Tangled webs: Google, Microsoft, Facebook and the internet giveaway

The use of lies and deception has been a standard tool of the trade for over a century by the government and their willing and/or unwilling stooges in the main stream media.[1] A few examples include Newspaper owners William Randolph Hearst and Joseph Pulitzer, with the unspoken blessings of US President, William McKinley, spreading lies to stir up the masses enough to start a war with Spain.[2] This allowed McKinley to start an American empire by taking over the Philippines, Guam, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico at the close of the 19th century. In the following decades, the media has obediently followed along coving up such things as the fraudulent Gulf of Tonkin [3] incident, the governmental attack on the Branch Davidians, [4] 9/11, [5] and the Obamacare fiasco. [6] In spite of the lies and distortions presented by the government then spread by an obedient media, there were still some journalists who were doing their job by reporting the truth.

In 1983, fifty companies controlled 90% of the American media, today it is just six multinational corporations.[7] They are Viacom, Comcast, Time-Warner, Disney, CBS, and News Corp and these companies are working very hard to make sure that the news you hear is the news they and the government want you to hear. From the anti-Muslim video that "caused" the killing of four Americans at Benghazi, [8] lying about the state of the economy before the 2008 meltdown, [9] to the massive push to promote Obamacare, [10] the main stream media is relentless in pushing the government/corporatist agenda to its audience. This control is the dream of every tyrannical state in history and the US government has almost achieved it.

Only, they have, like the American Army in the WWII Battle of

Arnhem, [11] pushed their power too far and too fast causing the American people to no longer trust the main stream media. In the spring of 2016, a major poll was conducted by the Media Insight Project, a partnership of The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research and the American Press Institute; they found that just 6% [12] of those polled have confidence in the main stream media for news. The bias and outright lies have become so bad that even a 30 year insider like Sharyl Attkisson [13] commented on it: "There is unprecedented, I believe, influence on the media, not just the news, but the images you see everywhere. By well-orchestrated and financed campaign of special interests, political interests and corporations. I think all of that comes into play."

"ICANN is arguably the single most powerful institution in the world" —Jeff Baron [14]

In every format, the main stream media is losing patrons. From failing newspapers to falling television ratings, the old model is dying because of the internet. The Internet has become the primary source for information for a huge percentage of Americans today. In fact, in the last 25 years the internet has transformed the world. It has completely revamped the way people do business, communicate, purchase household goods, plan vacations, find friends, look up information and just about every human activity imaginable. Since it first became available for average Americans, the internet has been a way to find information the elites did not want exposed and they have been looking to shut down that freedom of information for years. Subsequently with the support of numerous multinational corporations like Microsoft, Google, Dell, Yahoo, Amazon, and Facebook along with the blessing from globalist mouth pieces like the Council on Foreign Relations, the LA Times, NBC, the International Chamber of Commerce and Human Rights Watch, President Obama failed to renew the contract with ICANN [15] effectively

giving the control over the internet to a private company.

That company is ICANN [16] (Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers) and it is a CA based nonprofit corporation. In 1998, the Commerce Department began contracting with ICANN, to take over management of IANA (The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority) and the internet's domain name system. The US Commerce Department has, for the most part, let ICANN govern itself, but it maintained the authority to pull the nonprofit's contract. In essence ICANN records the numbers (easier for computers to use) using words (easier for humans to use) through DNS.

Domain name system (DNS) is basically a directory for internet-connected devices that helps translate domain names to numerical IP addresses. Without DNS it is difficult for people to access websites as it requires remembering large numbers of IP address, a series of numbers such as "194.66.82.10". So President Obama just ceded power over the allocation of domain names from Google.com to your church's website without the consent of Congress [17] and over the objections of millions of American citizens.

