# Is Dr. Robert Malone Friend or Foe of the Health Freedom Movement?



By Cherie Zaslawsky

October 10, 2023

By now, in spite of the media blackout, most people probably know that Robert F. Kennedy Jr. is running for the presidency. However, many may not know that one of his apparently informal advisors is reported to be a man named Robert Malone, who has risen from relative obscurity in the bosom of the Medical Military Industrial Complex, to prominence in the health freedom movement in just a couple of years.

This is particularly noteworthy, as RFK Jr., founder and CEO of Children's Health Defense and author of *The Real Anthony Fauci*—a blistering account of the malfeasance of Big Government in collaboration with Big Pharma in the billion dollar business of marketing often unsafe and ineffective vaccines while protecting the purveyors from liability—is a vehement critic of the US governmental agencies where Dr. Malone has spent much of his career. So the question emerges, whose team is Malone really on: the health freedom movement's, or the Deep State's from which he hails?

If the latter, that would place him in the role of "controlled opposition," i.e. someone who appears to be fighting the good fight alongside his compatriots, but who's actually there to disrupt things or at least to act as a gatekeeper, keeping the

conversation away from truths that could lead others to recognize and effectively oppose their actual enemy.

Interestingly, Malone's Substack title is: "Who Is Robert
Malone?"

So let's see if we can shed any light on that question.

Screenshot of Malone on Joe Rogan, December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2021



## **ENTER ROBERT MALONE**

In my 2022 article <u>The Knights of Senator Johnson's Round Table</u>, I mentioned a number of courageous panel participants, including Drs. Peter McCullough, Pierre Kory, Paul Marik, Harvey Risch, Aaron Kheriaty, and Christina Parks. As to Robert Malone, he seemed to me to be the odd man out, and he himself may have sensed that as well, as reflected in his opening statement on the panel: "A case could be made that whether you agree with what I say or disagree, it's certainly valid that I should have a role in discussing the current data."

Now why was he defending his right to join in the discussion when he already had a seat at the table?

Maybe trying to short circuit anyone who might view him as an infiltrator? After all, he makes no secret of the fact that he's worked in what one might call the Big Med/Big Pharma/Deep

State syndicate for decades, rubbing shoulders with Tony Teflon Fauci, as well as members of the DoD (Department of Defense), HHS (Department of Health and Human Services), BARDA (Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority), and Intelligence agencies, and profiting handsomely in the process.

Though many of us have come to realize our three-letter agencies have been largely co-opted and weaponized against We the People, the five-letter agencies: BARDA, DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), DETRA (Defense Threat Reduction Agency), NIAID (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease), and the new kid on the block, ACTIV (Accelerating Covid-19 Therapeutic Interventions and Vaccines) are where the sausage is made, out of view of most people, in the bowels of the DoD and HHS. This is where Dr. Malone has spent much of his time working for the government.

# Say the Breggins:

"All these Department of Defense and ACTIV affiliations, from our perspective, make Malone deeply mired in the military-industrial complex and the health-industrial complex, involving global public-private partnerships characteristic of globalism and the Great Reset. How Dr. Malone has managed to present himself as a health freedom fighter remains something of a mystery to us."

In addition to his presence on Senator Johnson's panel, Malone admits he's had a seat at another kind of table, the notorious "Tabletop" pandemic wargames à la Event 201—though he's quick to point out he wasn't present at that one. Diana West quotes him from an October 2021 interview on Stew Peters:

"Where I'm at now is what we're observing is a scripted response that has been pioneered in multiple war games by a small cadre of individuals, largely at Johns Hopkins University, largely funded by Bill and Melinda Gates and the

World Economic Forum — that's all true — involving multiple government officials. And as the war games become more sophisticated — and **I've participated in some of these, too,** although not the Hopkins' one..." (Emphasis Diana's)

West goes on to call attention to the one major entity in the "small cadre" behind the scripted "plandemic" sessions missing in Malone's account: the CIA. She quotes RFK Jr.:

"When I researched my book what I learned was that this [2019 pandemic simulation] event, Event 201, was not a one-time occurrence. We found 20 separate pandemic simulations beginning in 2000. One thing they had in common — most of them Bill Gates was involved in, Tony Fauci was involved in — but every one of them the CIA was involved in. The CIA wrote the script, high-level CIA officials participated in every one of those pandemic simulations."

