None Is So Blind As He Who Will Not See



Devvy Kidd

Americans have been warned for almost 100 years about the evil creeping into our Republic. The vast majority have ignored the warnings for a variety of reasons with the list growing over time: apathy, blind loyalty to their political party, selfabsorption, addiction to drugs, sports, pornography; sexual perversions being sold as alternative lifestyles, a good economy, offerings from "Pretendland" (Hollywood), and those can't live without cell phones, Iphones, smart phones while remaining in their false comfort zones.

Perhaps a few words from the past will shake some of the dust out of people's brain cavities long enough to think about the words below. And for those who think communism and fascism are dead, I'm sorry to inform you that they are alive and well, they're just being sold under a different name with "new and improved" packaging.

NONE IS SO BLIND AS HE WHO WILL NOT SEE

Congressional Record. House of Representatives January 15, 1962 Page 215

Mr. Utt: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for one minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

Mr. Speaker: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. Utt: Mr. Speaker, on the opening day of the 2nd session of the 87th Congress, I introduced H.R. 9567, a bill to rescind and revoke membership of the United States in the United Nations and the specialized agencies thereof and to repeal the Immunities Act relative thereto.

I introduced this resolution because it is my firm conviction that this Nation cannot survive as a Republic as long as we are shackled to an international organization by a treaty which supersedes our Constitution. As stated in the Declaration of Independence:

When in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bonds which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the law of nature and nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

So in this resolution that same decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that I state the causes which impel me to seek this separation. To prove my point, I submit the following facts for a candid review. Our Constitution provides:

This Constitution and the laws of the United States, which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land.

Hence, any law enacted by Congress pursuant to a treaty becomes the supreme law of the land even though it would otherwise be unconstitutional. The supremacy of laws under a treaty was clearly set forth in the decision of the U.S. Supreme Court in 1920 in the Missouri v. Holland case wherein a federal law, otherwise unconstitutional, was held valid because of a treaty between Canada and the United States. This decision clearly held that where there was a conflict between the provisions of our Constitution and the provisions of a treaty, this conflict must be resolved in favor of the treaty.

This same doctrine has been extended to include executive agreements. The result of this situation has been to destroy our limited form of republican government and has denied to each State a form of republican government as guaranteed by the Constitution and has supplanted it with a government of unlimited powers which destroys the historical separation of executive, judicial and legislative branches of our government. This was certainly never envisioned by the framers of the Constitution.

When the United Nations Charter was submitted to the Senate for ratification, great stress was laid upon Art. 2, subparagraph 7, which states:

Nothing contained in the present charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state or shall require the members to submit such matters to settlement under the present charter.

I do not believe that the U.S. Senate would have ratified this treaty without relying on the above quoted paragraph. However, this paragraph has been complete and constantly ignored over the past 16 years and every organization, commission, and covenant flowing out of the United Nations Charter has been for the sole purpose of intervening in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the member nations as well as the several States of our own Union, completely destroying the sovereignty of each State to legislate in contravention of the treaty provisions. Mr. Moses

Moskoqitz, a noted internationalist, made the following statement in the American Bar Association Journal of April 1949 (35 A.B.A.J. 283, 285):

Once a matter has become, in one way or another, the subject of regulation by the United Nations, be it by resolution of the General Assembly or by convention between members states at the instance of the United Nations, that subject ceases to be a matter of being 'essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the member states.' As a matter of fact, such a position represents the official view of the united Nations, as well as of the member states that have voted in favor of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Hence, neither the declaration nor the projected covenant, nor any agreement that may be reached in the future on the machinery of implementation of human rights, can in any way be considered as violative of the letter or spirit of Art. 2 of the Charter.

Following this, the Acheson State Department made this official declaration:

"There is now no longer any real difference between domestic and foreign affairs."

These statements plainly render Art. 2, subparagraph 7, of the Charter meaningless. John Foster Dulles, a former Secretary of State, in a speech before the American Bar Association in Louiseville, KY, April 12, 1952, said:

"Treaty law can override the Constitution. They (treaties) can cut across the rights given the people by the constitutional Bill of Rights."

This conversion of our limited republic to an unlimited democracy is a death blow to this nation.

The realization of this tragedy was the reason for the proposal of the Bricker Amendment nearly a decade ago. The Bricker Amendment simply provided that when there was a

conflict between the Constitution of the United States and a treaty, that conflict must be resolved in favor of the Constitution, and yet the Bricker Amendment was defeated by a narrow margin under strong propaganda pressure from the Council on Foreign Relations and politicians who glories in the unlimited power conveyed upon them by the United Nations Charter. There were just too many politicians and too few statesmen.

