The contest is on

As everyone knows, the people of Great Britain voted to secede from the European Union (EU). This was a stunning repudiation of the globalists' agenda and a very bad omen for Hillary Clinton.

However, globalists, including the Neocons and Neolibs in Washington, D.C., will NOT take this lying down. Leaders in France and Germany are already talking about EU member states surrendering their economies, their armies, and their borders to the EU. International bankers immediately manipulated the financial markets downward (over two trillion dollars were lost) as a threat to any other country thinking about following England's example.

BUT FINALLY, THE CONTEST IS ON!

The modern push toward globalism has been actively taking place since the creation of the United Nations back in 1945. For the first few decades following the advent of the UN, globalists mostly hid and denied their efforts. Political and economic leaders seldom mentioned it in public. George H.W. Bush was the first Western leader to talk openly about a burgeoning New World Order. Since then, leaders around the world have been very open about their desire to create global government. Today, only ignoramuses or bald-faced liars deny the existence of the globalist agenda.

GHW Bush said, "We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order When we are successful, and we will be, we have a real chance at this new world order, an order in which a credible United Nations can use its peacekeeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders."

Bush, Sr. also said, "What is at stake is more than one small country, it is a big idea—a new world order" He

further said, "The world can, therefore, seize the opportunity to fulfill the long-held promise of a new world order . . ." And he went on to say, "It is the sacred principles enshrined in the United Nations charter to which the American people will henceforth pledge their allegiance."

England's former Prime Minister, Tony Blair, said, "We are all internationalists now, whether we like it or not." He continued saying, "On the eve of a new Millennium we are now in a new world. We need new rules for international cooperation and new ways of organizing our international institutions." He also said, "Today the impulse towards interdependence is immeasurably greater. We are witnessing the beginnings of a new doctrine of international community."

In 1999, Tony Blair said, "Globalization has transformed our economies and our working practices. But globalism is not just economic. It is also a political and security phenomenon."

Likewise, former President GW Bush penned his signature to the Declaration of Quebec City back on April 22, 2001, in which he gave a "commitment to hemispheric integration and national and collective responsibility for improving the economic wellbeing and security of our people."

By "our people" Bush meant the people of the Western Hemisphere, not the people of the United States. Phyllis Schlafly rightly reminded us that G.W. Bush "pledged that the United States will 'build a hemispheric family on the basis of a more just and democratic international order.'"

The public statements of notable world leaders regarding an emerging New World Order are ubiquitous. Consider the statements of former CBS newsman, Walter Cronkite.

In his book, "A Reporter's Life," Walter Cronkite said, "A system of world order-preferably a system of world government-is mandatory. The proud nations someday will see the light and, for the common good and their own survival,

yield up their precious sovereignty" Cronkite told BBC newsman Tim Sebastian, "I think we are realizing that we are going to have to have an international rule of law." He added, "We need not only an executive to make international law, but we need the military forces to enforce that law." Cronkite also said, "American people are going to begin to realize that perhaps they are going to have to yield some sovereignty to an international body to enforce world law."

The United Nations has been on the forefront of promoting the New World Order agenda since its very inception. In 1995, the UN released a manual entitled "Our Global Neighborhood." It states, "Population, consumption, technology, development, and the environment are linked in complex relationships that bear closely on human welfare in the global neighborhood. Their effective and equitable management calls for a systematic, long-term, global approach guided by the principle of sustainable development, which has been the central lesson from the mounting ecological dangers of recent times. Its universal application is a priority among the tasks of global governance."

If there is no emerging New World Order, what is "global governance" all about?

"Who are the movers and shakers promoting global government?" you ask. Obviously, it is the international bankers who are the heavyweights behind the push for global government. Remember, one cannot create a "global economy" without a global government to manage, oversee, and control it.

In a letter written to Colonel E. Mandell House, President Franklin D. Roosevelt said, "The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson."

"Old Hickory" did his best to rid the United States from the

death grip that the international bankers were beginning to exert on this country. He may have been the last President to actually oppose the bankers (except for John F. Kennedy who wanted to disband the Federal Reserve and for which he was probably killed). In discussing the Bank Renewal Bill with a delegation of bankers in 1832, Jackson said, "Gentlemen! I have had men watching you for a long time, and am convinced that you have used the funds of the bank to speculate in the breadstuffs of the country. When you won, you divided the profits amongst you, and when you lost, you charged it to the bank. You tell me that if I take the deposits from the bank and annul its charter I shall ruin ten thousand families. That may be true, gentlemen, but that is your sin! Should I let you go on, you will ruin fifty thousand families, and that would be my sin! You are a den of vipers and thieves. I have determined to rout you out, and by the Eternal, I will rout you out!"