Did Malone just forget to mention the CIA's key role in these "events"?

It's also interesting to note that in August of 2023 in his talk at the Liberty Forum of Silicon Valley in California, Malone not only referenced the CIA, but blamed both American Intelligence Agencies and "Five Eyes—the alliance of intelligence agencies between the US and UK—for pretty much everything nefarious transpiring in our world today. However, he avoided mentioning, much less blaming, the billionaire globalists behind UN Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030—the "elites" at the top, whom Desmet actually warns us not to blame: The solution to the impasse we are in is not to forcibly eliminate any elite. On the contrary: that is the recipe for self-destruction.

#### THE INVENTOR OF mRNA VACCINES

To the understandable surprise of many, when he made his sudden appearance in the freedom movement, Malone described himself as the inventor of the mRNA vaccine technology. This

raised eyebrows for two reasons: first, because that experimental technology was thought to be harming and even killing people; and second, because such research is typically collaborative with many scientists working on it, as investigative journalist <u>Kelleigh Nelson</u> points out in her article on Malone.

Dr. Joseph Mercola puts it this way: "To be clear, he's not the inventor of the COVID shots themselves but rather the foundational platform that underlies them, for which Malone holds several patents." Though perhaps unsurprisingly, Malone's wife Jill echoes her husband's claim: "Dr. Malone is the inventor of mRNA vaccines (and DNA vaccines)." Not sure why he'd want that honor at this point, but let's give credit where credit is due.

In fact, Malone has a point, as outlined in his wife Jill Malone's <u>in-depth article</u> on *The History of mRNA Vaccines* and summarized in an article in the Atlantic.

Back in 1988 as a twenty-nine-year-old graduate student, Robert Malone reportedly injected a frog with DNA and RNA and discovered this resulted in a protein forming in its muscle tissue. He authored an important paper on this, and subsequently co-authored a second paper. But then, as often happens in academic settings, apparently bigger fish took over. And Malone has seemingly held a grudge ever since.

In fact, he refers to his experience at the Salk Institute and Vical as "an intellectual rape"—an odd expression, suggesting he felt personally violated and perhaps degraded by his treatment at the hands of these institutions. And he has a credible beef against them, even if, as some say, he did not invent the mRNA vaccine technology, but rather pioneered a new mechanism with potential for use in vaccination. Either way, he deserves credit for his discovery—or blame, as the case may be. Here's a <a href="link">link</a> to what Jill refers to as his seminal paper on both in-vitro and in-vivo RNA transfection.

Unfortunately, this experience in his youth may have been a formative one for his character. He was quick to perceive himself as a victim, and has seemingly harbored this complaint for over thirty years. "It's all about Kati," he gripes, meaning fellow scientist Katalin Karikó of BioNTech who's received accolades for her contribution to the mRNA technology. In fact, in 2020, he wrote to her that he'd been "written out of history," and essentially blamed her for taking credit for his invention, closing his email to her with the chilling words: "This will not end well."

# **PIVOT NUMBER ONE:**

# FROM THE INVENTOR OF MRNA-VACCINE TECHNOLOGY TO ITS CRITIC

Ironically, Malone has at last found his long awaited glory—among the anti-vax, anti-mRNA freedom-loving crowd—the last place one would have expected. He's done a 180-degree pivot from touting his brilliance as the inventor of genetherapy vaccines, to acknowledging that the Covid vaccines were not ready for primetime—but he hedges his bets. As Diana West points out, after Del Bigtree described him as an antivaxxer, he told Del he wouldn't be back on his show, since he'd studiously avoided that description. Fair enough, as he'd spent his entire professional career working on vaccines in one way or another, even under the Fauch at NIAID.

