

Pres. Trump Talking Points 'Fireside Chat' – Baseless Impeachment



Devvy Kidd

It's been reported President Trump is considering a televised 'fireside chat' to counter the provable lies gushing from DemocRats who hate him. That would be Adam 'Shifty' Schiff, Nancy Pelosi, Gerald Nadler, AOC and her human waste squad along with the trash from Hollywood. Citizens who are out there hating him and repeating the same lies fed to them are sadly little more than the herd being prodded in the desired direction. Sun-Zu's Art of War.

What's important here are facts. Legal not political. While Trump's advisors (most are dead wrong on everything except for Stephen Miller) and his legal team are probably against it, how else is Trump supposed to defend himself when the corrupt media (electronic, print and boob tube) attack him every minute of the day for the past three years? Not to mention the daily cherry-picked leaks from Schiff's committee and star chamber secret hearings?

Why not use that same media to get his case to the American people who don't do Twitter? Not too long a presentation, **stay on script**, just the bare facts that prove the DemoRats are doing this impeachment hoax because Mueller didn't deliver for them. It is election interference. Overturn the results of

2016 and tainting his reelection for 2020.

One of the things I'm sure irked the dirty players and defenders of this attempted coup d'état is Ukraine President, Volodymyr Zelensky's comment that he 'learned a lot' from Trump in his effort to get elected. Not to mention Zelensky's comment that they, meaning he and his new administration, are also trying to 'drain the swamp' over there (his words in the transcript).

[In the transcript](#), Trump asks a favor and launches into forbidden territory by bringing up 'Cloudstrike'. What is that? [See a reasonable explanation here](#). What is the favor?

"According to the formal whistleblower complaint, Trump is mentioning CrowdStrike due to rumors that during the firm's investigation into the DNC hack, it had mishandled the DNC's servers. Further, Trump believed the servers are now apparently somewhere in Ukraine. Trump allegedly wanted Zelensky to locate the servers and turn them over to the U.S. Government."



Now, is it a crime for a sitting president to ask a president of a foreign country to assist in locating potential evidence regarding election interference and **turning it over to the U.S. Government** – NOT Trump or the RNC? That's what he's supposed to do if evidence presents itself as credible.

[Now, this is very important. FBI Says the Democratic Party Wouldn't Let Agents See the Hacked Email Servers](#)

"Questions about the Democratic National Committee hack and Russia's alleged involvement have been swirling for months, and have intensified as the intelligence community prepares to brief president-elect Donald Trump about its conclusions on

Friday and release a declassified report next week. Ahead of this announcement, the DNC [told Buzzfeed on Wednesday](#) that neither the FBI nor any other intelligence agency ever did an independent assessment of the organization's breached servers. Instead, they alleged, the FBI relied exclusively on information from private digital forensics company CrowdStrike. Now the FBI is refuting this account of the events.

"In a statement to WIRED, a senior FBI law enforcement official wrote in an email Thursday that **"The FBI repeatedly stressed to DNC officials the necessity of obtaining direct access to servers and data, only to be rebuffed until well after the initial compromise had been mitigated."** This contrasts with what DNC deputy communications director Eric Walker told Buzzfeed in an email: "The DNC had several meetings with representatives of the FBI's Cyber Division and its Washington (DC) Field Office, the Department of Justice's National Security Division, and U.S. Attorney's Offices, and it responded to a variety of requests for cooperation, but *the FBI never requested access to the DNC's computer servers.*"

More lies by the DNC and its cozy relationship with Cloudstrike.

What would I say after the usual opening statement by a president?

The term Quid Pro Quo has been bandied about as if it actually occurred so let me address the alleged quid pro quo for a moment. I'm going to read to you from the official transcript of my call with Ukraine President, Volodymyr Zelensky, July 25, 2019, the Democrats initially used to come after me.

(Pertinent portions from pages 3-4).

