

Is There Anything The Democrats Won't Ban?, Part 1



Roger Anghis

The Democrat Party has always been the party of control. They demanded control over the lives of slaves. When slavery was abolished after the Civil War, they still tried to control blacks with the establishing of the Klu Klux Klan which, through intimidation, threats of death and many other tactics, they were able to control what the black community did. The ultimate purpose was to ban blacks the right to the freedoms we all have the right to.

Democrats/liberal/socialist look at life different that real people do. They believe that their ideology is superior to all others. Willian F Buckley Jr. once said that democrats don't mind other opinions but are shocked when one is brought forth. If they don't like guns then they believe that they should be banned. If a conservative doesn't like guns, he doesn't buy one. If a democrat believes in abortion, they demand the government pay for it. If a conservative doesn't believe in abortion, they don't get one. Democrats believe their ideas are so good there should be laws in place to enforce them.

We hear all the time that 'Democrats don't want to ban guns.', which is a lie straight out of hell. Hillary stated in the 2016 presidential campaign that she wants to "abolish the Second Amendment." [1] Think about that. If the 2nd Amendment

is abolished there is no protection for the gun owners. But what is seen in the Democrat party as a whole is even more disturbing. *When pro-gun control advocates tell you they don't want to take your guns, they actually want to take your guns.*

At least, that's the key takeaway from a YouGov survey released this week, which shows a significant number of self-identified Democratic respondents support the idea of total gun confiscation.

The poll, which was conducted between Feb. 25 and 27 and has a margin of error for registered voters of plus or minus 2.9 percentage points, surveyed 1,500 adults.

Of that number, YouGov found that 73 percent of self-identified Democrats "strongly" favor banning semi-automatic firearms. The survey found that an additional nine percent "somewhat" favor a ban of that sort.

Translation: 82 percent of surveyed Democrats say they favor a ban on semi-automatics, which would include not just rifles like the one used in the Feb. 14 Parkland massacre, which claimed the lives of 17 people, but also most handguns.[2]

*Always remember that ALL nations that have confiscated the guns of the populous always came against the people with force and the citizens had no means of defense. **Here's a history of what happens after governments have disarmed their citizens:***

1911 – Turkey disarmed its citizens, and between 1915 – 1917 they murdered 1.5 million Armenians.

1929 – Russia disarmed its citizens, and between 1929 – 1953 they murdered 20 million Russians.

1935 – China disarmed its citizens, and between 1948 – 1952 they murdered 20 million Chinese.

1938 – Germany disarmed its citizens, and between 1939 – 1945 they murdered 16 million Jews.

1956 – Cambodia disarmed its citizens, and between 1975 – 1977 they murdered 1 million Educated people.

1964 – Guatemala disarmed its citizens, and between 1964 – 1981 they murdered 100,000 Mayan Indians.

1970 – Uganda disarmed its citizens, and between 1971 – 1979 they murdered 300,000 Christians.

[Editor: You can argue about the numbers, but the point here is that disarmed citizens are vulnerable and that there are many historical examples of disarmed citizens being killed and oppressed by their own government. The excuse given by authorities that they need to take guns away from citizens in order to lower crime rates is not supported by facts. Even if a government does not turn on its own citizens after disarming them, people are less safe – because unarmed citizens are easy targets to criminals. Over and over again, it has been clearly shown that taking guns away from citizens does not lead to a decrease in crime but rather a dramatic increase.]

Australia has disarmed its citizens, and a year later the homicide rate in the largest province is up 300%. The burglaries of seniors are “dramatically” up.

I guess the criminals did not turn their weapons in. Only the innocent law-abiding citizens turned in weapons.

In US cities with the highest crime rates, taking guns away from the citizens has not lowered the homicide rate. All it has done is to make it easier for criminals to operate.[3]

If you think the Democrats will be any different, you’re a fool’s fool.

We all know the idiocy of banning plastic straws. In California, you can get your Starbucks iced coffee in a big plastic cup and a paper straw. Sometimes you have to wonder just how far up their butts’ democrats have stuck their heads.

Remember in 2012 New York City's Mayor Bloomberg banned the sale of more than 16 ounces of pop.[4] Drinking a lot of pop is not good for you but just when was the government given the right to control that? I never saw that on the ballot. Here's a thought, make the pop healthier. Just sayin'.

They also want to ban the plastic sack. I think they have this thing against plastic. Just curious though as to why, if the plastic is so bad, was nothing said about all the plastic bottles of water that were bought in preparation for hurricane Dorian? Just trying to understand their logic here.

Democrat/socialists even want toy guns banned! It is now politically incorrect to play cowboys and Indians, er, Indigenous American Natives. ***In the Ohio House, Democratic State Rep. [Bill Patmon](#) of Cleveland introduced House Bill 119, making it illegal to manufacture, sell or display toy guns. The ban would apply to any toy gun that a "reasonable person" could confuse with a real one and comes with a possible \$1,000 fine and up to 180 days in jail. An earlier bill was introduced in Ohio requiring some fake guns to be brightly colored. That bill hasn't moved forward and [Rep. Patmon](#) has said it doesn't go far enough.***[5] I find it hard to understand just when the Democrats adopted the concept of the book 1984. They are so adamant about demanding that their ideals and theirs only be not just accepted by all but theirs should be the only ideals allowed to be talked about. We see this on college campuses that violently protest any conservative speaker that comes in. Remember the protests at Berkley in the 1960s? They demanded 'every voice be heard'. Now they protest that only their voice be heard.

The policies that the democrat/socialists tout have always failed and when implemented they have destroyed the nation in the process. That is our future with democrat/socialist 'leadership'.

E-Mail Roger Anghis: roger@buildingthetruth.org

Foot Notes

1. [Hillary gun rights she wants abolish second amendment](#)
2. [Survey: majority of democrats want to ban semi
automatics half want to ban all guns](#)
3. [What happens when governments disarm their citizens](#)
4. [13-things-liberals-want-to-ban/?page=2](#)
5. [13-things-liberals-want-to-ban/?page=4](#)