

The Real Agenda Behind Red-Flag Laws: Confiscations and Gun Controls



By Joanna Martin, J.D.

August 4, 2023

When a person makes threats about killing others, the only constitutionally acceptable course of action is to treat *that particular person* in accordance with the existing criminal laws of the State. To instead subject everyone to red flag confiscations shows that the real agenda is to disarm “The People”. But *the US Constitution doesn't permit that*.

State Legislatures have no constitutional authority to enact into Law whatever a majority of them may happen to believe is a good idea. To the contrary, State Legislatures are mere “creatures” of the State Constitution which created them – and it is *that* Document, together with various provisions of the US Constitution, which define and limit their authority to make laws. State Legislatures have only ***limited constitutional authority*** to make laws restricting arms.[1]

A Transcendent Principle of our Land has always been that The People have the right to keep and bear arms. The purpose of this Right is – as James Madison recognizes in [Federalist No. 46](#) – to protect us from government.

And because the leading cause of unnatural death in this world, particularly since the beginning of the 20th Century, is *murder by government* – [democide](#); we must have the ability to

defend ourselves from government.

Before governments can murder great numbers of people, they must disarm them first: As lawyer and philosopher [Stephen P. Halbrook](#) shows in [Gun Control in the Third Reich: Disarming the Jews and "Enemies of the State"](#), the NAZIS used gun control to disarm and repress their enemies and consolidate power, which rendered political opponents and Jews defenseless.

That's what gun control and red flag confiscations is really about. It has nothing to do with protecting children. People who want to protect children oppose abortion, the injection of children with experimental substances, and sex change surgery on children.

1. Global government, eugenics, and "sustainable development"

Powerful people seek to move the United States into global government – the New World Order – the North American Union – the Great Reset. But the American People oppose global government.

[Yuval Noah Harari of Klaus Schwab's Great Reset says you and I are "useless", "meaningless", and "worthless"](#). They don't need us anymore. [Prince Philip of England said](#) during 1988 that if he were reincarnated, he "would like to return as a deadly virus, to contribute something to solving overpopulation."

Kelleigh Nelson shows that work to rid the World of "inferior" or superfluous people has been going on in America: [America's Medical Guinea Pigs, Depopulation and Eugenics, Part One](#); [Blood Lust: Covid Vaccines, and Culling the Population, Part Two](#); and [The Demonic Carnage of Vaccines, Part Three](#).

The [United Nations](#) claims that population growth interferes with "sustainable development". Pro-abortion US Vice-

President [Kamala Harris wants to reduce the population](#) so “the children” can have cleaner air and water. [Former US Secretary of State John Kerry wants to destroy the farming industry](#) because “Agriculture contributes about 33% of all the emissions of the world. And we can’t get to net zero—we don’t get this job done—unless agriculture is front and center as part of the solution.”

How will Americans eat when the farms are shut down? There will be hundreds of millions of starving Americans. The globalists must disarm us *before* they cut off our food supply.

Their [“final solution”](#) to the above “problems” is to kill us. But first, they must disarm us.

Tennessee Governor Bill Lee isn’t the only “conservative” who is pushing for gun control and red flag confiscations.

President Trump said, respecting red flag laws, [“Take the guns first, go through due process second”](#), thus showing his total lack of understanding of the concept of “due process”.

Princeton Law Professor Robert P. George, who has been on Mark Meckler’s “Convention of States” Legal Advisory Board since its inception during 2014, has [already co-authored a new Constitution which provides constitutional authority for gun control and red flag confiscations](#). [2]

Professor George is also [a member](#) of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). The CFR seeks to move the United States into global government – the North American Union. Americans don’t want to be moved into the North American Union. So we must be rendered powerless to prevent it [[link](#)].

2. What is “due process”?

“Due process of law” is a term with a precise technical import going back to the Magna Charta; and means that a person’s **Life, Liberty or Property cannot be taken away from him except**

by the judgment of his peers pursuant to a fair trial! See Professor Raoul Berger's masterful work, "Government by Judiciary: The Transformation of the Fourteenth Amendment", at [Part I, Chapter 11 "Due Process of Law"](#).

The 5th Amendment to the US Constitution says:

"...nor shall any person...be deprived of life, liberty or property, without due process of law..."