Now let us look at the record. According to Trygve Lie, longtime Secretary General of the United Nations, he stated flatly that there was a secret agreement between Alger Hiss [Hiss was a Communist who was convicted of spying against the United States.] and Molotov to the effect that the head of the UN military staff should always be a Communist. That agreement has never been broken, and we have had a succession of Communists filling that post, the present one being Mr. Arkadov. As a first consequence of this treasonous agreement, this country lost its first military engagement in Korea at a cost to this country of more than \$20 billion and 145,.000 American casualties, to say nothing of the honor and prestige of this nation.



This was the first war in which we engaged, not as the United States military force, but as a UN force, although we contributed 90 percent of the men and the money. How convenient this was to the Communists to have one of their own men as head of the UN military staff, who reviewed all orders going from the Pentagon to General MacArthur and gave them to our enemy before General MacArthur Received them. The enemy, which consisted of the Red Communist army and Russian equipment an fliers was driven back to the Yalu River and given sanctuary on the other side. General MacArthur could have destroyed the enemy in short order had he been permitted

to pursue them across the river from whence they came. Because General MacArthur could not in good conscience follow these orders, he was recalled and the Korean War ended in dismal defeat.

We were sold the U.N. on a promise of peace, but we failed to realize that this peace was to be on Communist terms; in fact, it was to be a total victory for the international Communist conspiracy. Our faith in this hope was so firm that we were lulled into a state of false security while the Communist world gobbled up 13 or 14 countries, bringing 800 million people under their domination. Russia has used the veto power nearly a hundred times. The United Nations has been completely unable to bring any degree of peace, and Russia itself has created 13 or 14 military conflicts between the East and the West.

The United Nations has not as yet passed a resolution of censorship against Russia for its Hungarian bloodbath but rather stood idly by and helped to betray the Hungarian freedom fighters into the hands of Russia. It could not even get a censorship resolution against India for its military invasion of Portuguese enclaves.

Further, Mr. Speaker, what may I ask is the United Nations doing to prevent President Sukarno of Indonesia from carrying out his military attack against the island possession of Holland which lies more than a thousand miles away from Indonesia? Is colonialism under Holland a bad thing but colonialism under pro-Communist Indonesia a good thing? I have been unable to get any rationale on this question. In fact, it has passed no resolutions of condemnation against Russia or any of its satellites or against the so-called neutral countries but busies itself with resolutions of condemnation against our allies, such as Portugal, Holland and France.

The power, the honor, and the prestige of America have fallen from their high point in 1945 to an absolute zero today.

The action in Katanga is nothing short of lunacy. Not a voice was raised in the United Nations when Syria withdrew from the United Arab Republic, but that same organization sent troops into the Congo to prevent self-determination of a civilized and Christian province which did not want to be part of a Communist-controlled Congo.

Our defeat in the abortive Cuban invasion can be laid on the doorstep of the United Nations, as the United Nations treaty prohibits us from engaging in any military operations without the consent of the United National Security Council in which Russia holds the veto power. At this point, Mr. Speaker, may I remind the members of the House and the people of America that the Cuban situation was not even mentioned in the President's state of the Union message on January 11, although the socalled white paper issued by the Department of State declares that Cuba constitutes a Sino-Soviet bridgehead in the Western Hemisphere and that the military power of Cuba is second only to that of the United States in the Western Hemisphere due, of course, to the millions of dollars of armaments, equipment, and technicians and money furnished by the Communist countries to Fidel Castro. Why, I ask, as not this clear and present danger to the security of our country discussed in the state of the Union message together with a proposal to dispel this danger?

Let me put this in very simple and understandable terms so that no one can misunderstand it. This situation is analogous to having a rattlesnake in the bedroom, and father ignores this danger to his family and starts blithely off on a big game hunt in Africa leaving mama and the children to cope with the rattlesnake in the bedroom.

Mr. Speaker, how silly can we get to relinquish the right to protect our nation against Communist invasion in the Western Hemisphere? If we continue our membership in this organization, you can look to see this Nation condemned for having our naval base at Quantanamo Bay, Cuba. You can also

look to see us condemned for owning the Panama Canal, and the same 66 votes which threw France out of its legal position in Bizerte, can vote us out of Guantanamo and out of Panama.

