

Errors in Trump “Birthright” Order



By Lex Greene

January 28, 2025

On January 20, 2025, Inauguration Day for President Trump’s second term, a laundry list of Presidential Executive Orders (EO) were signed in an effort to unravel and reverse a laundry list of anti-American and unconstitutional orders signed by the previous administration. One of those EO’s is titled [“PROTECTING THE MEANING AND VALUE OF AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP”](#) addressing decades of abuse and intentional misinterpretations of the 14th Amendment.

BEFORE YOU READ ON: *Understand that although the current discussion concerning “birthrights” is narrowly focused on who is and who isn’t a “birthright citizen” according to U.S. Constitutional Law, the issue actually extends far beyond this narrow subject. It involves all Natural Birthrights versus citizen privileges allowed by legislators or courts from time to time, and it impacts all Natural Rights of True Citizens inherent in nature. We will either protect all of it, or none of it.*

Chief executives seldom have the time or opportunity to write or even read every policy document they sign, instead, relying upon alleged “experts” to take care of the details in a manner consistent with the stated goals of the executive, especially when involving legal documents establishing the legal foundations for the action.

I don't know if President Trump read this particular EO before signing it, but as he was signing almost 200 new EO's the first 24-hours in office, I highly doubt it. Even if he had, I'm sure he was relying upon "legal experts" to get the language right. Unfortunately, lawyers in this country have not been practicing [Constitutional Law](#) for decades. Instead, today's lawyers are only well-versed in [English Common Law](#), unconstitutionally created by our courts.

Constitutionally speaking, any power not established for each branch in the Constitution, is not a power of that branch. Any legislative act which is itself outside the authority granted in that document, which is repugnant to the text and intent of that documents, or violates the additional prohibitions in the Bill of Rights, is strictly prohibited. All governmental acts must be "in furtherance thereof."

As I read the document, using long established constitutional interpretations on the matter of "birthrights," a subject I have researched and written on numerous times over the years, I find a few critical errors in the document (EO) that can hopefully be corrected before anyone starts referring to it as "settled law."

I'm addressing this in an effort to assist, because in the USA under the [Charters of Freedom](#), nothing is more foundationally critical than the subject of true "birthrights." The matter of "citizenship" rights is only a tiny issue at stake concerning the "natural birthrights" of American citizens. All inalienable Rights of the true American citizens are "natural birthrights," established by "the Laws of Nature and Nature's God," and "endowed by our Creator."

"Birthrights" have nothing to do with legislative measures or court opinions. "Birthrights" exist in and are produced by nature, not lawyers, politicians or judges with an agenda.

- Life

- Liberty
- Pursuit of Happiness
- Freedom
- Birthright Citizenship in the Country of Your Parentage
- Free speech, free expression, free press, a right to keep and bear arms
- Immunity from fraudulent prosecution

Just to name a few, but these are all “birthrights” in the USA. According to the U.S. Constitution, only Congress has lawmaking power. In the enumerated powers of Congress is the power to establish “naturalization” laws pertaining to legal “immigrants.” Such laws have been established and violated by government officials for many years. Congress has no authority over any “birthrights” at all.

Furthermore, the [Charters of Freedom](#) are not based upon English Common Law, but rather “the Laws of Nature.” Yet, our courts and legislatures have been functioning on [English Common Law](#) for many years now, a practice used to undermine the true “birthrights” of all true “birthright citizens” and all natural rights inherited at birth.

As it pertains to the [14th Amendment](#), it must be read and interpreted within the proper context of all three “[reconstruction amendments](#)” adopted at the time, 13, 14 and 15, because all three were adopted during the same time frame and all three pertained to former slaves and their families only, in post-Civil War America. ([Details here](#))

Problems in the Trump EO

In the [Trump EO](#), based upon the stated intent in Section 1 – Purpose of the EO, I find problems in a few critical areas, as follows.

1. *“But the Fourteenth Amendment has never been interpreted to extend citizenship universally to everyone born*

within the United States.”

Actually, this is exactly how our government has been intentionally misinterpreting the amendment for decades now. The same Supreme Court that saw a right to gay marriage in the 14th Amendment in 2015, has been misinterpreting an amendment aimed solely at former slaves and their families, to include the children of “illegal aliens” born in the USA illegally since. It should have stated that this is a blatant misinterpretation, rather than an interpretation never used.

