The Asexual Revolution And The Wrap-Up Of Earth

This is merely my hypothesis, and I won't be able to get it said in 2500 words, but I'm going to try.

If you're older than thirty, you'd have to have been living under a rock to not see the drastic changes in society and cultural norms for sexuality, marriage and the family. They have changed dramatically here in America, and relatively quickly.

To be fair, I am not suggesting that the wide range of abnormalities (from homosexuality to bestiality to pedophilia to transgender to anything-goes) is unique to our time in history. I think such behaviors have been throughout the history of man in varying degrees, **however**, I think the abnormalities have been relatively concealed and not accepted socially as normal on a wide-spread scale. Such perversions existed, but were socially considered anomalies or freaks or mental disorders, etc. One who engaged in such perversions had to find a culture that accepted them to be outwardly accepted. (And I'm aware there are exceptions to this conclusion.)

For the sake of brevity, I'm going to assume the average reader is aware of the heightened perversions of sexuality in America and the judicial assault on those who adhere to traditional and moral values. (As Dylan <u>tweeted</u>) While the gay couple who drove 120 miles past 67 secular-owned bakeries (including six bakeries owned by Muslims) to get to the one bakery owned by a Christian to set up the baker so they could accuse him of violating their beliefs while demanding the Christian violate his own, this is barely scratching the surface for what the past few years have brought us.

For a moment, consider the mother who is forcing her six year

old son to undergo a gender transition against the child and the father's wishes, but with full legal support of the judicial system. <u>Recall</u> the various Hollywood "stars" who have adopted children and began transitioning them to opposite gender. Look at <u>legislation</u> being proposed to make gender assignment at birth illegal.

Transgenders in the open, and in disguise...

If you dare peek down the rabbit hole of transgender, you'll find all sorts of things that will shatter any concept you thought was normal before. You'll hear rumors that many women who are lauded in the entertainment and social elite circles are actually men. [Lady Gaga, Shakira, Beyonce, Megan Fox, Pink, Ciara, Sarah Jessica Parker, Sandra Bullock, Meghan Markle, Taylor Swift, Jennifer Lawrence, Nicki Minaj, Madonna, Victoria Secret models, etc.] I'm not saying they are or they aren't; I am saying there is disturbing evidence things are not what they seem. You have to look past everything that hormonal altering drugs, surgery, make up, and training can alter to actually see it. You'll see the male Adonis belt (Vshaped abdomen, narrow hips) on many renowned alleged female "stars". You'll see the male long arms, the hips that are not wider than shoulders, broad shoulders, etc. Also telling is the inability to produce children. How many of these "stars" have adopted children...

There are equally disturbing FTM (female to male) allegations of people like Prince Harry and Tom Cruise. If you can see behind phalloplasty and testosterone treatments, some telltales are a widow's peak, a straight neck into a smaller jawline, a s-curve in the back, and an overall smaller frame. If testosterone treatments are later in life, (post pubescent), the tell-tales are more obvious. When they're done earlier, some otherwise natural feminine traits get covered-up by the alterations testosterone does.



Yes, the transgender bunny hole is disturbing when we consider that celebrities we have applauded and admired for their beauty are con-artists, yet excellent actors in every sense of the word. But this article is not about that, and that topic is one every person will have to explore for him/herself. I am not here to convince anyone of any particular person's authentic gender; I am merely explaining there is much to question.

(One of) the problem(s) we get into when we even contemplate the veracity of such claims, is the shock to our normalcy bias. Most of us reading this are male or female, and identify ourselves with our biology, even if we have preferences that cross the gender lines. In fact, there is still a majority of the American population that is sexually normal and this type of thinking is bizarre for us. So to think the actor or actress or musician or famous person we've admired for all our lives is a liar and a fake of that level of deception is, to put it mildly, shocking. Our minds will reject such a possibility to restore our emotions to "normal".

We go in and out of our day with our normal gender habits, in our normal daily living routine, and see people just like us day in and out, and we cannot really comprehend that this is a reality in other places. And if it is a reality, it's an anomaly, we think, and we file it away in the abnormal categories of things like drag queens and gay bars and other things we never really go to or frequent or even really understand much of (in such a culture), and mostly forget about it.

