

# Fifty-Five Years of Mainstream Media Lies



Kelleigh Nelson

*When the Supreme Court moved to Washington in 1800, it was provided with no books, which probably accounts for the high quality of early opinions. Robert Jackson, former Supreme Court Associate Justice*

*Once the Supreme Court in 1973 decided that infanticide could be legal, it not only ended America's 'inalienable right to life,' it threw the Golden Rule right off the shores of this continent. Actor Michael Moriarty*

*I don't think we need political activists on the Supreme Court or any other level of court. Dick Mountjoy, Republican, California State Senate*

Recently Rush Limbaugh was talking about the latest lies by the NYTs against Justice Kavanaugh, and people were calling in asking what to do. I know Limbaugh knows about the 1964 Supreme Court case, [NYTs v. Sullivan](#) because he's spoken about it before; yet he never mentioned it. This was the 1964 decision by the liberal Warren Court, the same court who [eliminated prayer from our schools in 1962](#), and has caused a precipitous decline in academic achievement, a rise in out of wedlock pregnancies, a rise in drug use, a rise in juvenile crime and deterioration in student behavior.

The 9-0 decision in NYTs v. Sullivan should be overturned as

it has allowed the mainstream media to lie with impunity about government officials and later public figures for over 55 years, and today the result is just as heinous for our society as the [1962 Engel v. Vital decision](#). There is no truth from mainstream media, only propaganda.

The landmark U.S. Supreme Court case, *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 84 S. Ct. 710, 11 L. Ed. 2d 686 (1964), extended the First Amendment's guarantee of free speech to libel cases brought by public officials. The Supreme Court sought to encourage public debate by changing the rules involving libel that had previously been the province of state law and state courts.

It was Justice Brennan who created the "right to be wrong," the speech and press rule stipulating that even false statements about public officials should be entitled to protection under the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States unless "actual malice" could be demonstrated.

In order to prove libel, a "public official" must show that the newspaper acted "with 'actual malice' that is, with knowledge that it was false or with reckless disregard" for truth. And just how does one prove that? The mere fact that the federal government via the Supreme Court removed states' rights for libel and slander in the NYTs ruling and follow-up cases is what Justice Clarence Thomas believes "were policy-driven decisions masquerading as constitutional law."

Again, to prove actual malice under the Sullivan decision, a libel plaintiff must show that the writer knew the disputed statement was false or had acted with "reckless disregard." That second phrase is also a term of art. The Supreme Court [has said](#) that it requires proof that the writer entertained serious doubts about the truth of the statement.



In the past 55 years, media has gone from lies of omission (Douglas Edwards and Walter Cronkite) to outright falsehoods about public figures they disdain as treacherous to the elites' globalist agenda. Prime targets of the media are President Trump and Justice Kavanaugh.

### **Socialists Seek to Impeach Justice Kavanaugh**

Ridiculous as it may seem to law abiding Americans, the socialist left now believes you are guilty via accusation if you are a Republican or conservative icon.

Elizabeth Warren tweeted, "Like the man who appointed him, Kavanaugh should be impeached." Kamala Harris tweeted, "Brett Kavanaugh lied to the U.S. Senate and most importantly to the American people. He was put on the Court through a sham process and his place on the Court is an insult to the pursuit of truth and justice. He must be impeached." These attorneys accuse the Justice of lying, yet there isn't a scintilla of proof regarding the accusations.

Christine Blasey Ford's allegations against Judge Kavanaugh during his confirmation hearings were not even believed by Ford's best friend, Leland Kaiser who was pressured by Ford's allies to change her story. [Kaiser was threatened](#) regarding cooperation and told if she didn't cooperate, "bad things would happen to her." Keyser's son Alex Beckel and her former husband Bob Beckel, a prominent Democrat, are both on record stating that she "was [pressured by Blasey Ford allies](#) to lie during the Kavanaugh confirmation hearings about the incident."

