

# Obama thumbs his nose at Trump's immigration agenda

President Barack Obama is thumbing his nose at his successor, President-elect Donald Trump by bringing as many Islamic refugees as he can in his remaining weeks in office. Fact, Obama entered into a secret deal with Australia to take as many refugees as possible who were turned down for asylum by Australia, according to a number of sources.

In fact on Thursday, Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley wrote a letter of complaint to both Secretary of State John Kerry and Homeland Security Secretary Jeh Johnson. In his letter he reportedly condemned reports that President Obama was going to admit thousands of Muslims into America including "asylum seekers" the Australian government believed were a danger to their citizens.

"Apparently, Australian officials are more concerned with national security and the safety and security of their people than our own President," claims former police official David Renaaldi. "This is an example of how little the Democrats care about the American people. Their hearts are with illegal aliens, Muslim terrorists and other anti-American groups they consider 'constituents,'" retired Capt. Renaaldi said.

The refugees involved are nationals from global hotspots including Iran, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Afghanistan, Iraq, Somalia and Sudan. Grassley called these nations places where it's almost impossible to vet people.

One of the most pressing issues Trump faces is the enormous problem of illegal immigration and the implementation of his plans to secure U.S. borders and apprehend and deport criminal aliens. Coupled with that is the American left's obsession with importing thousands of refugees from countries that

harbor or are run by terrorists, with no viable means to conduct background checks.

As far as his ideas on immigration reform, Trump's rhetoric and his plans appear to coincide with the opinions of a majority of Republicans and so-called Independents despite Republicans and Democrats in the news media who claim the majority of Americans want Obama-style amnesty for the millions of illegal aliens already living in the United States. And as far as voters are concerned – and not just Republicans – Donald Trump has a winning formula for fighting immigration-related violence and crimes.

While Democrats and some Republicans warned Trump about pushing his immigration agenda during his campaign against open-borders advocate Hillary Clinton, a Rasmussen Reports national survey appeared to show that many Americans agreed – and continue to agree – with Trump's views on border security and criminal aliens.

Donald Trump had released a policy paper that calls for getting tough on illegal immigration. He even used a Rasmussen poll to show that contrary to what the news media say – that his immigration policy is outside of the mainstream – his proposal to end automatic citizenship for children born to illegal immigrants in this country is far from being outside the mainstream of Americans' political thought.

Rasmussen pollsters found that 70 percent of likely GOP voters agree with the GOP presidential hopeful that the United States should build a wall along the Mexican border to help stop illegal immigration. Seventeen percent (17%) of GOP voters disagree, while 13% are undecided.

Ninety-two percent (92%) of Republicans agree that the United States should deport all illegal immigrants who have been convicted of a felony in this country. Only four percent (4%) disagree.

Among all likely voters – Republicans, Democrats and Independents – 51 percent favor building a wall on the U.S.-Mexican border; 37 percent disagree, and 12% are not sure. A whopping 80 percent said that they support the deportation of all illegal immigrants convicted of a felony; while only 11 percent are opposed and nine percent aren't sure.

Cops urge Trump to restore equipment confiscated by Obama

President-elect Donald Trump's victory over Democrat Hillary Clinton was the result of a number of actions that the news media chose to ignore, but the American people still discovered. For example, an overwhelming number of law enforcement fraternal and labor organizations broke ranks from the rest of the unions to endorse candidate Trump. That included the federal officers with the U.S. Border Patrol, the Immigration and Customs Enforcement agents, and the nation's largest police union representing local and state police, the Fraternal Order of Police with more than 300,000 members and affiliates.

Now many cops are urging President Trump and his Attorney General to rescind Executive Order 13688 which was meant to decrease the protection of police officers by reducing their self-defense capabilities. The goal was to appease rioters, activists and certain politicians who felt threatened by police officers based on "how they looked."

Last year, President Barack Obama pushed his administration to implement his executive order to take away certain weapons and equipment away from local police and sheriff departments throughout the nation in reaction to police anti-riot tactics in which they utilized military-style vehicles and weapons.

According to 13688, high-tech weapons, equipment and vehicles are to be confiscated from law enforcement agencies across the country by the Obama administration despite the country's sheriffs and lawmakers complaining that the equipment is more

than ever necessary to protect communities from violence including incidents perpetrated by jihadists who are expected to attempt a repeat of attacks occurring in France, Belgium and other countries.

Sheriffs such as Arizona's Joe Arpaio and Wisconsin's David Clarke have complained that losing armored vehicles and other equipment that are defensive not offensive will place police officers and sheriffs' deputies, in addition to their communities, at risk from violent crime, riots and terrorism.

"These things are useful tools and the president taking them away will put more officers in jeopardy and at risk of harm or even death. I don't know how he can sleep at night knowing his actions will have those repercussions," argues Rep. Mike Rogers, R-Alabama.

Rogers explained that President Obama had issued Executive Order 13688 after the anti-police riots in Ferguson, Missouri, Baltimore, Maryland, and other riots. Amid the violence, there was outcry by liberal-left activists and Democrat lawmakers about the alleged "militarization" of the police while there was practically silence about the rioters looting and torching businesses.

"The do-gooders were more concerned about the [safety of] rioters and looters than about the officers who had to face them and worry about how they used their weapons and equipment," said former police officer and attorney Joseph Fitzgerald. "In fact, Obama and his Attorney General at the time [Eric Holder] took action even before the investigation that followed the shooting of a black man by a white officer was completed. By the way, the verdict in that case was that the officer was correct in his use of deadly force against a 6'8? 300 lbs. assailant," explained Fitzgerald.