The Democrat Legacy

This last week brought forth new information about the Democrat Party that doesn't really surprise anyone who has followed politics for any period of time but at the same time is surprises even the seasoned political pundit just how corrupt and deceiving that political party has become.

What was eye opening to me was the fact that the Democrat Party had rigged the primaries during the 2016 election for Hillary Clinton in such a blatant manner. After Sanders' sweeping win in New Hampshire, the DNC went into hyper drive to break his momentum, starting in the next voting state Nevada.

Concerned Sanders would win Nevada, Sen. Harry Reid, the former Senate Majority Leader and most powerful elected official in Nevada, as it later emerged, arranged a plan with owners of Las Vegas casinos, where many caucuses were being held, and other employers, to ensure Clinton would win. The Nevada caucuses were then rigged with massive voting irregularities such as casino owners orchestrating which workers would be allowed to vote and, in clear intimidation, openly monitoring how they voted.

Vote tampering also occurred in other states, most recently in Arizona where on election day, polling locations were sharply cut forcing many voters to stand in line for up to five hours in the heat, with some leaving before casting a vote.

Voter suppression laws, rampant now across the country, disproportionately disenfranchise students and young voters, a group that has voted for Sanders by margins of up to 80 percent. The laws, passed by right-wing legislatures and governors, also target African Americans and Latinos, which will ultimately harm any Democratic nominee in November.[1]

It wasn't just voter intimidation and voter fraud the

Democrats participated in but during the debates her campaign was given the debate questions in advance by the DNC chair and CNN contributor Donna Brazile: Another leaked email has emerged showing Democratic National Committee boss and former CNN contributor Donna Brazile sharing a debate question in advance with the Hillary Clinton campaign – despite Brazile's persistent claims to the contrary.

CNN announced in a statement soon after the email became public Monday that Brazile had tendered her resignation and the network accepted it on Oct. 14, days after the controversy over Brazile tipping off the Clinton campaign initially broke.

According to documents released Monday by WikiLeaks, Brazile sent Clinton Communications Director Jennifer Palmieri an email titled, "One of the questions directed to HRC tomorrow is from a woman with a rash," the night before the March 6 CNN primary debate in Flint, Mich.

"Her family has lead poison and she will ask what, if anything, will Hillary do as president to help the ppl of Flint," <u>Brazile wrote</u>.

The following night, Lee-Anne Walters, a mom whose twin boys stopped growing and whose daughter lost her hair during the Flint water contamination crisis, posed a question to both Clinton, the eventual Democratic presidential nominee, and her primary opponent Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders.[2] To top it off Brazile denied it at first and then when absolute proof was presented that she had done so, she stated that she wasn't sorry: Donna Brazile is not apologizing for leaking CNN debate questions and topics to the Hillary Clinton campaign during the Democratic primary. Her only regret, it seems, is that she got caught.

"My conscience – as an activist, a strategist – is very clear," the interim chair of the Democratic National Committee said Monday during a satellite radio interview with liberal activist and SiriusXM host Joe Madison. She added that "if I had to do it all over again, I would know a hell of a lot more about cybersecurity."

In other words, Brazile would have made sure that her improper disclosures – which prompted <u>CNN to drop her as an analyst</u> – would not show up in hacked emails published by WikiLeaks. The lesson, apparently, is to pick up the phone or perhaps meet John Podesta in a dark alleyway.[3] What she is telling us is she believes that it is perfectly fine to cheat so that your candidate wins. This is only a drop in the bucket from the depths of deception from the Democrat Party. I could write a book just on the 2016 election.

Even the Democratic Commissioner of the Board of Elections in New York admits that because no ID is required voters are bussed from precinct to precinct to vote multiple times: In the video, NYC Democratic Commissioner of the Board of Elections Alan Schulkin is caught on hidden camera at a United Federation of Teachers holiday party admitting that there is widespread voter fraud in New York City. "Yeah, they should ask for your ID. I think there is a lot of voter fraud," said Schulkin, who elaborated on the types of voter fraud that are taking place in New York..."You know, I don't think it's too much to ask somebody to show some kind of an ID...Like I say, people don't realize, certain neighborhoods in particular they bus people around to vote," said Schulman.

"They" — presumably meaning political machines — "put [people] in a bus and go poll site to poll site" in "certain neighborhoods," Schulkin says, before declining to say what sort of neighborhoods he's talking about. Is this Democratic elections official candidly reporting he knows to be true, or is he a secret part of the baseless right-wing voter suppression project? Whenever leftists object to any and all evidence of this problem in order to fight against *wildly popular* voter integrity measures, it's always worth asking exactly how much fraud they're willing to tolerate.[3] This action is not just this year. It has been going on for decades in the Democrat Party because in all too many cases they know it is the only way they can win because of the electoral college. "It's a very easy thing for Republicans to say, 'Well, they're bussing people in.' Well, you know what? We've been bussing people in to deal with you f*****g a*****s for 50 years and we're not going to stop now." So said Scott Foval, until recently the national field director for leftwing group Americans United for Change. The people he described so vulgarly are Republicans, and by "deal with" he was referring to committing vote fraud.

You know, that crime Democrats claim doesn't exist.

Captured on video by a Project Veritas undercover reporter posing as a Democrat donor aiming to perpetrate a vote-fraud plot, Foval's startling admissions come on the heels of an undercover video showing New York City's Democrat election commissioner, Alan Schulkin, <u>admitting</u> that "there is a lot of voter fraud." But while Schulkin actually lamented that political operatives "bus people in," Foval is one of the election thieves orchestrating the bussing – proudly

The Project Veritas video also features a co-conspirator, Robert Creamer, whom Breitbart <u>describes</u> as "a veteran Chicago activist and convicted felon who is <u>thought to have</u> <u>planned</u> Democrats' political strategy during the push for Obamacare in 2009 and 2010. Creamer is also the co-founder of <u>Democracy Partners</u>, a consulting group that, according to Project Veritas videos, apparently contracts directly with the Hillary Clinton campaign and the DNC, and that works with an array of super PACs and consultants to organize, film and publicize their provocations." In fact, Creamer is so deeply embedded in the Democrat machine that he has <u>visited</u> the Obama White House 342 times since 2009.[4]

The biggest disappointment in all of this is not the fraud and corruption so much as it is the fact that even when it is

exposed it doesn't seem to bother the voters in the Democrat Party. They'll vote for these candidates anyway without thinking about the fact that if they'll deceive and cheat and lie to get elected why wouldn't they do the same thing after they gt elected? Are these people you really want in federal leadership or leadership of any kind for that matter?

Noah Webster gave us good advice concerning the people we put into places of authority: "Let principle be your guide. Regard not the particular sect or denomination of the candidate – <u>look to his character</u>." It seems that the people in the Democrat Party do not care about the character of the people they elect, and the results have been devastating for America. Will we learn from these mistakes or just continue to go down the path of anarchy?

© 2017 Roger Anghis – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Roger Anghis: roger@buildingthetruth.org

FootNotes:

- 1. <u>10</u> ways the democratic primary has been rigged from the start
- 2. <u>New email shows DNC boss giving clinton camp debate</u> <u>question in advance</u>
- 3. <u>NYC election commissioner "I think there's a lot of</u> <u>voter fraud</u>
- 4. <u>Democrat operative admits we've been rigging elections</u> <u>for 50 years</u>