

Trump Civil Fraud Trial is A Sham



By: Amil Imani

November 3, 2023

“No bank was affected. No bank was hurt” ~ Donald J Trump.

New York Attorney General Letitia James accuses Trump, his sons, and Trump Organization executives of engaging in a decade-long scheme to defraud lenders by inflating Trump’s net worth. However, upon closer examination, it becomes evident that these charges are baseless and appear politically motivated. Let us explore key reasons why these allegations lack substance and highlight the potential for their use as a tool to smear Trump’s reputation.

One of the central allegations [in this trial](#) is that Trump and his associates used “false and misleading” financial statements to secure more favorable loan terms. However, it is crucial to distinguish between aggressive business practices and fraudulent intent. Trump’s alleged inflated valuations of assets can be attributed to his shrewd business acumen. Real estate values often vary and are subject to interpretation, making differing valuations familiar in the industry. The prosecution must demonstrate that Trump and his associates deliberately misled lenders and not simply that they had different assessments of asset values.

It is essential to emphasize that the charges against Trump and The Trump Organization are civil, not criminal. In a civil trial, the burden of proof is considerably lower than in a

criminal case. The prosecution merely needs to show that specific actions occurred and that they were fraudulent without having to prove malicious intent. This lower standard for evidence makes it easier for allegations to be brought forward but does not necessarily indicate wrongdoing.

It is challenging to overlook the political context of these charges. Attorney General Letitia James campaigned on a “get Trump” platform, openly expressing her intentions to target the former president.

Accusations against a former president should be grounded in solid evidence and not serve to settle political scores.

However, it is heartening to note that, led by the experienced tax attorney Sheri Dillon, this legal team has been vigorously challenging the charges, relentlessly emphasizing contradictions in key witness [Michael Cohen's testimony](#) and pushing for a verdict to end the case. So far. The defense team has been excellently fighting these allegations despite the challenges they face and the controversy surrounding Judge Arthur Engoron's decision to deny the request for dismissal.

Cohen's testimony, where he claimed to have manipulated the values of Trump's real estate properties to match what Trump told him, has been a critical point of contention. The defense team has astutely highlighted the inconsistencies in Cohen's statements, raising questions about the reliability of his testimony. These contradictions cast doubt on the credibility of a pivotal witness and underscore the importance of a thorough and unbiased examination of the evidence.

The defense team's request for a verdict to “end this case once and for all” reflects their determination to expedite the trial and resolve it. This strategy aims to minimize the prolonged legal battle, which can be financially and emotionally draining. It also signals their confidence in dismantling the allegations and lack of concrete evidence

against Trump and his organization.

Judge Arthur Engoron's decision to deny the request for dismissal has drawn criticism from Trump's defense team. They argue that the judge's decision suggests bias against Trump.

The real impact of this trial is on the Democrat Party. Continuing to pursue these allegations, they are only digging deeper into their corruption and hypocrisy.

From the Mueller investigation to multiple impeachment trials and the constant scrutiny of Trump's actions, these ongoing legal battles and quests against Donald Trump have become emblematic of the unyielding focus on the actual president by his political adversaries.

The American people have witnessed an unending series of investigations and trials against Donald Trump and have grown weary of the relentless political battles. They see this trial as another politically motivated attack on a popular president among a substantial segment of the electorate. They see this only as an extension of the broader "deep state" conspiracy, which asserts that powerful forces are working against Trump.

The history of American politics reveals that adversarial attacks against a political figure can often galvanize their supporters. In the case of Donald Trump, the civil fraud trial may further cement the loyalty of his base. His ability to withstand several investigations and problems during his presidency has made many perceive him as a resilient and unwavering leader.

At a time when the world is in torment and uncertain, the American people—true to the values that have always been at the core of America's identity—firmly put their faith in President Trump.

© 2023 Amil Imani – All Rights Reserved