I'm not here to convince anyone of these very real possibilities of which many or most are actualities (though I don't care enough to dissect and investigate every famous person's history). I'm just here to explain our society is in

an asexual revolution. If you leave the famous people who are actually trannies out, you can still see in real life any number of average people partaking of gender reassignments. Youtube is replete with before and after pics and videos of people who began taking hormones to begin the process of gender reassignment. You can see the lovely young girl who after ten months of testosterone use has full facial hair and a baritone or tenor voice.

There is the wild conspiracy theory that the royal family is a family of trannies (among other things). Prince Harry is rumored to be a FTM from birth, and Meghan Markle was preselected for his tranny "wife". [I know how far-fetched this sounds to normal thinking. I'm going somewhere with this, so hang with me. I haven't made any decisions on what I believe about this…yet. I'm just looking at theories.] While most of the tranny female actresses and musicians adopt children, there are some that run the ruse of pregnancy with a <u>purchased</u> <u>baby bump</u>. This is the (conspiracy theory) explanation for the royalty births as well.

And then there are the androgynies

Now to complicate matters even worse, the entertainment industry also promotes the androgynous image. Ancient mythology tells us that Zeus created three genders: male, female and androgynous. Ancient mythology incidentally also formulates the tenets of belief for cults like Freemasonry, Babylonian religions, Kabbalah, etc. Look at the ancient religions of Egypt, Babylon, etc. and look at their images in their friezes, their statues, their artwork. They are full of sexual scenarios, most of which are perverse. They are also full of characters that are part human, part beast. But back to androgyny...



Androgyny is the concept that blends masculine and feminine gualities in the same character. It's asexual, if you will. Ιf y o u

will type "androgyny" into a google search bar and hit "images", you'll get an excellent example of what I'm talking about here. Sometimes to make the androgynous connection more complicit, the name of the person is deliberately gender neutral. (e.g. Taylor, Pat, etc.) An example may be "Rey", from The Force Awakens (Star Wars)...

Is it male or female? Is it a he or she? Androgyny is a little of both and a little of neither. It is asexual, and is aligned with millennia of foreign gods, with a more elaborate explanation <u>here</u>. This really is a current thing in pop culture, etc. <u>Here's an example</u> of the lauding of androgyny in modern day.

Why? What's the point?

What I couldn't figure out was, what's the point? IF these theories are legit and IF these allegations prove true, why would the people in elite status, go to such an elaborate subterfuge? Why change gender identities in your young with the designed purpose of presenting him/her as something they are not? (Albeit they morph into their new identity and probably even believe they are what they have become.) Wouldn't it be easier to not go to such lengths of deception? (both personally and outwardly)

As I mulled this over, I heard the Spirit reference Malachi 2:15, He was "seeking godly offspring." To be specific, "a godly seed." But I'm going to circle back to this in a second. This is the understanding we need, but first…

A group of us are studying the Sermon on the Mount and we were on the section of praying (Mt. 6:5-8). Even though the topic was prayer, when I read this in Adam Clarke's commentary, it helped me understand the current topic as well:

Prayer is the language of dependence; he who prays not, is endeavouring to live independently of God: his was the first curse, and continues to be the great curse of mankind. In the beginning, Satan said, Eat this fruit; ye shall then be as God; i.e. ye shall be independent: the man hearkened to his voice, sin entered into the world, and notwithstanding the full manifestation of the deception, the ruinous system is still pursued; man will, if possible, live independently of God; hence, he either prays not at all, or uses the language without the spirit of prayer.

When you can relate the original sin as the desire to live independently of God, all other sin makes sense. Now let's go back to Malachi 2:15, but in greater depth. From the New King James: But did He not make them [Adam and Eve] one, having a remnant of the Spirit? And why one? He seeks godly offspring.

From the King James: And did not he make one? Yet had he the residue of the spirit. And wherefore one? That he might seek a godly seed.

I've pored over this passage in the various translations, taken it back to the original Hebrew, and pored over various commentaries on it. Did God not make them one? God made ONE man [Adam] and ONE woman [Eve], and made them ONE flesh. The original Hebrew makes the translation into English a little disjointed. Just to keep my breakdown honest so you understand my explanation, here's the original Hebrew:

Rwach she'ar asah 'echad lo' = Spirit, residue/remainder, to do or make, united, one, not

The English sets prepositions and articles into the text to clarify, but I understand it as a question that says with the residue of the Spirit at Creation (by breathing into the man the spirit of God, making him a living soul), God made one man and one woman to be one flesh.



