Distraction Is Your Enemy's Greatest Weapon

Americans are being bombarded everyday with distractions. Glued to electronic gadgets, political baiting headlines filled with hate by members of the Democratic/Communist Party USA and Hollywood mental midgets like Robert DeNiro.

Ignorant, under educated Americans, racists serving in Congress like socialist Mad Maxine Waters and openly politically biased members of mainstream media, electronic and print, have all jumped on the garbage truck.

Since the legitimate election of Donald Trump, Democrats and their hate filled rank and file have done nothing but chant he's a racist, a Fascist, a Nazi. Take your pick. Keep up the relentless attacks against Trump to keep rank and file Democrats distracted from the historical fact that the Democratic Party's history is the party of in-your-face racism against black Americans.

Trump, his family, his staff and cabinet members are being confronted, harassed and threatened on a daily basis. All to keep the American people distracted away from the truth about the very real conspiracy inside the FBI and DOJ to keep Trump from getting elected and since the election, Impeach him!

Can't have the cattle who voted for Hildebeast Clinton find out just how corrupt that waste of human skin is and the lengths she went to cover up violating a list of federal laws (As well as the Uranium One deal) aided and abetted by dirty cop, James Comey and his minions and corrupt Robert Mueller heading up the phony 'Russia collusion' manufactured lie. Hillary Clinton should already be serving time in a federal prison for her 'email scandal' cover up and lying to Congress.

Instead she was protected by rogue players in the FBI who have

and continue to be protected by Rod Rosenstein who should have recused himself from one day one and Jeff Sessions who should have resigned the first week after he was sworn into his position as Attorney General.

But, Comrade Bernie Sanders' brainwashed followers know exactly how crooked Hillary Rodham Clinton is, fully backed by the deal makers within the Democratic/Communist Party USA.

Now, sadly, one of the good guys while trying to do the right thing is about to present to viewing audiences one of the biggest lies in the history of this country.

<u>See trailer for Dinesh D'Souza's upcoming film on Trump</u> – Contends president faces historic moment similar to Lincoln

"Filmmaker Dinesh D'Souza has released a trailer for his upcoming film, "Death of a Nation," which argues President Trump is facing the kind of fierce opposition that confronted Abraham Lincoln at another seminal moment in America's history.

"Lincoln was elected to unite a country and stop slavery. Democrats smeared him; went to war against him; assassinated him. Now, their target is Trump," "Souza says in the trailer.

"Lincoln saved America the first time. It's now up to us to save it a second time," D'Souza says the end of the trailer."

<u>Can we save America a second time?</u>

"Now the target of the Democrats is President Trump and his supporters. The Left calls them racists, white supremacists and fascists. These charges are used to justify driving Trump from office and discrediting the right "by any means necessary."

"But which is the party of the slave plantation? Which is the party that invented white supremacy? Which is the party that praised fascist dictators and shaped their genocidal policies and was in turn praised by them?

"Through stunning historical recreations and a searching examination of fascism and white supremacy, *Death of a Nation* cuts through progressive big lies to expose hidden history and explosive truths."

While I commend D'Souza for his patriotism and personal sacrifices, just what historical documents and references did he use as a basis for his statements about Lincoln being such a hero? Yes, the Democratic Party has always been the party of the slave plantations but what about Republicans back in Lincoln's time?

Unfortunately, like tens and tens of millions of Americans, D'Souza believes the lies taught America's school children for more than a century: Lincoln was a great president who hated slavery and wanted it abolished while battling evil from the Democrat party. That old 'Honest Abe' was out to save the Union.

I'm sorry, Dinesh, but just the opposite is true and has been meticulously proven by several authors. Inconvenient truths about a white supremacist who damn near destroyed this republic while in the White House. Every time I hear decent Americans like Sean Hannity talk about the Republican Party as the party of Lincoln I want to puke.