In fact, during 2020 and 2021 while making a name for himself in the health freedom movement, Dr. Malone was apparently quietly working on the development of a new Covid vaccine called RelCovax, for Reliance Life Sciences, a pharmaceutical company in India. Here's Malone's video presentation on RelCovax "a second generation multi-valent SARS CoV-2 vaccine candidate designed to meet global vaccination demands."

And though Malone claims Relcovax is not a genetic vaccine, it is based on "<a href="https://heterologous.expression">heterologous expression</a>" which Wikipedia describes as: "The expression of a gene or part of a gene in a

host organism that does not naturally have the gene or gene fragment in question. Insertion of the gene in the heterologous host is performed by recombinant DNA technology.... After being inserted in the host, the gene may be integrated into the host DNA, causing permanent expression, or not integrated, causing transient expression." Now I'm no vaccinologist, but it sure sounds like a genetic vaccine to me.

In fact, investigative journalist <u>George Webb writes in a Twitter/X post</u>: Look for Dr. Robert "DARPA" Malone AI generated "novel" bioagents and vaccines to start hitting the market. Looking hard at COVAX and Relcovax. In his <u>two-minute video</u>, Webb says these are next generation novel vaccines that go "from gene to vax" directly without using any cell cultures. What could go wrong? Don't we need to "protect" the elderly and infirm?



Screenshot of
Malone's
RelCovax
presentation

### "JAB THE ELDERS"


As <u>Kelleigh Nelson</u> and Diana West both point out, Malone's on record saying "the vulnerable" should still get the shots, seemingly playing both sides of the street.

In fact, in an interview on Stew Peters from October 2021 as reported by Diana West, Malone described his "balanced approach" this way: "...jab the elders and the ones at high

<u>risk."</u> As Kelleigh Nelson points out, those are exactly the groups the Nazis considered "useless eaters." I hear the same historical resonance when I read those three words: "jab the elders." And what vaccines does Malone have in mind for this purpose—the mRNA vaccines he's apparently acknowledged are flawed? The new "gene to vax" second-generation novel vaccines like RelCovax?

Let's recall that "the vulnerable" are mostly the elderly. What's rarely mentioned is that vaccines are most effective when the immune system is robust, as in childhood and teen years, and less effective as people age—especially when they reach old age. It's called immunosenescence — aging of the

immune system. Not to mention the myriad issues with vaccines. wouldn't therapeutics much bе а better way to protect the elderly?



But here's a more fundamental question: Do we really want to risk more "transient" gene expression, or worse, in a vaccine for what is essentially a mild cold or flu for most people? More needles in more arms sound good to you? Me neither. Thanks anyway, Dr. Malone.

### TWO MORE QUESTIONS

Speaking of questions, here's another: How was it that Malone and his wife were able to write an entire book about the "coming epidemic" of Covid-19 and publish it on February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2020, a mere ten days after the WHO declared the "novel"

coronavirus a <u>global health emergency</u> and only one week after the <u>US declared a public health emergency</u>?

Abstract of the Malone's February 2020 book on "preparation and protection" from Covid-19

Oh, one more thing: How was it that the Malones knew that the name of the novel coronavirus would be <u>Covid-19</u> when the <u>WHO</u> <u>only announced that name on February 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020</u>, the day after their book was published?



MALONE'S FELLOW WARRIOR

Malones at the Defeat the Mandates rally in D.C., January 23, 2022

On the home front, Robert Malone describes his wife Jill as his "fellow warrior" and business partner, so it's worth noting that Jill Glasspool Malone, as <u>Diana West</u> has pointed out from perusing her LinkedIn profile, apparently admires a number of globalist powermongers as well as Big Pharma companies and governmental agencies, including the US Department of Health and Human Services and BARDA (Biomedical Advanced Research and. Development Authority), among others.

