

How the Liberals Are Destroying the Foundation of America, Part 2

It seems that the Democrats have to tell lies about conservatives to try to discredit them. They call conservatives violent but when Trump won it was the left that burned cars and destroyed businesses. It was the left that rioted when conservatives were scheduled to speak at Berkley, Columbia and other colleges. The left calls conservatives Nazi's but it is the left that employs the tactics of the Nazi's. Joseph Goebbels, Hitler's propaganda minister, that said "Always accuse the other side of the things that you are doing." Democrats do this daily.

Democrat Frederica Wilson degrades "white men" who run the detention centers, which the left has relabeled 'concentration camps', and claims that it is just a money making scheme: *"I think it's a money making scheme. Instead of them processing the children out, they're processing children in so that they can use a government money to expand this facility which is already about the size of three football fields with thousands of children. And every day they're bringing in new children from the border. So there's no real plan to exit these children out of this facility but there's a real plan to bring them there because they said to us we're going to add permanent structures. Now they're in tents. And it is so sad. I said to one of the workers who're all white men, no Hispanic men, no Hispanic women, everyone running this institution are white men. I said to one of them, I feel so sorry for these kids. He said we treat them well. I said I'm not – I mean, I feel sorry for them because you have taken them from their parents. And he said, oh, because we treat them well. I said you think crowding them in a jail in beds that are one foot apart in a gymnasium full of just girls and right across the*

hall, right across the hall are the same age boys. That is very dangerous. And this place needs to be shut down.” [1]



There is a reason for their outright lies, they want to make themselves look good and, most important, they want to make their opponent look bad. *The mainstream media is crowning Sen. Kamala Harris the winner of the second Democratic presidential debate, noting that her ferocious style and well-rehearsed lines put former Vice-President and current front-runner Joe Biden on the defensive. However, even a cursory look at Sen. Harris' statements reveal that she repeatedly told a slew of half-truths and outright lies in a desperate attempt to boost her flailing campaign.*

While current polling statistics show that her ratings certainly rose as a result of her commanding on-stage presence and pithy statements, her lack of honesty is sure to catch up to her at some point in the primary campaign – or later on if she happens to become the Democratic nominee for the presidency.

One of Sen. Harris' most memorable statements on the night of the second debate had to do with the controversial practice of bussing students to different schools in order to achieve racial integration. Then-Senator Joe Biden was opposed to the practice at the time, so Sen. Harris took him to task, stating that she was part of the second class to integrate her public schools and that she, like many others, was bussed to school every day. [2]

Kamala Harris brings to light again the question of eligibility to run for president. Obama was NOT eligible because he did not fall into the category of Natural Born Citizen (NBC). Marco Rubio and Ted Cruz also are not eligible, and neither is Kamala Harris. It seems that NEITHER

political party cares about upholding the Constitution in this matter. Even though the Constitution does not specifically define NBC there is a simple way to do so. Justice Scalia referred to it as "Doctrine of Original Intent". What he meant was, we have to understand what the Founders understood that to be. *In interpreting this text, we are guided by the principle that "[t]he Constitution was written to be understood by the voters; its words and phrases were used in their normal and ordinary as distinguished from technical meaning."* ... Normal meaning may of course include an idiomatic meaning, but it excludes secret or technical meanings that would not have been known to ordinary citizens in the founding generation.[3]

In other words, what did the Founders understand the term NBC to be? They were very familiar with English law and as such understood that term. In English law it states: *Yet the children of the king's ambassadors born abroad were always held to be natural subjects: for as the father, though in a foreign country, owes not even a local allegiance to the prince to whom he is sent; so, with regard to the son also, he was held (by a kind of postliminium) to be born under the king of England's allegiance, represented by his father, the ambassador. To encourage also to reign commerce, it was enacted by statute 25 Edw. iii. st. 2. that all children born abroad, provided both their parents were at the time of the birth in allegiance, citizens of England, to the king, and the mother had passed the seas by her husband's consent, might inherit as if born in England:* [4] The Founders understood that **BOTH** parent have to be citizens for their children to be Natural Born Citizens.

Some today, such as Cornell Law School, state that the 14th Amendment declares "anyone born on U.S. soil and subject to its jurisdiction is a natural born citizen"[5] which is a total falsehood. The author of the 14th Amendment, Senator

Jacob M. Howard (MI), ***"This will not, of course, include persons born in the United States who are foreigners, aliens, who belong to the families of ambassadors or foreign ministers accredited to the Government of the United States, but will include every other class of person."*** Take note that he specified foreigners AND aliens would not be considered US citizens. This includes what we consider today as 'anchor babies'. Democrats have used this to increase their voting base for decades. Kamala Harris was born four years after her parent came to the United States. Neither of her parents were citizens when she was born and according to international law she is a citizen of the country her father came from. There are no records of her parents filing for her citizenship that I have been able to find. Another case of the Constitution be damned! But we see the Republicans ignoring this provision of the Constitution as well with Rubio and Cruz. Obama's ineligibility was ignored by both parties and they continue to ignore this problem. What will they start ignoring next? The 1st Amendment? The 2nd Amendment? All of the Bill of Rights? We are at a very dangerous stage that will determine the fate of the American Experiment. Who is awake enough to take action?

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Austin Miles: chaplainmiles@aol.com

FootNotes:

1. [Rep Frederica Wilson – white men running detention-facilities](#)
2. [Kamala Harris brazenly lied multiple times during the debate](#)
3. <https://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu>
4. <https://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu>
5. [Natural born citizen](#)