Those supporting the transfer are quick to report that there is nothing to fear from this transfer, after all ICANN is a "private" company under a global multi-stakeholder group to oversee its Board of Directors. What can possibly go wrong with the internet in the hands of a "private" [18] company? Plenty. [19]

ICANN is first and foremost, a complete monopoly. [20] It has exclusive rights to allow and renew [21] domain names and that is a power it has not always been used benevolently. It also has complete control over how much to charge for a top level domain such as .com, .net, .biz and several times in the past, it has abused [22] those powers. For instance it allowed a top level domain named .sucks to be purchased by the company Vox Populi which charges \$2,500 to protect a company's or

individuals name from being purchased and slandered with a sucks [23] after it, then failed to rein the company in when it was running an extortion operation. ICANN has also been accused numerous times of siding with those who have the most to pay its fees and since it costs over a million dollars to have ICANN officially look into a complaint, not many decisions are overturned.

Another troubling aspect of ICANN is that ICANN has made a fortune off of its rapidly expanding list of Generic Top Level Domain Names (gTLDs). Names like .lawyer; .google; .africa are going for at least \$200,000 each and then annual fees. The .web domain brought \$135,000,000 [24] into their coffers giving them not only a complete monopoly on issuing domains but the means to create a very monopolistic self-supporting group of elites.

Amazon, [25] the giant online retailer, applied to register the gTLD .amazon. ICANN has written limitations that govern the sale of a domain name but since the name .amazon does not fall, into any of the categories that are forbidden there should have no problems with Amazon's request. Only there was, the South American countries of Brazil and Peru, through the interest group Government Advisory Committee (GAC), declared this application to be illegal, based on the fact that the Amazon River is a geographical area inhabited by some 30million people. ICANN sided with the governments proving that the advantage of being a monopoly is that you get to make up rules as you go along. Amazon is now in the process of suing [26] ICANN over the matter.

The exact same thing happened to the sports company called Patagonia [27] when it filed for the .patagonia domain. The governments of Argentina and Chile objected and ICANN decided against selling the name to the company. This trend shows that not only are rules made to be broken but governments are meant to be appeased. This pattern is more than disturbing considering that since 1998, nations that routinely censor the

internet of their citizens including Russia, China, Iran and Saudi Arabia have pushed extremely hard to place the functions of ICANN under the control of the U.N.'s Russian dominated International Telecommunications Union (ITU) [28] and President Obama may very well have just handed them their chance.

For, as stated above, ICANN holds a COMPLETE monopoly over the World Wide Web root zone and complete monopolies in private hands are illegal in most of the world. When operating under contract with the Commerce Department, ICANN becomes a legal monopolist as it becomes a "instrumentality" of government.

As L. Gordon Crovitz points out in his article [29] "U.S. Surrender: Internet Giveaway to the U.N.?," once ICANN became independent, they lost that umbrella of US Government protection leaving them open to legal challenges from every despotic government on earth looking to force them under the control of the United Nations. Hence President Obama, in another case of "if you want your doctor, you can keep your doctor," [30] simply lied again when he pledged that ICANN would not replace U.S. control for a "government-led or an inter-governmental organization solution." This fact is verified on 10/14/16 When Obama gave a speech in Pittsburg, [31] PA in which he glorified the days of the three major networks delivering the news that most people trusted.

Obama went on to say "We are going to have to rebuild within this wild-wild-west-of-information [32] flow some sort of curating function that people agree to. There has to be, I think, some sort of way in which we can sort through information that passes some basic truthiness tests and those that we have to discard, because they just don't have any basis in anything that's actually happening in the world," Obama added.

"That is hard to do, but I think it's going to be necessary, it's going to be possible. The answer is obviously not

censorship, but it's creating places where people can say 'this is reliable' and I'm still able to argue safely about facts and what we should do about it." he added.

There should be no longer any doubt as to why Obama went against Congress and the people to give away the internet; we can no longer have the "wild-wild-west-of-information flow" out there to inform the people of what is really going on in the world.

German Chancellor Merkel, [33] speaking at a press conference with out-going President Obama on 11-17-16, reinforced what ship we will soon see coming to the internet port; censorship. She christened the surge of populist or right wing politics as a "wave that engulfs us that emanates from the United States." [34]

"Look at the European parliament. There are a lot of people who are looking for simplistic solutions and are preaching simplistic solutions which are very unfriendly policies. We have them here in Europe; too, we have them in Germany too."