Take a look at this <u>four minute video</u> revealing Jill's "influencers" on her LinkedIn page which has since been scrubbed: Bill Gates, Melinda French Gates, Richard Branson, and Justin Trudeau. The Malones seem to be very much

on the same page, so her fellow warrior husband may well share her esteem for these powerful players.

#### "COULD THIS MAN HAVE SAVED THE WORLD?"

Though perhaps the biggest red flag regarding Malone's transition to critic of the mRNA shots, is that it took him a couple of years to adopt this new stance. Dr. Peter Breggin and Dr. Paul Alexander, among others, have been quick to point this out. Had Malone spoken out against the experimental gene therapy technique back in early 2021, thousands of lives could have been saved. Make that millions.

In fact, Dr. Breggin discovered a <u>scientific paper</u> Malone and MIT's Darrell O. Ricke published on ResearchGate in January 2020, at the very first mention of Covid-19. They concluded that *all SARS-CoV-2 vaccines were dangerous*, due to the phenomenon of Antibody-Dependent Enhancement (ADE). (emphasis mine)

Here's an excerpt from the Breggin's article: <u>Could This Man</u>
Have Saved the World?

In the section on Vaccine Risks for Antibody-dependent Enhancement (ADE), Ricke and Malone write that their research "leads to the prediction that new attempts to create ... SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have potentially higher risks for inducing ADE in humans..." This is a critically important dire statement about a very dangerous situation.

In addition, according to his wife Jill, Malone continued to work on cationic liposomes for mRNA vaccines during the 1990s, but discovered that: "unfortunately, the toxicity issues from the nano lipid particles that Dr. Malone observed in his research during the 1990s were never resolved." Wouldn't that also be something of great moment for Malone to announce to the world in 2020 and 2021?

#### TARGETING LEADERS OF THE HEALTH FREEDOM MOVEMENT

But there's another issue we need to address. Dr. Breggin has assembled a <u>list of heroes</u> in the health freedom movement that Malone has reportedly gone after in one way or another: Peter and Ginger Breggin, Dr. Peter McCullough, Dr. Harvey Risch, Dr. Judy Mikovits, Dr. Jane Ruby, Foster Coulson, and more.

Here's an example from Malone's Substack:

"I learned last night that Drs. Peter McCullough and Harvey Risch have joined a company that is promoting overpriced vitamins, nutraceuticals, and telemedicine. The Wellness Company. This firm is headed up by a physician formerly with the Global Covid Summit group, and is now quite antagonistic to us. This firm is controlled by Mr. Foster Colson, head of the Colson group..."

I wonder who the "us" is, and also why Malone would cast aspersion on courageous Dr. McCullough who has sacrificed his career to stand up for our medical/health freedom and is endeavoring to offer the public better medical care than most of us get through Big Medicine/Big Insurance.

But the centerpiece of Malone's attack is of course the \$25 million defamation lawsuit against Peter and Ginger Breggin. Obviously, a lawsuit of this magnitude is not primarily about clearing one's name of defamation (which ostensibly never took place), but of destroying the target of the suit. The Breggins are octogenarians, and this lawsuit would surely bankrupt them.

However, Malone may have just gotten an unexpected wake-up call. As the Breggins report: Judge Moon, who's presiding over Malone's defamation case against the Washington Post as well as his case against themselves, ordered his clerk to "STRIKE this case [Malone v The Washington Post] from the docket." He invoked Virginia's Anti-SLAPP law which allows a judge to dismiss a case deemed without merit...". And the cherry on top? The Judge warned Malone in no uncertain terms to stop filing

meritless defamation suits. (Emphasis mine)

MALONE'S DOOM-AND-GLOOM BELGIAN PALS



How did Malone come up with not one but two fearmongering Belgians? Is there something in the water in Belgium these days? We'll consider psychologist Mattias Desmet below, but let's give Geert Vanden Bossche his due now.