The entire "rush to judgement" circus was brought forth by Diane Feinstein. Yet, we never saw Eric Holder impeached for his gun running, or Hillary for Benghazi, or Ted Kennedy for leaving Mary Jo Kopechne to die in his car off Chappaquiddick

Bridge. Liberal icons rarely suffer for misdeeds.

The socialist left uses the same modus operandi every single time they make accusations, and then they go to their comrades in the mainstream media to spew their lies with impunity, thanks to the 1964 Supreme Court decision. This is why there is a massive [decline in the trust of American media](#).

### **The New York Times Piece**

Robin Pogrebin, a culture reporter for *The New York Times*, and Kate Kelly, a Wall Street reporter for the same paper, got together to write *The Education of Brett Kavanaugh*. They debuted their new book in a NYT's article wherein they left out the pertinent information related in their book that the woman they claimed was assaulted by Kavanaugh had brushed away this claim, had no recollection of such a claim, and had refused to speak with them.

Pogrebin and Kelly's *New York Times* piece also failed to tell us that their source, Max Stier, had been Bill Clinton's lawyer. Kavanaugh [had worked](#) for Ken Starr on impeachment while Stier had worked to defend Clinton against impeachment. The Federalist's [Mollie Hemingway noted](#) in a piece that during those proceedings Stier had "[worked closely with David Kendall](#)," who would later defend Hillary Clinton against allegations of illegally handling classified information.

In addition to defending the Clintons during Whitewater, Stier also married U.S. Attorney Florence Yu Pan. Pan was a Democrat donor who was nominated for a federal judgeship under Barack Obama. The Republican Senate blocked the appointment. Payback is always behind the scenes.

And once again, shortly after Pogrebin and Kelly debuted an article promoting their book with another freshman year sexual allegation against Kavanaugh, three 2020 Democrats called for Kavanaugh's impeachment.

As Daniel Greenfield said in a [recent article](#), “The manufactured Kavanaugh scandals are subsidiary to the manufactured Trump scandals.”

### **Liberal Hacks Blame NYT’s Editors**

President Trump said Justice Kavanaugh should sue both the New York Times and the authors of the article debuting their attack book on Justice Kavanaugh. But they quickly covered their backsides by appearing on several talk shows and blaming the editors of the newspaper.

Most likely they were told they had to clear their names and claim their article had been edited and left out an important part. Personally, I don’t believe this for one minute, but the Times realizes they can’t be sued if they claim it was an oversight. They had the women appear on mainstream media and claim it was an error. The Times put out a correction the Monday after the initial article appeared stating, “The book reports that the female student declined to be interviewed and friends say that she does not recall the incident. That information has been added to the article.”

Both reporters denied that they deliberately intended to mislead anyone and that the editors removed the piece because it had the woman’s name in it, which the Times is loath to use. In doing so, they “inadvertently” removed the rest of the statement.

The two authors were asked on one program if they hadn’t read the edited copy and they answered that they thought they did, but obviously if they did, they liked the way it read.

These women escaped being sued by backtracking quite quickly, otherwise both they and the Times would have easily been sued for “actual malice.”

### **Conclusion**

The leftwing media didn't make a mistake. Their attack on Kavanaugh was purposeful and vitriolic. They want the President destroyed, and they want other conservative judges to know what it will cost them to be appointed by President Trump. There really is no journalism at The New York Times. Their reactionary politics and attacks on the truth itself are disturbingly common.

Mainstream media and their socialist ilk scream impeachment...impeachment of our President and of Justice Kavanaugh. It's time we start screaming that NYTs v. Sullivan must be overturned and libel laws returned to the states!

P.S. NewsWithViews comes into your mailbox daily because of the efforts of our editor, and those who write for him. Maintaining the website is costly, as is the time and effort put into the daily distribution of articles which you will not see in mainstream media. Please support NWVs monthly with any amount you can spare. Your help brings the truth to America. You can [donate here](#), and tell all your friends to sign up to receive the daily articles in their mailboxes.

© 2019 Kelleigh Nelson – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Kelleigh Nelson: [proverbs133@bellsouth.net](mailto:proverbs133@bellsouth.net)