Trilateral Commission Declares 2023 'Year One' of the New World Order



By Steven Yates

March 28, 2023

"Countless people ... will hate the new world order, be rendered unhappy by frustration of their passions and ambitious through its advent and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to estimate its promise we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people." -H.G. Wells, *The New World Order* (1940), p. 129.

"The nation-state as a fundamental unit of man's organized life has ceased to be the principal creative force: International banks and multinational corporations are acting and planning in terms that are far in advance of the political concepts of the nation state.... A global human conscience is for the first time beginning to manifest itself.... [W]e are ... witnessing the emergence of transnational elites ... composed of international businessmen, scholars, professional men, and public officials. The ties of these new elites cut across national boundaries, their perspectives are not confined by national traditions, and their interests are more functional than national. These global communities are gaining in strength and ... it is likely that before long the social elites of most of the more advanced countries will be highly internationalist or globalist in spirit and outlook."

Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era (1970), pp 56-59.

"For more than a century ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents ... to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as "internationalists" and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure—one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it." -David Rockefeller, A Memoir (2002), p. 405.

"Today, a new dividing line exists in politics and society. It is the division between globalism and nationalism, between cooperation and protectionism, between embracing the new and preserving the old." -Klaus Schwab, World Economic Forum Annual Report, 2022.

Well, it's happened. At a <u>confab in New Delhi, India</u>, its Annual Plenary Meeting (March 10-12), the Trilateral Commission declared 2023 'Year One' of the New World Order.

One speech comes to our attention courtesy of James Baker, who served in the (Trilateral Commission controlled) Carter administration and is described as having served on the Pentagon's Office of Net Assessment. Baker did not identify the speaker. Apparently doing so would have violated Commission rules. In any event, this is what the speaker said:

"The Biden administration is trying to convince the world that there is this titanic struggle between autocracies and democracies. I am skeptical about that... Three decades of globalization — defined as integrated, free-market based and deflationary — has been replaced by what will be a multidecade

period of globalization defined as fragmented, not-free-market-based but industrial-policy based and structurally inflationary. This year, 2023, is Year One of this new global order."

In other words, the free enterprise capitalism you may have learned about in college is dead. It is being replaced by "industrial policy," i.e., global corporatism the primary method of which is technocracy: key decisions are made by so-called experts and imposed on populations in top-down fashion. As I've stated many times before, Western powers are not democracies. In genuine democracies, informed voters would put a stop to such shenanigans at the ballot box.

The Trilateral Commission was founded in 1973 by David Rockefeller Sr., Zbigniew Brzezinski, and Henry Kissinger to further the development of what was then called a New International Economic Order integrating the economies of North America, Europe, and Asia (back then, Japan; now, China).

Brzezinski had just published the book quoted above. Rockefeller read it and arranged a meeting. Given Rockefeller's huge rolodex (reputed to have contained ten thousand names and phone numbers), one thing led to another and one of the most powerful semi-secret globalist organizations was born.

Brzezinski's book outlined three essential stages of modern times. The first was nationalism, being transcended by global economic actors even as he wrote. The second was Marxism, which had also broken down nationalist sentiment but was done in by its ideological rigidity. The stage that awaited, Brzezinski argues, was globalism.

Yes, he used that word openly, as essentially synonymous with internationalism.

So much for the idea that globalist elites is a "baseless

conspiracy theory." If you don't believe me, get Between Two Ages and read it for yourself.

The fall of the Soviet Union at the start of the 1990s conforms to the pattern Brzezinski lays out, in which (the neoliberal variant on) global capitalism triumphs over the rigid and defunct Soviet brand of communism. The former's actors no longer thought in terms of advancing the interests of their nations, as is clear from how jobs were outsourced to cheap labor countries in the U.S. As Paul Craig Roberts would observe in a classic article published a little over a decade later, because of changed technology absolute advantage had replaced comparative advantage as the guiding principle of "free" trade.

"Free traders" had become *de facto* globalists, because they enhanced globalism whether they knew it or liked it or not.

Almost 20 more years have passed, and seen the coming of a contra-globalist rebellion, otherwise known as "populism," in several nations which globalist corporate media describes as "autocracies" (the globalist-leftist alliance in these places is prevented from doing as they please). But in confabs, its spokespersons can tell us all we need to know about how they see the progress they've made.

Welcome to Year One!

Obviously, when the idea of a world government was first conceived — as clearly it had been when H.G. Wells was writing — the necessary technological infrastructure did not exist.

It does, now.

What is alarming is how few have noticed this Trilateral Commission comment! A search turned up just one article written by an American: Patrick Wood, who has been sounding warnings about the Trilateral Commission since its early days in the 1970s. Wood learned to do historical research from the

late, great Antony C. Sutton who unearthed the financial deep connections between Wall Street and the Bolsheviks, the Nazis, and other bad actors of the past century.

In other words, this has never been about advancing an ideology, such as Marxism. Many on the right had this wrong from the start; some still do. This has been about building the infrastructure for and then imposing global power which knows no ideology, unless you consider techno-feudalism to be an ideology and not the three-tiered state of affairs in the offing: the global ruling class; its immediate administrators, underlings, and apprentices; and populations of permanently cash-strapped, controlled serfs to be kept pacified with various forms of Huxleyan soma (most are already addicted to smartphones and many, to video games).

