

The establishment's fake news Russian conspiracy

I would say that the establishment is having a full-blown panic attack concerning Donald Trump's impending presidency, but that would be insulting the rationality of those who suffer panic attacks.

The MSM, the Dems and the establishment Republicans all seem to be coalescing around the latest spectacular fake news narrative – that somehow the election was stolen from Hillary because Russian “hackers” won the election for Donald Trump.

The increasingly irrelevant New York Times ran a story where they reported that the CIA was claiming there was a “swell of evidence” that proved this was the case.

Buried in the article are the facts that there is no new information that supports this.

Also buried near the end of the article it is revealed that the FBI does not share in this opinion.

In addition, Reuters is reporting today that the Top U.S. spy agency has not embraced the CIA assessment on Russian hacking. According to their sources:

The CIA conclusion was a “judgment based on the fact that Russian entities hacked both Democrats and Republicans and only the Democratic information was leaked,” one of the three officials said on Monday.

“(It was) a thin reed upon which to base an analytical judgment,” the official added.

In addition, the exclusive Reuters report said:

In an angry letter sent to ODNI chief Clapper on Monday, House

Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes said he was “dismayed” that the top U.S. intelligence official had not informed the panel of the CIA’s analysis and the difference between its judgment and the FBI’s assessment.

So, not only is there no new evidence regarding Russian hacking since before the election plus the FBI does not concur with the CIA, the CIA also failed to report this rather glaring discrepancy to the House Intelligence Committee.

Despite this, the New York Times article, with its damning headline is heavily implying that this conspiracy is proven fact – their rather inconspicuous caveats notwithstanding.

Essentially the NYT is recycling the same conspiracy theories they were postulating during the campaign going back to at least July of this year.

Then there is the matter of CIA director John Brennan. Brennan has a long history of being an activist left-winger. WND’s Joseph Farah summarizes Brennan’s career in a point by point example of how this Obama political hack has been at odds with this country’s national security.

I would suggest you read Farah’s article for his list of Brennan’s “accomplishments”, but just briefly, Brennan voted for a communist for president in 1976. He also, when sworn in was done so, not on a Bible but on the US constitution – minus the Bill of Rights. Hmm...

But why this frenzy from the MSM, the Dems and RINO Republicans such as John McCain, Paul Ryan and Lindsey Graham?

The quick answer is that they are all terrified at the prospect of a Trump presidency – a presidency that will be challenging the status quo and shaking up the business as usual establishment-globalist-neocon cabal that wants nothing whatsoever to change.

All of their plots during the campaign to take out Trump

failed and Trump was elected fair and square.

After the election, there were George Soros funded riots in several cities in order to try to delegitimize Trump's election. It didn't work.

When that backfired and Trump's popularity increased, Soros funded Jill Stein with millions of dollars in failed recount efforts. Stein who barely got one percent of the vote had no chance, therefore no legal standing to challenge the results. She was simply being a proxy for Hillary Clinton in still another effort to overturn a legitimate election. The one state where they finished a recount was in Wisconsin, which actually netted Trump an additional 162 votes. So that also didn't work.

There are also the efforts to sway electors not to vote the will of their state's voters, which is why they have brought back the "Russia interfered in the election" narrative – again.

These folks are not only desperate, they're persistent. This has become a case of throwing everything against the wall, and hoping something sticks.

For this to work, they would have to peel off 37 electors committed to vote for Trump. They have one, but can they get anymore, much less 37?

It isn't very likely, but they will certainly keep trying – which means they will keep pushing this fake news narrative that there was "interference" in our election.

Well, there's some interference for sure, but we need not look to Moscow to find it.

© 2016 Chip McLean – All Rights Reserved