

Drafting girls and turning Americans into terrorists

Breitbart.com recently reported, “President Barack Obama’s administration is now deliberating whether to direct women to sign up for the Selective Service, because of its progressive preference for gender-blind policies. The administration has already forced the military to assign willing and unwilling women to combat tasks, where they are required to carry heavy loads, to quickly recover from routine injuries and to be engaged in direct combat.”

But Barack Obama is not the only one supporting the idea of drafting America’s young women into the armed forces (should the draft be reinstated). Republican presidential candidates Jeb Bush, Chris Christie, and Marco Rubio have also signed on.

“The Republican establishment hopefuls in the 2016 race want the government to make women sign up for the modern version of the emergency military draft.

“Senator Marco Rubio (R-FL), Jeb Bush, and Gov. Chris Christie all offered support during Saturday night’s ABC News’ 2016 debate for a federal mandate that would force young women to register for the Selective Service System.

“The Selective Service System was formed in 1917 and keeps a database on male U.S. citizens who are available for military conscription in some future emergency. Women are currently exempted, thanks to a 1981 Supreme Court decision that declared Congress’ men-only rule did not thwart the Fifth Amendment requirement of equal treatment.

See the report [here](#).

But the 1981 Supreme Court decision notwithstanding, the U.S. government is seriously considering making America’s young

women eligible for the draft. A PBS report states, "The government is deliberating whether to propose Selective Service changes that would make women eligible for the military draft, the White house said Friday, a day after the Pentagon said it would no longer bar women from combat jobs.

"The Defense Department has prepared an analysis of how the Pentagon change could affect the U.S. Military Selective Service Act, said White House spokesman Josh Earnest.

"'We're going to work with Congress to look at that analysis, to review it, to get others' opinions and determine if additional reforms or changes are necessary in light of this decision,' Earnest said."

The report concludes, "The Selective Service Act requires eligible men to register for the draft when they turn 18 or face fines. Registrants can be called up for compulsory service until they are 26 years old, though none have been drafted in decades.

"Carter has previously said he supports a review of the draft based on the growing role women play in the military."

See the report [here](#).

The idea of placing women in combat and now possibly drafting women into the armed services is the mark of a nation that has truly lost its way.

My daughter is above draft age, but my granddaughters aren't; and I can promise you that it will be a cold day in Hades before my daughter and son-in-law allow their girls to be drafted. If our government really wants to create a resistance movement in this country, just start telling mommas and daddies that their daughters are going to be drafted into war. For starters, begin by asking our combat veterans if they are willing to offer their daughters to the horrors of war.

You can rest assured that the daughters of Barack Obama, Jeb Bush, Chris Christie, and Marco Rubio will never know one day of combat—law or no law.

Yes, I realize that countless American wives and mothers valiantly defended their homes and families when under attack—whether from the French or British in Colonial America or from Indians on the trail west—but are we now prepared to force our young women into combat on foreign shores? What a horrible thought!

Our government is counting on America's technological superiority on the sea and in the air as the impenetrable wall of protection for our country. Therefore, they feel free to use our military for social radicalization. But one day we are going to face a REAL enemy (as opposed to the phony ones we have been fighting for the past umpteen years), and when we do, we are going to learn once again that REAL wars are won face to face and man to man. And when that day comes, God help us if our armed forces have already filled half or more (there are more girls than boys, you know) of its combat ranks with young girls.

I shudder just thinking about it.

And on another note: I am on record as warning the American people that a “war on Islam” could quickly become a catalyst for big-government toadies to use the same definitions and protocols against the American people.

Watch my three-message DVD on “The Muslim Problem” [here](#).

It didn't take long to be proven right.

Countless television and radio talk shows, Internet blog sites, and churches all across America have spent the last two or three years regurgitating a hate-filled, anti-Muslim message. Both Donald Trump and Ted Cruz have repeatedly injected the anti-Muslim message into their presidential

campaigns. Tens of thousands of pastors and thousands of “conservative” talking heads have made anti-Muslim vilification a daily staple. And of course, the solution to the Muslim problem is...you guessed it: MORE GOVERNMENT.

Since early 2014, U.S. laws have become very broad and very strict in prosecuting anyone who is connected or associated with alleged Islamic terrorists. People who are convicted of providing “material support” (federal prosecutors are able to define that phrase in just about any way they want to) face median sentences of over fifty years in prison.

John Carlin, the Justice Department’s chief of national security, recently said in a Reuters news interview that his counter-terrorism team, including a recently hired counsel, is taking “a thoughtful look at the nature and scope of the domestic terrorism threat” and helping to analyze “potential legal improvements and enhancements to better combat those threats.”

The Reuters interview continues saying, “The counsel, who was appointed last October and has not been named publicly, will identify cases being prosecuted at the state level that ‘could arguably meet the federal definition of domestic terrorism,’ a Justice Department official said.

“That would give the department a direct role in more domestic extremism cases.”

The report began by stating, “The U.S. Justice Department is considering legal changes to combat what it sees as a rising threat from domestic anti-government extremists, senior officials told Reuters, even as it steps up efforts to stop Islamic State-inspired attacks at home.

“Extremist groups motivated by a range of U.S.-born philosophies present a ‘clear and present danger,’ John Carlin, the Justice Department’s chief of national security, told Reuters in an interview. ‘Based on recent reports and the

cases we are seeing, it seems like we're in a heightened environment.'"

See the report [here](#).

In other words, the U.S. Justice Department wants to start treating American citizens who are deemed to be "domestic terrorists" in the same way that Islamic terrorists are being treated.

Not coincidentally, the featured article in the current issue of Newsweek magazine is entitled "Right Wing Extremists Are A Bigger Threat Than ISIS." Of course, in the jaded world of the publishers of Newsweek, the SPLC, and scores of liberal think tanks and news outlets, there is no such thing as "Left Wing Extremists."

And prominently displayed in the Newsweek article is a large photograph of LeVoy Finicum, the man who was recently shot by an Oregon state police officer (or officers) for allegedly reaching for a handgun after having resisted arrest on a remote Oregon highway. Finicum—along with Ammon Bundy and about twenty others—had illegally mounted an armed occupation of a group of empty buildings on a national wildlife refuge outside the small town of Burns in protest over continued mistreatment of western farmers and ranchers by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

Unfortunately, the death of Mr. Finicum has served to fuel more anti-government passions with comparisons to Waco and Ruby Ridge abounding—which, in my opinion, such comparisons do not exist. All this does is to play right into the hands of a U.S. Justice Department already looking for an excuse to pull American citizens—especially "right wing" Christians (albeit Bundy and Finicum both claimed Mormonism)—into the same category as Islamic terrorists.

To say that we Americans are living in jeopardous days is an extreme understatement. Very understandably, the American

people are increasingly distrustful of their government, their news media, and even their future. We desperately need cool heads and wise hearts to peacefully and successfully navigate these troubled waters. And what we need most is leadership from America's pulpits. But it's the lack of pastoral leadership that has mostly created this mess to begin with. The good news is America's pastors could help lead our country out of this morass. If only they would.

© 2016 Chuck Baldwin – All Rights Reserved