Klaus Schwab speaks of "stakeholder capitalism" which is not capitalism at all, of course. It has nothing to do with free enterprise. It will choke free enterprise, as the covid lockdowns did. We will be looking at the universalizing of the kind of system in which political power is awarded to hand-picked charlatans (if you need an example look at "Joe Biden" although Establishment Republicans are no better), in which the cash-strapped serfs will answer to faceless technocrats via screens, and in which economics will reign supreme: the logical culmination, I must add, of materialism as a worldview. This is where the complete replacement of Christendom with materialism has brought us.

So what now?

We can do pretty much as we have been doing, which is next to nothing, while guys like Patrick Wood, myself, and possibly a few others, continue spinning our wheels shouting into the wind.

We can organize — although it seems a bit late for that! As I've noted, the wealth and power now consolidated is likely to

make effective open resistance next to impossible. The "populism" I've mentioned comes the closest, and it remains divided by specific nations, and under sustained assault by powerful corporate media as well as the legal octopus.

Just look at the efforts to destroy Trump with lawfare: e.g., the political prosecution likely about to begin in Manhattan, directed by a (globalist) George Soros funded D.A., even as I write.

Psychos like Alvin Bragg would like to arrest every Trump supporter out there, and I am sure he and his minions would like to yank the Internet access of guys like me.

The globalist ruling class cannot have a "populist" at the helm of the world's largest political economy! They cannot have populations of informed "populists" who vote, or writers who support them.

I'm sure they are working on ways to shut down those of us Schwab recently called "the frivolous fringe."

We can separate ourselves in the manner I've also described, although I'm increasingly dubious that such a solution can work in the long run, at least not without a great deal of preparation which few are undertaking.

And if we've learned nothing from the repressive measures taken beginning in March 2020, which were global, it is that there is no place to go! Calls to have a "Plan B" are obsolete, as I can testify personally, having been in a Chile that was more severely locked down from March 2020 through September 2022 than Florida.

All I can do is what I am doing now: write for readers, presenting what evidence I've amassed in roughly a quarter century of awareness and studying the problem of a coterie of psychopaths obsessed with global domination, and who have the money and technology to do it.

It's some consolation that the New World Order will not last. No empire based on fraud, lust for power, and when these fail, brute force, has ever endured. This one will be no different in that respect.

It will, however, be the first empire to be not just worldwide but built up on the pseudo-prosperity of printed fiat money gone digital. Think of central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) which are being worked on now utilizing blockchain technology. The first was just introduced in Nigeria — it's called the eNaira, and being test-driven in Africa's largest economy. The Federal Reserve's has been tentatively called FedCoin.

This has never been done before, so we're moving into uncharted waters.

But no one can change the basic laws of nature, which include the nature of money (the real thing, backed by precious metals such as gold and silver). Were governmental-central banking complexes able to print (or digitize) their way into ever greater prosperity, Zimbabwe would have become the richest nation in the world.

The New World Order's own money system will be its downfall. Even if none of us live to see the implosion.

I know of no better way to end this than by citing my own prophetic remarks given what we're already seeing: massive inflation, rising homelessness, skyrocketing violent crime. And epidemics of substance abuse, mental health disorders, and a rising suicide rate especially among the young:

"Where do we go from here? One way of responding is just to say: we the people take back this country from the superelite, or the United States will not survive as a major power. If we do not take our country back, present trends will continue unabated, their consequences will gradually worsen, and our standard of living will drop precipitously over the next decade or so as our society becomes techno-feudalist. It may

do so anyway, because the time has come to pay the piper for over four decades of mounting false prosperity." Four Cardinal Errors: Reasons for the Decline of the American Republic (2011), p. 265

© 2023 Steven Yates - All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Steven Yates: freeyourmindinsc@yahoo.com

I wish to thank Thomas Abshier for becoming a \$20/mo. Patron!

Issue #2 of *Truth, Freedom, Validation* has been posted. It contains previously unpublished thoughts of mine on money, markets, and freedom. To read them, go here. (You can sign up for as little as \$1/month!)

ANNOUNCING: an online course/tutorial entitled The Philosophy of Responsible Freedom, directed by Jack C. Carney with myself as chief partner: a Zoom-based intellectual encounter between an atheist (Carney) and a Christian (Yates) exploring the history of ideas using Academy of Ideas videos and supplementing them with the thoughts of others. Carney is an autodidact in areas ranging across psychology, psychiatry, and anthropology who emphasizes the importance of human relationships in a world where loss is omnipresent (he also teaches English online). I am an author and trained philosopher with a doctorate in the subject who taught philosophy courses in years past, walked away from academia, still writes philosophy. My philosophical writings emphasize the need to identify, clarify, and evaluate the success (or failure) of worldviews in civilization, stages civilization, the quest to build free societies, and how worldviews either enhance or hobble responsible freedom. Course/tutorial outline here. For more information or to get on our email list: freeyourmindinsc@yahoo.com.

Steven Yates's latest book What Should Philosophy Do? A

Theory (2021) is available $\underline{\text{here}}$ and $\underline{\text{here}}$. His earlier Four Cardinal Errors: Reasons for the Decline of the American Republic (2011) is available $\underline{\text{here}}$.

While admittedly the real world can be scary enough, he has also written a novel of cosmic horror. *The Shadow Over Sarnath* will be published later this year.