

Texas Attorney General Paxton's Sham Impeachment



By: Amil Imani

June 16, 2023

The impeachment trial of Ken Paxton has ignited a discussion surrounding the principles of fairness, justice, and Due Process. It is imperative to approach these proceedings with an open mind, allowing for a fair evaluation of the allegations against Paxton, adhering to due process, and ensuring equal justice under the law. Engaging with State Senators and promoting rational discourse is crucial to achieving a just outcome in this trial.

The Report on the Impeachment:

Let me reiterate, Attorney General Ken Paxton is a fearless leader of our Constitutional rights and the rule of law. The fact that they went after Ken Paxton is a shot across the bow and a hint at what's to come in 2024. The allegations against him are untrue. They are false. The impeachment process has been marred by biased actions, lack of impartiality, and disregard for due process, undermining the entire proceedings' legitimacy. It is a sham impeachment by the UniParty.

The impeachment process has not been conducted impartially. The House has displayed clear partisanship against Ken Paxton, resulting in a predetermined outcome that does not reflect fairness or justice.

The allegations presented against Ken Paxton are not valid.

They have been manufactured to tarnish his reputation and politically demolish his character.

The Proceedings:

The Texas House, in a hasty manner, rushed the article of impeachment proceedings to the Senate. This hurried approach reflects a lack of seriousness and undermines the integrity of the entire process.

Paxton's defense attorney labels impeachment "a sham," raises concerns of trial timeline

By Michael Adelson | Wed, June 7th, 2023, 3:43 PM CDT



"Just to clarify, the allegations are correct, they are false," Paxton's attorney says. "When used the 20 Articles of Impeachment, the fact though was there are serious and weak and the emboldened emboldened that our House should send something like that out, and use an something like that, and send it to the Senate with a suggestion that there should be some sort of trial." (Michael Adelson/CBS Austin)

Shameful conduct by the House was disgraceful. It disregarded its rules and

abandoned fair and proper procedures, leading to a compromised and unfair investigation. The House attempted to pass 20 articles of impeachment against Ken Paxton in less than 72 hours. Such an accelerated timeline undercuts the thoroughness and legitimacy of the proceedings, leaving no room for proper examination of the evidence or due process.

The allegations against Ken Paxton are baseless. He should never be convicted. The lack of substantial evidence and the politically motivated nature of the accusations only weaken the credibility of the entire process.

Process matters: The legitimacy of any legal proceedings rests

on adherence to a proper process. In the case of Ken Paxton's impeachment, the process has been compromised, thereby diminishing the overall legitimacy of the impeachment proceedings.

Documental evidence: Both sides must present documental evidence to support their claims. However, in the case of Ken Paxton, the presentation of such evidence needs to be improved, raising concerns about the process's validity and credibility.

The actions taken by the House during the impeachment proceedings do not reflect the conduct expected from a legislative body. The abandonment of proper procedure and the reliance on hearsay evidence cast doubt on the integrity and justice of the process.

Evaluation of Allegations

In any impeachment trial, it is essential to carefully evaluate the allegations against the accused, separating facts from speculation or political posturing. While holding public officials accountable for their actions is crucial, ensuring that the accusations are based on concrete evidence and verifiable facts is equally essential. The Senate can establish a fair and just foundation for the trial by thoroughly examining the allegations.

Due Process and Presumption of Innocence

One of the cornerstones of the justice system is the presumption of innocence. Like any other individual, Ken Paxton should be afforded a fair opportunity to present his defense and confront the evidence against him. There are a few genuine problems with the way the House conducted this. First of all, Attorney General Paxton had absolutely no due process whatsoever. That's a big problem. That's something that will matter in the Senate. Second, a statute in state law says that anything that happened in the previous election cycle cannot

be considered for impeachment in the current process.

Upholding due process is a legal obligation and a moral imperative. It is through fair procedures and a balanced presentation of evidence that the truth can be discerned and justice can be served.

Ken Paxton's Resistance Against the Political Establishment:

For the past ten years, the Deep State went against General Paxton as they went against President Trump. Their phony indictment was nothing more than a witch hunt. And so is the impeachment of Ken Paxton. Paxton's courageous stance for Texas is commendable. His dedication to our State while holding the best interests of the United States is extraordinary. His support for our military, the Texas National Guard, Border Patrol, and veterans is praiseworthy. His love for our country, America, is unparalleled.

Paxton's nearly flawless and proven record of standing for conservative principles has earned him tremendous support among Texas conservative leaders.

"Attorney General Ken Paxton has been bravely on the front line in the fight for Texas, and America, against the vicious and very dangerous Radical Left Democrats, and the foolish and unsuspecting RINOs that are destroying our Country," Trump said in an email.

Why did they impeach General Paxton?

Impeachment proceedings have often been marred by partisan divisions, with politicians focusing on their political affiliations rather than the case's merits. The Texas Senate should uphold non-partisan, rational, and constructive discourse that would transcend one-sided boundaries. Senators can foster an environment where the truth can emerge, enabling them to make informed decisions based on the evidence presented.

One month ago, as reported by Miranda Devine in the NY Post, Paxton announced his new investigation into whether Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson misled Texans on the efficacy and safety of their vaccines.

Look at the pattern; Paxton wanted to go after election fraud, and it was REPUBLICANS that blocked him and are now removing him.

Maintaining Fairness

In the impeachment trial of Ken Paxton, upholding the principles of fairness, justice, and due process is of utmost importance. Senators can ensure a fair and just outcome by approaching the proceedings with an open mind, thoroughly evaluating the allegations, and adhering to procedural processes. By upholding these principles, the impeachment trial can serve the best interests of Texas, ensuring fairness and justice will prevail.

Therefore, in light of the above few observations, it can be understood that the impeachment proceedings against Ken Paxton can only be described as an ugly smear campaign aimed at politically assassinating his character. The lack of substantial evidence, the abandonment of due process, and the discriminatory conduct of the House demonstrate a corrupt and compromised process.

The people of Texas will see through this charade and recognize the evil nature of these proceedings, leading to their ultimate failure. The allegations against Ken Paxton are hearsay, double hearsay, and even triple hearsay, which would not hold in any court of law. The denial of the defendant's right to speak and the rejection of a representative's evidence further undermine the entire process's fairness and legitimacy. Furthermore, a formal report detailing the evidence against Ken Paxton needs to be revised to ensure the validity of the allegations.