The 14th Amendment says at § 1:

"...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law..."

But US Senator Marco Rubio's proposed "[Extreme Risk Protection Order and Violence Prevention Act of 2019](#)", provided that if a **Respondent, whose arms have been taken from him in an *ex parte* hearing** [i.e., a hearing Respondent wasn't notified about until after the Order had been issued to seize his arms], **wants his arms back, he must prove, *by clear and convincing evidence*, that he does NOT pose a significant danger of causing personal injury to himself or others by having arms in his possession.**

Rubio's bill put the burden of proof on the Respondent! For eons in Anglo/American Jurisprudence, *it has been the task of the government* to PROVE GUILT. But Rubio would reverse that and require Respondents to PROVE THEIR INNOCENCE.

All versions of red flag legislation are based on taking peoples' property away from them based on a Judge's speculation that they might in the future commit a crime with the gun. As in Tom Cruz's movie, "[Minority Report](#)", we can be accused of and punished for gun crimes we haven't committed.

And even if Respondents in a red flag proceedings were afforded their due process right of **a trial before their peers before their arms were confiscated**; I am unaware of any State

Constitution which permits a person to be disarmed for the reason that others speculate that the person might in the future commit a crime with the gun. Certainly, the US Constitution forbids such *pre-crime confiscations*.

Red flag laws are “pre-crime confiscations”. They violate the due process clauses of the US Constitution; as well as a host of other federal and state constitutional provisions.

3. Don't invite political abuse of psychiatry into America

Advocates of red flag confiscations may claim that they only want to confiscate guns from mentally ill people. And since you are not mentally ill, red flag laws won't affect you, right?

Not so. Just as the US Department of Justice denounced as [“domestic terrorists”](#) Moms who spoke out at School Board Meetings against porn in the schools, mask mandates, and the teaching of Critical Race Theory; red flag laws which purport to permit seizure only from mentally ill persons will result in application of the definition of “mentally ill” which prevailed in the Soviet Union. There, [political opposition or dissent was defined as a psychiatric problem.](#)

4. We have a moral problem, not a gun problem

I began first grade during 1949. At that time, the Ten Commandments were posted in public schools. I could already read and saw every day the Commandments, “Thou shall not kill” – “Thou shall not steal” – “Thou shall not bear false witness”. We had prayers and Bible readings. America was a safe place: people didn't lock their doors and children played outdoors unsupervised by adults.

But beginning in 1962, in *Engel v. Vitale*, the US Supreme Court commenced its war against Christianity by banning prayers in the public schools. During 1980, in [Stone v. Graham](#), the Supreme Court banned the posting of The Ten

Commandments in the public schools.

Even though these Opinions were clearly unconstitutional [\[link\]](#), State Legislatures failed to do their Duty to nullify these unconstitutional decisions. They should have passed laws directing their public school teachers and administrators to ignore the Opinions.[3]

But State Legislatures failed to act. So God's Moral Laws were replaced by Moral Relativism – where **moral judgments and values are not based on Transcendent and objective Principles [e.g., The Ten Commandments] but on one's personal feelings and desires. THAT is the root problem in our Land – [We forgot God](#). See also [Ideas That Are Destroying America](#) by Vincent Ryan Ruggiero.**

© 2023 Joanna Martin – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Joanna Martin, JD.: publiushuldah@gmail.com

E-Mail Joanna Martin, JD.: publiushuldah@twlakes.net

Endnotes:

[1] In this paper, I focus on “due process” and red flag confiscations. In a subsequent paper, I will show how extremely limited is the constitutional authority of the **Tennessee** Legislature to restrict arms.

[2] Robert P. George, a law professor, apparently understands that our existing US Constitution *prohibits* state or federal gun controls and red flag confiscations; and that a new federal Constitution is needed before we can be disarmed.

[3] Thomas Jefferson, James Madison, and Alexander Hamilton pointed out since the States *created* the federal government when they ratified the Constitution; they are *the final authority* on whether their “creature” has violated the constitutional compact the States made with each other. And

when the federal government usurps powers not delegated, **each State has the *natural right* to nullify of *their own authority* all such acts of the federal government.** See [Nullification: The Original Right of Self-Defense](#).