

Star Chamber Democrats Sabotage Trump Nominee

Star Chamber – any tribunal, committee, or the like, which proceeds by arbitrary or unfair methods.

Slander – words falsely spoken that damage the reputation of another.

Aspersions – an abusive attack on a person's character or good name.

Defame – charge falsely or with malicious intent; attack the good name and reputation of someone.

Anyone remember [Tawana Brawley](#)? How about the [Duke lacrosse players](#)? The democrat Star Chamber was active in both cases, Brawley and her three advisors were successfully sued for defamation. The three former Duke lacrosse players falsely accused of rape filed several lawsuits, including a federal suit against disgraced prosecutor Mike Nifong, the city of Durham and the police detectives who handled the investigation. The suits are still pending.

And there's the [Rolling Stone defamation article](#) where the magazine had to agree to settle a defamation lawsuit brought by the University of Virginia fraternity at the center of a discredited article about an alleged gang rape.

There is nothing new under the sun. Potiphar's wife lied and said that Joseph tried to rape her. (Genesis 39) Wonder why it is that every woman claiming assault charges against Brett Kavanaugh is a Democrat...check out Potiphar's wife!

Choreographed Annihilation



The choreographed chaos from the demonically inspired Marxist left has descended to new levels. Their arrows are pointed directly at Judge Kavanaugh and his beautiful family, but the real target is

President Trump. The goal of these evil entities is to destroy anyone who supports our President or those our President appoints or nominates. We've seen it time and again via Mueller and his gang, the Democrat socialists and the complicit socialist media. The entire Kavanaugh family is receiving death threats and attacks from the anti-capitalist anarchists.

There are several reasons for targeting the Judge, but the main purpose is to delay, delay, delay and to keep the seat open until after the mid-terms so it would be filled in 2020. If they can derail this nominee, the socialists will succeed in making the republicans look weak prior to the mid-terms which the democrats hope to win. Unfortunately, there are few in the Republican party and few in the Senate Judiciary Committee who have the chutzpah to counter the leftists and shut this atrocity down.

Only [Senator Lindsey Graham](#) had the temerity to expose the schemes of the democrats, and he himself is a moderate republican who actually supported Jeb Bush for president.

This democratic horror show has forever besmirched the pristine reputation of a brilliant jurist, and the republicans allowed it. They are in fact, just as responsible as the demonic left.

Character Assassination



Last Thursday's Senate hearing on Brett Kavanaugh's Supreme Court nomination was an embarrassment that should have never happened. Judge Kavanaugh was right to call the confirmation process a "disgrace" in his passionate self-defense, and whatever one thinks of Christine Blasey Ford's assault accusation, she offered no corroboration or new supporting evidence. Interesting too that she had to read most of her testimony.

Instead of Ford's allegations being vetted privately, ranking Democrat Dianne Feinstein held it for six weeks and it was leaked (most likely by her and her cohorts) with the intention of causing precisely such a nauseatingly filthy three ring circus.

Dr. Ford gave us nothing more than what we already knew regarding her allegations and some of what she said has been contradicted. Her female friend, Leland Keyser, says she wasn't present the night of the alleged assault. The number of people Dr. Ford says were there has varied from four to five and perhaps more, but every potential witness she has cited by name says he or she doesn't recall the party, including Mark Judge and Patrick J. Smyth (PJ) who has issued a statement in a letter from his lawyer to the committee [saying he had no knowledge of the party or the allegation](#) of improper conduct she's leveled against Brett Kavanaugh.

Ford cannot recall the home where the alleged assault took place, how she got there or how she got home that evening, supposedly eight miles away. She hasn't any witnesses who have said that she told them about the alleged assault at the time—until she first spoke of it at a couple's therapy session 30 years later in 2012. Mr. Kavanaugh's name doesn't appear in the notes of her therapist, and BFF's share all this stuff, but she shared it with no one. Question is...did it even happen?

[Here](#) is her written and read testimony.

Sidney Powell Comments

Super lawyer Sidney Powell, author of "[Licensed to Lie: Exposing Corruption in the Department of Justice](#)," commented on Christine Blasey Ford's testimony on Fox's [Neal Cavuto program](#).

- Republican senators were wise to have the prosecutor ask the questions so as to keep the senators from being made out to be bad guys.
- The democrat senators are now extoling Dr. Ford's virtue and courage.
- Powell is concerned with the overly broad usage of the definition of sexual assault. Most prosecutors and laws define sexual assault as rape, but Ford was far from being raped. Ford hasn't alleged that any body part was exposed. She said Brett Kavanaugh tried to take her clothes off supposedly, but nothing came off. It sounds as though it was all a fumbled attempt to make out with a girl at a party.
- There is no corroboration of Dr. Ford's claims, among other problems. It is "recovered memory," which are suspect and difficult to begin with. Even if she had reported these facts at the time it happened 36 years ago, Powell says she sees nothing that a prosecutor would have found to prosecute.

