

How Media Is Helping Rewrite American History, Part 2

It is obvious that to attain the one-world order that most globalists, Democrat and Republican, are pushing for there cannot be a love of country. It must be a love of the world community. There can be no sovereignty. There must be a concern for all peoples, not just the people in the nation you live in. The greatness of America cannot be taught because it makes America look better than most nations. That's because we are. We always have been and as long as the church is still here, we always will be.

Just recently the New York Times has begun a project to try to re-write our history. This project is called The 1619 Project and is basically lies and half-truths. They claim that our real Founding was 1619, not 1776. An excellent article by Eric Erickson on the Patriot Post describes this fiasco by the New York Times. ***The keyword is "reframe." The Times does not seek to tell the story of the United States. Nor does it seek to add to the story. Instead, the Times has a conclusion and is working backward to contort facts to fit the conclusion. This is activism. The Times is not reporting but rather building narratives in which they must ignore or fabricate facts.***

In the very first essay, writer Nikole Hannah-Jones boldly claims that "one of the primary reasons the colonists decided to declare their independence from Britain was because they wanted to protect the institution of slavery. By 1776, Britain had grown deeply conflicted over its role in the barbaric institution." This is not true on multiple fronts.

In 1776, the British were not nearly as conflicted as Hannah-Jones claims. While the sentiment was certainly growing, it did not come to a head until 1787, when William Wilberforce

took up the cause in Parliament, and even then, it took 20 more years for Parliament to pass the Slave Trade Act of 1807, which prohibited the trading of slaves. Britain did not formally abolish the slavery in its empire until 1833.

Contrast that with Massachusetts, which began serious consideration of abolishing slavery in 1767 and voted to do so in both 1771 and 1774, only to see the British governor-general veto the effort. Rhode Island forbade the importation of slaves in 1774 and in 1784 granted freedom to slaves born in Rhode Island.

Thomas Jefferson noted the Second Continental Congress intended to put a passage into the Declaration of Independence that would have condemned slavery and the slave trade, but the effort was abandoned due to Georgia's and South Carolina's objections. To say, however, that a primary purpose of the revolution was to preserve the slave trade directly contradicts the sentiments of most of the founders and the fact that multiple American colonies were well ahead of Britain in ending slavery.[1]

We are seeing schools wanting to eliminate any references to the Founders because they were slave owners. Schools are teaching that America was founded on slavery, a lie from the pit of hell. At the height of slavery, there were only four million slaves in America[2] and only around 6% of American citizens owned slaves. All in the south and all Democrats, you know the Party that complains about the fact America had slaves. Democrats proliferated slavery, resisted freeing them, voted against freeing them, formed the KKK when they were freed and wrote all the Jim Crow laws, but Republicans are the racist ones.



They forget that slavery was an ancient and universal

institution and NOT distinctively American[3] like the Democrats try to make us think. Slavery was actually started by the descendants of Ismael, today's muslims. They also teach that we became wealthy on the backs of slaves. That's hard to do when there weren't that many AND most states that had slavery did not really begin to prosper until slavery was abolished.[4] Media, in all its forms, print, television, radio, cable news, backs up these lies. Google has blocked information that refutes these lies and not just about slavery but the hoax of global warming. The reason for doing this is because they don't want the younger generations to have anything to be proud of. If they learn that our Founders sacrificed everything for our freedoms and taught them what their freedoms were, they wouldn't give up our sovereignty so easily. If they learned that our capitalism has raised more people out of poverty than all economic systems combined. This last week Bernie Sanders declared: . . . ***declared that communist China has done more to alleviate poverty than any country in history during a Tuesday appearance on HILL TV's Rising.***

Granting that it is unfortunate that China is "moving" toward authoritarianism instead of democratic governance, the presidential candidate [argued](#) its record on poverty is the best in the world.

"China is a country that is moving, unfortunately, in a more authoritarian way in a number of directions," Sanders said. "But what we have to say about China in fairness to China and its leadership is, if I'm not mistaken, they have made more progress in addressing extreme poverty than any country in the history of civilization. So they've done a lot of things for their people."[5]

The only reason China has done anything positive for their people is because they adopted a form of capitalism in the 1970s. Media is silent on what China has done to its people over the years since it has adopted socialism/communism.

According to The Nine Commentaries the Party has killed about 80 million Chinese people since it came to power about 80 years ago. It is more than all of the World War's casualties put all together. I am not sure if you remember (Don't know how old you are) but Mao wanted the opinion of scholars and scientists view of how to make the party stronger. In the end he deemed them "counter-revolutionary" and had all 20,000 of them killed. Also not to mention how many died of the Tiananmen Square massacre and famines, people in every kind of religion in China, monks, Falun gong, etc. Point being the CCP is No good. [6]

Funny how the real facts get swept under the rug by leftist dirtbags when they are on the quest for total power. ***In introducing the Black Book, lead author Stephane Courtois, Director of Research at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) in Paris, offers the following rough breakdown of the numbers of people that communism killed: USSR – 20 million, [China – 65 million](#), Vietnam – 1 million, North Korea – 2 million, Cambodia – 2 million, Eastern Europe – 1 million, Latin America – 150,000, Africa – 1.7 million, Afghanistan – 1.5 million, Communist movements, parties not in power – 10,000.*** [7] It is this type of information that needs to be taught about other political systems in the world so that we can prove that our system has proven to be the best the world has ever seen.

We are fighting a two-fold battle. We fight our so-called education system and our totally disgraced media both of which are controlled for the most part by anti-American liberals. If we are going to survive, we must be educated and we must educate the younger generations. Stay informed to stay free!

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Roger Anghis: roger@buildingthetruth.org

Footnotes:

1. <https://patriotpost.us>
2. [Statistics: slave population](#)
3. [Six inconvenient truths about the US and slavery](#)
4. [Six inconvenient truths about the US and slavery](#)
5. [Sanders: China has done more to solve poverty than any country in the history of civilization](#)
6. [How many people has the Chinese communist party killed](#)
7. [How many people did communism kill](#)