"Lincoln had no intention of doing anything about Southern slavery in 1860. In his First Inaugural Address he announced that "I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the Institution of slavery in the States where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so, and I have no inclination to do so." He also promised, in the same address, to strengthen the Fugitive Slave Law even though lax enforcement of it or none at all would have quickened slavery's demise." <u>The Real Lincoln: A New Look at Abraham</u> Lincoln, His Agenda and an Unnecessary War, Thomas J.

DiLorenzo, pgs 20, 21

DiLorenzo continues:

"White supremacist attitudes were not only on display in the Northern Black Codes and other pieces of legislation but were enunciated in Northern newspapers as well. As the *Philadelphia Daily News* editorialized on November 22, 1860, "It is neither for the good of the colored race nor of our own that they should continue to dwell among us to any considerable extent. The two races can never exist in conjunction except as superior and inferior...The African is naturally the inferior race."

"The Niles (Michigan) Republican wrote on March 30, 1861, that "this government was made for the benefit of the white race...and not for the Negroes." The Daily Chicago Times editorialized on December 7, 1860, that "evil and nothing but evil, has ever followed in the track of this hideous monster, Abolition." It continued, "Let [the slave] alone – send him back to his master where he belongs.

"On January 22, 1861, the *New York Times* announced that it opposed the abolition of slavery. Instead, it proposed that slaves should be allowed to legally marry and should be taught to read and to invest their money in savings accounts. Those actions should be taken "to ameliorate, rather than to abolish, the Slavery of the Southern States" and would thus permit slavery to become "a very tolerable system.

"The Philadelphia Inquirer endorsed Lincoln's colonization proposals on March 11, 1861, when it pointed out that "Hayti lies in the torrid zone, the proper residence of the Negro." The proposition that the Negro is equal by nature, physically and mentally, to the white man, seems to be so absurd and preposterous, that we cannot conceive how it can be entertained by any intelligent and rational white man." The Concord (New Hampshire) Democrat Standard, September 8, 1860. Ibid, pgs 30-31

Lincoln used the 'N' word freely and never tried to hide his dislike of 'darkies.' Lest you think DiLorenzo is some mad man, I can assure you, his books are fully footnoted with historical documents for reference and cross checking. He also wrote a column titled, <u>An African-American Icon Speaks Truth</u> to the Lincoln Cult, which covered a book titled '<u>Forced Into</u> <u>Glory: Abraham Lincoln's White Dream</u>' by Lerone Bennett, Jr. Let me give you a couple of paragraphs:

"Since so few Americans are aware of these facts, Bennett correctly concludes that "the level of ignorance on Abraham Lincoln and race in the United States is a scandal and a rebuke to schools, museums, media, and scholars." This of course is no accident; it's exactly the way the state wants it to be.

"Bennett is especially critical of how the Lincoln Cult uses black historical figures as pawns in its defense of "Father Abraham." For example, he contends that there is no way to get around the fact that Lincoln was a lifelong white supremacist, loudly proclaiming that he was opposed to "making voters or jurors of Negroes, nor of qualifying them to hold office, nor to intermarry with white people." He said far worse things than that, as Bennett documents. The typical response of the Lincoln Cult is to "find a slave or a former slave or, better, a Black officeholder to say that he adores Lincoln and doesn't care what people say . . . "

"Why, one would ask, is such a distinguished African-American journalist so incensed over the Lincoln myth? It is because of his twenty years of painstaking research, resulting in this book, that proves, among other things, what a vulgar racist Lincoln was. Bennett provides quote after quote of Lincoln's own words, habitually using the N-word so much that people in Washington thought he was weirdly consumed by his racism. Bennett tells of first-hand accounts by some of Lincoln's generals of how they left a meeting with him during a crisis in the war in which the president spent most of his time in the meeting telling off-color "darkie" jokes (Lincoln's language). General James Wadsworth, for example, was "shocked by the racism in the Lincoln White House."