Unfortunately, the international bankers proved themselves to be too formidable for President Jackson. And in 1913, with the collaboration of President Woodrow Wilson, the bankers were given charge over America's financial system by the creation of the Federal Reserve.

In addition, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) was created as a means by which to infiltrate the media and political elite with New World Order lackeys. Remember, the CFR was incorporated in 1921 and is currently comprised of only about 5,000 members. Yet, CFR members are found in staggering numbers among the political and media elite.

The CFR was co-founded by Edward Mandell House and John D. Rockefeller. Colonel (an honorary title—he was not a military colonel) House had been the chief advisor of President Woodrow Wilson. Historians often call House "Wilson's alter ego" due to the powerful influence he held over the President. House was a rabid Marxist whose goal was to socialize the United States. In his book, "Philip Dru: Administrator," House said

he was working for "socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx."

House's stated goals were to incorporate a gradual income tax upon the backs of the American people for the purpose of establishing a state-controlled central bank. Both of these goals were accomplished in 1913, the very first year of the House-dominated Wilson administration.

House's blueprint became the foundation for the CFR. What was not accomplished by the proposed League of Nations at the end of World War I was realized with the formation of the United Nations at the end of World War II. Not by accident, much of the original funding for the CFR came from Rockefeller and J. P. Morgan. President Franklin D. Roosevelt gave CFR members much authority in his administration, and they have pretty much dominated the foreign and financial policies of the United States ever since.

In the April 1974 edition of the CFR publication "Foreign Affairs," Columbia University Professor and CFR member Richard Gardner wrote a column entitled "The Hard Road to World Order." In it, he called for "an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece." He named the following organizations that would help fulfill that objective: the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the Law of the Sea Conference, the World Food Conference, the World Population Conference, and of course, the United Nations. I would also include NAFTA, the World Trade Organization (WTO), the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), CAFTA, TPP, etc.

The CFR has a sister organization called the Trilateral Commission (TC). This group was co-founded by the Marxist Zbigniew Brzezinski and David Rockefeller. Like Gardner, Brzezinski calls for a piecemeal "movement toward a larger community of the developed nations . . . through a variety of indirect ties and already developing limitations on national

In his book "With No Apologies," former Republican Presidential nominee Barry Goldwater wrote, "The Trilateral Commission is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical. What the Trilateral Commission intends is to create a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nationstates involved. As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future." Was Goldwater a prophet or what?

In his book "Memoirs," on page 405, David Rockefeller says, "Some even believe we [the Rockefeller family] are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure—one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it."

Back in 1991, French publications quoted David Rockefeller as saying in a Bilderberg meeting in Baden-Baden, Germany, "We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. . . . It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries."

How could Rockefeller be any plainer? He acknowledged the willful assistance of the major media in helping to keep the elitists' agenda of global government from the American people. To this day, the major media has not deviated from that collaboration. And this includes the talking heads at FOX News. They know if they want to keep their jobs, they dare not unmask the New World Order.

But New World Order spokesmen themselves could not be clearer. For example, CFR member, Walt Rostow, said, "It is, therefore, an American interest to see an end to nationhood"

The American people need to wake up to the fact that the international banking interests that dominate our political and financial entities are working tirelessly to "see an end to nationhood." I am talking about the Rothschilds and Warburgs of Europe, and the houses of J.P. Morgan, Kuhn, Loeb, Schiff, Lehman, and Rockefeller.

Rear Admiral Chester Ward, who was the Judge Advocate General of the Navy from 1956-1960 and a former member of the CFR who pulled out after realizing what they were all about, warned the American people about the dangers of this and similar organizations (such as the TC). He said, "The most powerful clique in these elitist groups have one objective in common—they want to bring about the surrender of the sovereignty and the national independence of the United States. A second clique of international members in the CFR comprises the Wall Street international bankers and their key agents. Primarily, they want the world banking monopoly from whatever power ends up in the control of global government."

Admiral Ward also said, "The main purpose of the Council on Foreign Relations is promoting the disarmament of U.S. sovereignty and national independence and submergence into an all powerful, one world government."