Elohim zera baqash echad mah le = supreme God (plural), seed/posterity, to search out, united/one, why/what/how, for the purpose of

What is the purpose for one? Godly seed.

This is so important but I don't have space to go in depth on it. What you have going on in Malachi is the Jews had been divorcing their Jewish wives to intermarry foreign women, who of course introduce their foreign gods. God was rebuking the Jewish men for this and ended with "I hate divorce". In his explanation of his reasons (through Malachi) God says this, Look, I made one man and one woman to become one flesh. My purpose was to have godly seed on the earth. Men and women who are made in MY image, that look and act like ME. A creation that looks like its Creator.

How does this connect with the transgender, homosexual and other sexual perversions being promoted in our society? People who want to live independently of God don't want God's design. In fact, people in rebellion to God want anything BUT God's design. They want a different system. They want to be their own gods.

Three major resets on the earth

We have three distinct times there was a reset on humanity's timeline: The flood, the tower of Babel, and the Cross. The flood was the major reset button as far as humanity living on the earth is concerned. All but eight individuals were wiped out. The tower of Babel and the Cross reset the circumstances on earth but didn't reset the population. The flood and the tower of Babel were roughly two hundred years apart, with the flood first. They were roughly at the 2000 years into humanity's timeline. The Cross came 2000 years later. We are about another 2000 years after that.

[The prophecies of Malachi were written about 400 years before Christ and that gap is known as the 400 Years of Silence because no word of the Lord came forth from Malachi until Christ.]

The resets for humanity arrived because of significant alterations to the plan of God. The flood came because humanity had rejected and rebelled to God's order. We find in Genesis 6:2 and 4 that fallen angels had intermarried with the daughters of men creating a hybrid of people referred to as the Nephilim. The Nephilim were the "mighty men … men of renown". While the people of earth praised and feared these people, they were a corrupt people. Scripture tells us their wickedness was great and their thoughts and imagination were continually evil. (Gen. 6:5) God regretted making man on the earth and desired to destroy it but two things prevented Him:

First, He had already uttered a decree (see Gen. 6:3). He said he would not always "strive with man, for he is also flesh, and his days will be 120 years." Contrary to popular Christian false teaching, this does not mean the length of a man's life was to maximize at 120 years. It means flesh on the earth was relegated to 120 years. While the English is translated "years", the Hebrew is *shaneh*, which is a revolution of time. In Scripture a Jubilee is considered a

full cycle of time. Fifty years is a Jubilee, and 120 revolutions of time would be six thousand years. God set the expiration date for humanity on the earth, and it was not to expire until He decreed. By his own decree, utter destruction at this juncture (the Nephilim and wickedness on earth in the days of Noah) was not possible. (Because God does not lie and He keeps His word.)

And **second**, Noah found favor (grace is the proper definition) with God. This is key because in Genesis 6:9 we find that the generations of Noah were perfect AND Noah walked with God. This literally means Noah's generation or bloodline, was pure – without Nephilim blood. Compare this to Abraham's plea with the angelic messengers sent to destroy Sodom and Gomorrah. He pleaded that God would surely not destroy a society if there were also righteous in it. God agreed. Noah was righteous with no defilement of his bloodline by intermarriage AND Noah walked with God.

These two reasons are why God didn't utterly destroy civilization in the Flood. He preserved a remnant.

Godly Seed is the original plan

In Malachi, God's last prophecies before Christ, we learn plainly that God desires godly offspring, and the way to get that godly offspring is to keep the original design for procreation: one man and one woman created in the image of God.

If I were the devil intent on leading humanity into independence from God, I'd want to destroy the original design. In his book, Enoch goes into great detail of the teachings of the fallen angels to the men on the earth, and how they taught humanity to pollute their bloodline by all sorts of sexual defilement, (including but not limited to sex with animals to produce other creatures, and sex with fallen angels to produce demi-gods). This was the social environment on the earth in the days of Noah.

