

Time to Fight Democrats Anti-Trump, Anti-Capitalist Fire with Blow Torches, Say Trump Supporters



By NWV Senior Political News Writer, Jim Kouri

The Democratic Party-News Media mob are continuing full-speed-ahead in their political conspiracy to steal the White House from a Republican president who is attacking the Washington, D.C. Swamp Creatures. The political-outsider, President Donald Trump, has been victimized by the Democrats, liberal Republicans, the Justice Department's agencies such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the intelligence community, and the legal egg-heads who populate the nation's law schools.

Using every trick in the book to destroy a Commander in Chief who actually keeps his political promises, the Democratic Party has shown itself to be a corrupt, destructive bastion of neo-socialism.

However, a growing number of Americans including local law enforcement officers and county sheriff, believe the Republicans can actually learn from their Democrat opponents using the concept of "fighting fire with fire." In fact, one police chief suggested that the GOP should "fight fire with blow torches or water cannons."

According to former Wisconsin Sheriff David Clarke, who worked in both federal and local law enforcement: "It's is now time for American patriots to march into our nation's capital with pitchforks and torches and demand the termination of Democratic Party efforts to frame President Trump for illegal actions their own hypocritical politicians have committed.

For example, when Republicans testify before House or Senate committees they are often careful to avoid perjuring themselves or using erroneous terms during their testimonies. As a rule, they answer each and every question or they state the reason they may not be willing to answer a question (i.e. national security reasons).



However, when it's a Democratic Party official or member of a Democratic president's administration such

as staff members within President Barack Obama's regime during the Fast & Furious gun-smuggling caper, the Battle of Benghazi in Libya, and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) anti-conservative scandal, they cite their constitutional right to not incriminate themselves in a conspiracy, crime or unethical behavior.

After an abundance of evidence had been accumulated by investigators, to this day Barack Obama says there was no scandal at the IRS. And the primary IRS suspect when appearing before a congressional panel responded to questions by

“pleading the fifth.” The IRS employee used her U.S. Constitutional right to avoid self-incrimination. In fact, when giving testimony during the Clinton email probes, Democrats used their Fifth Amendment rights thereby stonewalling the investigation of crime or ethics violations.

President Donald Trump’s Department of Justice has no plans to charge former IRS official Lois Lerner over her role in the Tea Party targeting scandal, the Attorney General’s office said in response to calls by Republican lawmakers to conduct a full criminal investigation of Lerner’s suspicious activities at the powerful [Internal Revenue Service](#).

Lerner resigned her position at the IRS in early October. In May, she invoked her Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in her, some say, arrogant appearance before Chairman Darrell Issa’s House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, according to Caroline May, political reporter for the Daily Caller.

“These latest IRS-FEC emails obtained by Judicial Watch seem to confirm that there was probable collusion between the IRS and the FEC that might have been far more extensive than was first indicated, particularly in view of allegations that, prior to joining the IRS, Lerner’s tenure as head of the Enforcement Office at the Federal Election Commission (FEC) also was marked by what appeared to be politically motivated harassment of conservative groups,” state Judicial Watch officials.

In a letter to the lawmakers, the Justice Department said that “reopening the criminal investigation would not be appropriate based on the available evidence.”

In April, House Ways and Means Committee Chairman Kevin Brady, R-Texas, and Rep. Peter Roskam, R-Illinois, had asked Attorney General Jeff Sessions to take a “fresh look” at the case.

The committee members had said their letter to the Attorney

General: “[Laid] out evidence on how Lerner targeted conservative organization Crossroads GPS as well as other right-leaning groups, while turning a blind eye to similarly-organized liberal groups, like Priorities USA.”

Lerner allegedly provided investigators with misleading information when she was interviewed by the [Treasury Department’s Inspector General for Tax Administration](#) (TIGTA). What GOP lawmakers found disturbing was Lerner disclosing confidential taxpayer information by using her personal email account rather than her office email address.

“Lerner should face up to 10 years in prison if convicted of that charge alone,” noted political strategist and attorney Mike Baker. “But I fear she will never see the inside of a courtroom. Does President Trump believe this is draining the swamp?” Baker quipped.

The GOP lawmakers said that Lerner had called conservatives “dangerous.” She sent out an email to IRS employees “Te a Party



Matter very dangerousCincy should probably NOT have these cases.”

The letter from House Republicans stated, “This investigation has uncovered serious, unprecedented actions taken by Lois Lerner that deprived conservative groups of their rights under the Constitution. Almost a year ago we learned that the IRS subjected certain groups to extra scrutiny because of their

political beliefs. At the time, Lois Lerner shamefully attempted to blame the mistreatment on low-level employees in Cincinnati. The investigation to date has demonstrated that the targeting did not happen until IRS headquarters in D.C. intervened."

Judge Andrew Napolitano, Fox News senior judicial analyst, on the Department of Justice's decision not to prosecute former IRS official Lois Lerner for her role in the IRS scandal, said, "There is overwhelming evidence of Lois Lerner's guilt."

According to Judicial Watch, before her becoming the IRS's Director of Exempt Organizations, Lois Lerner worked at the FEC where she developed a reputation for wielding her power against a conservative candidate and Christian-based political groups.

The revealing email chain shows a redacted FEC attorney asking Lerner if the IRS had issued an exemption letter for American Future Fund (AFF). The writer of the letter notes, "When we spoke last July, you told us that the American Future Fund had not received an exemption letter from the IRS."

In the same email, the FEC attorney asked Lerner if she could also advise him if the IRS had granted an exemption letter to American Issues Project (AIP) as well as to AIP's predecessor organizations, Citizens for the Republic (CFTR) and Avenger, Inc.

In her response email sent to the FEC, Lerner stated that she would make it mandatory for her underlings to cooperate fully, something that smacks of an anti-conservative conspiracy, according to political strategist Mike Baker.

Lerner stated in her responding email: "I have sent your email out to some of my staff. Will get back to you as soon as I have heard from them."

The majority of documents obtained by Judicial Watch analysts

consists of extensive materials from IRS files sent from Lerner to the FEC containing detailed, confidential information about conservative groups or vilified Tea Party organizations.

The items include annual tax returns and request for exempt recognition forms, Articles of Organization and other corporate documents, as well as correspondence between the conservative organizations and the IRS.

Section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code stipulates that it's a felony for an Internal Revenue Service official to disclose either "return information" or "taxpayer return information" to any individuals or organizations including government agencies.

Initial news reports, such as a story in the Washington Post, when word of some of these IRS-FEC emails first surfaced, raised a variety of legal issues. One legal issue was the fact that Lerner was supplying confidential information concerning the tax exempt application status of conservative organizations to an agency that had no right to receive such information.

Another was the fact that the inquiries regarding (American Future Fund) AFF made by the FEC attorneys in February 2009 to Lerner occurred before the FEC commissioners had voted on whether to investigate AFF (the FEC later voted not to investigate AFF). A third legal issue was the appearance of political collusion between government agencies with a seemingly anti-conservative bias.

According to Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton, "These extensive emails and other materials provide a disturbing window into the activities of two out-of-control federal agencies: the IRS and FEC,"

"And there is the very real question as to whether these documents [are] evidence [to] a crime," Fitton added.

© 2019 NWV – All Rights Reserved

Contact Jim Kouri – E-Mail: COPmagazine@aol.com