Back in August of 2010, Glenn Beck, held a huge event at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC billed as Restore America's Honor. DiLorenzo had a problem with Glenn Beck's obsessive adoration of Abraham Lincoln and shall we say, fudging the truth?

<u>Glenn Beck's Lincoln Contradictions by Thomas J. DiLorenzo</u>

"Despite his admirable performances discussing the founding fathers, socialism, progressivism, and other topics, Glenn Beck has been absolutely awful and sometimes untruthful when discussing Lincoln and his legacy. During one show he claimed to have read the actual original copy of The Confederate Constitution. I assume he made this assertion to show that he must really be quite the expert on the document.

"I didn't believe him when he said this, and his next sentence proved to me that he did not read the document. The next sentence was the statement that the formal title of the document was "The Slaveholders' Constitution . . ." Anyone can look the document up at Yale University's online Avalon Project, which warehouses all the American founding documents, commentaries, and more, to see for yourself that Beck was wrong about this.

"Beck's next false statement was that, "I read it" (the Confederate Constitution) and "it wasn't about states' rights, it was all about slavery." Read it yourself online. It is a virtual carbon copy of the U.S. Constitution, with a few exceptions: The Confederate president had a line-item veto; served for one six-year term; protectionist tariffs are outlawed; government subsidies for corporations are outlawed; and the "General Welfare Clause" of the U.S. Constitution was deleted....

"Moreover, Beck's hero, Lincoln, orchestrated passage through the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of Representatives of the Corwin Amendment to the Constitution, which would have formally and explicitly enshrined slavery in the U.S. Constitution by prohibiting the government from ever interfering with Southern slavery.

"This amendment passed the Senate and the House just days before Lincoln was inaugurated. In his first inaugural address he said he believed slavery was already constitutional and then, alluding to the Corwin Amendment, said: "I have no objection to it [slavery protection] being made express and irrevocable" in the Constitution. This was by far the strongest defense of slavery ever made by an American politician, coming from the president himself. Beck and the wacky preacher posing as an intellectual made no mention of this."

While I strongly disagree with DiLorenzo about free trade, I highly recommend his books. One thing is for certain, and DiLorenzo accurately begins the discussion in Chapter 3 of his book, the "civil" war was unnecessary; slavery could have been and should have been abolished peacefully:

"In a famous public letter to *New York Tribune* editor Horace Greeley in 1862, Lincoln explained that he wasn't particularly concerned about emancipation per se; forcing the secessionists to remain in the Union was his main objective:

"My paramount object in this struggle is to save the Union, nor is it to save or to destroy slavery. If I could save the Union without freeing any slave I would do it; and if I could save it by freeing some and leaving others alone I would also do that. What I do about slavery, and the colored race, I do because I believe it helps to save the Union." The Real Lincoln: A New Look at Abraham Lincoln, His Agenda and an Unnecessary War, Thomas J. DiLorenzo, pgs 34-35

As for dishonest Abe being the great emancipator:

"The Emancipation Proclamation was immediately excoriated through the North (and much of the world) as a political gimmick. The *New York World* newspaper sarcastically editorialized that:

"The President has purposely made the proclamation inoperative in all places where we have gained a military footing which makes the slaves accessible. He has proclaimed emancipation only where he has notoriously no power to execute it. The exemption of the accessible parts of Louisiana, Tennessee, and Virginia renders the proclamation not merely futile, but ridiculous."

"Lincoln's own secretary of state, William Seward, mocked the Emancipation Proclamation by saying, "We show our sympathy with slavery by emancipating slaves where we cannot reach them and holding them in bondage where we can set them free."

"Lincoln himself maintained that the Proclamation was merely a war measure, not an attempt at genuine emancipation. In a letter to his Treasury Secretary Salmon P. Chase, he admitted that the original proclamation had no legal justification, except as a military measure." Ibid, pgs 36-37

Forced into Glory by Lerone Bennett is over 600 pages and while he methodically lays out the truth about Lincoln, I felt as the book wore on too long and turned into a lot of whining in the last few chapters. To say Bennett, a black American icon, was excoriated over telling the historical truth about Abraham Lincoln is putting it mildly.