You can see, and with reason, Mexico demanding through the United Nations that all that territory taken from them under the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo following the Mexican War in 1848. You can see Russia demanding the return of Alaska because we only paid them \$17 million when it is really worth billions and certainly the American Indians, if they have representation in the United Nations, could demand the return of Manhattan Island together with the rest of the land that was legally theirs. You say this is fantastic? You would have said that the present situation in Cuba was a fantastic idea 10 years ago.

You can expect to see a one world government, Communist controlled, under the United Nations. You will see the United Nations run up astronomical debts which we, under the terms of the treaty, are bound to pay. (End of quote.)

In a book by William Z. Foster, former head of the Communist Party, U.S.A., entitled "Toward Soviet America," he gives a complete blueprint of the conquest of America by the international Communist conspiracy. It is as clear a blueprint as given by Adolph Hitler in "Mein Kampf." Following are some of the things you may look for under the controlled Communist America as stated by William Z. Foster:

"The final aim of the Communist international is to overthrow world capitalism and replace it by world Communism. The Communist Party of the United States is the American section of the Communist International. The Communist International carries out a united revolutionary program on a world scale. The American Soviet government will be organized along the broad lines of the Russian Soviets.

"Under the dictatorship, all the capitalist parties -

Republican, Democrat, Progressive, Socialist, etc., will be liquidated. Likewise, will be dissolved all other organizations — including chambers of commerce, employer's associations, Rotary Clubs, American Legion, YMCA, and such fraternal orders as the Masons, Odd Fellows, Elks, Knights of Columbus, etc., lawyers will be abolished.

"The press, the motion pictures, the radio, the theater, will be taken over by the Government. Studies will be revolutionized, being cleansed of religious, patriotic and other features of the bourgeois idealogy. The decisions of the Soviets are enforced by the armed red guard. Citizenship is restricted to those who do useful work, capitalist, landlords, clericals and other nonproducers being disfranchised." End of quote.

For the past 74 years We the People have been raped for trillions of borrowed dollars for dues to the United Nothing. We've been sending our freedom fighters (active and reserve), to die in foreign lands, to fight tribal wars for other countries who destroy their own, because one group doesn't like the other's religion — all orchestrated by the international bankers running the show. No?

Do your homework and then try to say, no. Listen to the stable of socalists (first step towards communism) and outright Marixists running for president under the Democratic/Communist Party USA banner while dumbed down Americans cheer them on. A slap in the face of every warrior who died during the Revolutionary War to bring us a new, free country.

A slap in the face to every veteran who died fighting communism and scum like **documented liar**, Elizabeth Warrn, wants to shove it down our throats disguised as being progressive. Today our beloved constitutional republic is hanging by a threat while America snoozes on, their loyalty

placed blindly in the hands of globalist Republicans and Democrats who are allowing this to happen because so many of them want it to happen.

Allow me to quote another great American, George Washington, during his farewell address, September 17, 1796 [Executive Papers, pg 38, published 1832, Denver Public Library]:

"The great rule of conduct for us, in regard to foreign nations, is, in extending our commercial relations, to have with them as little political connection as possible. So far as we have already formed engagements, let them be fulfilled with good faith. Here let us stop. Europe has a set of primary interests, which to us have none, or a very remote relation. Hence she must be engaged in frequent controversies, the causes of which are essentially foreign to our concerns. Hence, therefore, it must be unwise in us to implicate ourselves, by artificial ties, in the ordinary vicissitudes of her politics, or the ordinary combinations and collisions of her friendships or enmities.

"Our detached and distant situation invites, and enables us to pursue a different course. If we remain one people, under an efficient government, the period is not far off when we may defy material injury from external annoyance; when we may take such an attitude as will cause the neutrality we may at any time resolve upon, to be scrupulously rejected; when belligerent nations, under the impossibility of making acquisitions upon use, will not lightly hazard the giving us provocation; when we may choose peace or war, as our interest, guided by justice, shall counsel. Why forgo the advantages of so peculiar a situation? Why quit our own, to stand upon foreign ground? Why, by interweaving our destiny with that of any part of Europe, entangle our peace and prosperity in the toils of European ambition, rivalship, interest, humor, or caprice?"