You may recall Vanden Bossche as the man who sounded a frantic alarm against "vaccinating during a pandemic!" which he asserted would force the virus to mutate to deadly strains, unleashing "a global catastrophe without equal." It may also be worth noting that Bossche is affiliated with "the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI), GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and other vaccine proponents." And that the "cure" he touted for this emergency was...more vaccines!

Turns out it isn't mere coincidence that Malone has discovered these men and their dismal theories—at least not in the case of Vanden Bossche. Here's what <u>Malone said</u> in an interview he did on Stew Peters' show on January 28<sup>th</sup>, 2022 regarding Bossche:

"... I'm compelled by my friend and colleague and former coworker from Solvay Vaccines, Geert Vanden Bossche, that his reasoning about the development of escape mutants under the pressure of universal vaccination is dead on!"

Later in the same 2022 interview, apparently echoing Bossche's theory, <a href="Malone says">Malone says</a>: "We are basically breeding superbugs."

And just days before this interview, during Senator Johnson's round table discussion: <u>Covid-19: A Second Opinion</u>, after stating we don't need any more fearmongering, Malone reintroduced Bossche's alarming theory, warning the panel about deadly new variants emerging as a result of universal vaccination.

However, there seem to be a couple of problems with that dire thesis.

First and foremost, the "plandemic" was hugely overblown. The millions of deaths predicted by the fake computer modeling of <a href="Professor Neil Ferguson">Professor Neil Ferguson</a> at Imperial College in London, fortunately never materialized.

Instead, there was an orchestrated psy-op, with a few "Covid hotspots" of undetermined causation—some say through 5G, some say through flu shots, some say through aerosol dispersion of a pathogen, and some say by means of the engineered Covid bioweapon. And while the vaccines themselves may well represent a "global catastrophe without equal," that has nothing to do with Bossche's extinction-level killer viral mutations.

But I'm happy to announce that Bossche's theory of catastrophic variants has been soundly debunked, although most of us had already figured out it was bogus for one simple reason: it didn't happen.

In fact, hopefully once and for all, <u>Dr. Mike Yeadon</u>, a true hero of the health freedom movement, put the kibosh on that bit of doomsday drama by pointing out that viral variants of Covid—even the ones most drastically different from the "novel virus"—only vary from the original virus by .3%! Not quite

enough to wipe out humanity. And not even enough to trick the immune system, which easily recognizes it as the same virus—well, 99.7% the same, scientifically speaking.

#### A SECOND LOOK AT MRNA TECHNOLOGY

There's a powerful <u>open letter by Sucharit Bhakdi MD</u>, Karina Reiss PhD and Michael Palmer MD entitled *The Eternal Dangers* of RNA-Vaccines.

It begins this way:

"This open letter explains the dangers posed by mRNA vaccines, namely, that cells and tissues which take up the vaccine particles will suffer destruction at the hands of the immune system, and furthermore that cells which evade destruction may end up being genetically modified. The risk of genetic modification also pertains to egg and sperm cells and therefore to future generations. Both risks are inherent in this vaccine technology — they are not limited to the mRNA vaccines against COVID-19 alone."

The authors go on to describe what they call the "fatal flaw" in the mRNA technology:

"... if mRNA coding for any non-self protein is introduced into a cell, that cell will come under attack by the immune system. This is the fatal flaw that underlies the whole concept". (Emphasis mine)

And their even more dire warning:

"In the laboratory, it is possible to insert plasmid DNA into the book of life. If this occurs in vaccinated humans, the possible consequences are unending. Disruption of the exquisitely tuned network that controls cell division and differentiation can lead to cancer. Mutations in sperm and fertilized egg cells could render altered traits inheritable and lead to the creation of beings that have departed from the

