

# Hillary Clinton presidency would be an unmitigated disaster for U.S. and the world

Now that Donald Trump has inched to within 200 delegates of an outright victory that avoids a brokered GOP convention, the knives are out. George Will's latest hate blast openly calls for "conservatives" to keep Trump out of the White House, even to "help him lose 50 states ..." This more sophisticated-appearing pro-elite analysis by Andrew Sullivan does its best not just to call him a fascist, the culmination of "hyper-democracy" run amuck, but to portray him as the political equivalent of an "extinction level event" in liberal democracy's self-destruction.

Perhaps it's worth another look at how we got here, a path presently embodied in Trump's presumed opponent, Hillary Rodham Clinton. If you love the Establishment, you will have no trouble supporting Hillary Rodham Clinton ... even if you are an Establishment "conservative" of the Mitt Romney / Jeb Bush sort. It is one thing to utter the cliché that people are angry at the Establishment. Old news. Do you wonder why? When we look at the Establishment, what do we see?

We see the folks who lost the culture war hands down. It indeed was, as Sullivan says, a rout, because the Establishment is interested primarily in economics and couldn't be bothered to fight it. Now we have on our hands a possibly doomed struggle to keep sexually confused biological males (not to mention sexual predators) out of women's public bathrooms!

We see the folks who have destroyed the country's educational

system from top to bottom via one fashion after another (Common Core being the latest), because they aren't interested in real education but in global workforce training (economics über alles once again).

We see the folks who very likely have deceived us about the 9/11 attacks from the get-go, and continue to deceive us about such events as the supposed killing of Osama bin Laden in Pakistan five years ago.

We see the folks who got us into a war of almost mindnumbing stupidity in Iraq, which snowballed and has nearly destroyed the Middle East, precipitating a migration crisis that is presently destroying Europe and threatening to come to the U.S.

We see the folks whose recklessness with complex financial instruments nearly crashed the economy five and a half years later, without significant consequences. Indeed, Wall Street has profited splendidly as all that QE money ballooned the stock market.

We see the folks who continue to tell us the economy has recovered nicely when the majority of jobs readily available are part-time, service-sector affairs that pay starvation wages. Main Street continues to stagnate amidst "gigs." Trade deals like the Trans-Pacific Partnership which the Establishment supports will almost surely mean more money and power for corporations and more jobs leaving the U.S. for cheap labor countries (Vietnam, most likely). American workers will be expected to compete with Third World laborers paid the equivalent of pennies per hour.

Is it any wonder Donald Trump questions whether any of these people truly know what they are doing? Unless, of course, their goal really is to destroy U.S. sovereignty and culture, paving the way for corporate-controlled world government. Not that the Establishment "conservatives" recognize this. To the

George Wills of the world, the idea of an elite-sponsored goal to end U.S. sovereignty, destroy the educational system and the culture, to institute a de facto world state, is, at best, preserving the “international system” and at worst, a “conspiracy theory.”

If you support this, or think the Establishment “conservatives” know what they are doing, go ahead and vote for Hillary in November in order to stop Trump. You should, however, have some idea of what you will be voting for.

Hillary has an elite education, of course, taking her undergraduate degree from the private, women-only Wellesley College (reputedly a lesbian haven). Her senior thesis was on radical community organizer Saul Alinsky. She got her law degree from prestigious Yale Law School, where she and Bill Clinton first met.

She attracted the wrong sort of attention in one of her first jobs out of law school. During the Watergate investigations, she conspired to deny Nixon appropriate legal counsel, leading a superior to describe her as “a liar ... an unethical, dishonest lawyer.” She may not have been fired as some careless accounts alleged, but she clearly raised the hackles of those around her.

Hillary married Bill Clinton in 1975, impressed by his powers of persuasion (I’m not inside these people’s heads but I doubt it was love). Working through the University of Arkansas’s legal aid clinic, she took a rape case, that of Thomas Alfred Taylor, the victim a 12-year-old girl. She got the man off after he passed a polygraph, laughing that the case “forever destroyed my faith in polygraphs,” suggesting to my ears that she knew the guy was guilty and had disclosed this, a violation of attorney-client privilege. Evidence had been carelessly destroyed in a crime lab. The victim said later, “You took me through Hell ... I realize the truth now, the heart of what you’ve done to me. And you’re supposed to be for

women? You call that for women, what you did to me? And I hear you on tape laughing.”

She went to work for the Rose Law Firm in Arkansas. Again her activities fell under clouds of suspicion. We may never know the full truth about Whitewater, of course; nor “Travelgate.” There are those who believe she had something to do with Vince Foster’s death: suicide as mainstream media alleged, or the murder of a guy who knew too much.

It is one thing to say nothing has been proved in any of these cases. It is quite another to claim no pattern is gradually emerging here.

Hillary’s role back in the 1990s as a kind of “co-president” was clear even then (remember the “Hillary-care” fiasco?). According to Roger Stone (author of The Clintons’ War Against Women), Bill never did anything without running it by her first. Her threats against Bill’s mistresses dating back to his Arkansas governor days appear to be the stuff of legend. A couple of these women have stated they are afraid of her, given her rise toward possible Executive Branch power (no, I am not linking to these accounts because with more people reading this site now I don’t want to expose people to potential danger).

Bill, moreover, was sometimes seen with marks on his face and neck. In rages, Hillary had struck him and thrown objects such as books and ashtrays at him. She got truly vicious following the exposure of his affair with Monica Lewinsky. Hillary is reported to have slapped him hard across the face [warning: language!] while screaming, “You stupid, stupid, stupid bastard!”