Americans have been taught monstrous lies about Abraham Lincoln and all school books who portray him as some sort of hero should be thrown out and replaced with ones that accurately print the documented truths about Lincoln conveniently buried. How many Americans know Lincoln literally tore up the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights?

"You will take possession by military force, of the printing establishments of the *New York World* and *Journal of Commerce*...and prohibit any further publication thereof...you are therefore commanded to forthwith arrest and imprison the editors, proprietors and publishers of the aforesaid newspapers." Order from Abraham Lincoln to General John Dix, May 18, 1864

"Even though the large majority of Americans, North and South, believed in a right of secession as of 1861, upon taking office Lincoln implemented a series of unconstitutional acts, including launching an invasion of the South without consulting Congress, as required by the Constitution; declaring martial law; blockading the Southern ports; suspending the writ of habeas corpus for the duration of his administration; imprisoning WITHOUT TRIAL thousands of Northern citizens' arresting and imprisoning newspaper publishers who were critical of him; censoring all telegraph communications;...

"This amazing disregard for the Constitution," wrote historian Clinton Rossiter, "was considered by nobody as legal." Rossiter generally praised Lincoln, however, as a "great dictator" and a "true democrat," two phrases that are not normally considered to be consistent with one another." The Real Lincoln: A New Look at Abraham Lincoln, His Agenda and an Unnecessary War, Thomas J. DiLorenzo, pgs 130-132

Besides DiLorenzo's books above, and Bennett's massive tome, I also highly recommend D'Souza read Thomas' first barn burner book on Lincoln which caused a massive uproar at the time it was published:

Lincoln Unmasked: What You're Not Supposed to Know About

Dishonest Abe

"Did you know that Lincoln . . .

• did NOT save the union? In fact, Lincoln did more than any other individual to destroy the voluntary union the Founding Fathers recognized.

• did NOT want to free the slaves? Lincoln, who did not believe in equality of the races, wanted the Constitution to make slavery "irrevocable."

• was NOT a champion of the Constitution? Contrary to his high-minded rhetoric, Lincoln repeatedly trampled on the Constitution—and even issued an arrest warrant for the chief justice of the United States!

• was NOT a great statesman? Lincoln was actually a warmonger who manipulated his own people into a civil war.

• did NOT utter many of his most admired quotations? DiLorenzo exposes a legion of statements that have been falsely attributed to Lincoln for generations—usually to enhance his image.

"In addition to detailing Lincoln's offenses against the principles of freedom, equality, and states' rights, Lincoln Unmasked exposes the vast network of academics, historians, politicians, and other "gatekeepers" who have sanitized his true beliefs and willfully distorted his legacy. DiLorenzo reveals how the deification of Lincoln reflects a not-sohidden agenda to expand the size and scope of the American state far beyond what the Founding Fathers envisioned—an expansion that Lincoln himself began.

"The hagiographers have shaped Lincoln's image to the point that it has become more fiction than fact. With *Lincoln Unmasked*, DiLorenzo shows us an Abraham Lincoln without the rhetoric, lies, and political bias that have clouded a disastrous president's enduring damage to the nation."

I do have a lot of respect for Dinesh D'Souza but I'm afraid this time he has made a terrible mistake if he glorifies Lincoln in his new documentary. Yes, there are similarities in the attacks on Trump as there were on Lincoln but in the case of 'Honest Abe', they were legitimate.

Lincoln damn near destroyed the Union. He sure as Hell didn't "save America the first time". If this is how Lincoln is portrayed in the documentary, to me it's just going to be another distraction for people to squabble about.

D'Souza's film debuts August 3^{rd} in 1,000 theaters.

("Distraction is Your Enemy's Greatest Weapon" quote by Sydney Bauer in her book, *Undertow*)

© 2018 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Devvy: <u>devvyk@npn.net</u>