The Power Of Images

According to a Chinese saying, "an image is worth a thousand words." So, an image that has generated several thousand words is a photo of ultra-capitalist David Rockefeller warmly smiling while shaking hands with ultra-communist Fidel Castro.[i] Several explanations have been advanced to explain this seemingly obvious incongruence, all them unsatisfactory. How can we explain this anomaly?

In his *Memoirs*, David deceptively refers to this October 23, 1995, photo as the result of a chance encounter with Castro at a reception commemorating the fiftieth anniversary of the U.N. as his first one. According to David, he knew that Castro wanted to meet him, but a convenient time had not been found. Then,

"At the formal reception hosted by Secretary-General Boutros-Ghali at the U.N., Castro spotted me, charged across the delegates' lounge, and grabbed my hand, shaking it warmly. I was chagrined, sensing the photo frenzy about to erupt. But I smiled as the paparazzi snapped away. Predictably, the photo of "the Capitalist and the Communist" appeared on the front page of newspapers from Ankara to Zanzibar; and just as predictably I was criticized for appearing with a man considered one of the nation's bitterest enemies."[ii]

In his *Memoirs*, David also mentioned how he enjoyed meeting leaders of the most undemocratic, dictatorial countries, such as Romania's Nicolae Ceausescu, Poland's Wojciech Jaruzelski, Paraguay's Alfredo Stroessner, Algeria's Houari Boumedien, Zaire's Mobutu Sese Seko, Chile's Augusto Pinochet, Iraq's Saddam Hussein and Soviet Union's Nikita Khrushchev.[iii] Last, but not least, he enjoyed visiting his buddy Fidel Castro. No wonder some of his critics have said, as David himself mentioned in his *Memoirs*, "David Rockefeller has never met a dictator he didn't like."[iv] As he mentioned in his *Memoirs*, many people criticized David for meeting Castro, but I haven't found any criticism of Castro shaking David's hand, allegedly considered one of Cuban tyrant's bitterest enemies, so warmly and affectionately as you can verify in the photo of their "chance" encounter. Obviously, the love and admiration was mutual. I haven not found, however, a single mention of the fact that, as David never met a dictaor he didn't like, Castro never met a member of the Council of Foreign Relations he didn't like. Key CFR members Castro loved were Herbert Matthews, Dean Acheson, Dan Rather, Barbara Walters, Peter Peterson, Robert McNamara, George McGovern, Jesse Jackson, Julia Sweig. John Kerry, William Rogers, and, of course, David Rockefeller himself, who always maintained a cozy relationship with the Cuban "Communist" tyrant.

But David was highly disingenuous. Actually, when he shook hands with Castro he actually already had met Castro several times. In April 1959, when Castro visited the CFR headquarters in New York[v] after he had grabbed power in Cuba mostly thanks to David Rockefeller,[vi] he had a long private meeting with Castro. He also had a long meeting with Castro in February 2001, when he led a high-level CFR delegation visiting Cuba.[vii]

In February 2001, a high-level delegation of the Council on Foreign Relations led by David Rockefeller visited Cuba and held a long meeting with Castro. After the meeting, CFR chairman Peter Peterson praised the Cuban leadership's passionate commitment to providing high education and health standards for its people. "I suspect that Cuba is among the best educated countries in the entire hemisphere," he added.[viii]

Moreover, I found a source claiming that David's first secret meeting with Castro was in 1955, while preparing his expedition to overthrow Cuban President Batista.[ix] Unfortunately, I have not found independent confirmation of this Castro-David meeting.

So, if David never met a tyrant he didn't like, on his part Castro never met a CFR member he didn't like. Again, how can we explain this oddity? Well, the answer is very simple; everything was a hoax. Neither David Rockefeller was a Capitalist nor Fidel Castro a Communist.

One of the best characteristics of capitalism is competition, because competition brings choice and choice equals freedom. On the contrary, monopoly capitalism hates competition. John D. Rockefeller once said, "competition is a sin," and he fought tooth and nail to destroy competition by all means necessary. This was a tradition inherited by both Nelson and David Rockefeller.

What most people ignore, though, is that monopoly capitalism is not capitalism at all. It is either Fascism, in which the corporations control the state, or communism, in which the state controls the corporations. Aside from ideological considerations, [x] the two systems are quite similar, and in both the people get screwed by the monopoly capitalists.

It seems, however, that the New World Order David and his fellow co-conspirators envisioned is a mixture of the worst characteristics of both Fascism and communism. Nevertheless, people are awakening, and everything indicates that more and more people are becoming aware that, fortunately, the NWO is dying. Nevertheless, we have to be careful because, quoting again a Chinese saying, "the most dangerous part of the dragon is its tail."

Srvando Gonzalez' new book "<u>Partners in Crime: The</u> <u>Rockefeller, CFR, CIA and Castro Connection to the Kennedy</u> <u>Assassination</u>" has just been released.

© 2018 Servando Gonzales – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Servando: servandoglez05@yahoo.com

FootNotes:

[i] The photo never appeared in the U.S. media and was kept hidden from the public's eye for long years. It was known relatively recently when somebody posted it on the Internet.

[ii] David Rockefeller, Memoirs (New York: Random House, 2002), pp. 404-405.

[iii] Ibid., p. 222.

[iv] Ibid., p. 223.

[v] Lawrence Shoup and William Minter, *Imperial Brain Trust* (New York: Monthly Review Press, 1977), p. 42, and in Dan Smoot, *The Invisible Government* (Dallas: The Dan Smoot Report, 1962), p. 18.

[vi] See Servando Gonzalez. Partners in Crime: The Rockefeller, CFR, CIA and Castro Connection to the Kennedy Assassination (Hayward, California: Spooks Books, 2017), pp. 177-180.

[vii] Pascal Fletcher "U.S. Policy Experts Encouraged by Talks in Cuba," Reuters (Havana), February 18, 2001. Also, Rockefeller-led U.S. delegation cozies up to Castro. http://www.cubanet.org/CNews/y01/feb01/23e11.htm

[viii] Pascal Fletcher "U.S. Policy Experts Encouraged by Talks in Cuba," *Reuters* (Havana), February 18, 2001.

[ix] Octavio Guerra, "The Cuban Conspiracy (Two): Castro & Rockefeller," HavanaSchool, October 1, 2010, https://havanaschooleng.blogspot.com/2010/10/cuban-conspiracytwo-castro-

rockefeller.html?showComment=1485533239611#c558149597116512350

[x] The presence of a charismatic leader is one of the characteristics of Fascism. Mussolini, Hitler and Castro were charismatic, but neither Lenin, Stalin nor Mao was

charismatic. Despite the CFR-controlled mainsteam press' efforts to paint Barry Soetoro (a.k.a. Barack Hussein Obama) as a charismatic leader, he failed miserably to be up to his task.