Clinton once said, "what the true meaning of is...is."

As always, the "legal scholars" and so-called "experts" are at the epicenter of the never-ending debate. But the facts of the matter are actually quite simple and quite clear, at least for anyone seeking the truth.

In terms of citizenship at birth, there are only two basic legal principles in play, *jus soli*, and *jus sanguinis*.

jus soli – is a rule that the citizenship of a child is determined solely by the place of its birth, without any regard for where the child's parents hold their legal citizenship.

jus sanguinis – is a rule that a child's citizenship is determined by its parents' citizenship, regardless of the place of birth.

Clearly, these are two entirely opposite legal principles for how citizenship is established at the point of birth. This is also a clear distinction between “*native born*” based upon the place of birth, and “*natural born*,” based upon the family bloodline.

Native Born – *belonging to or associated with a particular place (such as a country) because of being born in that place. (jus soli)*

Natural Born – *belonging to or associated with a particular place (such as a country) because of being the natural offspring of citizen parents. (jus sanguinis)*

NOTE: Use of the *jus soli* principle would allow nations to unilaterally lay legal claim to children of foreign citizens just because the birth took place on their soil, in direct violation of Natural Law and the Natural Rights of the parents. The use of *jus soli* directly violates the principles of *jus sanguinis*.

Abuse and misuse of text in the 14th Amendment, by “legal experts,” over many years, has brought us to a point in history when the President of the United States finds it necessary to address the issue by trying to “[eliminate birthright citizenship](#).” But, unless you know what it is and isn’t, this may be a very dangerous effort threatening all true birthright citizens of the USA.

In order to properly interpret the 14th Amendment, it must be read in the context of all three post-Civil War reconstruction amendments dealing with former slaves and their families.

- **The 13th Amendment** affirmed Lincoln’s Emancipation Proclamation freeing the slaves.

“Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly

convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.”

- **The 14th Amendment** overruled the [Dred Scott Supreme Court opinion](#), and granted former slaves and their families legal citizenship.

“All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.”

- **The 15th Amendment** further granted former slaves and their families voting rights in the USA.

“The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude.”

Because former slaves and their families were not recognized as legal citizens of the United States until the adoption of the 14th Amendment, despite many having been “native born” here, the amendment relied upon the *jus soli* (born on soil) or “native born” legal principle to grant *naturalized* citizenship status to all former slaves and their families, as none were born here to any legal U.S. citizens at the time.

And because the amendment required a legislative process by Congress, it was originally titled a “[Naturalization Amendment](#)” as this is the only form of citizenship under the constitutional authority of Congress in Article I. The former slaves and their families were “naturalized” via the 14th Amendment.

Naturalize – to confer legal citizenship upon non-citizens.

As the [reconstruction amendments](#) were strictly intended to right wrongs of the past pertaining to former slave families, they were never intended to apply to anyone else. Still,

“legal experts” always seeking power over others, saw it necessary to redefine words and convolute phrases in order to amend the constitution without having to endure that pesky amendment process.

But truth is always obvious and in the end, inescapable.

Even if “legal experts” choose to apply the amendment intended for slaves to “illegal aliens” today, it would still be limited to a Congressional *Naturalization* Act, and not a “birthright” of any form.

No Birthrights Come from Government!

Constitutionally speaking, our government has no authority over any “birthrights” of the citizens. That’s because our Rights at Birth do not come from government, nor are they subject to the political whims of governments.

NOTE: *“One can still be a citizen while not being a “natural born citizen” if that person gained citizenship through the process of naturalization. As a result, naturalized citizens have all the same privileges and responsibilities as other U.S. citizens, except for U.S. Presidential eligibility. Today, 8 U.S.C. § 1101 defines naturalization as the “conferring of nationality of a state upon a person after birth, by any means whatsoever.”* [Source](#)

Any act of government to confer “legal citizenship” is an act of “naturalization.” The 14th Amendment is a “naturalization” amendment directed solely at former slaves and their families in 1868. The reconstruction amendments were never intended for anyone else.

But the goal of the “experts” isn’t just to erase true birthright citizenship in the USA, it’s to erase all natural birthrights of true Americans.

Life, Liberty, the pursuit of Happiness, freedom of religion

and the free exercise thereof; of speech, of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances, along with the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, are all “inalienable natural birthrights” of the people. Lose one, lose all!

Government “shall not [infringe](#) or [abridge...](#)”

Amendment IX

The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain [government] rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

Our national sovereignty and security, future of freedom, liberty, and justice, and every “natural birthright” we have by the grace of God, all depend upon the proper knowledge, respect for and allegiance to the true “birthright citizens” of the United States. “Anchor baby” citizens via an intentional misinterpretation of the 14th Amendment do not qualify.

Just as the 14th has nothing to do with marriage or gay rights, as imagined by our [activist Supreme Court in 2015](#), it has nothing whatsoever to do with true “birthrights” either.

If we continue to allow the “experts” to undermine our [Charters of Freedom](#) by simply manipulating words and phrases, and falsely interpreting imaginary text, we will lose everything the Founders tried to protect and preserve for all posterity, under the Laws of Nature and Nature’s God, the true source of all Natural Birthrights!

© 2025 Lex Greene – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Lex Greene: LexGreene24@gmail.com