"Digitization is a disruptive technological force that brings about deep-seated change and transformation in society. Look at the history of the printing press, when this was invented what kind of consequences it had, or industrialization, what consequences that had."

"Very often, it led to enormous transformational processes within individual societies and it took a while until societies learned to find the right kinds of policies to contain this, to manage and steer this. We live in a period of profound transformation."

Directing her ire against the Germans who are angered with her flooding Germany with Muslim invaders and massive job loss, she lashed out against PEGIDA, the anti-mass migration and counter-Islamisation movement, repeating their slogan: 'Wir Sind Das Volk' — 'We Are The People' — the chancellor said:

"The most important and noble task of politicians these days is to see that each and every person can find his place. But those who purportedly belong to certain groups say 'we are the people, and not others'."

In another of those frequent ironies so often dumped on the citizens, Merkel, a former (?) East German communist, now says of PEGIDA:

"At the time when we had [this saying] in the GDR [East Germany] when the people stood in the streets and said 'we are the people' it filled me with great joy, but the fact these people have hijacked it does not fill me with joy."

How long will it be before other world leaders join Ms. Merkel and president Obama in demanding web censorship? Certainly foreign media is now calling for it as Germany's Zeit newspaper published a piece calling for controls to prevent "a German Donald Trump", while Britain's Independent former newspaper website published a list of "fake" news sites which they claimed may have "swayed votes towards Donald Trump". Even the British news outlet "The Guardian" is in on the fake news bandwagon. That list is the same list being circulated by Google and Facebook.

Consequently, now, the very instrument that has become the great equalizing force against corporatism/globalism's control over the main stream media might now become just another weapon in their arsenal of global censorship. Unfortunately, it is only one of several ways the internet is being manipulated for corporatist/governmental advantage. One only needs to look at the two most popular search engines and the most popular social media site to see complete censorship in action. [35]

What kind of drug does one have to take to believe Microsoft is a friend? —Dr. Roy Schestowitz [36]

Google, Bing and Facebook have an unbroken record of

suppressing sites, postings and searches that don't follow their world view. Of the three, Bing is certainly the smallest but being part of Microsoft, perhaps they try harder. Bing claims to be neutral and in fact, the subscriber can set the search engine to their own preference of conservative; liberal; Christian; etc. so how can they be biased? Well the Bing [37] headline on August 16, 2016 for the conservative bias setting in Bing featured three negative Trump articles:

- Donald Trump plots strategy on ISIS and campaign revival
 (CNN)
- Analysis: Making Sense of Donald Trump's Disjointed Foreign Policy Pitch (NBC News)
- Early Voting Limits Donald Trump's Time to Turn Campaign Around (New York Times)

The first headline implies Trump's campaign had stalled and needs revival. The second inferred Trump's foreign policy was chaotic and the third noted that the early vote will give Hillary such a lead that discouraged Trump supporters won't vote. Microsoft, [38] donated \$650,000 to the Hillary Clinton campaign and both Bill and Melinda Gates [39] were considered as possible Vice Presidential running mates for her Presidential campaign.

This is the Bill Gates, founder of Microsoft, whose obsession with globalism [40] and censorship [41] is well known and on Sept. 20, 2016 at a conference in Vancouver, B.C. he openly stated that opposition to globalism is "a huge concern," [42)] and says the underlying issues of resistance to it warrant a close examination. This is the same Bill Gates that along with Steve Ballmer (Microsoft CEO) spoke at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland [43] in early 2015 on the critical need for immigration and more H-1B (44] visas because of a shortage of high tech workers, and then laid off some 18,000 American high tech workers. [45]

In 2010, China demanded that Google and Microsoft censor the

results of their search engines in China. Google resisted but Microsoft was more than willing to go along. Bill Gates even criticized Google's decision to uncensor their search engine in China by saying "You've got to decide: do you want to obey the laws of the countries you're in or not? If not, you may not end up doing business there." Microsoft CEO Steve Ballmer then said "If the Chinese government gives us proper legal notice, we'll take that piece of information out of the Bing search engine." Chinese President Xi Jinping [46] also stopped in for dinner at Bill Gates house in September of 2015 before meeting with the heads of Microsoft, Google and Facebook amongst other business leaders.