The Flood created a pretty clean slate, having destroyed the rest of the living. However, just three generations from Noah the rebellion started again. Noah's son Ham had a son named Cush who had a son named Nimrod. Nimrod means "rebellion", according to Jewish authorities. Scripture tells us Nimrod became a powerful tyrant ("mighty one") and started his own kingdom of city-states. The first was Babel (see Gen. 10:10).

According to The New Complete Works of Josephus, published in 1999, Book 1, Chapter 4, Paragraph 2-113 to Paragraph 3-117, page 56 to 57, we learn:

"Now it was Nimrod who excited them to such an affront (insult) and contempt of God. He was the grandson of Ham, the son of Noah, a bold man, and of great strength of hand. He (Nimrod) persuaded them not to ascribe (attribute) it to God, as if it was through his means they were happy, but to believe that it was their own courage which procured that happiness. He also gradually changed the government into tyranny, seeing no other way of turning men from the fear of God, but to bring them into a constant dependence upon his power. He also said he would be revenged on God, if he should have a mind to drown the world again; for that he would build a tower too high for the waters to be able to reach and that he would avenge himself on God for destroying their forefathers!"

"Now the multitude were very ready to follow the determination of Nimrod, and to esteem it a piece of cowardice (weakness) to submit to God; and they built a tower, neither sparing any pains, nor being in any degree negligent about the work: and, by reason of the multitude of hands employed in it, it grew very high, sooner than anyone could expect; but the thickness of it was so great, and it was so strongly built, that thereby its great height seemed, at first, to be less than it really was. It was built of burned brick, cemented together with mortar, made of bitumen (slime), that it might not be liable to admit water. When God saw that they acted so madly, he did not resolve to destroy them utterly, since they were not grown wiser by the destruction of the former sinners; but he (God) caused a disturbance among them, by producing in them diverse languages, and causing that, through the multitude of those languages, they should not be able to understand one another."

"The place wherein they built the tower is now called Babylon, because of the confusion of that language which they readily understood before; for the Hebrews mean by the word Babel, Confusion"

So already within two or three centuries of the flood we have a people group in rebellion to God and God's ways, and God reaches down and resets the current society on earth by confusing their languages so they couldn't carry out their plans. The people dispersed and their plans were (temporarily) abandoned.

We further learn that the bloodlines of humanity are corrupted again through Nephilim blood, <u>after</u> the Flood. We see later in Genesis, in Abraham's day, the ungodly seed have again begun populating the earth. You probably haven't been taught this in your western churches, but the Rephaim and its tribes, are all Nephilim, aka "giants". Their tribes are listed in Scripture as Emim (the fearful ones), Rephaim (the dead ones), Anakim (the long-necked ones), and Zamzummim (people whose speech sounds like buzzing).

No, this corrupting of godly seed didn't stop at the Flood. Is there some reason to think it doesn't exist today?

The third reset came almost two millennia later with the prophesied arrival of the Messiah. That reset offered humanity a way to walk with God in love and obedience. Israel had failed to be the society that reflected relationship with God. Society was corrupted. Christ came in with a reset to reconnect humanity with their Creator. (I am really abbreviating this.)

Remember God's words? He will not strive with man forever. His days are numbered. Earth's days are numbered. Flesh on earth is limited to 120 years. (or 120 jubilees which equals 6000 years)

Where else have we learned this? Oh yes, Peter. Peter reminds us the ancient earth was destroyed by water in the ancient past and the present earth will be destroyed by fire. [See 2 Peter 3.] But notice the interesting thing he takes pains to remind us of:

2 Peter 3:8-9 NASU

But do not let this one [thing] escape your notice, beloved, that with the Lord one day is like a thousand years, and a thousand years like one day. The Lord is not slow about His promise, as some count slowness, but is patient toward you, not wishing for any to perish but for all to come to repentance.

Peter just reminded the believers the earth was going to be destroyed **again**, but next time by fire. Then he reminds them that they should not forget a day is like a thousand years to God and a thousand years like a day.

The ancient Hebrews knew the Lord created the earth as a template. They knew the Creation was the model for humanity on earth itself. So each day of Creation represented a thousand years of life on earth. Life on earth, as the ancient Hebrews knew it, would wrap up at the end of the sixth day, or after 6000 years. And Peter was reminding the believers of not only the Lord's return, but also the final destruction of the earth, and in those reminders he interjected the reminder of the thousand years.