And words from an equally wise man, now deceased, former

Secretary of Ag, Ezra Taft Benson, from his book, *An Enemy Hath Done This*, pg 155:

"Already, I can hear the chorus chanting "isolationism, isolationism, he's turning back the clock to isolationism." How many use that word without having the slightest idea of what it really means! The so-called isolationism of the United States in past decades is pure myth. What isolationism? Long before the current trend of revoking our Declaration of Independence under the guise of international cooperation, American influence and trade was felt in every region of the globe. Individuals and private groups spread knowledge, business, prosperity, religion, good will and, above all, respect throughout every foreign continent.

"It was not necessary then for America to give up her independence to have contact and influence with other countries. It is not necessary now. Yet, many Americans have been led to believe that our country is so strong that will can defend, feed and subsidize half the world, while at the same time believing that we are so weak and "inter-dependent" that we cannot survive without pooling our resources and sovereignty with those we subsidize. If wanting no part of this kind of "logic" is isolationism, then it is time we brought it back into vogue."

Tragically, tens of millions of Americans don't want the documented truth to interfere with their preconceived notions of what they want to believe. Who can we thank for the destruction of America's sovereignty? The Republican and Democrat parties. The so-called "mainstream media," both electronic and print. Past White Houses and the America people themselves for turning a blind eye to important things like our freedom vs how much food they can plow down in a day or how many sitcoms they can watch in one night on the boob tube.

Remember this: The United Nothing (Nations) is not a government. It is an organization funded by countries of the

globe to make sure the one world order comes together through brute force. Our participation in the UN is unconstitutional and we are under no obligation to this gang of private war mongers.

President Trump has poked the war mongers in Congress and the industrial-military complex who reap the spoils of war. A very dangerous step for him to take and don't think he doesn't know it. When Trump said the following, rent-boy, Lindsay Graham threw a hissy fit: "We never agreed to protect the Kurds for the rest of their lives." Oh, hell, the usual hysteria and baseless accusations were firebombed at Trump over his decision to withdraw a handful of our military from a place we never should have been in the first place. If you missed Cliff Kincaid's recent column, go READ IT to get the truth instead of propaganda: America's Marxist "Allies" in Syria

Many members of Congress have tried to get us out of the UN. 2013: H.R. 75: American Sovereignty Restoration Act of 2013 — To end membership of the United States in the United Nations. Bill introduced January 3, 2013. Bill Sponsor: Rep. Paul Broun Jr. [R-GA10]. That bill had a whopping 8 cosponsors; all Republicans. (Do read that column; it will blow you away if I do say so myself.)

There is another bill once again dying in committee to get us out of the UN which means stop sending our soldiers to foreign countries for tribal and religious squabbles under UN Resolutions BS. Let 'em kill each other off if they have nothing better to do — like clean up their own countries and get out of the Fourteenth Century. Congressman Introduces Bill to Get the U.S. Out of the UN

That's why the upcoming primaries are so important. This is an issue that should be discussed on the campaign trail instead of all the unconstitutional promises being made to satisfy the 'women's vote' or this special interest group or

that one. Only YOU can make that happen. Only YOU can help get a constitutionally grounded individual elected to both the House and Senate in your district. (Only $1/3^{rd}$ of the Senate is up for illegal reelection in 2020 so the majority don't give a fig what you want.)

Earlier this year the money people in my town said our new mayor, Shannon, would not win the election. I hope they all enjoyed their crow pie because we volunteers worked and got him elected. And let me tell you this: He ran on reducing property taxes until we can eliminate them just as a half dozen counties in Texas have and guess what? Our City Council hate him. They turned right around and voted to raise our taxes while Shannon fought against it. I've been to city council meetings (and so should you) and the hatred against Shannon is so thick you could cut it with a butter knife. It makes me sick.

BTW: If you're an early Christmas shopper, don't forget to order a copy of my book, <u>Taking Politics Out of Solutions</u>. 400 pages of facts and solutions. Here's one review from Amazon: "There's not a book out there that so substantially documents the source of every truth she presents; links, affidavits, other books, legislative testimony, interviews ad infinitum...You will be amazed at how many instances she refers to regarding the present-day political mechanizations that are plainly and blatantly UnConstitutional! For all the above reasons, if in the not-too-distant future, the survivors of our once-great nation try to piece together a road map for OUR return to a Constitutional Republic, they couldn't use a better resource."

© 2019 Devvy Kidd — All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Devvy: devvyk@npn.net

Link:

UN Takes Over Utah Taxpayer-Funded Venue - Claims It's
"International Territory" & Shuts Down Journalists (Video)