

Next, We Must Bomb Myanmar, Sudan, Saudi Arabia And South Africa

On the morning of April 20, 1986, Americans woke up to the news that Air Force F-111's and other support aircraft had carried out a full-scale raid on Moammar Khadafy in Libya. Why did Ronald Reagan order this precision bombing of Tripoli? A series of telex messages had been intercepted from Libya's East Berlin embassy right before and after a disco was bombed in West Berlin on April 5, 1986. Based on hard intelligence, Reagan cited "irrefutable" evidence that Libyan leader Moammar Khadafy was the brains behind the bombing and the decision was made to strike back.

This act of cowardice by terrorists killed two people, including an American GI; hundreds were wounded, including 50 to 60 Americans. Once Reagan was absolutely certain beyond a shadow of a doubt that Libya was responsible for this unprovoked act which led to the murder and injury of Americans, he acted without hesitation.

The guidelines for this mission were crystal clear: There should be minimal risk to pilots to reduce any chance of our own becoming POWs. The targets would be military, civilians would be spared as much as possible, but there was no mistake: Go for the kill and send a very clear and unequivocal message to anyone who dare harm our own. If the proof is there, our military go, get the job done and come home.

We did not invade that country and begin the process of destroying the culture of the indigenous population by forcing "democracy" on them. Following our right **to retaliate against any nation that kills or harms our own**, Reagan did not engage in an endless and deceitful mission to "rebuild" Libya.

Americans were not fleeced to the tune of tens and tens of billions of dollars to provide “humanitarian aid,” build new schools or become friends with **an enemy nation – a certain recipe for failure**. It is not our responsibility or duty to “win the hearts and minds” of any foreign country or their people to fight some endless “war on terrorism.”

Let’s walk back to what I have previously written about **Syria and the civil war created by disgruntled voters**.

2013: “Bashar al-Assad is the president of Syria. In June 2014 Syria had elections and Assad was reelected. There were two other candidates on the ballot unlike other fake elections in the Middle East. Assad received 88.7% of the vote. Those blood thirsty rebels you and I are being raped to pay for their internal civil war over there didn’t like the outcome of the election as I wrote in my last column, [States Must Refuse To Take Any More Refugees](#).”

2015: “As I have written several times, you and I are being raped in taxes to fund so-called rebels in Syria who want their legally elected president, Assad, thrown out of office. Assad is another bad guy who oddly enough [has protected Christians](#) in his country even though he is a Shia muslim.

“Reps. Tulsi Gabbard, a Democrat, and Austin Scott, a Republican, [introduced legislation on Friday](#) to end what they called an “illegal war” to overthrow Assad, the leader of Syria accused of killing tens of thousands of Syrian citizens in a more than four-year-old civil war entangled in a battle against IS extremists, also known as ISIS.

“The U.S. is waging two wars in Syria,” Gabbard said. “The first is the war against ISIS and other Islamic extremists, which Congress authorized after the terrorist attack on 9/11. The second war is the illegal war to overthrow the Syrian government of Assad.”

“Scott said, “Working to remove Assad at this stage is

counter-productive to what I believe our primary mission should be.”

“Publicly, the United States has focused its efforts on fighting IS and urging Assad to step down. But beyond thousands of U.S. airstrikes targeting IS in the region, the CIA began a covert operation in 2013 to arm, fund and train a moderate opposition to Assad. The secret CIA program is the only step the U.S. is taking on Assad militarily.”

“There is the key word: illegally. Something the criminal impostor in the White House and hundreds of Republicans and Democrats in Congress don’t seem to give a damn about. In the case of Barry Soetoro aka Barack Obama, he does what his handlers tell him to do while ignoring any input from high ranking military who are actually in the theater of operations. The brain dead in the U.S. Congress continue to sit back and allow we the people to be raped in more massive unpayable debt to play geopolitical games in pursuit of world government through brute force.”

That’s right. Our involvement in Syria’s election war is illegal. There’s been no declaration of war by Congress. Yet, once again, our government has stuck its nose into another foreign country’s woes.

CIA Ops Finally Revealed: What the US Ambassador in Benghazi was Really Doing

“According to information cited by Klein, Stevens served less as a diplomat and more as an arms dealer and intelligence coordinator for assistance to the so-called Arab Spring, with particular emphasis on the Syrian rebels.

“As was widely reported, Stevens originally arrived in Libya during the revolution aboard a Greek cargo ship carrying equipment and vehicles. His original task in Libya was to serve as the main interlocutor between the Obama administration and the rebels based in Benghazi. Stevens never

abandoned that role, even after becoming ambassador, according to Klein.