2. *“The Fourteenth Amendment has always excluded from birthright citizenship persons who were born in the United States but not “subject to the jurisdiction thereof.”*

This is stereotypical legal-beagle word parsing here. Everyone in the USA, including vacationers and visitors, is “subject to our legal jurisdiction” while in our country. When discussing “[jurisdiction](#),” it’s important to understand what jurisdiction is and isn’t. Even “illegal aliens” are “subject to the jurisdiction” of the United States, in this case, our Immigration and Naturalization laws, as well as our criminal codes.

3. *“Consistent with this understanding, the Congress has further specified through legislation that “a person born in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof” is a national and citizen of the United States at birth, [8 U.S.C. 1401](#), generally mirroring the Fourteenth Amendment’s text.”*

Although the first slaves were not “born in the USA,”, their children were born in the USA, but born into slavery prior to the 13th, hence, the sole purpose of the [13th Amendment](#). U.S. Codes have also misinterpreted the intent of the 14th Amendment, such as the Code cited above from Trump’s EO.

4. Section 2 *“(b) Subsection (a) of this section shall apply only to persons who are born within the United States after 30 days from the date of this order.”*

This section of the E0 appears to inappropriately grandfather in the tens of millions of cases of those who were born here “illegally” prior to this effective date of the E0, even though this too, would be in direct violation of our standing Immigration Laws.

5. *“(c) Nothing in this order shall be construed to affect the entitlement of other individuals, including children of lawful permanent residents, to obtain documentation of their United States citizenship.”*

Once again, a “birthright” is inherited by the children, from their natural birth parentage. Because “lawful permanent residents” are *NOT* legal U.S. citizens, they are not able to pass to their children that which they do not possess, legal citizenship. Whoever drafted this E0 for Trump, must know that this is false and very dangerous. George Soros holds “lawful permanent residency” in the USA, as do many other evildoers within our midst.

This is probably the worst error in this E0. It essentially grants legal U.S. citizenship rights to children of non-citizens, simply because their residency in the USA is not “illegal.” But in these cases, the “lawful permanent residents” have not taken up legal citizenship in the USA. Therefore, they do not possess what this section suggests, “citizenship,” and they have no right to pass to their children, that which they do not possess.

I’m quite confident in saying that Trump very likely, has no idea what’s wrong in his recently signed E0 on this subject. I believe his intentions are correct and necessary to the preservation of our Constitutional system of self-governance.

However, I’m equally confident in suggesting that his “common

law experts” who drafted this E0 for him, may not know the critical truth either, or may not want to reestablish the truth.

The Love of Money, and the Love of Power, is indeed the Root of all Evil

In any free state, all power belongs to the people, referred to as “inalienable natural rights.” As it pertains to the subject of citizenship, these three words accurately establish the foundation for “birthright citizenship.” It’s not a right derived from mere political servants. It’s a right which is produced by and exists in nature. As such, it is “inalienable” by any governmental body.

Long ago, Thomas Jefferson saw the problem and warned all Americans that would follow.

Immediately following the [Marbury v. Madison](#) court opinion of 1803, Jefferson started issuing warning after warning in 1804.

“Nothing in the Constitution has given them [the federal judges] a right to decide for the Executive, more than to the Executive to decide for them. . . . The opinion which gives to the judges the right to decide what laws are constitutional and what not, not only for themselves, in their own sphere of action, but for the Legislature and Executive also in their spheres, would make the Judiciary a despotic branch.” (Letter to Abigail Adams, September 11, 1804)

By 1820 and 1821, Jefferson had already seen the damage done to the Constitutional Republic guaranteed to every State and every Citizen in the Constitution.

“The judiciary of the United States is the subtle corps of sappers and miners constantly working under ground to undermine the foundations of our confederated fabric. They are construing our constitution from a co-ordination of a general and special government to a general and supreme one alone.