Bottom line: Hillary Rodham Clinton is a sociopath with a violent streak in her personality and a lust for power. Her treatment of her own secret service people and other staffers during the 1990s bordered on inhumane. [Warning: language!]

Predictably, Hillary never saw a war she didn't like. She supported the NATO bombing of the former Yugoslavia under her husband, and George W. Bush's wars of choice in Afghanistan and Iraq (propaganda to the contrary now circulating notwithstanding).

Easily the worst Secretary of State in modern times, she oversaw "regime change" in Libya, i.e., the replacement of the secular Qaddafi regime by Muslim radicals and the destruction of the country. "We came, we saw, he died," she said with the kind of cynical chuckle only sociopaths can muster. The Benghazi disaster saw our Ambassador there, J. Christopher Stephens, lose his life probably after hours of torture, along with three other Americans.

Hillary supported the military coup against a democratically elected government in Honduras, now the most dangerous place in Central America. She supports the ongoing attempts at "regime change" in Syria which originally empowered ISIS fanatics.

At home, she recently declared whites to be racists almost by definition (except, I presume, for pseudo-progressives such as herself). Under a Hillary Clinton presidency, political correctness may well reach such extremes that whites risk assault in public in broad daylight by blacks seeking to take away their "white privilege." White students are already unsafe on campuses such as the University of Missouri, which after last fall's disruptions is looking at a 25% drop in enrollment next fall as students speak with their feet.

In other words, Hillary Clinton has brought distrust, death, and chaos to everything she's touched. Her foreign policy blunders have led to more Muslim extremism and more danger to the West. What's next? As president will she back continuing efforts by neocons to intimidate Russian president Vladimir Putin? Good luck with that! Will she threaten Iran? We know she backs the Zionist theocracy emanating from Tel Aviv with a

zeal equal to that of any religious fundamentalist (read her recent speech before AIPAC).

This, of course, doesn't cover her Wall Street / Goldman Sachs connections which bother progressive Democrats about her and led them to support Bernie Sanders who mounted a very credible campaign with only a fraction of her money. Hillary's transparently obvious fealty to Wall Street should tell anyone with a functioning brain: this woman knows who is buttering her bread, and will act accordingly.

In sum: if anyone thinks Barack Hussein Obama has been bad for America: if Hillary Rodham Clinton follows him, then in the immortal words of Bachman and Turner, "you ain't seen nothin' yet"!

And GOP Establishment shills like George Will are willing to hand this woman the presidency in order to stop Donald Trump??? Are you kidding me???

Trump is not a perfect candidate. He's said things I disagree with. On the other hand, I do agree with him when he says our recent foreign policy has been "a complete and total disaster" (April 27 foreign policy speech). But as I've said previously, I don't know how much he'll be able to accomplish. He won't be able to run the U.S. federal government the way he runs his businesses, simply firing, e.g., members of Congress who won't fall in line. The Establishment won't be going anywhere, after all. I fear that a Trump presidency will face massive gridlock. I also see a great potential for fomented civil unrest, as George Soros bankrolled "social justice warrior" haters like Black Lives Matter take to the streets before he even assumes office.

But I doubt Trump will start World War III. Hillary is far more likely to do that! His speech (which has raised its share of superelite hackles) portends a fundamental change of direction for U.S. foreign policy, one which would put an end

to the stupid and destructive interventions neocons have undertaken since the end of the cold war. If there is any strategy there, it has been toward building corporate-controlled world government, which Trump also opposes whether by accident or by design.

And Trump wrote the book on deal-making (The Art of the Deal, 1986). If he can negotiate a deal with Vladimir Putin and work with him instead of against him as the Establishment is doing, he may be the last chance we have to assume real leadership in bringing the increasingly volatile situation in the Middle East under control before it ignites a world war. He might do it without importing potentially dangerous people into American communities against their will. For as I like to point out, it isn't the Southern Baptists who are blowing things up and cutting off heads, some of them belonging to their own people.

Look! Go back with me four years, to 2012. Republicans had an opportunity to nominate and support the most intelligent, forthright and honest statesman then in Washington: Dr. Ron Paul. Dr. Paul's singular theme: Restore Constitutionally Limited Government. The GOP blew it, big time, as did many writers including the same shills now attacking Trump who put Dr. Paul down as "unelectable." The Tampa, Fla. coronation of Mitt Romney resulted in an unfocused mess and four more years of Barack Obama.

I was sure even then, there would be a price to pay for that act of stupidity. Now the GOP has to pay it.

Sadly, not even Dr. Paul sees this. Libertarians and libertarian-leaning conservatives are bitterly divided between those of us who have seen the light and stopped calling for ideological purity, and those who have joined the Establishment in calling Trump a fascist, warning about brown shirts to come (as if we don't practically have them now).

Here's your choice, folks. This is reality, not PlayStation. Nominate Donald J. Trump for President of the United States, get behind him, and do what it takes to get him into the White House. Or put Hillary Rodham Clinton in there. No one else has a chance. Hillary would step on Ted Cruz or any Establishment empty suit like an insect. And then hers would be, hands down, the most violent and corrupt presidency in U.S. history, and very likely the most dangerous!

Please, click on "Mass E-mailing" below and send this article to all your friends.

© 2016 Steven Yates – All Rights Reserved