Ken Paxton Impeachment Bias: The Troubling Trio Blocking Justice in Texas



By: Amil Imani

September 2, 2023

In the turbulent landscape of American politics, the battle for justice often gets lost in a sea of partisan rhetoric. In a glaring example of this, the impeachment trial of Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton has taken a disturbing turn, with three Democratic senators at the heart of a contentious debate. While Paxton's lawyers have called for these senators to recuse themselves, their unwavering bias remains a troubling impediment to a fair trial.

Senators Nathan Johnson of Dallas, Roland Gutierrez of San Antonio, and José Menéndez of San Antonio stand accused of blatant partiality in the Paxton impeachment trial. Their public statements have left little doubt about their preconceived notions regarding the case. But what makes this situation all the more absurd is that while these three Democrats continue to assert their influence, they refuse to allow Senator Angela Paxton, the attorney general's wife, to exercise her right to vote in the same trial. The glaring hypocrisy is impossible to ignore. The Accused Trio's Troubling Statements



From left, Democratic Sens. Nathan Johnson of Datas, Roland Gutierrez of San Antonio and José Menéndez of San Antonio. Lawyers for suspended Attorney General Ken Paxton are seeking to remove the three senators as jurors in Paxton's impeachment trial. D Jordan Vonderhean/Evan L'Roy/Bob Deemmerich for The Texas Tribune

The crux lies in the unabashed bias exhibited by Senators Johnson, Gutierrez, and Menéndez. Paxton's legal team has meticulously collected a series of damning statements made by these senators that demonstrate their discriminatory stance against the attorney general.

For instance, Senator Roland Gutierrez's appearance on MSNBC, where he boldly claimed that the evidence against Paxton was irrefutable, raises questions about his impartiality. In the realm of justice, it is essential for senators acting as jurors to approach a case with an open mind, willing to consider all evidence before making a judgment. Gutierrez's public proclamation has undermined the very essence of a fair trial.

On the other hand, Senator Nathan Johnson has used his social media platform to air his bias. A tweet reacting to a political action committee's donation to Lt. Gov. Dan Patrick not only violated a gag order issued by Patrick but also further demonstrated Johnson's predisposition against Paxton.

These senators' actions fly in the face of their constitutional duty as jurors in this impeachment trial. The

foundation of democracy rests upon a fair and unbiased judicial process, and the actions of these senators threaten to undermine that foundation.

Double Standards: Allowing Bias While Denying Rights

The refusal to allow Senator Angela Paxton to participate in the trial makes the situation even more baffling. While Paxton's legal team has demanded the recusal of three Democratic senators due to their blatant bias, they simultaneously argue that if Angela Paxton is required to step aside, those who have displayed bias against the attorney general should also be disqualified. The logic behind this plea is clear: if impartiality is the standard, it must apply to all parties equally.

Yet, the Democratic senators have not extended the same courtesy to Senator Angela Paxton. Her disqualification from voting in the trial due to a perceived conflict of interest was a decision that was not only swift but also one that has been met with little resistance from her Democratic colleagues.

This glaring double standard raises questions about the true intentions of these senators. Are they genuinely interested in upholding the principles of justice and fairness, or are they simply using their power to push a partisan agenda?

The Bigger Picture: Paxton's Impeachment

Amidst this circus of bias and double standards, the real issue is the impeachment of Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton. The charges against Paxton center on allegations that he abused his position to benefit a wealthy Austin businessman and campaign donor, Nate Paul. This case deserves a fair and impartial trial, free from political posturing and partisan biases.

The Senate's previous disqualification of Senator Angela Paxton due to a conflict of interest has already raised concerns about the fairness of this trial. But the refusal to reciprocate this gesture by disqualifying senators who have openly demonstrated bias only deepens those concerns.

A Battle for Justice

The battle for justice in the Paxton impeachment trial is not just about one individual's fate but the integrity of the American justice system. Fairness, impartiality, and due process must be upheld at all costs. Senators Nathan Johnson, Roland Gutierrez, and José Menéndez must take a long, hard look in the mirror and consider whether they are truly fit to serve as jurors in this case.

Their actions have cast a dark shadow over this trial, and it is incumbent upon them to act responsibly and recuse themselves in the interest of justice. It is not a sign of weakness to admit bias; it is a testament to the strength of our democracy when individuals step aside to ensure a fair and just process.

© 2023 Amil Imani – All Rights Reserved