So great is the Bing Censorship of China that the Chinese Web anti-censorship monitoring service has gone to great lengths to show that Bing censors content in China even more than the Chinese State owned search engine "Baidu" [47] does. But don't worry; Microsoft's support of the ICANN was completely in the name of a "free" internet.

Privacy is no longer a "social norm." [48] —Mark Zuckerberg

Facebook is just as bad. In addition to being an outspoken advocate of globalism and a liberal, Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg is the controlling force behind the most powerful social media platform ever created. Facebook controls the global dialogue used by over a billion people with an invisible touch that is unprecedented. Almost two-thirds of American adults get news from social media, with 44% of them getting their news from Facebook alone. This means Facebook's algorithms dominate the information of almost half the American public. As Gizmodo's Michael Nuñez puts it, "with Facebook, we don't know what we're not seeing." And he controls that platform with an iron grip.

Facebook has conducted numerous studies to better understand how information spreads in a social network. For instance, in 2010 Facebook conducted a secret experiment on 61 million unknowing people by tampering with their news feeds to find out how successfully it could manipulate the real-world voting habits of those people. Later, Facebook released the findings and claimed that they increased voter turnout by more than 340,000 people. Facebook regularly skews the news it posts; in 2012 it manipulated the feeds on 700,000 people without their consent to make them feel sad and then published the results in the respected (?) scientific journal "Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences." The results showed fairly conclusively that Facebook had found the key to intentionally influencing people's emotions. That year they did the same type of experiment on 1.9 million people to influence the US election. In 2014 Facebook used the rainbow flag as another experiment to get people to be more accepting of same-sex marriage.

Facebook has made an empire of selling information about every person they can. It openly manipulates the feeds and posts they allow on Facebook and the posts people are allowed to place for other members of Facebook. Facebook is now "reluctantly" being "forced" to manipulate their algorithm to crack down on "fake" news being posted on Facebook. Though this sounds like a noble goal, the potential for censorship is unmistakable, especially when one looks at the list of websites they plan on censoring. The sites Facebook and Google are going to censor as "fake" was created by a self-proclaimed feminist assistant professor at Merrimack College who did not like the sites her students citing in their research papers. Sites like Breitbart; Realfarmacy; Lew Rockwell; Zerohedge; and World Net Daily. Though all have posted articles that have proven to be false, Facebook has no problem with posting articles from even less reliable news site like USA Today; MSNBC; CNN; BBC and the New York Times. Google is now planning on using this same list to censor content. It does not take a rocket scientist to see where this censorship is headed.

"We know where you are. We know where you've been. We can more

or less know what you're thinking about." —Eric Schmidt

The last of the big three masters of manipulation is Google, and they are arguably the biggest of the bunch. Google CEO Eric Schmidt is about as open with his liberal bias as one could ever be. The Bilderberg attendee bankrolled a startup company called "The Groundwork" to provide technical experts Hillary Clinton needed to run her campaign. Schmidt was instrumental in getting Barack Obama elected both times as he was the main supplier of technical experts for all three campaigns.

Technology is right up Schmidt's alley as Google is the defacto gateway to information. Google averages some 40,000 search queries every second equaling over 3.5 billion searches per day and 1.2 trillion searches per year worldwide. Google conducts over 64% of all internet searches in the US and over 90% in some countries. Google has indexed some 45 billion web pages while Bing, its closest competitor has only 14 billion.

Of course the 45 billion web pages are only part of the Schmidt plan to control everything on earth. Schmidt has stated that privacy is an excuse to hide wrongdoing: "If you have something that you don't want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn't be doing it in the first place."