# evolutionary track of the human race." (Emphasis mine)

And Dr. Peter McCullough has this to say:

"Synthetic mRNA is turning out to be a disastrous biotechnology for vaccine development. There is no "off switch" for production of dangerous antigens such as the Spike protein from SARS-CoV-2 or the hemagglutinin from influenza. There is no control over where in the body the lipid nanoparticles will deliver the dangerous genetic payload. Additionally, all cells that take up mRNA express foreign proteins on the cell surface inviting an immediate auto-immune attack on cells harboring the mRNA and it's protein products as described by <a href="Dr. Panagis Polykretis">Dr. Panagis Polykretis</a>."

Perhaps the question should be raised, regardless of Malone's presumed good intentions, whether his original genetic experiment was actually an ill-advised, even reckless venture that should have ended where it begun. As Mary Shelley pointed out in her iconic novel *Frankenstein* over two centuries ago, there's a line that must not be crossed—experiments that push beyond it lead to catastrophe.

# PIVOT NUMBER TWO: MASS FORMATION PSYCHOSIS



Interestingly, Malone apparently reinvented himself again, leaving behind his decades of work in the medical-biopharmaceutical-industrial-military-intelligence sector(s) where he developed relationships with CIA members among

others, while ostensibly working in the Big Money realm of DARPA and BARDA contracts, etc.

Instead of continuing to focus on his area of expertise and the by now obvious dangers of the Covid shots using the mRNA technology he boasts of inventing, Malone threw a wild card into the freedom movement by importing another Belgian, the psychologist Mattais Desmet and his "mass formation" theory.

Perhaps due to Malone's enthusiastic endorsement of Desmet's notion of collective hypnosis, this became the talk of much of the freedom movement and many purchased Desmet's book, *The Psychology of Totalitarianism*. One of those who critiqued the theory was the eminent psychiatrist Dr. Peter Breggin, a man with impeccable credentials and decades of professional expertise.

But before I turn to what followed between Malone and the Breggins, let me call your attention to another result Malone accomplished by catapulting Desmet and his theories into the freedom movement's cyberspace:

he changed the subject.

#### MASS FOMENTING OF ILL WILL IN THE FREEDOM MOVEMENT

The bad blood between Malone and the Breggins began with Dr. Peter Breggin's insightful analysis of Mattais Desmet's "mass formation" hypothesis. One might question why Malone saw fit to strike out at Breggin, a highly esteemed psychiatrist, for critiquing Desmet's arguably rather slipshod psychological argument. Here's the <u>gist</u> of the Breggins' analysis of Desmet's theory:

"A careful reading of most of the writings of Desmet and Malone on mass formation or mass psychosis will confirm that their ideas are most consistent with preventing any recognition or effective response to the elites who are now clamping down upon the citizens of the world with

totalitarianism."

Dr. Breggin entitles his article: <u>Mass Formation and Mass</u>
<u>Psychosis: A False and Dangerous Concept that Threatens Our</u>
<u>Freedom</u>.

# Here's another quote:

Their basic idea behind mass formation or mass psychosis is that totalitarianism originates or arises spontaneously out of 30% of the people who become obsessed with giving away their freedom and becoming dependent. The people literally convert themselves into a totalitarian force of psychotic proportions that will eventually violently attack those who disagree with them while creating dictators like Hitler and Stalin. In his book, Desmet states outright:

"The crowd typically tries to impose its will on the society; it seeks control over society. This has always been the case..." (pp. 126-127)

In short, it is the people, and not the elite, the political class, or the wealthy and powerful predators, who seek to oppress us. It is the "crowd" — a mythological group that did not even exist during the height of COVID-19 oppression because crowds were illegal.

