

The Attack On Western Civilization—Pernicious Islamists, Part 10

Part 10: Cultural displacement, Islam's surge

Voltaire said, "The Koran teaches fear, hatred, contempt for others, murder as a legitimate means for the dissemination and preservation of this satanic doctrine, it talks ill of women, classifies people into classes, calls for blood and ever more blood. Yet, that a camel trader sparks uproar in his tribe, that he wants to make his fellow citizens believe that he talked to the archangel Gabriel; that he boasted about being taken up into heaven and receiving a part of that indigestible book there, which can shake common sense on every page, that to gain respect for this work, he covers his country with fire and iron, that he strangles fathers, drags away daughters, that he leaves the beaten a free choice between death and his faith: now this is certainly something that no-one can excuse, unless he came as a Turk into the world, unless superstition has stifled any natural light of reason in him. "

In this part 10 of the series of interviews with Bromwell Ault, he warns of Islamic conquest using our own U.S. Constitution to further Moslem laws as guaranteed by our 1st Amendment. They gladly use that amendment against us until they can abolish it.

It matters not whether they succeed in 10, 20 or 100 years. They fervently follow the Quran's teachings.

Bromwell Ault states:

Communism, Socialism, and Colonialism have been simultaneously



present on the world stages since the late 19th century, but commencing in the '60s, many of the world's political, social and technological directions were joined and created an ignition that was able to influence institutions and

people everywhere. Not long thereafter, it appears, the American political left conceived a plan to make use of this global discontent to transform our political identity and culture by moving America's political position sharply leftward. To make matters worse, the LL has had occasional help from a Republican party so divided over ideological purity that it could not exercise its own majority power!

One of the AW's preferred attack techniques has been to use our own values as their weapons. For instance, America being a predominantly Christian nation, the values of inclusion, equality, charity and acceptance of strangers are widely honored here. Recognizing this, the AW's arguments on behalf of unlimited admissions of third world populations to our country cite these same values as moral and social imperatives that our immigration laws and policies must reflect.

This tactic has served the AW well by creating confusion and uncertainty of response among far too many Americans. Their silence, and that of our elected leadership, clearly suggests that the Rotherham and Swedish syndromes have counterparts here. The "it can't happen here" mentality failed us at Pearl Harbor in 1941 and again on 9/11/01 at the World Trade Center.

It served its time; we should never give it another chance. And remember that one of the most frequently repeated phrases from our government is "so that this will never happen again". But it does, because our political leaders' memories are short, their will is absent and they must be ready to chase tomorrow's headline or sound-byte. Meanwhile, the national threats are gathering again.

Our Constitution grants extraordinary rights and privileges to the American people because our Founders vision of America was that of a homogeneous society based on the century and a half experience of their northern European colonist predecessors. There was no necessity then, and there is no mention now, that the Constitution's generous benefits were to be specified "for citizens only". This very natural omission was not a problem as long as our immigration policy was under our control and based on assimilation. But that policy and the conditions that resulted from it no longer exist, because our immigration policy, law and enforcement have been abandoned under the pressure of competing demands and goals from global populations that come to live here (largely at taxpayer expense) rather than remain in their home countries.

Not surprisingly, the most egregious example of the consequences of the Constitution not being citizen-specified in its grants is found in many of the efforts of Muslim arrivals who have their own law system (Sharia) to claim rights for it and for them, even though it broadly contradicts our Constitution and the laws that derive from it.

The principles of Sharia are clearly stated in the Qur'an. They approve physical and sexual abuse of women and non-Muslims as well as violent forms of torture/ punishment for those who do not keep the law. Because Sharia is a life/legal system that determines religious, personal and civil behavior, it is a fearsome weapon when wielded by an enemy in attacking WCIV.

Here's what we face. A Muslim person, group or interest is allowed to enter the U.S. via its asylum, refugee or family status. Generally, Muslims prefer to form and live in their own communities rather than join the mainstream and, when they do, they maintain many of their native customs, codes, conduct – i.e., their cultures to which Islamic law, Sharia, is central.

Because it is so opposite to our Anglo-Saxon legal system and because it designates religious, personal and political behavior, Sharia frequently and quickly finds itself in court in conflict with our laws.

In such instances Muslims claim that, as Sharia is their religious law, they are guaranteed its observance and protection by our Constitution's First Amendment right of religious freedom. There are many other aspects of our legal system in which Muslims attempt to transfer rights under our Constitution to their own persons and purposes.

If permitted, this will result in a divided society with one party claiming authority from the Constitution and the other from the Qur'an. One can only imagine how cases would be argued in court by legal and religious scholars and the difficulties that would attach to jury selection and performance.

"Immigrants devoted to their own cultures and religions are not influenced by the secular politically correct façade that dominates academia, news-media, entertainment, education, religious and political thinking today," said James Walsh, former Associate General Counsel of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service. "They claim the right not to assimilate, and the day is coming when the question will be how can the United States regulate the defiantly unassimilated cultures, religions and mores of foreign lands? Such immigrants say their traditions trump the U.S. legal system. Balkanization of the United States has begun."

© 2018 NWV – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Frosty: frostyw@juno.com