

'End justifies the means' and Ted Cruz

The ignorance of one voter in a democracy (Republic) impairs the security of all. –John F. Kennedy

Voting is a right best exercised by people who have taken time to learn about the issues. –Tony Snow

Oh yes, all of us who support businessman Donald Trump would have liked to see a big blowout for him in the Iowa caucus, and then sweep the nation, but let's step back and look at this from the historical view.

✘ As I mentioned in an earlier article, in 1980, Reagan did not attend the last debate before the Iowa Caucus and he lost to George H.W. Bush. This really shocked the nation. When it came to winning the election, he only lost a few states.

In 1996, Bob Dole won the Iowa Caucus. He won the nomination, but lost the election to Bill Clinton. Remember that was the year of Ross Perot as well.

In 2000, George W. Bush won the Republican Iowa Caucus, and went on to be elected president.

John Kerry won the Iowa Caucus in 2004, and was defeated by George W. Bush.

Mike Huckabee won Iowa in 2008, but didn't win the nomination for president. John McCain won the nomination, and lost to Obama.

And of course, in 2012, Rick Santorum won the Iowa Caucus, but again, did not make it to the nomination for Republican President. Instead, Willard Mitt Romney won the nomination and then lost to Obama.

Iowa and New Hampshire



Iowa and New Hampshire get a lot of attention, and well they should, but their records in picking presidents, let alone nominees, is spotty (as you can see from the chart above). But that doesn't mean the states don't matter. These two states help to eliminate the weaker candidates and usually they drop out.

Former Presidents

In the last 40 years, just one person has gone on to win the presidency after losing both Iowa and New Hampshire – Bill Clinton.

 Eric Draper/AP

Then-Texas Gov. George W. Bush spoke in front of a painting of Jesus Christ during a campaign stop in Colfax, Iowa in 2000. Bush is the only Republican to win Iowa since 1976 and become president.

Republican Nominees


New Hampshire has been better at picking nominees for Republicans. Since 1976 (Gerald Ford won the nomination but lost to Jimmy Carter):

- Five eventual nominees won the Granite State ...
- Two became president: Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush.

Iowa, on the other hand, has picked:


- Three eventual GOP nominees, but ...
- Just one president: George W. Bush

Some Facts


 – Bill Clinton, George H.W. Bush and Ronald Reagan all lost Iowa but went on to win the presidency.

- Bill Clinton didn't win either Iowa or New Hampshire in 1992, but was still declared "The Comeback Kid" after his second-place finish in the New Hampshire.
- The person who led the longest in Iowa in the crowded Republican field in 2015, according to the [RealClearPolitics](#) average of polls, was ... Wisconsin Gov. Scott Walker. He led for six [months](#), from February to August, before dropping out because of falling support. Remember when he dropped out, he made the statement that Trump must be defeated.

Praise Jesus then lie like hell

CNN apparently messed with the voting for the 2016 Iowa Caucus by saying  that Ben Carson had dropped out of the race, when he had not. Ben Carson accused Cruz of dirty tricks by spreading the false rumor on Carson. [\[Link\]](#) Of course one must remember that Cruz is full of dirty tricks and the Secretary of State from Iowa as well as CNN, called his "mailers" fraudulent. [\[Link\]](#) *"To have campaigns come out and send emails to their caucus speakers suggesting that Dr. Carson was doing anything but moving forward after tonight is the lowest of low in American politics,"* said Carson campaign manager Ed Brookover. [\[Link\]](#)

Win at any cost, 'End Justifies the Means'. It worked on Iowa Christians

 Check out these cards that were being distributed at caucus locations in Iowa. I think there should be an investigation conducted and the results of the Iowa caucuses declared invalid. Appearances of these cards equates to distribution of campaign materials, since it is clearly an attempt to discredit Trump by showing him associating with the Clintons at his wedding. Not sure who is behind it, Cruz or Rubio, but it is called electioneering to distribute campaign materials at polling places on election day, and it is a major violation

of federal election laws.

Globalist Game Plan

That's the bottom line plan...defeat Trump, and the powers that be are allowing Cruz to pull every dirty trick in the book. Why not? The RNC, MSM, DNC all hate Trump because he's not part of their globalist cabal.

American Christians are so lacking in discernment today, that they listen to someone like Cruz and think he's a great Christian. They did the same thing with Jimmy Carter, one of the worst presidents we've had, of course before Obama was elected.

Mike Huckabee has criticized Cruz for being all talk and no action on his tithing. That's because Cruz doesn't tithe. He and his wife donated less than 1 percent of their income to charity and nothing to churches, including their own in Houston, according to tax returns from 2006 to 2010, the most recent Cruz has released. I wonder if they charge \$1.00 in charity donations when they donate their old undergarments...like the Clinton's did?!

✘ Many preachers teach that one must tithe 10% to the church, but this is not true. This is what happens when people pull certain verses out of context and then misapply what they have pulled out of context. [[Link](#)] Cruz also did his interpretation of a preacher and prayed with the people in Iowa. Iowans claimed they liked Cruz because he's a Christian. None of them seem to realize that Cruz is a Dominionist like his father, and that his form of faith is unorthodox and considered heretical.

Conclusion

From my wise friend Suzy, *"I get a strong "Red Flag" regarding the fact that Bill Gates designed and supplied the Microsoft equipment for the counting of caucus votes for BOTH parties.*

We both know he is a globalist and he supports population reduction via whatever means is necessary – as does Hillary! The GOP and mainstream media HATE Ted Cruz but their first goal is to eliminate Trump. If they manage to get him out of the way, it appears to me they will then go after Cruz to eliminate him. They are indeed already playing it up that Rubio is handling his campaign in a kinder gentler manner – and Rubio is just another globalist anchor baby in my opinion.”



I believe with the obvious poll numbers prior to the Iowa Caucus that there is absolutely no way Cruz could have won, nor could Rubio receive the votes he garnered. Many strongly believe that votes were siphoned from Trump and given to Rubio. It has been done before and no one has stopped them.

Neither Cruz nor Rubio are actually eligible. All candidates for president or Vice President need to have been born of two American parents. It's not where you're born, but to whom you're born...two American citizen parents is what is necessary. See these articles:

[Natural Born Citizen Status is Inherited – It's Not Bestowed By the Constitution or Acts of Congress.](#)

[The Elephant in the Constitution that No One References When Dealing with the Natural Born Citizen Issue.](#)

Pray for our nation, and pray that we do not end up with another globalist in our White House. We need an outsider to try to straighten out this huge myriad of filth that has pervaded our country for dozens of decades.