In his plan to deprive you of your privacy, Schmidt has employed some of the world's top technocrats to find ever more powerful ways to pry into every corner of your life since Google's business is, literally, mass surveillance, and they have become amazingly good at it.

They are also a contractor to the US Government, including the NSA and several military contractors as well. When Edward Snowden revealed to the world the unimaginable world of government spying on US citizens, he also revealed that the NSA has direct access to the information stored on Google's computers as they do to Facebook, Twitter, Bing and every

other company storing online information on you.

In a US News and World Report article published on 6/22/16, contributor Robert Epstein wrote an extremely troubling article on just how powerful Google is. According to Epstein "When Google's employees or algorithms decide to block our access to information about a news item, political candidate or business, it causes opinions and votes to shift, reputations to be ruined and businesses to crash and burn. Because online censorship is entirely unregulated at the moment, victims have little or no recourse when they have been harmed."

Epstein then exposes blacklists that Google wields like Jack the Ripper did his knives with the first being the autocomplete blacklist. This one is simple enough; it quietly guides the searcher to where the company wants you to go. From directing away from negative articles on Hillary Clinton to pointing to negative articles on Donald Trump, there is little doubt that Google had a large impact on the recent US election. In any search you make, the algorithm is your spiritual guide.

Google maps is a stalker's dream showing just about every house and area in the US and huge sections of the world. They did not ask for permission to film your house, they just did it. Military installations and a few other places are excluded but chances are, your house is there.

Google's You Tube blacklist allows users to tag certain clips as inappropriate and Google's censors may or may not remove them. However, You Tube is notorious for removing politically and morally conservative videos on a regular basis while never doing the same to liberal videos. They are also willing to work with foreign governments to determine which videos will be allowed to be shown in the country.

The Google account blacklist can cut people's access to their

own email account as well as You Tube and other Google products without any notice or recourse.

The Google News blacklist is insidious in every way. They are the biggest news aggregator on the planet, tracking tens of thousands of news sources daily and converting them to numerous languages. They have been accused on numerous occasions of excluding conservative news feeds as well as certain writers and competing companies. This is an incredibly powerful and nearly unnoticeable tool to promote political, moral and/or religious agenda.

Google Adwords blacklist is how Google gets the lion's share of its money (some \$56 billion annually) by selling keywords to the highest bidders. These keywords are how the website is found by searchers so if your site does not have them, you are going to sink. On numerous occasions, Google has simply deleted those keywords on sites effectively making them invisible and financially crushing them.

The search engine blacklist is a make or break situation for many web pages. Google, for many unknown reasons will push web page down the list of rankings effectively ruining many businesses in a very short time.

The power to "blacklist" a site is Google's most dreaded weapon however. Google claims this power is to keep the internet free from malicious malware which, they claim is a public service. The problems with this argument are many however. Google's crawlers often make mistakes, blacklisting websites that do not contain malware.

Because of how extensively Google crawls the web, all the other main search engines use their "blacklist" which means that once on the list, the site is effectively cut off from public access. Google has used this power on numerous occasions on people they do not like. Google even profits from this arrangement as they collect information from every user

that accesses Google's results and then sells it. Google has, for all intents and purposes, become the internet police man and they use this power to further their globalist agenda on a regular basis and it is now supporting the same list of "fake" news sites that the British Independent and Facebook are touting.

Google, Microsoft and Facebook have all three censored users on the behest of world governments and all three; have consistently censored users for no apparent reason. They, along with Twitter (another social media monster) sided with Obama to give away America's right the control the internet.

"Those who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities." —Voltaire

Tragically, the US now finds every purveyor of media has been compromised and censored by those with globalist views. Once ICANN loses its independence to the UN, the corporatist/governmental takeover will be complete.