And here's something recent I found on <u>Desmet's Substack</u> substantiating Dr. Breggin's point:

"Conspiracy theories respond to man's irresistible urge to reduce the complexity of human existence and human relationships to something simple and understandable. Evil is located in a single object — an evil elite, an evil dictator, a religion, or a group of people — and then free-floating anxiety, frustration and aggression is focused on this one object. A conspiracy theory thus provides a privileged albeit misplaced psychological rationale to form a blind, fanatical mass that gives free rein to all problematic, dark human

# sentiments." (Emphasis mine)

In other words: Don't look at the Davos elites, don't look at the billionaire globalist psychopaths, look over here instead. Look anywhere else. If you see evil somewhere, that's just your free-floating anxiety glomming onto some random illusion and turning you into part of a "blind fanatical mass". OK, Mattais. Got it.

By the way, in one of his posts on his Substack, Malone lists the goals of Agenda 2030—the elite cabal's dystopian plan for the next seven years—and concludes this way: "Now, many of the above are legitimate goals — BUT for a nation, not as a world governance." While it's reassuring to see Malone argue against "world governance," one might wonder why these communistic, Green New Deal, Great Reset, top-down authoritarian goals would be legitimate for any nation, other than, say, China and North Korea.

# PIVOT NUMBER THREE: FIFTH GENERATION WARFARE

Perhaps since Mattias' theory that we've all been hypnotized hasn't panned out so well, Malone has shifted gears once more, leaving the issue of vaccine dangers even further behind. His new bête noire is 5GW, "Fifth Generation Warfare." And as with "Mass Formation," this new subject seems to be more about obfuscating nomenclature than any substantially new information. That our government and mainstream media are conspiring to propagandize us is old news—hardly a revelation to those of us who've been paying attention.

At a recent talk he gave at the Liberty Forum of Silicon Valley, Malone announced that Fifth Generation Warfare is not about territory, but about control of our minds through what he calls "unrestricted information warfare." This is also not news. Alex Jones debuted his show "InfoWars" with his well-known tagline, "There's a war on for your mind!" more than

tætgængfælodenskrisse effectively



Dr. Robert Malone ~ The Liberty Forum ~ 8-8-2023

# MALONE'S LIBERTY FORUM SPEECH ON 5GW: AUGUST 8TH 2023

For the third time in three years, Malone was invited to speak at the Liberty Forum of Silicon Valley. He began his talk graciously by delivering a well-deserved compliment to Emcee Jane Kearney, and acknowledging the growth he's seen in many freedom warriors, to a round of applause. He then harkened back to his "hypnotism" theory, with the claim that 25% of people are readily hypnotized, highly suggestible, and readily go along with whatever an authority tells them to do.

He then pointed out that at the other end of the spectrum, 25% are the skeptics who question everything. And he complimented the audience not only as this 25%, but also suggested that many among us may also be in the 5% "tip of the spear" group of freedom warriors, as were the American Founders.

So now that the audience had been warmed up and nicely flattered, he proceeded with his topic, in which these same brave freedom warriors and wide awake skeptics and questioners were gradually recast as helpless victims of an all-powerful system of propaganda and control that leaves them without the ability to separate fact from fiction or right from wrong or to make rational decisions. Let's parse this trajectory.

# Here are a few quotes:

- "In the 5GW environment, you no longer have the ability to think and process what's right and wrong about government policy. You don't have the material to think about whether that's a good or bad policy." What about our common sense? What about our moral compass? All gone?
- "The idea of you as an independent voter and independent agent being able to have an influence on what your government is doing is completely obsolete...you don't have the data." Did we just lose our ability to think and reason, to seek redress of grievances, to protest injustice, and to vote?
- "Your ability to perceive reality is completely warped." Aren't we perceiving it now, in spite of ongoing propaganda?
- "You're being highly manipulated within that (constrained) window with sophisticated understanding of how to exploit your own psychological weaknesses." If that is so, how is it that We the Twenty-Five Percent are still seeking and finding the truth and fighting back?
- "Everything you see, hear, feel, believe is a manipulated product of a weaponized psychological manipulation technology...and you really no longer have free agency." Sounds like Winston in Orwell's novel 1984. We're clearly not there now, and let's hope we never get there.