“Indeed, the New York Times reported in December 2012 that Stevens himself facilitated an application to the State Department for the sale of weapons filed by one Marc Turi, whom the Times’ describes as an “American arms merchant who had sought to provide weapons to Libya.”

[“The Times reported](#) Turi’s first application was rejected in March 2011 but was approved two months later after he stated “only that he planned to ship arms worth more than \$200 million to Qatar.” Qatar was Turkey’s partner in aiding the Syrian rebels.”

[The Benghazi Brief – The Entire Story Of Operation “Zero Footprint” In Libya and Why Further Benghazi Committee Hearings Are Futile](#)

“We know Operation Zero Footprint was the [covert transfer of weapons from the U.S to the Libyan “rebels”](#). We also know the operation avoided the concerns with congressional funding, and potential for public scrutiny, through financing by the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

“We also know that officials [within the government of Qatar](#) served as the intermediaries for the actual transfer of the weapons, thereby removing the footprint of the U.S. intervention.

“We know the entire operation was coordinated and controlled by the State Department and CIA. We also know (from the Senate Foreign Relations Benghazi hearings) that “Zero Footprint” was unknown to the 2011 Pentagon and/or DoD commanders who would have been tasked with any military response to the 9/11/12 attack – namely AFRICOM General Carter Ham.’

Last week President Trump ordered massive bombing in Syria over **alleged** use of chemical weapons by Assad against his own

people. Why would Assad do that knowing the major superpowers would come down on him like a ton of bricks?

Mainstream Media Cuts General's Mic As He Tells the Truth On Syrian Gas Attack

"As General Shaw noted during his interview with Sky News, even U.S. officials are admitting that the "civil war" between the Syrian government and the Islamic State has come to an end—so why would Assad carry out an attack on his own people that would result in greater intervention from world superpowers, such as the U.S. and the UK?

"He said that Assad has won this war, and we need to face that," Shaw said. "And then last week, you've got a Tweet by Trump that America is finished with [the Islamic State] and we're going to pull out soon, very soon. And then suddenly you have a gas attack..."

"This was further evidenced by the fact that the UK later teamed up with the U.S. and France to [launch airstrikes](#) targeting the Syrian government that have the potential to spark World War 3.

"The question over what motive Assad would possibly have to launch a chemical attack on his own people when he knows that reports of chemical attacks in the past—even though they were unverified—have resulted in increased aggression from the United States, serves as a reminder that [nearly every war in U.S. history](#) has been started based on lies and propaganda that were used to blind the American people into believing the war was being fought "for their freedom."

US Launches Impotent Attack on Non-existent "Chemical Facilities"

"I ordered the United States armed forces to launch precision strikes on targets associated with the chemical weapon capabilities of Syrian dictator Bashar al-Assad," Trump said

late Friday at the White House. Of course, the phrase, “associated with the chemical weapon capabilities” of Syria is intentionally ambiguous.

“Considering that any attack on actual, currently active chemical weapon facilities in Syria would risk the spread of toxic chemicals over civilian areas – attacking such sites would contravene the entire supposed purpose of the US-led attack – protecting Syrian civilians from “chemical weapons.”

Senator Dick Black, VA from his official web site – He’s a Republican.

The Washington Times: [Syrian rebels used Sarin nerve gas, not Assad’s regime](#): U.N. [#SyrianStrikes](#) [@realDonaldTrump](#) [#PeaceForSyria](#) official

Senator Richard Black Exposes Chemical False Flag in SYRIA

Once again, President Trump has switched his position on Syria. FLASHBACK: **Here’s A List Of The Times Trump Warned Against Getting Involved In Syria**

June 15, 2013: “We should stay the hell out of Syria, the “rebels” are just as bad as the current regime. WHAT WILL WE GET FOR OUR LIVES AND \$ BILLIONS? ZERO”

August 29, 2013: “Let the Arab League take care of Syria. Why are these rich Arab countries not paying us for the tremendous cost of such an attack?” Many more examples of Trump’s firm position *to stay out of Syria*.

Remember the proclamation about Hussein acquiring yellow cake and WMD to justify our illegal bombing and invasion of Iraq? How’d that work out for America with rivers of blood spilled by our military, innocent civilians and a couple trillion dollars in **borrowed debt**?

WikiLeaks Secret Cable: “Overthrow The Syrian Regime, But Play Nice With Russia”

Nikki Haley is the US Ambassador to the United Nations (UN). (The bill to get us out of that world order cabal of corrupt members is still dying in committee.) To say I can't stand Haley is an understatement. I put her in the same 'pants suit' brigade as Hillary Clinton when it comes to war.