Check it out. Virtually every recent presidential

administration—Republican and Democrat—is littered with CFR and TC members. Here is a sample list of notables in and out of government who hold (or held) membership in the CFR or TC (and sometimes both):

George Herbert Walker Bush. Bill Clinton. Sandra Day O'Connor. Dick Cheney. Les Aspin. Colin Powell. Robert Gates. Brent Scowcroft. Jesse Jackson, Sr. Mario Cuomo. Dan Rather. Tom Brokaw. David Brinkley. John Chancellor. Marvin Kalb. Diane Sawyer. Barbara Walters. Cyrus Vance. Paul Volcker. Henry Kissinger. George Shultz. Alan Greenspan. Madeleine Albright. Roger Altman. Bruce Babbitt. Howard Baker. Samuel Berger. Elaine Chao. Dianne Feinstein. Ruth Bader Ginsburg. Chuck Hagel. Gary Hart. John McCain. George Mitchell. Bill Moyers. Jay Rockefeller. Donna Shalala. Strobe Talbott. Fred Thompson. Robert Zoellick. Richard Nixon. Hubert H. Humphrey. George McGovern. Gerald Ford. Jimmy Carter. John Anderson. Walter Mondale. Michael Dukakis. Al Gore. John Kerry. Susan Rice. Anthony Lake. Zbigniew Brzezinski. Richard Clarke. Daalder, Dennis Ross, Lawrence Korb, Bruce Riedel, Stephen Flynn. Tim Geithner. Gen. James Jones. Thomas Donilon. Dennis Blair. Kurt Campbell. James Steinberg. Richard Haass. Richard Holbrooke. Joe Biden. Bill Richardson. Tom Daschle. Janet Napolitano. Hillary Clinton.

It is absolutely essential that we stop looking at potential leaders as either Democrats or Republicans or as conservatives or liberals. Those monikers mean very little today. We must start identifying people as either Americans or globalists. Either they believe in an independent, sovereign, self-governing United States of America or they believe in supranational government and internationalism. Either they believe in devotion to the U.S. Constitution, Bill of Rights, and Declaration of Independence or they believe in the goals and objectives of the United Nations.

We must rid ourselves of the propensity to support candidates simply because they classify themselves as "conservatives,"

and we must stop blindly supporting the GOP because it claims to be a "conservative" party. If candidates and political office-holders do not understand and oppose the New World Order, they do not deserve our support or our vote.

George W. Bush, Bill Clinton, and George H.W. Bush laid the foundation for everything that Barack Obama has done to facilitate the New World Order. That two of these Presidents are Democrats and two are Republicans only proves my point: both the Democratic and Republican parties have succumbed to New World Order ideology.

Just yesterday, Barack Obama, meeting with Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and Mexican President Enrique Pena Neito at the North American Leaders' Summit in Canada, said, "We're going to keep on pushing hard to shape an international order"

The EU is the prototype of global government. The Treaty of Rome in 1958 was the precursor to what became the EU as we know it today with the Treaty of Maastricht in 1992. Bill Clinton and G.W. Bush tried their best to push through a North American Union but were stymied by the independent-minded spirit of the American people and State legislators—especially the legislators in Texas and Oklahoma.

In reality, anti-globalist fervor has been brewing for many years on both sides of the Atlantic. Pat Buchanan and Ron Paul are the ones who most recently ignited the anti-globalist movement in this country. Furthermore, it has been the anti-globalist movement that has fueled the political campaigns of both Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump. Now, Great Britain has voted to leave the EU. And the anti-EU movement is growing in several other European countries as well, so I doubt that England will be the last country to secede from the EU.

Britain's secession from the EU is the first national act of defiance to the globalist agenda since the globalist elite

created the United Nations. But as I said, globalists will not take this lying down. The fight has only begun. The big apple has always been the United States. And, sadly, globalists control the Federal Reserve, the DOD, the CIA, the State Department, the Department of Homeland Security, and the congressional leadership on both sides of the aisle.

But, again, at least now THE CONTEST IS ON.

- P.S. This is the final announcement that we are still shipping THE FREEDOM DOCUMENTS. This is the great compilation of America's most important historical documents in ONE VOLUME. Such a volume does not exist anywhere else that I know of. This is our annual Independence Day printing and our supply is almost sold out. Order now.
- © 2016 Chuck Baldwin All Rights Reserved