- Sidney Powell said she did not find Dr. Ford credible and the emotion shown by Dr. Ford was not even credible.
- Ford's story about going upstairs in an unfamiliar house was not credible especially since she claimed she was suddenly being pushed from behind by someone she didn't know was there. Why was she going upstairs in the first place? Surely there was a bathroom on the first floor.

Powell goes on to say that there are scads of cases of wrongful identity especially when you're dealing with the issue of recovered memory which is what Ford says she has here.

And how far back are we going to go to allow someone to stir up allegations against another person when those allegations can never be corroborated or given any credence at all.

Judge Kavanaugh's Self Defense

Judge Kavanaugh's opening statement to the judiciary beat back the smear of the uncorroborated attacks against him.

The Judge exposed the left for their purposeful character assassination, and because of that, they are now [claiming he doesn't have the right temperament for the court](#). It wouldn't matter how he acted, the demonic Marxists would find a problem with him. Their goal isn't the truth. They want to destroy him. The Judge [choked back tears](#) during the heated and emotional testimony.

Judge Kavanaugh's self-defense was powerful and justified. He was righteously angry as any of us would be. His family and people who have known him all his life know this is a false testimony regarding Brett Kavanaugh. Nothing in his long record in public life betrays the kind of behavior he is accused of against women.

In fact, the Judge has been more than conciliatory in hiring

female attorneys and staff. Over 200 women have testified to Kavanaugh's history of treating women with decency and respect, and this goes all the way back to high school. [Link](#)

What about the [two men who claimed they were the men who were most likely responsible for the encounter with Dr. Ford](#)? Why weren't they heard? Will they be questioned by Rosenstein's FBI?

Prosecutor Questioned Dr. Ford

Republican Senators turned over their questioning of Ms. Ford to a trained prosecutor from Arizona, who attempted to clarify facts and fill holes in her testimony. Rachel Mitchell was [selected by the Senate Judiciary Committee](#) to assist Republican lawmakers in questioning the woman who accused Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh of sexual assault. She was given five-minute intervals to question Dr. Ford. Rachel Mitchell was an unmitigated disaster. Her tepid questions didn't even attempt to poke holes in the many inconsistencies in Ford's story. Mitchell treated Dr. Ford with kid gloves, but Judge Kavanaugh didn't receive the same treatment. Even Judge Andrew Napolitano said Mitchell failed.

Professor Alan Dershowitz said that Senate Special Counsel Mitchell was "incompetent," and did a "terrible job" questioning Dr. Ford.

[Here](#) are 45 tough, forbidden questions a normal person would ask Christine Blasey Ford.

A man I very much trust, Joe diGenova said that [Rachel Mitchell actually was cunning in her questioning and exposed the lies of the accuser](#).

But Democrats showed zero interest in getting any facts from Ms. Ford. They spent their question time saying they believed Professor Ford, despite the lack of evidence, while badgering

Republican Chairman Chuck Grassley to call other witnesses. Yet those potential witnesses have all given sworn statements to Senate staff under penalty of felony that say they don't recall the party or the alleged assault. Hauling them before the Senate wouldn't illuminate the truth any more than Thursday's hearing did.

Democrats spent their time asking Judge Kavanaugh about drinking games and lines in his high school yearbook. Amazingly, the Judge had kept calendar diaries since 9th grade, following a practice of his father.

Once Senator Lindsey Graham made that look foolish, Democrats focused on their only other argument, which was that the FBI should investigate Kavanaugh. But they well know the FBI would merely repeat the interviews they and the Senate Judiciary staff have already done. My God in heaven, this man has been investigated by the FBI six times...isn't that enough! Another delay tactic to enable more bimbos to be bought by the satanic left. [Link](#)

Rachel Mitchell Would Not Bring Charges

"Mitchell spelled it out and was clear with senators that she could not take this anywhere near a courtroom," one source told Fox News. She told them she would not charge the Supreme Court nominee and reportedly said she wouldn't even seek a search warrant. [Link](#)

Mitchell's opinion could sway fence-sitting senators ahead of a critical Senate Judiciary Committee vote set for Friday afternoon.

It is not necessary for Kavanaugh to secure majority approval of the committee in order to advance to the full Senate, but a favorable recommendation could bode well for his imperiled nomination – and vice-versa.

Additional FBI Investigation

The FBI (who I have a big problem with) can only give us the exact same facts we already know. In 1991, then Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman [Joe Biden urged senators not to rely on an FBI report](#) about Clarence Thomas, who had been accused of sexual harassment by Anita Hill.