So how did Malone get from *Point A*, where the audience was informed, awake, aware and standing for freedom, to *Point B*, where they became hypnotized zombies with no thoughts of their own?

Perhaps for dramatic effect, he did it by blurring a key distinction.

He described what the power elite hopes to achieve as if it were a fait accompli. In doing so, he left out our ability to think, reason, figure things out on our own, our inner sense of right and wrong, and for many of us, our standing firm in the Judeo-Christian tradition. And oh yes, he left God and people's belief in God out of the equation. No powerful Five Eyes Alliance can trump that.

#### FREUDIAN SLIP?

During his talk, Malone spent a good deal of time explaining that while he's had, and still has, a number of connections with CIA officers both past and present, he's on our side and recognizes the CIA and the Five Eyes Alliance of Intel agencies as the real culprits behind 5GWarfare.

At one point, in what may have been an extemporaneous anecdote to prove his point about the difficulty of finding the truth, Malone told a story about an EcoHealth Alliance proposal revealed by Project Veritas. It was a bizarre gain-of-function study involving bat coronaviruses, sent to DARPA.

Malone's comment is instructive: "And the lovely thing from the standpoint of DARPA is DARPA wouldn't fund it. And Tony Fauci did at the NIAID. When this came out, I was like, this looks like a smoking gun, but I don't know that this is possibly real. Because it is so convenient for the Intelligence community to craft a narrative like this that transfers all responsibility over to Tony Fauci in the NIAID and away from us." (Italics mine.)

Had Malone continued his comment in the third person, that last sentence would have ended: "... for the Intelligence community to craft a narrative like this that transfers all responsibility over to Tony Fauci in the NIAID and away from itself." In addition, when he made the remark about handing the blame over to Tony Fauci in the NIAID, he thrust his arm out, pointing away from himself, and when he said the word

"us" at the end of the sentence, he gestured towards himself. You can see this in the <u>recording of his speech</u> beginning at about 1: 01: 17. Innocent mistake or Freudian slip?

#### **CONCLUDING THOUGHTS**

Robert Malone is a complex character—a very intelligent man who has expertise in biodefense and medical research, and a long successful career working for the government in biosecurity projects. He's now carved out a niche for himself in the freedom movement, where he remains somewhat controversial, in part due to that very background. Some postulate he's controlled opposition. Some think he's the cat's pajamas.

Ironically, were Malone to stop labeling others in the Freedom movement as "haters," and simply accept that people have the right to critique the work and ideas of those who are prominent in the public sphere, he might go a long way toward ending the controversies.

Along those lines, dropping his defamation lawsuit against the Breggins would have a very salutary effect on the freedom movement. We can ill afford internal squabbling as we face the mounting globalist threats to our lives and liberty.

Are we witnessing a well-meaning man with a prickly ego who's prone to retaliate against perceived slights that are devoid of ill intent? Or are we witnessing psychologically sophisticated "controlled opposition" by a man who uses pretexts to sow discord within a previously harmonious community?

If the former, one may hope Malone reverses course and transcends this tendency. If the latter, all bets are off.

I'd go so far as to say that if Malone were to win his \$25 million defamation suit against the Breggins-heaven forbid-that would signal the end the freedom movement. None of

its leaders would likely feel safe from such ruinous lawsuits. The Deep State and globalist cabal would have triumphed, and one must then assume that was the plan all along, which would also seem to provide the definitive answer to the question: Who Is Robert Malone?

Conversely, if he drops his lawsuit, or if it fails, one would hope that in the future, Dr. Malone will direct his ire exclusively at the enemies of freedom, and not at its friends.

© 2023 Cherie Zaslawsky - All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Cherie Zaslawsky: <a href="mailto:cherzz@sbcglobal.net">cherzz@sbcglobal.net</a>