My fellow Americans, the United States has had a treaty with the Ukraine government since 1999 called the [TREATY WITH UKRAINE ON MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN CRIMINAL MATTERS](#) by

which the US and Ukraine government mutually work together to root out corruption and bring guilty parties engaged in criminal matters to justice.

(Important to bring this up as average Americans likely know nothing about it.)

This isn't about politics it's about pursuing justice regarding criminal activities either here in the U.S. or bad players in foreign countries committing crimes in the U.S. and visa-versa. Instead of Democrats giving their support of this treaty for rooting out corruption they have used 'scorched earth' dirty tricks and lies in an attempt to destroy the messenger while ignoring the message.

Democrats have tried to use this comment I made during my call with President Zelensky as proof of a quid pro quo:

"The other thing. There's a lot talk about Biden's son. That Biden stopped the prosecution and a lot of people want to find out about that so whatever you can do with the Attorney General would be great. Biden went around bragging that he stopped the prosecution so if you can look into it ... It sounds horrible to me."

Hunter Biden is not a politician or a political candidate for public office. Let me make that very clear.

Just because his father is a current political candidate does not nullify our treaty with Ukraine. Hunter Biden's affiliation with Burisma Holdings was under scrutiny by the Ukrainian government *before* I became president. The facts clearly show that to be true.

So serious was this investigation by the Ukrainian government, Joe Biden, Hunter Biden's father, felt the need to threaten the Ukrainian government. Let me quote Joe Biden's exact words while he was in Kiev in March 2016 threatening to withhold \$1 billion dollars in loan guarantees:

"I said, 'You're not getting the billion.' I'm going to be leaving here in, I think it was about six hours. I looked at them and said: 'I'm leaving in six hours. If the prosecutor is not fired, you're not getting the money. Well, son of a bitch, he got fired. And they put in place someone who was solid at the time."

That isn't a quid pro quo it's bribery. Why has there been no outcry for what Joe Biden is clearly guilty of and that is threatening a foreign ally to make the investigation of his son go away?

There was no quid pro quo on my part regarding aid to Ukraine. There was no withholding only a suspension time period which the Ukrainian government was unaware of until one month after my call with President Zelensky.

As for the alleged whistleblower, he is a leaker not a whistleblower. That individual had no direct access to my phone call and has presented nothing more than 2nd and 3rd hand innuendos in an effort to remove me from office. A Democrat operative who you will find out more about in the future. An individual who Democrats, now backed into a corner, are voicing there's no need for their whistleblower to testify. Unbelievable.

I was elected to represent all of the people of America and I have tried diligently to do that since taking office despite the daily attacks on me and my family. All because Democrats didn't like the outcome of the 2016 election.

The impeachment inquiry vote is nothing more than smoke and mirrors to discredit me and an attempt to hang enough non-existent 'high crimes and misdemeanors' to remove me from office. I trust the American people to see this whole divisive show for what it is and judge facts not political shenanigans.

Good night and God bless America and all her good people.

End

Short and to the point. Trump should absolutely NOT release a copy of his comments to the media ahead of his televised presentation which I believe would completely deflate Shifty Schiff's family jewels and anger the American people – even the ones on the fence.

By taking the facts to the American people, President Trump is showing them this grotesque show has been for one reason and one reason only: to remove him from office and kill his chances in the 2020 election.

Nothing said above can be used against him because it's all backed by solid evidence that cannot be denied. No embellishments, just hard, cold facts with paper trails.

BTW: If you're an early Christmas shopper, don't forget to order a copy of my book, [Taking Politics Out of Solutions](#). 400 pages of facts and solutions. Here's one review from Amazon: "There's not a book out there that so substantially documents the source of every truth she presents; links, affidavits, other books, legislative testimony, interviews ad infinitum...You will be amazed at how many instances she refers to regarding the present-day political mechanizations that are plainly and blatantly UnConstitutional! For all the above reasons, if in the not-too-distant future, the survivors of our once-great nation try to piece together a road map for OUR return to a Constitutional Republic, they couldn't use a better resource."

© 2019 Devvy Kidd – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Devvy: devvyk@nnpn.net