This will lay all things at their feet, and they are too well versed in English law to forget the maxim, 'boni judicis est ampliare jurisdictionem' [good judges have ample jurisdiction]. . . . A judiciary independent of a king or executive alone, is a good thing; but independence of the will of the nation is a solecism, at least in a republican government." (Letter to Thomas Ritchie, Dec. 25, 1820)

"The germ of dissolution of our federal government is in the constitution of the federal Judiciary; an irresponsible body (for impeachment is scarcely a scare-crow) working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little tomorrow, and advancing its noiseless step like a thief, over the field of jurisdiction, until all shall be usurped." (Letter to Charles Hammond, August 18, 1821)

"The great object of my fear is the Federal Judiciary. That body, like gravity, ever acting with noiseless foot and unalarming advance, gaining ground step by step and holding what it gains, is engulfing insidiously the special governments into the jaws of that which feeds them." (Letter to Judge Spencer Roane, 1821)

Some two-hundred years later, we no longer have members of the legal profession who know, understand, or revere the Natural Rights of the People, as they are endowed by our Creator. We only have legal professionals familiar with and practicing English Common Law.

As a result, even a President is prone to Constitutional errors as they depend upon alleged "legal experts" in the performance of their duties under their Oath of Office. Many who have taken an Oath to protect and defend the Constitution, are actively engaged in the use of English Common Law to undermine it, instead.

Indeed, many modern law professionals are very proficient in English Common Law. It's this practice that empowers lawyers,

judges and legislators to strip citizens of their “natural birthrights” by simply creating what they call “case law” derived from mere court opinions, falsely referred to as “court precedence” and “case law.”

EXAMPLE #1 – Our courts, politicians and mass media have referred to the court opinion in Roe v. Wade as “settled law” for about 70-years, even though Congress established no such law. But in the end, there was nothing settled about it when a later court reversed that opinion.

EXAMPLE #2 – In 2025, our U.S. Supreme Court looked at the 14th Amendment concerning citizenship rights for former slave families and found some alleged right to gay marriage there. Of course, the 14th has nothing whatsoever to do with marriage or gay rights, but only citizenship rights for former slave families.

In reality, the “reconstruction” amendments (13th, 14th, and 15th) became obsolete one generation following their adoption, as from that point forward, every child in their families were born citizens of the United States with all of the same rights as any other U.S. citizen.

Constitutional Law would allow none of this to ever happen in our courts. But English Common Law is entirely untethered from the limited constitutional authority of the courts, or government as a whole.

“Birthright Citizenship”

Beyond the slave families covered by the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments, children born in the USA to “non-citizen” parents have no “birthrights” in the USA whatsoever. They were born in our country “illegally” and remain “illegal.”

But by our government working to grant non-citizens

birthrights via legislative measures repugnant to the Constitution, they have undermined the true source of all political power in the USA, the true Birthright Citizens of the USA, those who inherited their citizenship via the bloodline of the birth Father.

“That which God has given...no man has the power to take away!”

This is the very foundation of freedom and liberty. All Natural Rights come from the Laws of Nature and pass from the bloodline of the Father to his children.

If I had direct contact with President Trump, I would explain this and prove it beyond any doubt. But I do not have direct contact with Trump, and I am very aware of the reality that the advisers around him will prefer for him to never know this truth. Most of them are English Common Law lawyers....

So, only you, the reader, can teach others this foundational truth and maybe, someone you teach will have direct access to Trump.

Otherwise, this is no longer a country of, by, or for the true Birthright Citizens of this country. All natural birthrights will be lost, never to be regained! None of the natural rights you thought you had...will you ever have.

In closing, “True Birthright Citizen” is synonymous with the term “Natural Born Citizen” in Article II. However, 14th Amendment citizens are at the very best, “naturalized citizens” via that amendment and subsequent naturalization codes.

Does the 13th Amendment apply to anyone other than slaves at the time? Does the 15th Amendment apply to anyone other than the slaves at that time? The answer is no...and the same is true of the 14th Amendment.

I argue that even in this case, the 14th Amendment establishes no such right beyond the intent and purpose of the Amendment, as it pertained to former slave families at that time, as it is with the 13th and 15th amendments.

Only TRUTH can set us free!

© 2025 Lex Greene – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Lex Greene: LexGreene24@gmail.com