

Mass Shootings: Hegel's Dialectics In Action

"Armas, para qué?" (Arms, What for?) Fidel Castro's 1959 speech to justify imposing strict gun control laws upon the Cuban people.

Just a few minutes after the mass shooting at a mall in El Paso, Texas – until that moment "the safest city in the U.S." – a friend called to tell me that I have been prescient. He reminded me of a conversation we had just after the shooting in Gilroy, California, in which I told him more similar incidents were going to happen.

Do I have a crystal ball where I can see the future? Of course not.

What I do have, though, is a collection of books written by authors who, more than sixty years ago, wrote about what was coming to America.[1] As expected, at the time all of them were considered conspiracy theorists and kooks by "serious" critics and the mainstream press.

Thanks to reading those truly prescient books, I knew that the approaching new wave of mass shootings was just the implementation of Hegel's[2] theory of dialectics: Thesis – Antithesis – Synthesis. Applied to mass brainwashing about gun control, it works this way:

The globalist conspirators see an armed citizenship as a big obstacle to their plans to enslave the American people and turning them into medieval serfs in a society they disingenuously call the New World Order. That's the Thesis.

So, in order to brainwash us into voluntarily accepting being deprived of guns for self-defense, both against criminals and the agents of the New World Order, they artificially create a

big, horrible problem. In this particular case it is mass shootings, that they blame on guns in the hands of lawful citizens, and they use the mainstream press which under their control to scare the people about the problem. That's the Antithesis.



Then, to stop the carnage they have created, they offer a solution to the problem: gun control as a first step to fully disarm Americans. It is a solution that, without the shootings and the fear nobody would have previously accepted, but now seems the lesser of two evils. That's the Synthesis.

Evidence that the shootings are artificially created is the fact that most of them show a sort of pre-arranged pattern, similar to a script for a Netflix series:

1. The shooter is described as a deranged, solitary individual without a previous record of violence.
2. Soon after the shooting, it is found that he is linked, directly or indirectly, to a right wing extremist group
3. Later it is revealed that he had been taking some type of psychotropic prescription drugs.
4. A few weeks later it is also revealed that he had been monitored for several months by the police or the FBI, but they never took action against him and eventually lost interest and stopped monitoring him.
5. In most cases, witnesses either doubt that the individual in custody was the real shooter or that there was a single shooter, but the authorities deny it and fail to clarify the veracity of the claims.
6. Those allegedly crazy individuals always carry out the shootings in schools, nightclubs, government offices and public places where there are strict gun control laws in place. They never attack a police station. Why? Well,

they may be crazy, but they are not stupid. They know that in a police station everybody is carrying a gun, and nobody can carry out a mass shooting in a place where everybody is carrying a gun.[3]

So, the most elementary logic indicates that, instead a passing laws restricting gun possession, the best way to stop mass shootings is by passing laws making it mandatory for citizens with no criminal record to carry guns, both concealed and openly, for self-defense at all times. Of course, if your secret plan is to enslave the American people you will not like this logical solution.

By the way, thirty years ago the individual who carry out the mass shooting in El Paso would have been dead thirty seconds after he began shooting. But the fact that nobody opposed him shows that the progressive virus has contaminated even Texas.

Ohio State Rep. Candice Keller, blames mass shootings on homosexual marriage.[4] But this is a farfetched theory as implausible as blaming mass shootings on guns. Blaming mass shooting for what people have in their hands instead of what they have in their minds is a gross mistake.

I cannot end this article without mentioning my displeasure regarding the unnecessary show of force put in action by the El Paso police department's SWAT team. The photos shows dozens of police officers carrying military weapons and more ornaments that Christmas trees, and moving around in armored vehicles. This explains why they arrived twenty minutes after the assassin had accomplished his goal. I wonder what would have been the response if the Chinese Army had invaded El Paso.[5]

Also, to me, the photos of people abandoning the buildings with their hands raised over their heads like a defeated army to avoid being shot down by the militarized police was very disheartening, to say the least. Americans, who were never

defeated by an invading army, now seem to be defeated by their own army. Incredible!

© 2019 Servando Gonzales – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Servando Gonzales: servandoglez05@yahoo.com

Footnotes:

1. Books such as Ralph de Toledano, *Seeds of Treason* (1950); Joseph McCarthy, *America's Retreat From Victory* (1951); John Stormer, *None Dare Call It Treason* (1964); Emanuel Josephson, *The Truth About Rockefeller* (1964); Phoebe Courtney, *The CFR* (1968); Medford Evans, *The Usurpers* (1968); Gary Allen, *None Dare Call It Conspiracy* (1971), just to mention a few.
2. German philosopher Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel (1770-1831). Karl Marx turned Hegel's idealistic theory of dialectics into a tool for political action.
3. In the so-called "Shooter's Manifesto," which appeared online just minutes before the killings, the alleged El Paso shooter wrote:

"Don't attack heavily guarded areas to fulfill your super soldier COD fantasy. Attack low security targets. Even though you might out gun a security guard or policeman, they likely beat you in armor, training and numbers. Do not throw away your life on an unnecessarily dangerous target. If a target seems too hot, live to fight another day." [[Link](#)]

In other part of his Manifesto, the shooter wrote: "[If we can get rid of enough people, then our way of life can be more sustainable](#)," which is exactly what Bill Gates, Ted Turner and many key CFR members have been openly saying for years, but nobody calls them terrorists.

4. Alanna Vagianos, "[Ohio GOP Lawmaker Blames Mass Shootings On Trans People, Gay Marriage And More](#)." The *Huffpost*, August 5, 2019.

5. Most Americans seem to ignore that despite their mottos of serving and protecting us, the police carry their guns to protect themselves and their families. Moreover, they usually arrive at the scene of a crime after it has been committed. Actually, they are not required by law to respond your call and come over to protect you. Lynda Greenhouse, "[Justices Rule Police Do Not Have a Constitutional Duty to Protect Someone](#)," *The New York Times*, June 28, 2005.