Christ Himself said the coming of the Son of Man would be just

like "the days of Noah". [Mt. 24:37]

If I were the devil intent on leading humanity into independence from God, I'd want to destroy the original design, but now I'd have to be even more crafty. If I knew the surest way to destroy the God-purposed destiny for man was to destroy man in the image of God, I'd set about destroying the tools that replicated that design. I'd have to destroy procreation and replace it with a perversion of it.

The interference of demonic influence led the original man (Adam and Eve) away from intimacy with God. Nothing has changed. This has been going on for six millennia. But studying those who have gone before, we can learn some of the techniques the devil uses to corrupt humanity. Remember that all of humanity was corrupt in the days of Noah. They had intermarried with fallen angels (demonic) blood. They were set on evil continually. God reset with the Flood.

Nimrod led the world back into that position when he founded Babylon and was building the tower of Babel. Remember that Mystery Babylon of John's Revelation is the origin of the depravity of humanity on the earth in the revelation. Nimrod's agenda didn't die; it just went underground.

The angel in Revelation called Babylon "a dwelling place of demons and a prison of every unclean spirit, and a prison of every unclean and hateful bird. For all the nations have drunk of the wine of the passion of her immorality, and the kings of the earth have committed acts of immorality with her, and the merchants of the earth have become rich by the wealth of her sensuality." [Rev 18:2-3 NASU]

If the kings of the earth have committed acts of immorality with this spirit of rebellion, this spirit that rejects God's sovereignty and rejects intimacy with God, what quicker way could they do such than by rejecting God's design for "godly seed". If they pervert the model for marriage, for family, and for offspring, this is surely the quickest way to rebel to God's leadership and manufacture their own system. This system would be a mockery of God's. It would subvert the model for marriage and children.

But because Christ reset humanity two thousand years prior, and there is a righteous remnant that has not rejected the leadership and intimacy with God, this would be a hard sell publicly. There are quite simply too many people who want to walk with God, who embrace God's plan. Sure, they could carry out their plans in secret, and make a mockery of God's plan in secret – breeding corruption, evil and destruction. They could infiltrate arenas with their perversion – but how would they manage to subvert God's plan mainstream?

If I were the devil and if I could find a people group to assist me in this plan, I could accelerate it and modify the human race out of the image of God and into whatever my evil imagination could accomplish. I could get a certain percentage of people to buy into this just by tempting them with personal autonomy and affirming rebellion to God. It's **your** body! It's **your** choice! You can do whatever **you** want with **your** body! This would appeal to the immature, the selfish and the ignorant.

But there's a population that this won't appeal to. So for that group I could inject things into their environment that would cause gender confusion. I could use the people in the independent group who demand their own rights over their own bodies who abort their babies. Then I could take the aborted baby parts and use them in all kinds of things like "artificial and natural ingredients" in their food. (e.g. Senomyx which uses HEK-294 from aborted baby cells) And I could use aborted baby tissue in things like vaccines. If I used WI-38 (the cell lines from aborted females), then I could confuse the male recipients by flooding their DNA with extra X I could use the MRC-5 (aborted male cells) as chromosomes. well, so that a female would get Y-chromosomes. It won't be

as effective for the females getting confused because they'll just have an extra Y chromosome. But it should be a lot more effective for males confusing them with female genes, because they'll be flooded with two extra X-chromosomes! To ensure there's a consistent flooding of confusion, I'll increase the vaccine schedule from the five doses given in the 60s, and from the 24 doses given in the 80s, to the 72 doses they now get!

So whatever portion of the people I couldn't get by enticing them to rebel against God, and whatever people I couldn't get by tempting them with autonomy in their sexual endeavors (through anything BUT the traditional model, the original plan – e.g. LGBT, androgyny, etc.), I would have to malign their humanity in subversive ways: GMO, DNA-modifications, chemtrails, AI, cloning, transhumanism, etc.

Sexual perversions prevail, and there's an agenda to make them mainstream. Some are joining in ignorantly, while others are in willful rebellion to God. Altering the gender and sexual identity of humanity is to alter the work and will of its Creator. It is to manufacture independent systems and to replicate the corruption of the earth that led to the Flood. It is quite plainly, the beginning of the end.

But again, this is just a working theory....

© 2019 Ms. Smallback – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Ms. Smallback: M.Smallback@cox.net