Biden said that the FBI compiles interviews and does not come to any conclusion or make recommendations based on their findings, except of course as Comey did to protect Hillary Clinton in her race for the presidency.

Despite six FBI investigations which showed absolutely nothing untoward in Judge Kavanaugh's background, Senator Jeff Flake (R-AZ) threw his hat in the ring with the socialist left and demanded another FBI investigation. They will investigate the allegations of both Dr. Ford and 53-year-old Deborah Ramirez, who alleges that Kavanaugh "thrust his penis in her face" during a dorm party while they were students at Yale. She might be interested to know that Paul Sperry has tweeted who this really most likely was. [Link](#)

Why isn't the FBI investigating Christine Blasey Ford, Deborah Ramirez and the third woman represented by porn star attorney Michael Avenatti?

These women should have their phone records, bank records and financial records pulled and examined. Their entire lives should be looked at regarding their use of alcohol and their youthful partying. Democrats who met with these women, colluded with them, and planned or coached them should all be on the check list as well.

Another delay, and another calculated and orchestrated hit.

Inconsistencies, Lies and Obfuscations

When Christine Blasey Ford testified, we saw a mentally unstable woman. She is 52 years old and claims that someone attempted to assault her sexually 36 years ago. Yet, she

cannot state where it happened, when it happened, how she got there, how she left, and why she would leave her best friend there with two men, one of whom she claims tried to rape her. Her entire demeanor and testimony were adolescent in nature. Her emotional state after 36 years was literally unbelievable.

- Ford claims she is afraid of flying and being in enclosed spaces, yet she has flown all over the country for fun and business...Prosecutor Mitchell drew this out.
- Blasey Ford is a PhD yet she did not know what the word "exculpatory" meant.
- Christine Ford or her Feinstein hired pro-bono attorneys scrubbed all of her and her husband's social media prior to her testimony.
- Ford claims she had to have a second front door installed in her home because of recurring memories. [The Gateway Pundit](#) exposed that the second front door was [installed years before](#) she claimed in her testimony and most likely installed for house renters or as a means for customers to visit a business set up in the house.
- Famed radio host Michael Savage has alleged, that [Ford is deeply tied to the Central Intelligence Agency](#) (CIA). There are no coincidences here.
- Ford claims she saw Mark Judge in a grocery store six to eight weeks after the encounter and said hello to him. This troubles me because there is no way in hell I would say hello to anyone who was a participant in what she alleges happened.
- And guess what, [Christine Ford published a 2008 article on self-hypnosis used to retrieve and "create artificial situations."](#) Ford appears to be a democratic plant.
- The [polygraph test given to Ford](#) asked her only two questions... The August 7 examination, held in a Maryland Hilton Hotel, consisted of a [one-page, handwritten statement by Ford, an interview and two questions:](#)

Is any part of your statement false? And, did you make up any part of your statement? She answered both with a no.

The Virginia-based examiner, Jeremiah Hanafin, noted both answers were deemed “not indicative of deception,” according to the report obtained by USA TODAY. Are you kidding me? This is all they asked? I’ve had polygraphs in positions I’ve held and asking two open ended yes/no questions like this is a setup...it means nothing, and no polygraph is allowed into court evidence because they are so easily fooled.



**Lauding the
Courage of Dr.
Ford**

The trolls from both the left and the right are saying that Dr. Ford was highly credible when she was obviously being used by Feinstein and her globalist gaggle of socialists. I was terribly disappointed when President Trump told reporters she was a “very credible witness” and a “very fine woman,” before changing course and suggesting Kavanaugh should be confirmed anyway.

“I thought her testimony was very compelling and she looks like a very fine woman to me, very fine woman,” he said. “And I thought that Brett’s testimony likewise was really something that I hadn’t seen before. It was an incredible moment I think in the history of our country. But certainly, she was a very credible witness. She was very good in many respects.” [Link](#)

Like hell she was President Trump! And at the risk of

sounding callous...she was not at all credible.

Conclusion

The first hearings for Supreme Court nominees involved the confirmation of Justice Louis Brandeis in 1916 who was nominated by Woodrow Wilson. The hearings happened because anti-Semites were reluctant to approve a Jewish associate justice. However, he did not appear before the Senate. The first nominee to do so was Felix Frankfurter in 1939.

For many decades after that, hearings were held but the nominee didn't take questions. The first time a nominee took questions from senators was Potter Stewart in 1959, and that was because of concerns by segregationists.

Perhaps we should go back to these nominees not appearing and not answering questions, truly those days were much more civil.

Joan Swirsky's recent [article](#) knocked it out of the ballpark. Add Devvy's Kidd's well researched [tome](#) and there's not much more to say on the sabotage of Judge Kavanaugh by the evil left.

© 2018 Kelleigh Nelson – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Kelleigh Nelson: Proverbs133@bellsouth.net