Good old Nikki, when Governor of South Carolina, jumped on the PC bandwagon back in 2015 to erase part of America's history. Remove the Confederate flag from the state house! **Good or bad, our history is just that. We learn from our mistakes.**

The history of the South is also just that. It should not be removed to placate a newly developed 'movement' out to destroy our history. Haley is nothing but an opportunist who never met a Muslim she didn't love. Those peace-loving people and their fake religion responsible for nothing but violence around the globe and hatred of anyone who doesn't swear allegiance to some god name Allah.

She's also a war monger who really cranks it up at the UN playing tough in a 'man's world'. Have you ever watched her? I have and each time I want to puke. On Syria she's "manned up"; big bad Nikki Haley. Hey world – the US is "locked and loaded" she proclaimed last week. We will use our might to illegally bomb the hell out of Syria again just because we can, based on unverified allegations.

Trump brought another war monger into his camp as his new National Security Advisor. John Bolton is a former US Ambassador to the UN – which I might remind is NOT a government. **It is merely representatives from governments who have never kept peace anywhere on this globe.**

They are all globalists – with perhaps the exception of Russia which believes in its own sovereignty. **Bolton never saw a war he didn't love and can hardly wait for the US to create a new one.** I was just sick about that appointment.

Bolton, key Trump adviser, has evolved his views on Syria – "I

don't think it is in America's interest," Bolton said in a [FOX interview](#) in 2013. "I don't think we should in effect take sides in the Syrian conflict."

Am I the only one upset about Trump's complete flip on Syria? Hardly. I keep asking myself? ***Who is advising President Trump on these issues?***

Trump supporters slam decision to launch strikes against Syria

"Fox News hosts Tucker Carlson and Laura Ingraham both questioned Trump's decision Friday to launch strikes in retaliation for a chemical weapons attack last weekend that the U.S. has attributed to the Syrian government.

"Carlson noted the move was inconsistent with the president's message during his 2016 campaign, and Ingraham said she found that intervention in other countries could be risky, as shown in the Iraq War, according to [the Daily Beast](#).

"Michael Savage, a prominent conservative radio host and author, tweeted that "We lost. War machine bombs Syria. No evidence Assad did it. Sad warmongers hijacking our nation. Sad." Alex Jones, one of Trump's *biggest and most consistent supporters* went ballistic over the bombing.

Infowars' Alex Jones blasts Trump over airstrike – "We've made so many sacrifices and now he's crapping all over us. It makes me sick...F– Trump," he [vented](#) Friday."

No one – except the shadow government – wants to see chemical weapons used on any people if in fact chemical weapons were used. But, the lies and expert propaganda fed to the American people is not a basis for us to once again bomb the hell out of a foreign country.

Why not Myanmar, Mr. President?

"Bangladesh has taken in the people fleeing genocide of Myanmar (formerly Burma), who are being slaughtered by the

Burmese military. This army is systematically working to eradicate anyone who is not like them: Rohingya Muslims, Christians, Hindu, other tribes...the list goes on."

Genocide is wiping out thousands of human beings by the Myanmar government of barbaric savages so why don't we bomb them into oblivion? Are their lives less precious than Syrians?

How about the continuing slaughter of human beings in the Sudan by their government? **Christ, it's been going on for years.**

"Squire Patton Boggs is a big firm and it's entirely possible that John Boehner, Trent Lott and John Breaux simply don't know that their firm has taken on this account.

"It's also possible they don't know that the government of Sudan continues to use starvation as a weapon of war on its own people, still funds militias that murder its own innocent civilians, and continues to loot the country of its natural resources and funnel the wealth of Sudan into the hands of regime leaders through massive corruption.

"It's certainly possible that they don't remember that these are the exact same people whose systematic attacks led to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of innocent civilians in Darfur just a few years ago. And they probably don't know that the person who is footing the bill is President al-Bashir, who cannot enter any country with an extradition treaty with the International Criminal Court because he would be immediately arrested and tried for war crimes at The Hague."

How about Saudi Arabia, Mr. President?

Yemen: The War that Isn't Happening Even as It's Happening

"A child dies in Yemen every ten minutes from preventable causes, UNICEF reported in June. These deaths are only part of

a humanitarian catastrophe, among the worst in the world, including a rampaging cholera epidemic, to which the witness of the overwhelming majority of the West's warmongering Goebbelist media pretends to be deaf, mute, and blind...

Saudi Arabia, backed by the US and Britain, began bombing Yemen, the poorest country in the region, on 23 March 2015—without a Security Council resolution, as has been the tradition for launching western wars since Bill Clinton's 1999 Kosovo War (the bombing of Serbia).