Controlling access to information is every tyrant's dream and our government is no different. The main stream media did a masterful job of concealing the 2008 economic meltdown from the masses just like they have the corruption of Hillary Clinton; the imploding Deutsche Bank; the growing threat of nuclear war with Russia; the threat of an EMP from North Korea and now the escalating violence of the Clinton supporters after the election of Donald Trump. It was only the access of the people to alternative news sites that alerted and continue to alert those willing to listen to truth that news of these events get out at all.

With a growing population of closed minded bigots unwilling to even listen to opposing opinions or facts, the US is slowly returning to the Dark Ages with only a few sources controlling access to information. Freedom loving people everywhere should all be asking themselves why this particular time was chosen for Obama to open the door for the UN takeover of an already censored internet. It is past time to look into a ham radio and other forms of communication that cannot be controlled by the elites. Here in the Pacific Northwest we have an excellent source for information called the "Radio Free Redoubt." Time is of the essence as the days of the open internet are coming to a rapid close.

"Hell is truth seen too late." -Thomas Hobbes - Leviathan

Additional Reading:

- Cortana: The spy in Windows 10
- <u>Google Is Burying Negative Search Suggestions For Hillary Clinton, New Study Shows</u>
- Google to Bias Search Engine Based on 'Facts'
- <u>Google will soon ban fake news sites from using its ad</u> network
- Google is Liberal and Biased
- 7,000 Sources or just a few favorites
- Analyzing the Google bias
- Why we should care about Facebook and Google's political bias
- How Google Skewed Search Results
- You Think Google Wight be Biased?
- Ban on "Fake News:" What is Fake News?
- ICANN's War on Whois Privacy
- <u>ICANN Can Be Fixed</u>
- ICANN faces first post-transmission test of U.N. power
- <u>Obama Admin Wants To Surrender US Control Over Internet To Global Bureaucracy</u>
- FCC Commissioner Hits Back at Internet Handover Proponents
- <u>Internet giveaway day? US gov't relinquishes control of</u> web's 'address book'
- <u>U.S.</u> to relinquish remaining control over the Internet
- Please Stop Sharing These Sites:
- <u>Fake news sites</u>, <u>deceptive memes</u>, <u>and the rise of post truth</u> politics

- Liberal News, Conservative News and Fake News
- <u>False</u>, <u>Misleading</u>, <u>Clickbait-y</u>, <u>and/or Satirical "News"</u> Sources
- List of Fake News Websites
- <u>Two-thirds of the world's internet users live under</u> government censorship: report
- <u>Donuts Inc.'s major play for new Web domain names raises</u> <u>eyebrows</u>
- Not Obama's to Give Away
- Clinton's Russian roulette
- *BREAKING* Anti-Trump Globalist Zuckerberg Weaponizing Facebook to Influence 2016 Election
- <u>Facebook admits human role in news operation but denies left</u> <u>wing bias</u>

Footnotes:

- 1. Why the Media Lies So Much
- **2.** Selling Empire: American Propaganda and War in the Philippines
- 3. 5 Times When The Mainstream Media "Created Fake News"... And People Died As A Result
- 4. Waco, Texas: Where A Part Of America's Heart And Soul Died.
- 5. The Great 9/11 Cover-up
- 6. ABC, NBC Ignore All Obamacare Failures in 2016, CBS Barely Covers
- 7. Six Corporations Control 90% of American Media
- 8. Hillary's Worst Crime Was Against the 'Filmmaker'
- 9. The media and the financial crisis: Journalism failed
- 10. The Great ObamaCare Failure Our Corrupt Media Can't Talk About
- 11. Animated Map: The Battle of Arnhem
- 12. Just 6% of the People
- 13. Former CBS Reporter: 'I Was Called a Troublemaker for Pursuing Benghazi'
- 14. Obama Admin Wants To Surrender US Control Over Internet To Global Bureaucracy

