

Expelling white heterosexuals from the news business

The media are once again going nuts over the fact that a state legislature has acted to protect Christians and their churches and businesses from the demands of various sexual minorities. As we have noted before, the power of the real one percent has enormous influence in the major media. The real one percent consists of the open and closeted homosexuals and their allies in positions of power in the major media, academia and the corporate world.

When they want to make an example of Indiana, North Carolina and now Mississippi, they can do so. It is something to behold. They have the ability to make an entire state look like a hotbed of homophobia and hate. The special rights of homosexuals and even “transgendered” people suddenly take precedence over the constitutional rights of religious believers.

What is being demanded is nothing less than the “right” of a man to dress up as a woman and invade the privacy of real women and girls in a ladies’ restroom. That is one of the “rights” that is at stake in what is happening in Mississippi. The legislature and the governor have rejected what is clearly perverted behavior and have affirmed the rights and privacy of those of who understand the science of DNA and biological differences.

Before Mississippi took center stage as the most villainous state, North Carolina was under attack because its legislature passed—and its governor signed—a bill known as the North Carolina Public Facilities and Security Act. It will prohibit men from using women’s restrooms, locker rooms and shower rooms.

Liberty Counsel, which is offering to defend the law in court, points out, "The North Carolina law was passed to maintain statewide uniformity and prevents abuse, such as has happened in other states. Recently, a 51-year-old cross-dressing male in Georgia entered the women's restroom and exposed himself to women who were shocked and offended. In Minneapolis, a 15-year-old boy entered the girls' locker room where five girls were in various stages of undress as they prepared for a basketball game. The boy looked around and disrobed in front of the frightened girls. When the girls and the parents complained, school authorities said they could not prevent boys from using the girls' locker room because of the so-called 'transgender' policy."

You don't have to have a journalism degree to know that there's something wrong with the journalism business, and understand that it's dominated by special interest groups. One of the most powerful is the National Lesbian & Gay Journalists Association, financed by all of the Big Media corporations.

In the past, our main worry as media critics was the influence of liberals and left-wing Democrats. Now we see a concerted push by sexual minorities, including men who dress like women, to assert their rights in the newsroom. They are already exercising influence over news coverage, but now want even more leverage over how the "news" is reported, and by whom.

The idea of concentrating on such elementary questions as who, what, when, where, why and how, is now considered old-fashioned journalism. Instead, the focus is on who reports the news, as they define it, and whether they are members of protected groups. Being white is not a protected class of people. White people are the villains, unless they identify as a member of a protected group. That leaves white heterosexuals as people who have to be demoted or dismissed.

Indeed, several so-called "journalism groups" have now gotten together to issue a joint statement urging newsrooms to "close

the race and gender salary gaps.” I’ve read their statement and I am still at a loss to explain how skin color or one’s gender or sexual preference is critical to journalistic skills.

But one thing is certain: whiteness is considered a cancer in the newsroom.

“As representatives of more than 7,000 journalists of color across America, we are pleased that The Wall Street Journal and its parent company Dow Jones have pledged to close the race and gender salary gaps among their employees, as reported by the Washington Post on March 25,” they say in their statement. “But we will remain vigilant until those inequities are remedied—not just at The Journal, but in all newsrooms.”

Indeed, whiteness is such a cancer in the newsroom that a “remedy” is needed. These white people have to be put in their place. They must be cut down to size.

The story in the Post cited union data as having “revealed that white men make significantly more money than everyone else at publications owned by Dow Jones & Co.” The assumption seems to be that these white men do not deserve more money. Do they? Have they been there longer? Are they more productive? Are they better writers and editors?

All that I see is a conclusion that because they are white, they are not entitled to higher wages.

It appears that these white men are guilty of discrimination as charged, simply because they are white. That sounds like discrimination to me.

The groups protesting the position of the white male in journalism include:

- Asian American Journalists Association
- Native American Journalists Association

- National Association of Black Journalists
- National Association of Hispanic Journalists
- National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association
- Journalists for Diversity

Don't get the impression that because the name of the last group includes the word "diversity" that diversity of thought and opinion is important to these people. They are talking about "diversity" of appearance or behavior. None of this has anything to do with how you do your job as a journalist.

In their statement, they say, "We urge all news organizations to conduct their own inquiries about pay and ensure that hard working journalists are being paid fairly and equitably, regardless of color or gender." Perhaps they should have conducted this research before spouting off. Where is the evidence that hard work is not paying off? Where is the evidence that journalists are being denied opportunities because they are black or gay?

It doesn't really matter what the evidence shows. The evidence is irrelevant. What matters is your color or sexual orientation. This is what journalism has become.

Hence, the National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association (NLGJA) just held a national fundraiser in New York City sponsored by Fox News, NBC, CNN, CBS News and ABC News, among others.

You may have thought these news organizations were in competition with one another. That's what they want you to believe. But when it comes to promoting the homosexual agenda, including the "rights" of transgenders, they are on the same page. That's why the coverage of these sexual issues by so many news organizations that seem to differ in their ideological orientation is in fact similar in bias and approach. The real one percent is in charge and growing stronger by the day. They are now determined to expel normal,

white heterosexuals from the newsroom.

© 2016 Cliff Kincaid – All Rights Reserved