

Mark Levin and Trey Gowdy: Trump Victim of Obama's Deep State Conspiracy

According to the international whistleblower and government watchdog, Julian Assange, by the end of 2016, the CIA's hacking division, which formally falls under the agency's Center for Cyber Intelligence (CCI), had over 5000 registered users and had produced more than a thousand hacking systems, Trojan horses, viruses, and other "weaponized" malware.

Two of America's top legal minds – both of whom were successful prosecutors and trial attorneys – believe that the new president is being victimized by a secret cabal comprised of Democratic politicians, their puppetmasters and the majority of news organizations.

Despite, the daily drumbeat by journalists that the claims of President Donald Trump are outrageous and without credibility, top-rated talk host and former chief of staff at the U.S. Justice Department Mark Levin and former prosecuting attorney and House Select Committee on Benghazi chairman Rep. Trey Gowdy are willing to bet-the-farm that Trump's allegations will expose a conspiracy to delegitimize and bringdown a sitting president.

This week, President Donald Trump doubled-down on his accusations that his predecessor, President Barack Obama, wiretapped the Trump Tower in New York City during the run-up to the presidential election. Trump is [calling on Congress](#) to launch a formal investigation into the eavesdropping by a federal law enforcement or intelligence agency. However, Trump believes the House and Senate Intelligence Committees are the way to go.

Trump voiced his allegation on his Twitter account and compared the Obama spy situation to Nixon's Watergate and the McCarthy Hearings.

Benghazi investigation leader, Rep. Trey Gowdy, R-SC, said that if the surveillance indeed took place carried on then there are only a couple ways to justify the surveillance: Americans can either be wiretapped as part of a criminal inquiry, or as part of an intelligence gathering operation under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA).

"There is no other way for it [lawfully](#) to be done," said Gowdy. "The good news about both of those ... is there's a paper trail. There's an application. There are warrants – all of which can be reviewed."

"The Obama team is no longer in charge," Gowdy noted. "So any information the current Department of Justice has that suggests the previous Department of Justice acted inappropriately, they are welcome to release it."

Gowdy on Monday also dismissed as premature any calls for any special prosecutor to investigate Russian links to Trump's campaign

"There is no [special prosecutor](#) statute. There is no independent counsel statute. There's a regulation that allows for the appointment of special counsel if the Department of Justice has a conflict and if all 92 U.S. attorneys have a conflict. Until that evidentiary burden has been satisfied, I don't know why Republicans or Democrats are talking about special counsel," Gowdy said.

"It's too early. Special Counsel only applies to a criminal investigation," he said, also adding that the FBI would be the agency to investigate a potential crime.

Unfortunately, Trump allowed far too many Obama minions to

remain in the White House and in the various federal agencies.

“I want this investigated but not by FBI Director James Comey, who I wouldn’t hire as a traffic officer writing parking tickets in New York. He’s a failure as FBI chief and should be forced to resign or be fired,” said former New York City Detective Iris Aquino. “And Trump better take this opportunity to replace a lot of people in his agencies.”

Aquino believes that former intelligence chief James Clapper who also denied Trump’s allegations, has a history of lying and even perjured himself before a House panel. “There were more than 50 intelligence officers who complained that intelligence was tailored to backup President Barack Obama’s lies and his agenda,” she added

Conservative radio show host Mark Levin said the evidence was “[overwhelming](#)” that Obama conducted surveillance during Trump’s campaign. Levin, a former chief of staff in the U.S. Justice Department, called the effort a “silent coup” by the Obama administration and demanded that it be investigated.

Levin made the case that former President Obama and his operatives have actively worked to spy on, and undermine, President Donald Trump and his administration.

Levin spoke to “Fox & Friends” on Sunday and used liberal news sources to essentially prove that the Obama administration was behind spying on President Trump and others in his campaign during the election season.

[WIKILEAKS RELEASES DOCUMENTS](#) REGARDING ILLEGAL CIA SPYING IN U.S.

According to the international whistleblower and government watchdog, Julian Assange, by the end of 2016, the CIA’s hacking division, which formally falls under the agency’s [Center for Cyber Intelligence](#) (CCI), had over 5000 registered users and had produced more than a thousand hacking

systems, Trojan horses, viruses, and other “weaponized” malware.

Such is the scale of the CIA’s undertaking that by 2016, its hackers had utilized more code than that used to run Facebook. The CIA had created, in effect, its “own NSA” with even less accountability and without publicly answering the question as to whether such a massive budgetary spend on duplicating the capacities of a rival agency could be justified, noted Wikileaks founder Assange.

“In a statement to WikiLeaks the source details policy questions that they say urgently need to be debated in public, including whether the CIA’s hacking capabilities exceed its mandated powers and the problem of public oversight of the agency. The source wishes to initiate a public debate about the security, creation, use, proliferation and democratic control of cyberweapons,” wrote Assange.

Once a single cyber ‘weapon’ is ‘loose’ it can spread around the world in seconds, to be used by rival states, cyber mafia and teenage hackers alike.

Julian Assange, WikiLeaks editor stated that “There is an extreme proliferation risk in the development of cyber ‘weapons’. Comparisons can be drawn between the uncontrolled proliferation of such ‘weapons’, which results from the inability to contain them combined with their high market value, and the global arms trade. But the significance of “Year Zero” goes well beyond the choice between cyberwar and cyberpeace. The disclosure is also exceptional from a political, legal and forensic perspective.”