- 15. Statements in Support of the IANA Stewardship Transition
- 16. What Does ICANN Do?
- 17. Critics fear censorship as Obama hands control of internet to multi-national body
- 18. Here's Why We Should Go through the IANA Transition
- 19. US to Hand Over Internet's Naming System, But Is ICANN Ready for Transition?
- 20. U.S. Surrender: Internet Giveaway to the U.N.?
- 21. Governing Cyberspace: ICANN, a Controversial Internet Standards Body
- 22. In Congressional Hearing, Stakeholders Highlight ICANN's Failures and Need for Reform
- 23. ICANN reports .sucks to the FTC over "predatory" pricing
- 24. ICANN Transition is Premature
- 25. ICANN's Amazon Problem
- 26. Amazon files appeal on rejected .amazon domain
- 27. Governments kill off Patagonia's dot-brand bid
- 28. US hands internet control over to ICANN
- 29. Stop Obama's Internet Giveaway
- 30. Lie of the Year: 'If you like your health care plan, you can keep it'
- 31. Obama: We Have to Change 'Wild West' Media Landscape
- 32. Obama Says He Wants To Change The Free Flow Of Information
- 33. Merkel With Obama: Internet 'Disruptive' Force that Has to
- Be 'Contained, Managed, and Steered' by Government
- 34. Obama Merkel Internet Censorship Will Control Middle Class Rebellion
- 35. Obama, Merkel Blame Social Media for Being Politically Disruptive
- 36. Microsoft is Still an Evil Company, Don't Believe the Reputation Laundering 'Campaigners'
- 37. Liberal Media Bias
- 38. How Bing Search Engine Plays Politics in Election 2016
- 39. Tim Cook and Bill Gates Might Have Been on Hillary Clinton's Vice President List
- 40. Gates Foundation accused of 'dangerously skewing' aid priorities by promoting 'corporate globalization'

- 41. Web censorship in China? Not a problem, says Bill Gates
- 42. Bill Gates: Voter opposition to globalization is 'a huge concern' and a wake-up call
- 43. Bill Gates says in order to keep talent in America the country must overhaul 'perverse' immigration laws
- 44. Soros & Fellow Billionaires for Open Borders Lobby GOP on Amnesty
- 45. Microsoft Lays Off Thousands While Demanding More H1-B Visas
- 46. Xi Jinping in Seattle to meet with CEOs of Apple, Microsoft and other tech giants
- 47. New research claims that Microsoft's Bing censors heavily within China, even more so than Baidu
- 48. Is Facebook Trying to Turn you Into a Globalist?
- 49. The end of Trump': How Facebook deepens millennials' confirmation bias
- 50. Zuckerberg proves he is Facebook's editor by allowing Trump's hate speech.
- 51. Will Facebook Replace the News?
- 52. Facebook is a Media Company, Treat it as One
- 53. 61 million person experiment in social influence
- 54. Facebook Deliberately Experimented on Your Emotions
- 55. Facebook wants you to vote.
- 56. Did YOU change your Facebook picture to a rainbow?
- 57. Meet leftist prof who wrote 'hit list' of 'fake' news sites
- 58. Facebook Censorship
- 59. How Facebook Censors your posts
- 60. Facebook to crack down on spread of misinformation
- 61. The Top Ten Things We Can't Believe Eric Schmidt Ever Said
- 62. Of Course Facebook Is Biased. That's How Tech Works Today
- 63. Wikileaks: Google's Eric Schmidt Planning Hillary's Campaign Since 2014
- 64. The Groundwork
- 65. Google defends its search engine against bias it favors Clinton
- 66. Eric Schmidt Dismisses Movie Driven Fear of AI

- 67. Google Search Statistics
- 68. Search Engine Ratings
- 69. Google's power of censorship: who controls the controllers of the internet?
- 70. Google controls what we buy, the news we read and Obama's policies
- 71. The new mind control
- 72. The NSA's Bulk Collection Is Over, but Google and Facebook Are Still in the Data Business
- 73. The New Censorship
- 74. NSA Prism program taps in to user data of Apple, Google and others
- 75. You're a Criminal in a Mass Surveillance World How to Not Get Caught
- 76. Radio Free Redoubt
- © 2016 Steven Neill All Rights Reserved