"The stated objective of the Anglo-American backing of the Saudi attack was the restoration of Yemen's US-supported government of President Abdrabbuh Mansour Hadi's, which fled to Saudi Arabia under the mounting pressure of the Houthi Shia rebels, accused by the United States of being pawns of Iran, or, dismissively, plain Iran-supported.

"Boggles the mind to think of the blithe moral logic that justifies the support of the United States for a (largely faked) uprising in Syria when Iran is not allowed to assist Houthis in Yemen, fighting an authentic civil war, unlike the so-called Free Syrian Army and their hordes of 80% foreign al-Qaeda and Isis allied invaders of Syria's sovereign state in 2011.

"The hypocrisy of empire, one supposes: supporting rebels in one case and the legitimate government in another.

"For this reason—Iran's backing—the Saudis blockade the air and the ports of Yemen to check the flow of Iranian arms shipments to the rebels, adding to the infamy of the war the infamy of an economic siege—infamy because the largest number of victims in this tactic to encircle Iran are civilians, which is another tradition respected by the sorry, deceptive War on Terror.

"The blockade also checks the "flow" of food and medicines and other health necessities, with devastating consequences, as we

shall see.”

Yemen: ‘No Country for Old Men’- I hope you take the time to read this entire, brilliant piece by one of the most gifted writers on the Internet.

“Why did this war happen in the first place? Simply put, Saudi Arabia has been buying off and interfering with Yemen’s leadership and tribal structure since the late 1970s. The Houthis decided they didn’t want a “president for life.”

“How would Americans feel if, in 2016, Jimmy Carter were *still* president and South Korea, Afghanistan, Nicaragua, Rhodesia and other nations – imperiled by Carter’s incompetence between 1976 and 1980 – were merely a foretaste of decades of malaise and failure? Saudi Arabia (and other nations) supported Yemen’s “president for life.” The Houthis, like the American colonials in [1776](#), aren’t buying into the old paradigm.

“Yemen’s exiled government has welcomed the U.S. plan to restart peace talks. You can read that article [here](#). [This Reuter’s article says](#) the Houthis are ready for peace talks if attacks cease against them. [This article](#) claims Saudi Arabia is training 5,000 “terrorists” to fight in Yemen.”

Why haven’t we bombed the government of South Africa? There is *genocide* going on down there with only one goal: Kill every white human being in the country. It’s horrible. If whites were slaughtering black people in South Africa the world would be screaming.

I have spent a considerable amount of time on this and it makes me sick to my soul – just like when I researched the mass killings in Rwanda. Murders, rape and robbery against white human beings in South Africa is happening on a mass scale. Why haven’t we gone in and bombed their government?

White Genocide in South Africa

South Africa's Farm Murders: Jeanine's Story

White Farmers Slaughtered in South Africa

Targets in South Africa's 'genocide' all white

Inside South Africa's White Displacement Camps

Where in the U.S. Constitution does it authorize our military to be used for global squabbles and civil wars that have nothing to do with **our** homeland security? Oh, yes, I know. The 'war on terrorism' is dragged out every time *while our southern border remains open for terrorists to walk across.*

We cannot win these undeclared wars in the Middle East with military intervention. **It's up to the countries to find peace and make it work.** All countries on the globe should condemn what's going on with these civil wars and make it clear we won't do business with you until it stops.

There is ZERO language in the U.S. Constitution that authorizes stealing the fruits of your labor to fund – **with borrowed dollars and that debt slapped on our backs** – ANY foreign aid for ANY country. As for sanctions by the U.S., has that worked? It has not but what has worked is thousands and thousands of innocent civilians continue to live in the most deplorable conditions and die because of sanctions.

Countries can and should stop doing business with governments that inflict such horror on their own citizens. Leaders of every country including President Trump should continue to hammer on countries like Saudi Arabia. Once again, the sickening situation in Yemen is over one country's interference with who is going to run another country's government.

Oh, no. We the people are going to throw more **borrowed** money at Saudi Arabia:

"A Humanitarian Catastrophe": The Five Countries Enabling

Saudi's War in Yemen

"When President Trump visited Saudi in May, he pledged to give \$350 billion in arms to Saudi Arabia over the next 10 years.

The U.S. notoriously refuels Saudi jets mid-air, ensuring its aerial campaign, which has been flagged as source of war crimes, can maintain a near-constant tempo."

If you want this lunacy stopped, make your voice known to President Trump and your congress critter: Stop bombing other countries and stay out of their regional squabbles. Be polite but firm.

"Equal and exact justice to all men, of whatever state or persuasion, religious or political; peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations, entangling alliances with none." –Thomas Jefferson First Inaugural Address, March 4, 1801

© 2018 Devvy Kidd – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Devvy: devvyk@nnpn.net