Snipers and the overthrow of rights

Providentially I turned on the TV Thursday night in the midst of the Dallas sniper event oddly just blocks away from Dealy Plaza where JFK was assassinated. Watching just a piece that evening and then the morning after analysis, I could hear the drumbeat, blah, blah, blah, take away the guns, blah, blah, blah, take away the guns. This sniper event couldn't have happened at a more propitious time as the Congress is pushing through more gun control legislation. Could the timing just be just coincidental? Consider some similar sniper events.

In March 2011 the "spontaneous democratic protest" in Daraa led to carnage as trained snipers killed seven policemen and four protesters, escalating the crisis and launching the fiveyear long devastation of Syria. That sniper event was carefully planned and well coordinated in an attempt to destabilize that country and its government. In February 2014 paramilitary snipers (later identified as Gladio operatives) opened fire on protestors and police forces in Ukraine, escalating the crisis and putting the final nail in the coffin of Yanukovych's rule. Now here in July 2016 unidentified snipers opened fire at an otherwise peaceful protest in Dallas. Is there a pattern here?

Kevin Barrett, of VeteransToday commented "These rooftop snipers looked like pros, not angry amateurs. They're reminiscent of the rooftop snipers who recently overthrew the government of Ukraine."

Gordon Duff also of VeteransToday commented, "Once again we are presented with a narrative that is quite implausible, where we are being asked to believe that the attackers were capable of feats of marksmanship that even seasoned spec ops [special operations] operators would find difficult. This time we are being asked to believe that angry young black men took up arms and shot 12 police officers from elevated positions; not likely, not hardly, such a feat requires trained and experienced sniper teams — the same kind that were employed on the Maidan in Kiev two years ago to shoot down both policemen and protesters."[1]

Could this be another false flag?

The difficult thing here as in other such events is that we cannot always get at the truth. We know the media, particularly the mainstream media, is lying to us regularly. We know our civil government in general is not to be trusted — they have their own agenda which is to establish the New World Order, another name for the kingdom of Satan. They will stop at nothing to achieve it, including murdering policemen. They are of their father the Devil and the works of the Devil they do. As he was a murderer from the beginning so murder is part of their playbook.

How shall we know what is true? In our day the truth is very elusive.

You might think politicians are in competition with each other to earn the award 'the biggest liar of them all.' For example there is the woman for whom lying is her only game. She can violate the law six ways before dawn and lie to the electorate and lie to the media, then lie to the FBI and they all apparently believe her? No indictment possible they say. Why anyone believes her at all I cannot understand. This member of the Clinton crime family appears to have outstripped her husband with lies, corruption and murder. In that last category, given that she is persistent in her support of killing babies, she is a mass murderer.

The truth needs to be told. George Orwell said, "In a time of universal deceit – telling the truth is a revolutionary act."[2]

By they way. If the narrative presented by the media and government were actually true, and I doubt that it is, what should be done? So we have an irate black man with a gun killing police officers. What should be done? Take away everyone's guns? That begs the question, what brought this man to this point where he considers murdering others a good thing? How was he educated?

In a school house where the Bible was forbidden, prayer illegal, the Ten Commandments could never be seen by him or any student. In Stone v. Graham the Supreme Court said, "If the posted copies of the Ten Commandments are to have any effect at all, it will be to induce the schoolchildren to read, meditate upon, perhaps to venerate and obey, the Commandments. However desirable this might be as a matter of private devotion, it is not a permissible state objective under the Establishment Clause."

Add to that his school taught him evolution — that he was just a compilation of mutations and mistakes, just an overgrow ape. Add to that the notion of relativism, that there are no absolutes, nothing absolutely right or wrong. Compound that with the preaching from the school house that each individual is entitled to make up their own moral value system. Then top it of by showing the student for more that forty years murdering is not only valued as a social good, it has such an exalted status that the government will pay you to murder, provided you are female and it is your own child in the womb you are choosing to murder.

This young man knows that the government not only invited his mother to murder him, they offered to pay for his murder in the womb. What he may not know is that because his mother was black, he was especially in the murderous cross hairs. "According to the Alan Guttmacher Institute, black women are more than 5 times as likely as white women to have an abortion. On average, 1,876 black babies are aborted every day in the United States."[3] Why don't the Black Lives Matter people protest this black genocide outside every abortuary in our land? Instead Black Lives Matter cofounder Alicia Garza explained the intersectional connection, "Reproductive justice is very much situated within the Black Lives Matter movement..."[4] So Black lives matters unless you happen to be a black baby.

Given all these facts, that the government indoctrination centers have raised a generation of barbarians, what surprises me is that we don't see more wholesale murder taking place in our already blood soaked land. In spite of the relentless Satanic propaganda drilled in by the government run schools, some survivors of those indoctrination camps still have a semblance of a conscience remaining.

The problem we face is the tsunami of lies thrust upon us. And those committed to propelling those lies are in the highest positions of power in our land. Do you remember William Casey CIA director under Reagan. In 1981 Barbara Honegger Assistant to the chief domestic policy adviser to the President was in a meeting with Casey and heard him say the following. It so shocking that she wrote it down and later leaked it to a reporter. Casey said, "We'll know our disinformation program is complete when everything the American Public believes is false."[5] That is the goal of Washington, D.C., to lie to you about everything, and to persuade you to believe patent falsehoods. What's the solution to the endless stream of lies? Let us turn to the Word of God – the only source of absolute Truth.

Learn more about your Constitution with Pastor David Whitney and the "Institute on the Constitution" and receive your free gift.

© 2016 Rev. David Whitney – All Rights Reserved